Online Journal ISSN: 2347 - 9671 www.epratrust.com

April 2014 Vol - 2 Issue- 4

# EFFECTIVENESS OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON FACULTY RETENTION IN HIGHER EDUCATION: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY IN MYSORE CITY



Nirmala. N\*

\* Research Scholar, B. N. Bahadur Institute of Management Sciences, Manasagangotri, University of Mysore, Mysore, Karnataka, India

#### ABSTRACT

A n effective human resource management practices can be the main factor for the success of a firm. HRM practices on business performance, namely training and development, teamwork, compensation/incentive, HR planning, performance appraisal, and employee security help firms' to improve business performance including employee's productivity, product quality and firm's flexibility. An effective human resource management practices, namely Empowerment, Training and development, Appraisal system, Compensation, are the main factor for the success of any organization in retaining people. In this paper an attempt is made to study how faculties regard the importance of their empowerment, equity of compensation, job design through training and expectancy toward effective performance management on their retention. The data were collected using the non probability, self administered questionnaire that consists of questions with 5-points Likert scales distributed to a sample of 50 individuals. By using a multiple regression analysis, it is found that, employee empowerment, training and development, compensation are significant to employee retention except appraisal system

**KEYWORDS:** Appraisal System, Compensation, Empowerment, Employee/faculty, Retention, Training and Development.



#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Employees are the backbone of an organization. Hence, the retention of the employees is important in keeping the organization on track. In order to retain the best talents, strategies aimed at satisfying employee's needs are implemented, regardless of global companies or smallsized firms. Generally, the organization would retain their personnel for a specified period to utilize their skills and competencies to complete certain projects or execute tasks. In another word, we can understand it as employee retention where the scope of the task, is, however, often larger than a simple task and more preferably a job in the real world. Retaining the desirable employees is beneficial to an organization in gaining competitive advantage that cannot be substituted by other competitors in terms of producing high morale and satisfied coworkers who will provide better customer service and enhanced productivity, which subsequently resulting in sales generating, customer satisfaction, smooth management succession and improved organizational learning (M. Heathfield, 2005). An effective human resource management practices can be the main factor for the success of a firm Stavrou-Costea (2005). As supported by Lee and Lee (2007) HRM practices on business performance, namely training and development, teamwork, compensation/ incentive, HR planning, performance appraisal, and employee security help improve firms' business performance including employee's productivity, product quality and firm's flexibility.

## 2. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- ➤ To study the impact of empowerment, training, appraisal system, compensation on faculty retention.
- ➤ To measure the relative strength of each HRM practices on faculty retention

#### 3. HYPOTHESES

# **Employee Empowerment:-**

H0: There is no significant relationship between empowerment and Faculty retention.

H1: There is significant relationship between empowerment and Faculty retention

# **Employee Compensation:-**

H0: There is no significant relationship between compensation and faculty retention.

H1: There is a significant relationship between compensation and faculty retention.

# **Employee Training:-**

H0: There is no significant relationship between faculty training and faculty retention.

H1: There is a significant relationship between faculty training and faculty retention.

# Appraisal System:-

H0: There is no significant relationship between appraisal system and faculty retention.

H1: There is a significant relationship between appraisal system and faculty retention.



ISSN: 2347 - 9671 

Nirmala. N

## 4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### Data Collection:-

The research is based on both empirical and analytical studies. The study uses both primary and secondary data.

# **Primary Data:-**

For the purpose of study, a well structured questionnaire was used as an instrument to collect the data.

# Sample size & Sampling Technique:-

The sample size is 50. The respondents were chosen from different colleges in Mysore city. The convenience sampling technique has been adopted to collect the data.

