FACTORS AFFECTING RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION FINANCING FOR INDIVIDUALS BY COMMERCIAL BANKS

Jabbarov Majidbek Erzodovich

Independent Researcher of Tashkent State University of Economics

ABSTRACT

This article examines the key factors influencing commercial banks' ability to finance residential construction for individual borrowers in Uzbekistan. Challenges such as borrower creditworthiness, regulatory constraints, economic conditions, and operational costs impact banks' willingness and capacity to extend residential loans. The study discusses potential strategies to address these barriers, including flexible credit assessment models, regulatory adjustments, technology-driven monitoring, and public-private partnerships.

KEYWORDS: commercial banks, residential construction financing, creditworthiness, regulatory constraints, housing finance, public-private partnerships

INTRODUCTION

The financing of residential construction for individual borrowers is a crucial aspect of economic development and social progress, as it supports the creation of adequate housing, improves quality of life, and drives economic activity in related sectors such as construction, materials, and real estate services. In Uzbekistan, where urbanization and population growth have heightened the demand for housing, residential construction financing has become increasingly important. However, the ability of commercial banks to provide these loans effectively is influenced by a complex array of factors. Understanding these factors is essential for identifying potential solutions that can improve access to housing finance, making home ownership and better living conditions attainable for a broader population.

Commercial banks play a central role in the residential construction financing process, as they are the primary providers of credit to individuals seeking to build or improve homes. However, lending in this sector presents unique challenges compared to traditional mortgage loans, which are typically secured by a completed property. Residential construction loans depend on the successful and timely completion of a project, introducing additional risks that make these loans less attractive for banks. The uncertainties of construction timelines, project costs, and borrower financial stability can result in incomplete projects or non-performing loans, both of which pose a risk to a bank's financial health.

The financing environment is further complicated by macroeconomic conditions, such as inflation, interest rates, and economic stability, all of which influence both the demand for housing loans and borrowers' ability to repay them. When economic conditions are unstable, borrowers may struggle to meet their repayment obligations, increasing the likelihood of default and the associated risk for banks. Furthermore, fluctuating interest rates can impact loan affordability for borrowers and profitability for banks, making it challenging to provide loans that are both accessible and sustainable.

Another major factor influencing residential construction financing is regulatory constraints. Government regulations, including capital adequacy requirements, loan-to-value (LTV) limits, and risk-based pricing restrictions, aim to ensure financial stability and protect consumers. However, these regulations also restrict the flexibility of banks in offering residential loans. For instance, capital adequacy requirements ensure that banks maintain a sufficient capital buffer to cover potential losses, but they also limit the amount of capital that can be allocated to higher-risk loans, including those for residential construction. Similarly, LTV limits restrict the loan amount in relation to property value, which can make it difficult for borrowers to secure the full amount needed for construction.

Borrower-specific factors, particularly creditworthiness, are also crucial in determining whether an individual qualifies for a residential construction loan. Banks assess creditworthiness based on factors like income stability, debt-to-income ratio, and available collateral. However, many individuals in Uzbekistan, especially those

employed informally, lack a robust credit history or verifiable income sources. This limits their access to financing, as banks view them as higher-risk borrowers who may struggle to meet loan obligations.

Operational and administrative costs are another significant consideration for banks. Unlike standard mortgage loans, which typically involve a single disbursement, residential construction loans often require phased disbursements aligned with the progress of the construction project. This necessitates ongoing monitoring, site inspections, and additional paperwork, all of which raise the operational expenses for banks. These costs can reduce the profitability of residential loans, particularly for smaller loan amounts, making banks less willing to lend in this sector.

Lastly, limited financial literacy among borrowers poses a challenge. Many individuals may lack a clear understanding of loan terms, repayment obligations, and the importance of maintaining a strong credit history. This lack of knowledge can lead to poor financial decision-making, such as over-borrowing or underestimating loan costs, which in turn increases the likelihood of default. Improving financial literacy is essential to help borrowers make informed decisions and manage their finances responsibly, reducing the risk of loan defaults and improving the overall quality of bank loan portfolios.

