EPRA International Journal of Economic and Business Review

SJIF Impact Factor(2016): 6.484 ISI Impact Factor (2013): 1.259 (UAE)



Research Paper

REVIEW OF HUMAN DEVELOPMENT INDEX IN INDIA

Pandit ¹	¹ Research Scholar, Department of Economics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar Tamil Nadu. India.
Dr.R.V.Gangshetty ²	
	Bijapur, Karnataka. India

ABSTRACT

India has made considerable progress since independence towards reducing poverty and improving social development. The incidence of poverty has declined from over 50 per cent in the 1950s to less than 30 per cent in the late 2010s. The literacy rate has increased from less than 20 per cent in 1951 to 65 per cent in 2011. The Human Development Index (HDI) classifies ten States as medium human development status, while nice are are low human development status, for the year 2014. The medium human development status show an average loss of 28 per cent as a result of inequalities, while low human development status show 33 per cent loss due to inequalities on an average. Tamil Nadu occupied fifth position if terms of Inequality- Adjusted Human Index IHDI and 6% per position in terms of HDI whereas of HHI whereas Kerala stands first both in HDI, IHDI and Punjab are retained are the second position. The present study contends that Tamil Nadu has to go long way in education and health for human development.

KEYWORDS: Reducing Poverty, Literacy rate, inequalities, Fifth Position, Human development Index.

INTRODUCTION

Human development has recently been advanced as the ultimate objective of human activity in place of economic growth. Human development has been defined as enlarging people's choices in a way which enable them to lead longer, healthier and fuller lives. Empirical findings show that countries at similar levels of per capita income can have significantly different human development income can have significantly different human development indicators depending on how that income was used. According to Kuznets inverted 'U' shaped curve as the economy develops the in equality increases in the initial stage. The inequality promotes poverty which creates obstacles in the path human development. It is well known that economic growth is necessary, but not a sufficient condition for

the eradication of poverty. The policy makers should, therefore, not only need to understand the factors that affect growth of a particularly. Traditionally, but also the factors that heap redistribute the effects of growth more effectively. Traditionally, tax and expenditures on public goods have been used as the most important forms of redistribution instruments. In recent years, however, public expenditures on education and health have been used as prominent mechanisms for effecting redistribution (Renjeeta 2010) [Rajeeta (2010), "Public Expenditure and Human Development in India, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi]

Since the role of a government is to enhance social welfare, therefore, public spending is a considered as a powerful instrument for achieving this goal. Public

expenditures can overcome market failures that exacerbate poverty, such as the inability of the poor to borrow for education, their lack of information about preventive health care or the externalities that exacerbate public to which the poor most exposed. The importance of public expenditure in the process of human development is will recognized.

OBJECTIVE

1. To probe the Status of HDI, IHDI and GDI, in Tamil Nadu as well as its Position in all India.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Devin and Kathenn (2015) The found Tamil Nadu's more inclusive Development ideology and higher quality of public administration have brought about greater human development gains than in Gujarat. In both states, political contestation is primarily between two major political parties, but in Tamil Nadu, The government has invested more in the human Development of females and underprivileged sections of society. While policies introduced 1980 may explain the human development divergence between Tamil Nadu and Gujrat in recent decade, the motivations behind difference, policy agendas in the two states appear to have been shaped by deeper historical conditions, legacies and bases of support. Neverthless, because ideologies are malleable, political leaders have a degree of choice in whether to perpetuate patterns from the past or pursue a new direction, In Tamil Nadu, the combination of Reservations and more effective public administration have facilited the human and economic development of disadvantaged social groups to much greater degree than in most parts of India,(Devin k Joshi 2015)[*Devin k. joshi & Kathleen Megath, (2015) "Political Ideology, Public Policy and Human Development in India: Explaining the Gap between Gujarat and Tamil Nadu "Journal of contemporary Asia, 2015.Vol. 45, No. 3, 465-489.]

