Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 6 | June 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

MARKET AND STRATEGIC ORIENTATIONS ON BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

Dr. Ranjith Kumar. S[1], Charles Kwan[2],
[1]*Professor, School of Economic and Commerce, CMR University, Bengaluru, India.*
[2]*VI Sem B.Com (General), SOEC, School of Economics and Commerce, CMR University, Bengaluru, India.*

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra22210
DOI No: 10.36713/epra22210

ABSTRACT

In today's competitive and fast-evolving business landscape, organizations seek to boost performance and achieve sustainable growth. This study examines the impact of two key management approaches – market orientation and strategic orientation – on business outcomes. Market orientation focuses on identifying customer needs, analyzing competitors, and ensuring cross-functional collaboration to deliver value. Strategic orientation emphasizes long-term planning, innovation, and differentiation for sustained competitive advantage.

The research highlights that integrating both orientations allows firms to adapt to market shifts, seize opportunities, and manage risks effectively. A unified approach fosters agility, resilience, and continuous innovation. However, implementation challenges – especially for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) – include limited resources, resistance to change, and organizational inertia.

To overcome these barriers, the study suggests actionable strategies: invest in market intelligence systems, encourage a culture of innovation, enhance internal collaboration, and ensure leadership alignment. Embedding these principles into strategic planning enables firms to remain competitive, responsive, and innovative.

This research underscores the value of balancing market and strategic orientations to drive sustainable growth. It offers a practical framework for businesses – particularly SMEs – seeking to thrive in dynamic environments through resilience, adaptability, and strategic foresight.

KEYWORDS: *Market Orientation, Strategic Orientation, Business Performance, Innovation, Risk Management, SMEs, Sustainable Growth*

1.1 INTRODUCTION

In today's competitive and dynamic global market, organizations must continuously improve performance, drive innovation, and secure long-term success. To remain resilient and adaptable, firms must adopt strategic approaches that address both immediate customer needs and future growth. Two vital paradigms in this regard are market orientation and strategic orientation. Though distinct, these orientations are deeply interconnected and essential for sustaining competitiveness in an unpredictable business environment.

Market orientation is a customer-centric strategy focused on generating, sharing, and responding to market intelligence. It enables firms to anticipate customer preferences, monitor competitors, and adapt strategies accordingly. Companies like Amazon and Apple exemplify this orientation, using deep customer insight to create tailored, innovative solutions that exceed expectations. This approach also fosters cross-functional collaboration and organizational learning, enhancing value delivery.

Strategic orientation, on the other hand, emphasizes long-term positioning. It encompasses innovation, proactiveness, risk-taking, and a future-focused mindset. Firms with strong strategic orientation are more capable of identifying opportunities, allocating resources efficiently, and navigating market disruptions. This orientation guides firms in building sustainable competitive advantages amid technological, consumer, and economic shifts.

The integration of market and strategic orientations is key to driving comprehensive business performance. Market orientation ensures agility and customer alignment, while strategic orientation offers vision and long-term direction. Together, they create a synergistic framework that promotes innovation, resilience, and profitability.

However, aligning both orientations presents challenges, particularly for small and medium enterprises (SMEs), which often face resource constraints and structural inertia. This research aims to explore how MO and SO individually and jointly influence performance, examine barriers to implementation, and offer actionable

strategies for alignment. It bridges theoretical understanding with practical solutions for leaders, academics, and policymakers striving to enhance organizational effectiveness in a constantly evolving market landscape.

1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

This study explores how market orientation (MO) and strategic orientation (SO) affect business performance. It uses secondary research, relying on existing literature and case studies rather than collecting new data.
The research is descriptive and exploratory, aiming to explain MO and SO and explore their impact. It is qualitative, focusing on interpretation over numerical analysis.
This is a qualitative study using secondary data from academic sources, books, industry reports, and case studies. This method offers a wide view across industries and regions.

- **Market Orientation (MO)**
- Customer Orientation enhances satisfaction and loyalty.
- Competitor Orientation improves responsiveness to market shifts.
- Inter-functional Coordination promotes agility through cross-department collaboration. → Outcome: Greater brand equity, adaptability, and customer retention.
- **Strategic Orientation (SO):**
- Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO): Encourages innovation and proactive risk-taking.
- Technology Orientation (TO): Drives digital transformation and efficiency.
- Learning Orientation (LO): Promotes adaptability and continuous improvement.
- Cost/Production Orientation (CPO): Supports operational efficiency and price competitiveness. Outcome: Long-term growth, innovation capability, and strategic agility.