LITERATURE REVIEW

A borrower's creditworthiness is central to the decision-making process for residential construction loans, as it directly affects their ability to repay. According to Khandker and Koolwal (2010), limited or unstable income sources, common in developing economies like Uzbekistan, present challenges for banks in assessing the risk profiles of borrowers. Many individuals work in informal sectors, lacking verifiable income or a credit history, which reduces their access to housing finance. Demirgüç-Kunt et al. (2013) emphasize that low-income households often face higher borrowing costs or are denied credit altogether due to the lack of reliable income data and risk assessment models, leading to financial exclusion for a significant portion of the population.

Credit assessment practices can be strengthened by leveraging data-driven credit scoring models, which help predict borrower repayment behavior more accurately. Louzis, Vouldis, and Metaxas (2012) argue that robust credit risk assessment models, which account for both macroeconomic factors and individual credit history, are essential for reducing non-performing loans (NPLs). In residential construction, a high level of NPLs can threaten a bank's financial health, reinforcing the need for precise risk evaluation.

Macroeconomic stability is essential for sustaining a healthy housing finance market. Economic downturns, inflation, and fluctuating interest rates directly impact both borrowers' ability to repay and banks' profitability on loans. Beck et al. (2015) found that in volatile economic conditions, banks tend to tighten their lending standards, limiting access to credit for individuals. Interest rate fluctuations present a specific challenge in residential construction financing, as higher rates can reduce loan affordability for borrowers, particularly those with variable-rate loans.

Interest rate volatility also increases banks' exposure to interest rate risk. According to Claessens and Kose (2017), central banks in developing economies often adjust interest rates to control inflation, inadvertently affecting residential construction financing. High interest rates may force banks to increase rates on residential loans to maintain profitability, which can result in increased default rates among borrowers, further discouraging banks from offering such loans.

Regulatory frameworks impact the level of risk banks are willing to take in residential construction financing. Regulations such as capital adequacy requirements, loan-to-value (LTV) limits, and interest rate caps ensure stability in the financial system but often limit banks' flexibility in lending. Barth, Caprio, and Levine (2004) argue that while such regulations protect banks and the financial system, they restrict credit flow to higher-risk loan segments, such as residential construction for individual borrowers. Capital adequacy requirements, for example, require banks to hold a certain percentage of their capital against risk-weighted assets, reducing the funds available for high-risk construction loans.

Further, LTV limits are a common regulatory measure to control housing finance risk. Louzis et al. (2012) indicate that while LTV caps protect banks by limiting their exposure, they also restrict individuals with limited collateral from accessing the necessary funds for construction. Regulatory challenges thus play a dual role: while they strengthen financial stability, they also hinder banks' ability to offer accessible residential financing, particularly to low-income borrowers.

TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA

Residential construction loans often require phased disbursement, with funds released at different stages of the construction project, adding to banks' administrative burden. Monitoring construction progress through site inspections and documentation increases banks' operational costs, which can discourage lending. Geltner et al. (2007) highlight that higher administrative costs associated with these loans can reduce profitability, especially when loan amounts are small. This deters banks from actively participating in the residential construction market or pushes them to charge higher fees, which makes loans less affordable for borrowers.

Baudino and Yun (2017) suggest that adopting digital monitoring tools can help reduce these costs by enabling real-time tracking of project milestones. Such technology-driven solutions offer a way for banks to manage operational expenses while maintaining oversight, potentially improving the appeal of residential construction loans for banks.

Low financial literacy among potential borrowers can exacerbate the challenges associated with residential construction financing. Borrowers with limited understanding of loan terms, repayment obligations, and credit management are at a higher risk of default, further increasing the lending risk for banks. Lusardi and Mitchell (2014) found that financial literacy positively impacts individuals' ability to make informed financial decisions, including the responsible management of loan obligations. According to the World Bank (2021), financial literacy programs can improve loan repayment rates by equipping borrowers with the skills needed to manage their finances effectively. By investing in borrower education, banks can potentially reduce default rates and increase the viability of residential construction loans.