#### 6. DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION

#### i. EMPOWERMENT

**Model Summary** 

Model	R	R	Adjusted	Std. Error
		Square	R Square	of the
				Estimate
1	.550a	.303	.272	1.01179

a. Predictors: (Constant), E-I make decision about implementation of new program in the institution

	ANOVAb								
	Model	Sum of	df	Mean	F	Sig.			
		Squares		Square					
1	Regression	10.215	1	10.215	9.978	$.004^{a}$			
	Residual	23.545	23	1.024					
	Total	33.760	24						

- a. Predictors: (Constant), E-I make decision about implementation of new program in the institution
- b. Dependent Variable: E-i have the opportunity to determine how I do the job

		- CC: -: 1			
Model	Coefficients <sup>a</sup> Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	В	Std. Error	Beta		
1 (Constant)	1.818	.528		3.4	.002
				41	
E-I make decisions	.602	.191	.550	3.1	.004
about the				59	
implementation of new					
program in the					
institution					

a. Dependent Variable: E-i have the opportunity to determine how i do the job

Interpretation: - E=1.818+.602(I make decision about implementation of new program in the institution), Sig value=.004.H0 rejected and H1 accepted, there is significant relation between empowerment and faculty retention



#### ii. COMPENSATION

Model Summary							
Model	R	R	Adjusted	Std. Error			
		Square	R Square	of the			
				<b>Estimate</b>			
1	.897a	.805	.797	.65095			

# a. Predictors: (Constant), C-My pay encourages me to improve the quality of my work

			ANOVA <sup>b</sup>			
Mod	el	Sum of	df	Mean	F	Sig.
		Squares		Square		
1	Regression	40.254	1	40.254	94.998	.000a
	Residual	9.746	23	.424		
	Total	50.000	24			

a. Predictors: (Constant), C-My pay encourages me to improve the quality of my workb. Dependent Variable: C-I am satisfied with the pay that i receive

		Coefi	ficientsa			
Mode	el	Unstand	ardized	Standardized	t	Sig.
		Coeffi	cients	Coefficients		
		В	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	.196	.279		.704	.489
	C-My pay encourages	.954	.098	.897	9.74	.000
	me to improve the				7	
	quality of my work					
	· ·					

#### a. Dependent Variable: C-I am satisfied with the pay that I receive

Interpretation: - C=.196+.954(My pay encourages me to improve the quality of my work), Sig value=.000.H0 rejected and H1 accepted, hence there is significant relation between compensation and faculty retention

## iii. TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT

	Model Summary						
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the			
				Estimate			
1	.592ª	.350	.322	.87265			
2	.701b	.491	.445	.78968			

- a. Predictors: (Constant), T-I am arranged with a mentor to facilitate career planning
- b. Predictors: (Constant), T-I am arranged with a mentor to facilitate career planning, T-I am sent to extend higher qualification program



		Cook	G air an tar			
Mode	el	Unstand	Coefficients <sup>a</sup> Unstandardized Coefficients		t	Sig.
		В	Std. Error	Coefficients Beta		
1	(Constant)	1.362	.486		2.802	.010
	T-I am arranged with	.713	.203	.592	3.522	.002
	a mentor to facilitate					
	career planning					
2	(Constant)	.507	.560		.905	.376
	T-I am arranged with	.553	.194	.459	2.843	.009
	a mentor to facilitate					
	career planning					
	T-I am sent to extend	.428	.173	.398	2.467	.022
	higher qualification					
	program					

# a. Dependent Variable: T-I have received sufficient training at institutions to do my job effectively

Interpretation: - T=.507+.553(I am arranged with a mentor to facilitate career planning), Sig value=.009 and +.428 (I am sent to extend higher qualification program) Sig value=.022.H0 rejected and H1 accepted, hence there is significant relation between Training and Development and faculty retention

#### iv.APPRAISAL SYSTEM

			ANOVA			
			.ANOVA			
Mod	el	Sum of	df	Mean	F	Sig.
		Squares		Square		
1	Regression	4.618	4	1.155	1.201	.341a
	Residual	19.222	20	.961		
	Total	23.840	24			

- a. Predictors: (Constant), A5 THE PERFORMANCE RATING IS HELP FUL TO IDENTIFY MY STRENGTH AND WEAKNESSES, A 2 IAM REGULARLY GIVEN FEEDBACK ON MY JOB PERFORMANCE, A 3 I AM SATISFIED WITH EXISTING PERFORMANCE SYSTEM, A 4 THE PERFORMANCE RATINGS WERE DONE PERIODICALLY
- b. Dependent Variable: A 1 THE QUALITY OF MU WORK IS AN IMPORTANT FACTOR IN EVALUATINGMY JOB PERFORMANCE