Pravas Mishra, (2010), ''An Analysis of Orissa (India) Budged during 1990-91 to 2007-09, has analyse the present study data have been collected from the Hand Book of Statistics on State finance (2010)

published by Reserve Bank of India. Variable taken under the present study are Total social sector expenditure in Tamil Nadu, Social sector Expenditure in India, Expenditure in the sub-social sector is neglected after the reforms, so the present study is concentrated on the post reform period from 1990-1991 to 2009-2010. (Pravas Mishra (2010) [**Pravas Mishra (2010) "An Analysis of Orissa (India) Budget during 1990-91 to 2008-09". WWW.cysd. org.]

PROFILES OF HUMAN DEVELOPMENT INDEX

The United Nation Development Programme (UNDP) has been bringing out a global Human Development Index for all the counters including developing and developed counters, periodically since 1990, In its methodology its deleted the parameter IMP, but included the indicator per capita income in its place. Human Development is defined as the process of enlarging people's range of choices. The most crucial of these wide reneging choices are to live a long and healthy life, to be educated and to have access to resources needed for a decent standard of living. Additional choices include political freedom, guaranteed human rights and personal self-respect. Thus, the parameters included in its computation are:

- Longevity— the ability to live long and healthy life:
- Education— the ability to read write and acquire knowledge; and
- Command over resource the ability to enjoy a decent standard of living and have a socially meaningful life.

HUMAN DEVELOPMENT INDEX: INTER - STATE COMPARISON

According to the National Human Development Report, 2011 Tamil Nadu's position improved from seventh rank in 1991 to third rank in 2011. The Human Development Index value for Tamil Nadu increased from 0.34 in 1991 to 0.53 in 2011 (Table.1) The Corresponding figures for all India are 0.30, and 0.47. It shows that there is a lot of improvement in Human Development of Tamil Nadu.

Table-1 Human Dev	elonment Index	for India	Combined fron	1991 to 2011
I abic-1 Hullian bev	CIUDIIICIIL IIIUCA	iui illula v	COMBUNICA N ON	↓ エノノエ いひ ≦ひエエ :

States / UTs	1991	1991	2001	2001	2011	2011
	Value	Rank	Value	Rank	Value	Rank
Andhra Pradesh	0.298	9	0.377	9	0.416	10
Assam	0.237	15	0.308	15	0.367	15
Bihar	0.360	4	0.431	6	0.479	6
Gujarat	0.360	5	0.431	5	0.509	5
Haryana	0.346	6	0.412	7	0.478	7
Karnataka	0.500	5	0.591	13	0.394	1
Kerala	0.245	1	0.328	4	0.523	12
Madhya Pradesh	0.363	14	0.452	12	0.404	4
Maharashtra	0.267	3	0.45	2	0.537	11
Orissa	0.411	11	0.475	11	0.424	2
Punjab	0.256	2	0.347	3	0.531	9
Rajasthan	0.343	12	0.466	14	0.388	3
Uttar Pradesh	0.305	13	0.404	1	0.638	8
West Bengal	0.305	8	0.443	6	0.479	6
Tamil Nadu	0.34	7	0.46	3	0.53	3
All India	0.30	7	0.46	3	0.53	3

Source: Tamil Nadu: An Economic Appraisal

INEQUALITY ADJUSTED HUMSAN DEVELOPMENT INDEX FOR MAJOR STATES:

The Human Development Index (HDI) classified ten Status as medium human development Status, while mien are low human development Status, for the year 2014 (Table- 2) The medium human development states show an average loss of 28 per cent

as a result of inequalities, while low human development Status show 33 per cent loss due to inequalities on an average. Tamil Nadu occupied fifth position in terms of Inequality-Adjusted Human Index IHDI and 6th position in terms of HDI. Whereas Kerala stands first both in IHDI and Punjab is retained the second position.