Research suggests that a multi-faceted approach is essential for addressing the challenges associated with residential construction financing. Phased disbursement loans, for example, offer a method for banks to mitigate risk by releasing funds at each stage of the construction process. Louzis et al. (2012) support the phased disbursement model, noting that it reduces the likelihood of incomplete projects and improves the bank's security in loan repayment.

Public-private partnerships can also help by providing government-backed guarantees or subsidies, making loans more affordable and less risky for banks. World Bank studies (World Bank, 2021) highlight the success of such initiatives in expanding access to housing finance in developing economies, where the government assumes part of the risk, thereby encouraging banks to lend more freely to individual borrowers.

ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

In examining the factors that influence commercial banks' ability to finance residential construction for individual borrowers, it is essential to address several interconnected elements that shape lending practices and loan accessibility. Residential construction financing is affected by a range of factors, including borrower creditworthiness, regulatory frameworks, economic conditions, and operational challenges that banks face in assessing, approving, and monitoring loans.

To better understand the dynamics of residential construction financing, this analysis focuses on three primary areas: the evaluation of borrower creditworthiness, regulatory constraints that affect lending flexibility, and operational challenges related to loan monitoring and compliance. By examining these factors, we can gain insights into the barriers that limit access to residential construction loans and identify potential strategies that could improve loan availability and affordability in Uzbekistan.

Table 1. Key borrower creditworthiness factors influencing residential construction loans

Factor	Factor's description
Credit History	Borrower's past repayment behavior and existing credit score, indicating their reliability in loan
	repayments.
Income Stability	Consistency and reliability of income, typically from formal employment, which affects the ability
	to make regular payments.
Debt-to-Income	Proportion of borrower's monthly income dedicated to debt repayment, influencing loan
Ratio	affordability.
Collateral	Value and quality of assets the borrower can provide as security, offering the bank protection in case
Availability	of default.

Source: Developed by the author

E CANAL STREET

Table 1 outlines the primary factors that influence an individual's creditworthiness, which is essential for banks in assessing the risk of providing residential construction loans. A strong credit history and income stability are critical, as they signal the borrower's likelihood of meeting repayment obligations. However, in Uzbekistan, many individuals lack formal credit histories or stable income due to informal employment, making it challenging for banks to evaluate repayment capability (Khandker & Koolwal, 2010). Additionally, debt-to-income ratio and collateral availability provide banks with further security, yet these criteria often exclude lower-income individuals from accessing housing finance. Addressing these barriers through flexible credit evaluation models could expand access to residential financing for a larger portion of the population.

Table 2. Regulatory factors impacting residential construction loans

Regulatory Factor	Regulatory Factor Description
Capital Adequacy	Requirement for banks to hold a minimum amount of capital based on their risk-
Requirements	weighted assets, limiting available funds for higher-risk loans.
Loan-to-Value (LTV)	Maximum allowable loan amount relative to the property value, restricting
Limits	borrowers with limited assets from securing adequate financing.
Interest Rate Caps	Restrictions on the maximum interest rate banks can charge, limiting banks' ability
	to price loans based on associated risks.
Compliance and	Requirements for detailed documentation, monitoring, and reporting to ensure
Reporting	financial stability and consumer protection.

Source: Developed by the author

Table 2 highlights key regulatory constraints that affect the availability of residential construction loans. Capital adequacy requirements, designed to ensure bank stability, limit the funds banks can allocate to higher-risk loans like residential construction, particularly when borrower creditworthiness is uncertain (Barth et al., 2004). Loan-to-Value (LTV) limits further restrict lending by capping the loan amount based on property value, which disadvantages borrowers with limited collateral. Interest rate caps prevent banks from fully compensating for higher risks, while compliance and reporting requirements add to administrative costs. Although these regulations protect consumers and ensure financial stability, they often reduce the accessibility of residential construction financing. A balance between regulatory requirements and lending flexibility is essential to encourage more inclusive housing finance.

