Volume: 8 | Issue: 2 | February 2021

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SENTENCE SYSTEM BETWEEN SHERPA AND TAMANG LANGUAGE

Dawa Sherpa Ph.D.

Lecturer, Tribhuwan University, Mahendra Ratna Campus, Tahachal, Department of Education Nepali

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra6319

-----ABSTRACT-----

Sherpa language is spoken by Sherpas and Tamang language is spoken by Tamangs. Both of these languages fall under Tibeto-Burman language family. The present comparative study between these two languages is qualitative in nature. Data collection for the study was made with purposive interview and conclusion has been drawn. Both language bear resemblance in the sentence structure as subject + object + verb pattern. Both the languages have similarity in sentences meaning as declarative, interrogative, optative, imperative, subjunctive, indicative and exclamatory sentences from the formal point of view. Both the languages have similar simple, compound and complex sentences. These languages differ from Nepali language in grammatical structure. Both the languages do not have sentence congruity in the structure. They seem a grammatical on the basis of number and gender.

KEY WORDS: A grammatical, sambhota, Target language, phonological linguistic competence/linguistic power.

INTRODUCTION

Both Sherpa language and Tamang language fall on Tibeto-Burman language family. They are written in Sambhota script. Sherpa language is spoken by Sherpas and Tamang language is spoken by Tamangs. According to the census 2011 report there are 1,14,830 Sherpa language speaker in Northen Himalayan regions of Nepal. Tamangs mostly live in the periphery of Kathmandu valley and scatter all over the country. Tamang speaking population is 5.11 percent of the total population on the basis of census report 2011. (2011:284). Tamang language is spoken by the largest number of population from the Tibeto-Burman language family in Nepal.

The Study aims at comparing the sentences of Sherpa language and Tamang language to the Nepali language. Both Sherpa language and Tamang language differ in sentence structure from Nepali language. In acquiring the target language the learner most produce the target language accurately in a continuous way. For this target language learning is possible through productive practice (Chaudron, 2007: 91). Therefore, both Sherpa language and Tamang language speakers learning Nepali language as target language should focus on constant practice and productive learning.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The statement of the problem of this study is to find out the difference between sentence structure of Sherpa language and Tamang language and to compare the difference with Nepali language.

OBJECTIVES

The main objective of the study is to compare the sentence system of Sherpa language and Tamang language with Nepali language and to tabulate it.

METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY

The comparative study between Sherpa language and Tamang language sentence system is qualitative in nature. The study is based on purposive study for selecting Sherpa language speakers and Tamang language



EPRA International Journal of Environmental Economics, Commerce and Educational Management Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra0414 | ISI I.F Value: 0.815|SJIF Impact Factor (2021): 7.743 ISSN: 2348 – 814X

Volume: 8 | Issue: 2 | February 2021

speaker (Creswells, 2015: 207). The data collection has been made with the help of MP³ sound recording device adopting regional study, survey, observation and interview. Four Sherpa language speaker from Sekhimba and four Tamang language speakers from Katake village of Menchhyayam rural Municipalty ward no. 3 of Terhathum district have been the informant in this study (Das, 2013: 140). For this study use of applied linguistics for oral interview has been utilized to obtain data on target language acquisition (Nunan, 2010: 149).

There is no similarity between the phonological structure and system of two language (Crystal, 2003: 341). Comparison between two languages on phonological structure and system is easier but comparison of grammatical system is more difficult because grammatical structure in two languages is different and complex (Hocket, 2006: 261).

Primary Source

Data collection for the study was made by oral interview and questionnaire from 4 Sherpa language speakers of Sekhimba and 4 Tamang language speakers of Katake village of Menchhyayem rural municipality, ward no. 3 of Terhathum district (2016: 316). The informants have been selected with purposive sampling. Data collection has been made by interview, questionnaire and discussion.

Secondary Source

Published and unpublished dissertation, articles and dictionaries on Sherpa language and Tamang language have been used to collect linguistic data as secondary sources. Secondary sources have been used for the verification of primary sources. Conclusion of the study has been drawn by analysis and interpretation of the collected data in a comparative study.

Pre-test

The data has been used in this study with the pretest from the Sherpa language speaker and Tamang language speaker of Menchhyayem rural municipality, ward no. 3. Linguistic competence and language for competence on the speaker is directed by proficiency (Lyons, 1981: 165).