Table-2 HDI and IHDI Estimates across Indian Status - 2012 **Difference** Status HDI **IHDI** Ratio Loss (%) Rank HDI Rank **IHDI** Andhra 0.485 0.332 0.685 31.55 11 -1 12 Pradesh 0.341 0.341 0.718 Assam 28.17 12 11 1 0.447 0.303 0.679 2 Bihar 32.06 18 16 Chhattisgarh 0.449 0.291 0.649 35.14 17 18 -1 Gujarat 0.514 0.363 0.705 29.50 8 7 1 Haryana 0.545 0.403 0.722 27.81 3 3 0 Himachal 0.558 Pradesh 0.308 15 **Jharkhand** 0.464 0.663 33.67 14 10 9 Karnataka 0.508 0.353 0.696 30.44 1 Kerala 0.6250.520 0.832 16.78 1 2 1 14 19 -3 Madhya 0.451 0.290 0.643 35.74 Pradesh 0.549 0.397 0 Maharashtra 0.722 27.75 4 4 Orissa 0.4420.296 0.669 33.11 19 17 -2 Punjab 0.569 0.410 0.720 28.04 2 2 0 0.308 Rajasthan 0.468 0.660 34.02 14 13 1 Tamil Nadu 0.544 0.308 0.272 27.28 5 -1 6 0.307 13 15 -2 Uttar 0.468 0.655 34.47 Pradesh 0.515 33.03 7 10 -3 Uttar hand 0.345 0.670 9 0.509 29.30 1 West Bengal 0.360 0.707 8 32.00 India 0.504 0.343 0.680

Note: 'Difference' denotes the difference between the 'Rank HDI' and Rank IHDI' above and therefore denotes the gain/loss in ranking due to inequality adjustment.

Source: UNDP India, 2014

HUMAN DEVELOPMENT INDEXAND GENDER DEVELOPMENT INDEX IN TAMIL NADU

This Status Planning Commission which prepared the first State Human Development Report with the support of the National Planning Commission and The UNDP has just brought out the Human Development Index (HDI) Report adopting the methodology of the UNDP and the National Planning Commission (Table-3). It is the Sixth State in India to

bring out is State's HDI, as a blueprint for future action. Like UNDP and the National Planning Commission, the State Planning Commission has assessed the well-being of the people in the people in terms of the same indicator like attainment of education, health, life expectancy, income, access to safe drinking water, sanitation facilities etc, The HDI and GDI for the distribution in Tamil Nadu are presented below in descending order for the year 2014

Table-3 District-wise HDI and Values- 2014

Table-3 District-wise nDi anu values- 2014						
District	HDI Value	District	GDI			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
Chennai	0.757	Chennai	0.766			
Kancheepuram	0.712	Kancheepuram	0.710			
Kanniykumari	0.711	Kanniykumari	0.708			
Thuthukudi	0.703	Thuthukudi	0.703			
Coimbatore	0.699	Coimbatore	0.697			
Nilgiris	0.685	Nilgiris	0.686			
Thiruchirapalli	0.671	Thiruchirapalli	0.671			
Madurai	0.661	Madurai	0.661			
Vellore	0.658	Vellore	0.656			
Erode	0.658	Erode	0.656			
Tirunelveli	0.658	Tirunelveli	0.655			
Thiruvallur	0.654	Thiruvallur	0.652			
Nagapattinum	0.654	Nagapattinum	0.649			
Virudhunagar	0.651	Virudhunagar	0.649			
Karur	0.647	Karur	0.643			
Cuddalore	0.644	Cuddalore	0.641			
Dindigul	0.641	Dindigul	0.638			
Sivagangai	0.640	Sivagangai	0.635			
Tiruvarur	0.637	Tiruvarur	0.633			
Namakkal	0.636	Namakkal	0.631			
Thanjavur	0.630	Thanjavur	0.629			
Ramanathapuram	0.629	Ramanathapuram	0.628			
Theni	0.628	Theni	0.626			
Selam	0.626	Selam	0.625			
Pudkottai	0.618	Pudkottai	0.615			
Tiruvannamalai	0.612	Tiruvannamalai	0.608			
Perambalur	0.596	Perambalur	0.592			
Villupuram	0.587	Villupuram	0.582			
Dharmapuri	0.584	Dharmapuri	0.582			
Tamil Nadu	0.657	Tamil Nadu	0.654			
All-India	0.571	All- Inada	0.553			
Source: Tamil Nadu Human De		•	•			