Coefficients <sup>a</sup>							
lode	el		dardized icients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig	
		В	Std. Error	Beta			
	(Constant)	2.322	.868		2.674	.01	
	A 2 IAM REGULARLY	.258	.254	.252	1.016	.32	
	GIVEN FEEDBACK ON						
	MYJOB						
	PERFORMANCE						
	A 3 I AM SATISFIED	.027	.276	.032	.099	.92	
	WITH EXISTING						
	PERFORMANCE						
	SYSTEM						
	A 4 THE	.112	.298	.130	.375	.71	
	PERFORMANCE						
	RATINGS WERE						
	DONE PERIO DICALLY						
			40.5	4-4	000		
	A5 THE	.157	.196	.171	.802	.43	
	PERFORMANCE						
	RATING IS HELP FUL						
	TO IDENTIFY MY						
	STRENGTH AND						
	WEAKNESSES pendent Variable: A 1 TH						

Interpretation: - A=2.322+.258(I am regularly given feedback on my job performance),

+.027(I am satisfied with existing performance system)

+.112(The performance ratings were done periodically)

+.157(The performance rating is helpful to identify my strengths

Hence H0 accepted and H1 rejected, there is no significant relation between appraisal system and faculty retention.

#### **Therefore**

Retention = f[E, C, T, A]

R=1.818+.196+.507+2.322

Faculty retention has a significant relation between Empowerment, Compensation, Training and development but there is no relation between Appraisal systems.



#### 7. CONCLUSION

As what the study has shown, there is a significant relationship between the factors of empowerment, compensation and training on University lecturer's retention. However, the factor of the appraisal is not so significantly related to employee retention as founded for this study. This reflects the major finding of this study on how different culture might have different expectation on determining employment satisfaction and retention. Prior to the result, the study provides empirical evidence that supports all the above independent variables to be significantly affecting the employee retention. Most of the researchers agree with these statements as shown in the literature review by providing all the empirical evidence from each study's result. Hence, the formulated hypotheses are constructed according to these researchers' fundamental findings as foundation, and awaited to be tested in later stages through this study's result.

After establishing firm and reasonable design instrument, primary data is gathered from the targeted respondent, i.e. lecturers in University of Y to implement these data into SPSS software to process meaningful information. Eventually the appraisal system is the only independent variable not been supported by this study. conclusion, the whole study successfully identifies that empowerment, compensation and training is a fundamental consideration for University lecturers' retention decision; while appraisal is less fundamental to lecturers' consideration as this can be attributed to the Asian culture characteristic of higher authority conformity.

#### REFERENCES

- 1) Ahlrichs, N.S. (2000). Competing for talent. Key recruitment and retention strategies for becoming an employer of choice: Palo Alto, CA: Davies-Black Publishing.
- 2) Bamberger & Meshoulam, 2000, MacDuffie, 1995)International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management
- 3) Dr. Yasar F. Jarrar and Professor Mohamed
  Zairi. (2010). Employee Empowerment- A
  UKSurvey of Trends and Best Practices.
  Research Paper: RP—ECBPM/
  0032.Retrieved 12July 2011 f
- 4) Gruman & Saks (2011). Performance management and employee engagement. Human Resource Management Review 21 (2011) 123– 13
- 5) Huselid, M. A., Beatty, R. W., & Becker, B. E. 2005. "A players" or "A positions?" The strategic logic of workforce management. Harvard Business Review, December: 110-117.
- 6) Kaye B. and Jordan-Evans, S. (2001). Retaining key employees. Public Management, 1,6-11.
- 7) Kotzé, K. & Roodt, G. (2005) Factors that affect the retention of managerial and specialiststaff: An exploratory study of an Employee Commitment Model. SA Journal of Human Resource Management, 3(2), 48-55
- 8) Meaghan Stovel, Nick Bontis (2002), Voluntary turnover: knowledge management-friendor foe? J.intellect. Cap. 3 (3): 303-322
- Rappaport, A., Bancroft, E., & Okum, L. (2003). The aging workforce raises new talent management issues ffor employers. Journal of Organizational Excellence, 23, 55-66.
- 10) Osborne, J. (2002). Components of empowerment and how they differentially relate to employee job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and intent to leave the job. Vanderbilt University. UMI Pro Quest Digital Dissertations No. AAT 3061216.