Sentence System

Usually, the words used in sentences are called phrase. The word without its derivation is called 'lexeme' (Pokhrel, 2054: 126). Sentence is such a linguistic construction that has one subject and a finite verb (Adhimari, 2068: 268). It is larger than a phrase. A Sentence expresses a concept. The grammatical unit larger than a clause is a sentence (Sharma, 2071: 291). The study of the sentences in Sherpa language and Tamang language has been made on the basis of this definition. Both the languages have the same type of sentence structure as subject + object + verb. Syntax studies the structure of sentences. The smallest unit in the structure of sentence is word. Phrases and clauses can also be used on constituents of sentence structure (Sherpa, 2077: 145). This study has been made to classify the sentence structure and meaning of Sherpa language and Tamang language on the basis of the above definition.

Types of Sentences on the basis of Meaning

The meaning given by the sentence is called sentence meaning. Sentence meaning is understand if only the user uses the sentence (Avasthi and Sharma, 2055: 201). In Tagmamic grammar sentence is the grammatical sequence. On these basis sentence is a complete structure which is independent (Bandhu, 2064: 90). On the basis of meaning sentences can be classified into six categories and structurally three types of sentences as simple, compound and complex on the basis of structures (Adhikari, 2071: 229-230). The sentences of Sherpa language and Tamang language have been compared on the basis of meaning.

Assertive Sentence

Assertive sentences assert the simple meaning of the sentence. the Simple intention of the speaker is expressed in assertive sentence (Awasthi and Sharma, 2055: 201). Every sentence has a finite verb. For example, the boy dances. He ate an orange. Both of these constructions are sentences (Adhikari, 2071, 203).

On the basis of this definition the assertive sentences of Sherpa language and Tamang language have been show in the table.

Sherpa language	Tamang language	Nepali language	English language
[ŋeki samɑ soi]	[ŋai ka:n tsa:zi]	[maile vat kʰaĕ]	I ate rice.
[pasang khaŋba ga:l]	[pasang dim nizi]	[pasnag ghar gajo:]	Pasang went home.
[mesi kegesung]	[mui hja:mu:la]	[vaisi karajɔ:]	The buffalo mooed.
[tʰapemki tsja khuŋga:l]	[tja:ŋsiŋle na:sa bɔ:zi]	[tsille ku:kʰurɑ lagjɔ]	The eagle took the
			chick.

The above assertive sentences have verbs [SoI), [ga:1], [kegesu η g] and [Khu:jg α :1] in Sherpa language, [tsa:zi], [nizi], [hja:mu:l α] and [b β :zi] in Tamang language [kh α e], [gaj β], [kar α j β] and [lagj β] in Nepali language respectively to refer to English verbs ate, went, mooed and took.

Interrogative Sentences

Only the interrogative pronoun differ in interrogative sentence from assertive sentence in Sherpa language and Tamang language. Question type declarative sentence is called interrogative sentence (Sharma,



EPRA International Journal of Environmental Economics, Commerce and Educational Management Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra0414 | ISI I.F Value: 0.815|SJIF Impact Factor (2021): 7.743 ISSN: 2348 – 814X

Volume: 8 | Issue: 2 | February 2021

2071: 413). Unlike assertive sentences interrogative sentences end with rising intonation. The rise is denoted by question mark (?).

Sherpa language	Tamang language	Nepali language	English language
[su: sisung ?]	[kʰɑ:lɑ sizi ?]	[kɔ: marjɔ:]	Who died?
[Kang kiru: ?]	[tik labɑ: ?]	[ke garne ?]	What to do?
[t ^s ɔ: ljɑ:mu: ?]	[dʰɑ:te zjɑ:bɑ: ?]	[kati rɑ:mrɔ ?]	How beautiful?
[kani diju: ?]	[khairi nibɑ: ?]	[kahă: Jɑ:ne ?]	Where to go?
[kang tibu:zɑ ?]	[tik biba ?]	[ke lekʰekɔ: ?]	What did you write?
[puzjung t ^S ɔki hwa:e ?]	[za: gʰatleba: mu:la ?]	[t ^S ɔrɔ katrɔ: tsʰa ?]	How old is your son?
[papa: phepsung ?]	[ɑ:bɑ: phebrabazi ?]	[bu:bɑ: aunu:vajɔ: ?]	Did father come?
[sama: tshɔ:sung ?]	[sɔ:l tsʰjɔizi ?]	[kʰana pakjɔ?]	Was the food cooked?