Source: Tamil Nadu Human Development Report, 2013,

Human Development Index value obtaining for Tamil Nadu stood at 657 compared to 0.571 obtaining for all India. Elven districts have HDI value more than that of the State. They are: Chennai, Kancheepuram, Kanyakumari, Tuthicorin, Coimbatore, Nilgiris, Thiruchnapalli, Madurai, Vellore, Erode, and Tirunelveli, Chennai with 0.757 occupies top slot in HDI value, Dhrmapurai with HDI value of 0.584 and Vilupuram with 0.587 are at the bottom rungs of the human development ladder. It may be noted that the Human Development Index. Values obtaining for all the districts in Tamil Nadu are found more than that of for all India average of 0.571 (Table-3). It is also useful to compare GDI and HDI to assess the extent of gender equality. Table- 3.36 shows that GDI for Tamil Nadu is 0.654 as against all India value of 0.553. It reveals that Tamil Nadu's achievement in gender equality is better than that of in the country as a whole. However, Gender Development Index value for the districts in Tamil Nadu varied between 0.766 in

Chennai and 0.582 in Dharmapuai, Chennai fares first, whereas Dharmapuri and Villupuram is the last. The other districts which performed well are Kanyakumari , Tuticorin, Kancheepuram and Coimbatore- the same district excelled in the HDI

CONCLUSION

It is also seful to compare GDI and HDI to assess the extent of gender equality. The GDI for Tmil Nadu is 0.654 as against all India value of 0.553. It reveals that Tamil Nadu's achievment in gender equality is better than that of in the country as a whole. However, Gender Development Index values for the district in Tmail Nadu varied between 0.766 in Chennai and 0.582 in Dharmapuri, Chennai fares first, whereas Dharmapurai and Villupuram is the last. The other district which performed well are Kanyakumari, Tuticorin, Kancheepuram and Coimbatore- the samedistricts excelled in the HDI, The Human Development Index (HDI) classifies ten State as medium human development State.

while nine are low human development States, for the year 2014. The medium human development States show an average loss of 28 per cent as a result of inequality, while low human development States shows 33 per cent loss due to inequalities on an average. Tamil Nadu occupied fifth position if terms of Inequality-Adjusted Human Index IHDI and 6th position in terms of HDI whereas Kerala stands first both in HDI, IHDI and Punjab are retained the second position. Human Development Index value obtaining have HDI value more than that of for the State. They are: Chennai, Kancheepuram, Kanyakumari, Tuthicorin, Coimbatore, Nilgiris, Thiruchirnapalli, Madurai, Vellore, Erode, and Tirunelveli, Chennai with 0.757 occupies top slot in HDI value Dharmapuri with HDI value of 0.584 and Villupuram with 0.587 are at the bottom range of the human development ladder . It may be note that the Human Development Index Values boating for all the districts in Tamil Nadu are found more than that of for all India average of 0.571

REFERENCES

- 1. Devin k. Joshi & Kathleen Mogath, (2015), "Political Ideology, Public Policy and Human Development in India: Explaining the Gap between Gujarat and Tamil Nadu "Journal of contemporary Asia, 2015. Vol. No.3.465-489.
- Geetha Rani. P, "Human Development Index in India A
 District Profile", Arthavijanan, Volume XLI, No. 1 March
 1999, P, 5-8.
- 3. Jain. P.C, ''Human Resource Development and Corporate Performance'', Productivity Vol 33, No,3, Oct & Dec 11992, P.415
- 4. Jagadish Gandhi, P. ''Human Development in India More Grey Areas, Loss Bright Spots'. Southern Economist, Vol. 37, No, 12, Oct 1998, P.31-33
- 5. Mahbub UI Haq, ''Human Development and Structural Adjustment.'' Human Development in India, 1993, P.6-28.
- Nassem A. Zaidi and Md. Abdul Salam, ''Human Development in India - An Inter-State Comparison'', the Indian Journal of Economics, Vol LXXVIII, No. 311, Apr 1998. P. 447 to 460.
- Pravas Mishra (2010), 'An Analysis of Orissa (India) Budget during 1990-91 to 2008-09'', WWW.cysd.org.
- 8. Rnjeeta (2010, 'Public Expenditure and Human Development in India, Jawaharlal Nehru University.