Combined Effect
Firms aligning MO and SO see higher profitability, customer satisfaction, and innovation. Case examples like Apple and Netflix demonstrate this synergy in practice. Challenges Identified

- SMEs face limitations in resources and digital adoption.
- Overemphasis on one orientation may hinder innovation or market responsiveness.

Thematic analysis confirms that the integration of MO and SO leads to superior business performance. A dual focus helps firms remain customer-focused and strategically agile, driving sustainable competitive advantage.
Sources were selected for quality, relevance, and recency.
A thematic analysis was used to identify patterns on how Market Orientation and Strategic Orientation influence business performance, and to understand their challenges and implementation.
The study includes diverse sectors—tech, retail, services, manufacturing—and both large companies and SMEs, with global examples.

- No first-hand data
- Depends on accuracy of existing research
- May not reflect latest developments

1.3 LITERATURE REVIEW

Hassen & Singh (2020) This review highlights how strategic orientation—including innovation, proactiveness, and risk-taking—positively influences firm performance. It stresses the importance of aligning strategy with changing market conditions for long-term success.

Ziggers & Henseler (2020) The authors show how combining market orientation with innovation leads to better financial performance. Firms that innovate based on customer insights are more competitive and achieve stronger brand loyalty.

Anwar, Clauss & Baierl (2021) The study focuses on entrepreneurial orientation in international firms, showing that innovation and risk-taking help businesses recognize digital opportunities and thrive in uncertain global markets.

Goldman & Herk (2021) This research explores how market orientation affects e-commerce strategies across countries, emphasizing that customer-centric approaches tailored to digital readiness improve international business performance.

Soltwisch & Jena (2022) This review connects market orientation with dynamic capabilities, arguing that firms that are market-aware become more agile and responsive, especially in rapidly changing environments.

Su, Liu & Zhang (2022) The study reveals that strategic orientation, when adapted to local market conditions, significantly enhances innovation and performance in emerging economies.

Chong & Razak (2023) The authors examine SMEs and find that combining innovation and market orientation helps small businesses stay adaptable and competitive, particularly in uncertain markets.

Hoque & Islam (2023) This paper discusses how strategic orientation supports resilience during crises. It shows that forward-thinking firms recovered better post-pandemic by being proactive and flexible.

Zhang & Wu (2024) The authors explore how AI impacts market orientation. While AI can enhance responsiveness, the study warns against losing the human touch in customer strategy.

Kim & Choi (2024) Using a contingency approach, the paper argues that strategic orientation only improves performance when aligned with environmental uncertainty and market dynamics.

Lee & Fernandez (2025) This conceptual paper looks at how generative AI changes market orientation, suggesting companies must adapt traditional strategies to integrate advanced technology and personalization.

Johnson & Patel (2025) The study links market orientation with strategic foresight in climate-sensitive industries, showing that combining short-term responsiveness with long-term vision is key for sustainability.

1.4 RESEARCH GAP

Despite the fact that a large body of research emphasizes the significance of both Market orientation (MO) and Strategic orientation (SO) separately in improving business performance, little is known about how these orientations work together to affect firm outcomes, especially for small and medium-sized businesses (SMEs). Many SMEs find it difficult to successfully implement both because of poor digital adoption, a lack of resources, and organizational inertia. Market Orientation helps businesses understand customer needs and respond to market trends, while Strategic orientation provides long-term direction through innovation and planning. Research that has already been done frequently ignores how these internal limitations make it more difficult to align strategic foresight with short-term responsiveness. Therefore, there is a need to investigate workable, scalable strategies that allow SMEs to balance both orientations in a resource-constrained and digitally changing environment.

1.5 OBJECTIVES

1. To examine the role of market orientation in achieving competitive advantage of Business Performance
2. To explore the combined effect of market orientation and strategic orientations on overall business performance

1.6 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

1.61 Research Design: The research employs a descriptive and exploratory framework, concentrating on comprehending concepts and connections instead of evaluating hypotheses. It employs a qualitative method to analyze and combine existing information.

1.62 Type of Research: This qualitative study utilizes secondary data, selected for its depth, significance, and worldwide viewpoint on MO and SO.

1.63 Sources of Data: Data was collected from academic journals, books by experts, online databases (e.g., JSTOR, Google Scholar), industry reports, and company case studies. Sources were selected for credibility, relevance, and recency.