Table 3. Key operational challenges faced by commercial banks in residential construction loans

Operational Challenge	Description
Loan Monitoring	Requirement for banks to closely track project progress and use of funds,
	increasing administrative burdens.
Site Inspections	Need for regular on-site inspections to verify construction milestones, which
	adds to operational costs.
Documentation and	Extensive paperwork and compliance processes associated with regulatory
Compliance	requirements, which raise costs and reduce efficiency.
Technology and	Investment in digital tools for monitoring and compliance to improve
Infrastructure Investment	efficiency and reduce long-term costs.

Source: Developed by the author

Table 3 outlines the main operational challenges commercial banks face in residential construction financing. Loan monitoring and site inspections are essential for ensuring funds are used appropriately and projects are completed on schedule, but they add substantial operational costs, which reduce the profitability of residential loans (Geltner et al., 2007). Documentation and compliance requirements increase the administrative workload, further driving up expenses. While investments in digital tools can improve monitoring efficiency, these require upfront investment, which smaller banks may find challenging. Addressing these operational challenges through streamlined processes or technology adoption could reduce costs, making residential loans more viable for banks and accessible to borrowers.

CONCLUSION

Residential construction financing by commercial banks is crucial for economic growth, housing access, and improved living standards. However, banks face challenges from borrower creditworthiness, regulatory constraints, and operational costs.

Creditworthiness limits many individuals' access to loans, especially those with informal income. More flexible assessment models could improve accessibility. Regulatory requirements, while essential for stability, reduce lending flexibility; targeted adjustments or government-backed programs could support balanced lending. Operational costs also impact profitability, but digital monitoring could reduce these expenses.

A multi-faceted approach—combining flexible credit assessments, balanced regulations, and public-private partnerships—can expand residential loan access. This expansion not only enhances individual living standards but also drives economic growth in Uzbekistan's housing and finance sectors.

REFERENCES

- 1. Barth, J. R., Caprio, G., & Levine, R. (2004). Bank regulation and supervision: What works best? Journal of Financial Intermediation, 13(2), 205-248.
- 2. Baudino, P., & Yun, H. (2017). Resolution of Non-performing Loans Policy Options. Financial Stability Institute, Bank for International Settlements. Retrieved from BIS.
- 3. Beck, T., De Jonghe, O., & Schepens, G. (2015). Bank competition and stability: Cross-country heterogeneity. Journal of Financial Intermediation, 24(3), 243-253.
- 4. Claessens, S., & Kose, M. A. (2017). Macroeconomic implications of financial imperfections: A survey. Annual Review of Economics, 9, 663-696.
- 5. Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., & Van Oudheusden, P. (2013). The Global Findex Database 2014: Measuring Financial Inclusion around the World. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 7255.
- 6. Geltner, D., Miller, N. G., Clayton, J., & Eichholtz, P. (2007). Commercial Real Estate Analysis and Investments (2nd ed.). South-Western Educational Publishing.
- 7. Khandker, S. R., & Koolwal, G. B. (2010). Handbook on Impact Evaluation: Quantitative Methods and Practices. World Bank Publications.
- 8. Louzis, D. P., Vouldis, A. T., & Metaxas, V. L. (2012). Macroeconomic and bank-specific determinants of non-performing loans in Greece: A comparative study of mortgage, business, and consumer loan portfolios. Journal of Banking & Finance, 36(4), 1012-1027.
- 9. Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). The economic importance of financial literacy: Theory and evidence. Journal of Economic Literature, 52(1), 5-44.
- 10. PwC. (2018). NPL Management: Improving Efficiency through Technology. Retrieved from PwC.
- 11. World Bank. (2021). Expanding Access to Housing Finance: Policy Considerations for Developing Countries. Retrieved from World Bank.