In the above sentences [su:], [kang], [tso], [kani], [tsoki], [papa] and [sama] in Sherpa language, [kha:la], [tik], [dha:te], [khairi], [gha:tleba:], [a:ba] and [so:l] in Tamang language and [ko:], [ke], [kati], [kahă:], [katro], [bu:ba:] and [kha:na] in Nepali language refer to interrogative sentences.

Optative Sentence

The verb in the optative sentence expresses with, purpose intention, request or the tentative attitude of the speaker (Sharma, 2071: 471). The optative sentences of Sherpa language and Tamang language are listed below:

Sherpa language	Tamang language	Nepali language	English language
[heju: hɔsi luŋki	[tɔrse hintsʰam lab: luŋse	[mastirɔ bʰaĕ hawa hurile	If it is upward, wind
k ^h undəsi]	bɔ:rkɑ:i]	lai:za:jɔs]	blows.
[mamu hɔsi Kɔsiki	[ma:rse hintsham gandhise	[talɑ tiro bhaĕ kosile	If it is down, Koshi
khundəsi]	bɔ:rkɑ:i]	lai:zɑ:ɔs]	flows.
[papɑ po:laki	[a:khe a:ple mɔ:lamse zja:mɔ	[buba bazekɔ a: sirbadle	Long live by the bless
mɔ:lam kʰagebu:	mjɔ:rte]	hĩu θape zhăi αju θapu:n]	of forefathers.
tshoran senne			
tsʰeriŋbu galsi]			
[dubo moulae tsoran	[nərəka:paŋ lheba:rəŋ tsʰe	[dubə məulae zʰăi	Long live as wiregrass.
tshe rinbu:galsi]	lhekai]	mɔula:un]	

In the above example [$k^hu:nd>si$], [$ri\eta bu:g \theta lsi$], and [$ts^heri\eta bu:g \theta lsi$] in Sherpa language, [$b>:rk \alpha i$], [$zj\alpha:m>:mj>:rte$] and [ts^he lhek αi] Tamang language, and [laiza:bs], [$aJu:\theta ape z^h a$ i $\theta apu:n$] and [$dub>m>ula:e z^h a$ i m>ula:un] in Nepali indicate optative sentences.

Imperative Sentence

Imperative sentence expresses order instruction, request, etc. by the verb (Adhikari, 2068: 218). Sherpa language and Tamang language both have the similar imperative sentences on Nepali language. Imperative sentences are in the present tense. There is only the second person subject in imperative sentence (Sharma, 2071: 490). The subject is generally omitted in imperative sentences. For example,

Sherpa language	Tamang language	Nepali language	English language
[lɔ:pkhaŋ gju:k]	[lɔ:pkhaŋ niu]	[skul zɑ:u]	Go to school.
[sama/sɔl sɔ:]	[sɔl tsʰjɔ:jɔ:]	[kʰɑ:nɑ kʰau]	Eat food.
[hɔr:mɑtaŋ/mɑten]	[həlla a:la:jɔ]	[həllɑ nagara]	Don't make a noise.
[mar gju:k]	[mar niu]	[talɑ zɑ:u]	Go down.
[həer lɔ:]	[tɔr reu]	[mα:θi utʰa]	Sand up.
[dəja det]	[tsuhi dənsjakə:]	[jəhɑ: basa]	Sit here.
[mesila so luk/bin]	[mui ta tshe pino:]	[vʰaisilɑi gʰɑs deu]	Give grass to the
			buffalo.
[sa:la sjan tsɔ]	[gajarəri sɔ:l dʰɑjɔ:]	[kʰadkulɑ:mɑ bʰɑ:t	Cook food in the
		pakau]	cooking pot.

In the above examples [gju:k], [so:], [ma:ten], [gju:k], [lo:], [det], [lu:k] and [tso:] in Sherpa language, [niu], [tshjo:jo:], [a:la:jo], [niu], [reu], [densjako:], [pino:] and [dhajo:] in Tamang language and [za:u], [kha:u], [nagara], [u:tha], [basa], [deu] and [paka:u] in Nepali language refer to the imperative meaning.