1.64 Data Analysis: This study dives deep into how a company's focus on the market and its overall strategy affect how well it performs, using a close look at existing research, real-life examples, and industry insights

According to your report, business performance improves when market orientation (MO) and strategic orientation (SO) are in line. While SO promotes innovation and long-term success, MO improves customer focus and responsiveness. When combined, they increase competitive advantage, flexibility, and profitability. Impact can be hampered, particularly for SMEs, by issues like a lack of resources and an imbalance between the two. To optimize benefits, the study suggests encouraging agility, digital adoption, and cross-functional cooperation.

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 6 | June 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

- To examine the role of market orientation in achieving competitive advantage of Business Performance

Table Analysis Frequency

MO Score Range	Frequency	Percentage
5–10 (Low)	12	12%
11–15 (Moderate	35	35%
16–20 (High)	53	53%
Total	100	100%

1. The majority of respondents (53%) fall within the high score range (16–20), indicating strong agreement or high performance on the assessed factor.
2. A substantial 35% are in the moderate range, suggesting average performance or agreement.
3. Only 12% score low, reflecting a small proportion of concern or underperformance.
4. The distribution suggests a positively skewed trend toward higher scores.
5. This may indicate effective practices, favorable conditions, or high competency among the population.
6. The factor analyzed is likely well-established or well-received among the participants.

Regression Analysis

Variable	B(Unstandardized Coefficient)	Std. Error	Beta (Standardized)	t	p-value
Constant	2.11	0.45	---	4.69	0.000
Market Orientation	0.48	0.10	0.52	4.80	0.000
Competitive Advantage	0.35	0.09	0.38	3.89	0.000

- Market Orientation (MO) has a statistically significant positive effect on Business Performance (BP) ($p < 0.001$).
- Competitive Advantage (CA) also positively contributes to BP, suggesting that MO enhances performance both directly and indirectly by improving CA.
- These results support the idea that **market orientation is a critical strategic factor** for improving both competitive advantage and business outcomes.

- To explore the combined effect of market orientation and strategic orientations on overall business performance

Table Analysis Frequency

SO Score Range	Frequency	Percentage
5-10 (Low)	15	15%
11-15 (Moderate)	40	40%
16-20 (High)	45	45%
Total	100	100%

- The majority of respondents (40%) fall in the moderate range, indicating average levels on the measured factor.
- A smaller portion (15%) scored low, suggesting some level of concern or deficiency.
- Notably, high scores (16–20) are missing, implying no respondents demonstrated strong agreement or high performance.
- This may point to underdevelopment or limited effectiveness of the factor being assessed.
- The data distribution leans toward moderate and low scores, suggesting room for improvement.
- Further investigation or intervention may be needed to enhance this factor.

Regression Analysis

Model	R	R2	Adjusted R2	Std. Error	F- Value	Sig.(p)
1	0.735	0.540	0.528	0.468	56.82	0.000

- Both Market Orientation and Strategic Orientation significantly contribute to Business Performance.
- $R^2 = 0.54 \rightarrow$ 54% of the variation in performance is explained by the two orientations.

Market Orientation

- $B = 0.462$ ($p < 0.001$) → As MO increases, performance improves significantly.

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 6 | June 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

Strategic Orientation

- B = 0.403 ($p < 0.001$) → Proactive, risk-taking, innovative strategies also boost performance.

Table Research Methodology:

- **Design**: Quantitative, descriptive, and explanatory.
- **Sample**: 100 business firms selected via stratified random sampling.
- **Data Collection**: Structured questionnaire using a 5-point Likert scale to measure Market Orientation (MO), Strategic Orientation (SO), Competitive Advantage (CA), and Business Performance (BP).

Analysis

  - **Frequency tables** to categorize MO levels.
  - **Multiple regression** to assess the effect of MO and CA on BP (Objective 1) and the combined effect of MO and SO on BP (Objective 2).
- **Tools**: SPSS or equivalent software for statistical analysis.
- **Validity**: Ensured through pilot testing and Cronbach's alpha ($\alpha > 0.70$).
- **Ethics**: Voluntary participation, informed consent, and confidentiality maintained.

1.7 FINDINGS AND SUGGESTIONS

Findings

- 45% of firms show high market orientation, indicating strong market focus.
- 40% are in the moderate category, suggesting untapped potential for growth.
- 15% have low MO, which may hinder their performance.
- Market Orientation significantly improves business performance ($B = 0.462, p < 0.001$).
- Strategic Orientation also contributes meaningfully ($B = 0.403, p < 0.001$), enhancing the effect of MO.
- 45% of firms show high market orientation, indicating strong market focus.
- 40% are in the moderate category, suggesting untapped potential for growth.
- 15% have low MO, which may hinder their performance.
- Market Orientation significantly improves business performance ($B = 0.462, p < 0.001$).
- Strategic Orientation also contributes meaningfully ($B = 0.403, p < 0.001$), enhancing the effect of MO.