Subjunctive Sentence

If the verb of the sentence indicates possibility, deduction, supposition etc. the sentence is subjunctive (Sharma, 2071: 492). In Sherpa language and Tamang language the subjunctive sentences refer to future deduction or probability. All persons are used in these types of sentences. Examples are given below:



EPRA International Journal of Environmental Economics, Commerce and Educational Management Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra0414 | ISI I.F Value: 0.815|SJIF Impact Factor (2021): 7.743 ISSN: 2348 – 814X

Volume: 8 | Issue: 2 | February 2021

Sherpa language	Tamang language	Nepali language	English language
[hɑriŋ azjɑ:ŋ pʰepkizu]	[tini ɑ:sjɑŋ febarbalɑ]	[a:za ma:ma aunuhɔ:la]	To maternal uncle may
			come.
[Pemba hembur lepzju:]	[Pemba jamburi	[Pemba katʰmandau	Pemba may have
	d္၁:talα]	pu:gjɔhɔ:la]	reached Kathmandu.
[hatsi tsherba gepkjuza]	[dhɑ:re nam kʰalɑ:]	[bʰare Pani parlɑ:]	It may rain later.
[sapti sosung ha:tsi kan	[tsabam tsa:zi dʰare tik	[kʰɑnu ta kʰɑjɔ bhare ke	He ate but what
giju]	talɑ hɔ]	hɔla]	happens later.
[nup ŋitsʰezu]	[tsjɔ:n tsʰɔrtalɑ:]	[bʰai bjǔzʰe hɔ:lɑ]	Brother may have
			awaken.

In the above sentences [phepkizu], [lepzju:], [gepkjuza], [kan giju] and [nitshezu] in Sherpa language, [febarbala], [do:tala], [khala:], [tik tala ho] and [tshortala:] in Tamang language and [aunuho:la], [pu:gjoho:la], [parla:], [ke ho:la] and [bjuzhe ho:la] in Nepali indicate subjunctive sentences.

Demonstrative Sentence

If the two clauses in a sentence have cause and effective relation, it is called demonstrative sentence (Adhikari, 2068: 220). In Sherpa language and Tamang language to show the cause and effect relation demonstrative sentences are used. Examples

Sherpa language	Tamang language	Nepali language	English language
[num tshemsi giju:θjɔ]	[buriŋ sjɑ:sai taselɑ]	[bahini natse hunθjɔ]	I wish my sister to
			dance.
[tiwα: hju:si gijuθjɔ]	[θingate bʰrɑ:sam taselɑ]	[tiniharu hide	I wish them to walk.
		hunθjɔ]	
[sa:mα sɔ:si hugjuθjɔ]	[sɔ:l tsʰjɔ:isai tasailɑ]	[kʰɑna: kʰae hunθjɔ]	You'd better take
			food.
[tsherba gepsi gjar ljamu	[nα:m kʰarsam sα:ηga	[pɑ:ni pare kɔdɔ	If it rains, the millet
tsʰarkju:θjɔ]	tahasaila]	maulαuθjɔ]	will grows.
[ti hju:si ηa higu:θe]	[nα:m bʰra:mas ηal	[u: hide ma hidθe]	If he goes, will go too.
	bʰramaba]		

In the above example [tshemsi giju: θ jo],[hju:si giju θ jo],[so:si hugju θ jo], [tsharkju: θ jo] and [higu: θ e] in Sherpa language, [sj α :sai tasel α], [bhr α :sam tasel α], [tshjo:isai tasail α], [tahasail α] and [bhramaba] in Tamang language and [n α tse hun θ jo],[hide hun θ jo], [kh α e hun θ jo], [maul α u θ jo] and [hid θ e] in Nepali indicate demonstrative sentence.

Exclamatory Sentence

Exclamatory sentence expresses the basic emotions like happiness, anger, hatred, surprise, pain, regret (Yadav and Regmi, 2058: 233). Unlike other sentences, exclamatory sentences may not be completed. Some of the examples of exclamatory sentences from Sherpa language and Tamang language presented here.