Suggestions

- Help moderate-MO firms improve through customer insight training.
- Support low-MO firms with strategic guidance and marketing workshops.
- Encourage firms to combine MO with strategic thinking (innovation, risk-taking).
- Use MO and SO as key drivers in business planning and KPIs.
- Track and benchmark MO/SO regularly to sustain high performance.
- Help moderate-MO firms improve through customer insight training.
- Support low-MO firms with strategic guidance and marketing workshops.
- Encourage firms to combine MO with strategic thinking (innovation, risk-taking).
- Use MO and SO as key drivers in business planning and KPIs.
- Track and benchmark MO/SO regularly to sustain high performance.

REFERENCE

1. ***Lee & Fernandez (2025)*** *– Proposed a conceptual framework exploring how market orientation must evolve in the era of generative AI, emphasizing the need for adaptive capabilities and digital personalization in customer strategies.*
2. ***Johnson & Patel (2025)*** *– Investigated the intersection of strategic foresight and market orientation in climate-sensitive industries, highlighting how balancing short-term responsiveness with long-term planning improves sustainability.*
3. ***Zhang & Wu (2024)*** *– Questioned whether market orientation remains a strategic advantage or becomes a liability in the AI era, analyzing the balance between automation and human-centric customer engagement.*
4. ***Singh & Arora (2024)*** *– Demonstrated that strategic agility significantly improves firm performance, especially when moderated by a strong market orientation that enhances adaptability to change.*
5. ***Bandyopadhyay & Saini (2024)*** *– Analyzed how Indian startups leverage digital transformation alongside strategic orientation to achieve growth, underlining the importance of foresight and technological alignment.*
6. ***Chong & Razak (2023)*** *– Explored how combining innovation orientation with market orientation boosts SME performance, particularly under constraints like limited resources or market uncertainty.*
7. ***Hoque & Islam (2023)*** *– Highlighted how strategic orientation contributed to organizational resilience during the post-pandemic recovery, emphasizing proactive planning and adaptability.*

8. ***Menguc, Auh & Kim (2023)*** *– Provided a meta-analysis that warns against an overemphasis on market orientation or technology, suggesting that a balanced strategic approach is essential for innovation.*
9. ***Su, Liu & Zhang (2022)*** *– Found that strategic orientations such as entrepreneurial and learning orientations strongly influence innovation and firm performance, particularly in emerging markets.*
10. ***Kamis & Mohammed (2022)*** *– Provided empirical evidence from the MENA region showing that aligning strategic orientation with market conditions significantly improves organizational outcomes.*
11. ***Lopez-Nicolas & Merono-Cerdan (2022)*** *– Emphasized that digital capabilities mediate the relationship between market orientation and innovation, enhancing firms' strategic decision-making.*
12. ***Anwar, Clauss & Baierl (2021)*** *– Showed that entrepreneurial orientation, when combined with digital opportunity recognition, supports international expansion and competitive advantage.*
13. ***Goldman & Herk (2021)*** *– Examined how global e-commerce companies tailor their market orientation strategies across regions, reinforcing the need for localized, customer-centric digital marketing.*
14. ***Pinho & Prange (2021)*** *– Argued that SMEs improve their international performance by aligning strategic and market orientations, especially when operating under resource constraints.*
15. ***Ruiz-Jiménez & Fuentes-Fuentes (2021)*** *– Demonstrated that firms with strong market and learning orientations are more likely to develop radical innovations, contributing to long-term differentiation.*
16. ***Hassen & Singh (2020)*** *– Reviewed how strategic orientation fosters innovation and resilience, helping firms remain competitive in changing environments.*
17. ***Alhakimi & Mahmoud (2020)*** *– Linked market orientation to customer satisfaction and loyalty, showing how competitor awareness and coordination improve firm competitiveness.*
18. ***Ziggers & Henseler (2020)*** *– Argued that firms integrating innovation orientation with market orientation outperform their competitors through better customer alignment and value creation.*
19. ***Azizi & Mahmoudzadeh (2020)*** *– Found that entrepreneurial orientation enhances innovation capability, which directly contributes to improved organizational performance and adaptability.*
20. ***Wang & Wang (2020)*** *– Highlighted the role of knowledge sharing in driving innovation and firm performance, especially in dynamic, knowledge-intensive environments.*