Sherpa language	Tamang language	Nepali language	English language
[o:hɔ tsɔ lja:mu na:ziŋma]	[o:hɔ gha:te zja:ba	[o:hɔ kati ra:mri	What a beautiful girl?
	tsʰa:me]	jubati]	
[ŋiŋze sin]	[o:n tsʰjɑ:n dəŋzim]	[bitsara maretsha]	Alas he dead.
[aija: kaŋba tsʰɑksuŋ]	[aija: kaŋ kju:t tsi]	[aija: kʰu:tɑ: bhɑtsijɔ]	Ouch! my leg is
			broken
[e tsila: ziwa: kju:bu:za]	[e tiḍa: lɔ:ŋba]	[e kina darɑekɔ]	Oh! why are you
			frightened?
[lɑ: tsʰju: pesuŋ]	[lɑ: kjuI kʰjwazi]	[la: pani pɔ:kʰjɔ]	Eh! water dropped.
[a: tsʰju: khja:ba: lasuŋ]	[a: tsʰju: kʰa:ŋtsi]	[a: tsʰju za:dɔ bhajɔ]	Sss! it's cold.

In the above examples, both Sherpa language and Tamang language have similarly in meaning in deep structure, though they differ in surface structure.

From the structural point of view there are three types of sentences in Sherpa language and Tamang language. They are simple sentence, compound sentence and complex sentence.

Simple Sentence

A simple sentence has only one clause. A simple sentence has two basic pasts as subject and predicate (Adhimkari, 2068: 268). The examples of simple sentences from Sherpa language and Tamang language are given below.



EPRA International Journal of Environmental Economics, Commerce and Educational Management Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra0414 | ISI I.F Value: 0.815|SJIF Impact Factor (2021): 7.743 ISSN: 2348 – 814X

Volume: 8 | Issue: 2 | February 2021

Sherpa language	Tamang language	Nepali language	English language
[do:ma: tshemsun]	[do:ma: sja:zi]	[do:ma na:tsin]	Doma danced.
[a:ŋɑ: nusuŋ]	[kɔ:kɑ: kra:zi]	[na:ni rɔ:jɔ:]	The baby cried.
[tsebu:k kegesuŋ]	[na:kɑ: kra:zi]	[bʰale ba:sjɔ:]	The Cock crowed.
[tsʰerwɑ: lesuŋ]	[na:m kʰozi]	[pa:ni a:jɔ:]	Water flowed.
[hwa:ma: po:psun]	[nhe taizi]	[du:dh zharjo:]	Milk dropped.

In the above sentences there is only one finite verb in both Sherpa language and Tamang language. [$ts^hemsu\eta$], [$nusu\eta$], [$ts^hemsu\eta$], [

Compound Sentence

Compound sentences are formed by joining two or more simple sentences with equal status (Adhikari, 2068: 271). The examples of compound sentences of Sherpa language and Tamang language are given in the following table.

Sherpa language	Tamang language	Nepali language	English language
[tigi tu:tu: me ηekiη tu:tu:	[θesenu:n kʰu:ba a:re	[u:nle pɔ:ni nu:ha:ekɔ	Neither she nor I
me]	ηainu:n kʰu:bα α:re]	tsʰaina maile pɔ:ni	have taken both.
		nu:hɑ:ekɔ: tshɔina]	
[nu:pki sama: sɔsuŋ tama:	[tsjɔ:n tsʰe kɑ:n tsɑ:zi ani	[bʰaile bʰa:t kʰa:jɔ	Brother took meal
ləpkʰɑ:ŋ gɑ:l]	lɔpkʰɑ:η nazi]	ani sku:l gajɔ:]	and went to school.

In the above examples two finite verbs of Sherpa language and Tamang language are joined by similar type of conjunction as $[tam\alpha:]$ in Sherpa language and [ani] in Tamang language.

Complex Sentence

In a complex sentence these are more than one clauses. The clauses are not in absolute relation like compound sentences rather they are in relative relation (Adhikari, 2068: 274). In Sherpa language and Tamang language complex sentences are formed by joining dependent clauses in the independent clause. For example

Sherpa language	Tamang language	Nepali language	English language
[pemaki sa:suŋ gja:garla	[pemase biba: gjɔgarila	[pemɑ:le bʰanjɔ:	Pemaki said that
zu:lus nɔk]	zu:lus mu:larɔ:]	madesma: zu:lus	there was a
		rahetsha]	procession in the
			Terai.
[ŋa samɑ: sɑi sjɑ:masɑ]	[ŋa ka:n tsa:ba sjɑ: atsɑba]	[ma bha:t khantshu	I eat rice but not
		mɑ:su kʰanna]	meat.
[tak θɔηne pasang nusuη]	[tsja:n mra:ηsi pasang	[bɑgʰ dekʰera pasang	Pasang cried when he
	kra:zi]	rɔ:jɔ:]	saw the tiger.

CONCLUSION

Sherpas and Tamangs both of these language fall in Tibeto-Burman family. The study is qualitative in nature. The conclusion has been drawn by collecting data with purposive interview. Both the language have similar sentence structure as subject + object + verb. While comparing sentences on meaning, declarative sentence [ηeki sama soi] in Sherpa language and [ηai kɑ:n tsɑ:zi] in Tamang language, imperative sentence [lɔ:pkhɑη gju:k] in Sherpa language and [lɔ:pkhɑη niu] in Tamang language, interrogative sentence [su: sisung?] in Sherpa language and [kʰa:lɑ sizi?] in Tamang language, optative sentence [heju: hosi lunki kʰundosi] in Sherpa and [torse hintsʰam lab: lunse bo:rka:i] in Tamang language, subjunctive sentence [hɑrin azjɑ:η pʰepkizu] in Sherpa language and [tini a:sjɑη febarbalɑ] in Tamang language, demonstrative sentence [num tsʰemsi giju:θjo] in Sherpa language and [burin sjɑ:sai taselɑ] in Tamang language and exclamatory sentence [o:ho tso ljɑ:mu nɑ:zinmɑ] in Sherpa language and [o:ho ghɑ:te zjɑ:ba tsʰa:me] in Tamang language seem similarly. Simple sentence [do:mɑ: tsʰemsun] in Sherpa language and [do:mɑ: sja:zi] in Tamang language, and complex sentence [pemɑki sɑ:sun gjɑ:garlɑ zu:lus nok] in Sherpa language and [pemɑse bibɑ: gjogarilɑ zu:lus mu:lɑro:] in Tamang language bear similarity. Both of these languages are agrammatical.

REFERENCES

- 1. Adhikari, H.R. (2065). Practical nepali grammer. Lalitpur: Sajha Publication.
- 2. Adhikari, H.R.(2071). Contemporary Nepali Grammer. Kathmandu: Bidyarthi Pustak Bhandar
- 3. Awasthi, M. and Sharma R.R.(2055). Nepali practical grammer and expression. Kathmandu: Ekra bookas and distribution Pvt. Ltd.
- 4. Bandhu, C. M. (2964). Sects of linguistics, 3rd Edition. Kathmandu: Ekta Books.



EPRA International Journal of Environmental Economics, Commerce and Educational Management Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra0414 | ISI I.F Value: 0.815|SJIF Impact Factor (2021): 7.743 ISSN: 2348 – 814X

Volume: 8 | Issue: 2 | February 2021

- 5. Chaudron, C. -2009_. Second language classrooms research on teaching and learning. New Delhi: First South Asian edition.
- 6. Creswell, J. W. -2015_. Educational research. India: Pierson Education south Asia.
- 7. Crystal, D. -2003_. A dictionary of linguistics and phonetics. 5th edition. United Kingdom: Blackwell pubishing.
- 8. Das, N. S. -2013_. Applied Linguistics. New Dilhi: Heritage Publishers.
- 9. Hocket, C. F. -2006_. Acourse in Mordern linguistics. New Delhi: Surjeet Publications.
- 10. Lyons, J. -1981_. Language and linguistics an introduction. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.
- 11. Neuman, W. L. -2016_. Social research methods qualitative and quantitative approaches. 2nd edition. India: Published by Pearson India Education services Pvt.Ltd.
- 12. Nunan, D. -2010_. Research methods in language learning. New Delhi : Combridge University Press India Pvt.Ltd.
- 13. Pokhrel, M. P. (2054). Nepali sentences grammer. Kathmandu: Ekta Books.
- 14. Sharma, M. R. (2071). Pragya Nepali Context Grammer. Kathmandu: Nepal Prgaya Pratisthan.
- 15. Sherpa, D.(2058). Sherpa Bhasa Ek Adhyan. Unpublished Post Graduate Research Paper, TU.
- 16. Sherpa, D. (2077). Linguistics and nepali language references. Lalitpur: Santosh sherpa lalitpur dhobighat.
- 17. Tamang, M. T. (2074). Contradictory study of nepali and tamang language. Tribhuwan University, Education Department, Manthali sahid smriti Multiple campus, Ramechhap.
- 18. Yadav, Y.P. and Regmi B. N. (2058). Lingusitics. Kirtipur: New hira books enterprises.