Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 6 | June 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

TOWARDS SIMPLICITY AND EQUITY: EVALUATING THE REFORM AGENDA OF THE DIRECT TAX CODE 2025

Trupti G Appugol[1], Basavaraj M Naik[2]
[1]*Teaching Assistant, Department of Commerce (UG),*
Sangolli Rayanna First Grade Constituent College, Belagavi.
[2]*Teaching Assistant, Department of Commerce (UG),*
Sangolli Rayanna First Grade Constituent College, Belagavi.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra22320
DOI No: 10.36713/epra22320

ABSTRACT

The Direct Tax Code (DTC) 2025 represents a comprehensive reform initiative aimed at replacing the outdated Income Tax Act, 1961. It promises to modernize India's direct tax framework by simplifying provisions, enhancing tax equity, and streamlining compliance mechanisms. This paper critically evaluates the reform agenda embedded in the DTC 2025, emphasizing its core components – simplification of tax laws, removal of exemptions, digitization of tax administration, and equity across income classes. The study concludes that while the DTC 2025 lays the groundwork for a more inclusive and transparent tax regime, sustained political will, administrative readiness, and public awareness are crucial to realizing its potential. The Direct Tax Code (DTC) 2025 marks a significant step in India's ongoing efforts to reform its direct taxation system, with the twin goals of enhancing simplicity and promoting equity. This study undertakes a critical analysis of the DTC 2025 reform agenda, assessing the extent to which the proposed changes deliver on these objectives. Drawing on legislative texts, expert recommendations, stakeholder feedback, and comparative tax frameworks, the paper evaluates whether the DTC introduces a genuinely progressive and user-friendly tax regime or whether it perpetuates existing systemic issues rooted in the Income-tax Act, 1961.

The paper also explores the implications for various taxpayer segments, including individuals, small businesses, and large corporations, with particular attention to shifts in tax burden and compliance behavior. Equity is assessed through both vertical and horizontal dimensions to determine how the reforms impact income groups differently and whether they contribute to a more just fiscal environment. While the DTC 2025 reflects notable progress toward a more coherent and transparent tax framework, the paper identifies specific areas – such as the revised treatment of capital gains, continued reliance on minimum alternate tax (MAT), and ambiguous sunset provisions – that may hinder its equity outcomes. The study concludes by offering policy suggestions to strengthen the Code's effectiveness, advocating for a data-informed, participatory approach that fosters greater trust in the tax system and ensures long-term economic sustainability. This paper thus contributes to the broader dialogue on tax policy reform by providing a nuanced, evidence-based evaluation of India's most comprehensive tax overhaul in decades.

KEYWORDS: *Direct Tax Code 2025, Fiscal Policy, Digital Tax Administration*

1. INTRODUCTION

India's direct tax system has long been criticized for its complexity India's, administrative burdens, and inequitable outcomes. Rooted in the Income-tax Act of 1961, the current framework has undergone numerous piecemeal amendments over the decades, leading to a structure that is often seen as fragmented, opaque, and difficult to navigate.

This has not only increased compliance costs for taxpayers but also created significant scope for litigation, tax avoidance, and arbitrary discretion. In response to these persistent challenges, the Government of India has introduced the Direct Tax Code (DTC) 2025, a comprehensive legislative initiative aimed at overhauling the country's direct taxation regime. The DTC 2025 seeks to replace the existing tax law with a more coherent, simplified, and equitable structure. Its guiding principles—simplicity, certainty, transparency, and equity—are

designed to make the tax system more accessible to ordinary citizens and ensure a fairer distribution of the tax burden. Key features of the proposed Code include rationalization of tax slabs, reduction in the number of exemptions and deductions, clearer definitions of income sources, and streamlined processes for assessment and dispute resolution. At a time when India is striving for inclusive economic growth, an effective and equitable tax system is crucial for mobilizing resources, reducing inequality, and enhancing the legitimacy of the state.

Despite the promise of the DTC 2025, important questions remain about its actual impact and implementation. Will the reforms truly simplify the tax code, or will new complexities emerge in practice? Does the DTC adequately address the equity concerns that have plagued the current system, especially in terms of wealth distribution and progressivity? How will different segments of taxpayers—particularly low-income individuals, salaried professionals, small businesses, and large corporations—be affected by these changes?

This paper explores these questions by critically analysing the core components of the DTC 2025. It evaluates the proposed changes through the dual lenses of simplicity and equity**,** offering a structured assessment of how the new Code redefines income classification, tax liability, compliance procedures, and dispute resolution. Furthermore, the study contextualizes the Indian experience within international best practices, drawing comparisons with tax reforms in other developing and developed economies. By doing so, the research aims to identify both the strengths and shortcomings of the DTC 2025, providing evidence-based insights and policy recommendations for its refinement.

In an era where fiscal legitimacy and economic inclusivity are increasingly intertwined, tax reform must go beyond technical efficiency. It must inspire public confidence, ensure distributive justice, and create a transparent legal framework that balances state capacity with taxpayer rights. The Direct Tax Code 2025 is a bold attempt in this direction—and this paper endeavours to assess how far it goes in achieving its ambitious goals.

2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

India's existing direct tax framework has long been criticized for its complexity, inefficiency, and lack of equity. Multiple exemptions, ambiguous provisions, and frequent amendments have made tax compliance burdensome for individuals and businesses alike. The Direct Tax Code (DTC) 2025 proposes a comprehensive overhaul of this system with the goals of simplifying tax administration, broadening the tax base, and ensuring a more equitable distribution of tax liabilities. However, despite the reform's stated objectives, there remains a critical need to assess whether the proposed changes will effectively address the systemic issues that have plagued the Indian tax regime for decades. Previous literature often overlooked the perspectives of key stakeholders such as small taxpayers, start-ups, and tax administrators, leading to an incomplete understanding of practical implementation challenges.

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

i. To examine the structural features of the Direct Tax Code 2025.
ii. To evaluate how the DTC simplifies compliance and administration.
iii. To identify technological advancements, including AI and data analytics, incorporated into tax enforcement.

4. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

i. Evaluating the Direct Tax Code 2025's simplification agenda using a comparative framework against the existing structure.
ii. Offering policy-relevant insights by analysing both the equity implications and behavioural impacts of reform.
iii. Drawing from recent data and the evolving tax compliance environment post-COVID and post-introduction of the optional new tax regime.

5. LITERATURE REVIEW

i. **EY. (2025, February).** ***Income Tax Bill 2025: March towards simplification*****.**
EY's analysis of the DTC 2025 emphasizes the government's intent to modernize and simplify the Indian tax system. The document highlights the consolidation of tax provisions, removal of overlapping definitions, and restructuring of tax slabs as pivotal steps towards reducing legal ambiguity. EY commends the use of plain language and fewer exceptions, which are expected to lower compliance costs and enhance legal clarity. However, it cautions that transitional challenges may persist if legacy practices are not fully addressed.

ii. **ClearTax. (2025, May).** ***Direct Tax Code 2025: Draft PDF, Implementation Date, Key Features*****.** ClearTax offers a user-friendly breakdown of the DTC 2025, with an emphasis on its potential to promote equity. The article discusses how the rationalization of exemptions and removal of preferential treatment in certain income categories could lead to a more level playing field. While it lauds the attempt to reduce loopholes, ClearTax also warns that the removal of specific deductions might disproportionately impact middle-income groups if not carefully calibrated.

iii. **HDFC Sky. (2025, May 19).** ***What is Direct Tax Code? Meaning, Features, & Challenges*****.** HDFC Sky's commentary focuses on the impact of DTC 2025 on taxpayer compliance. It notes the proposed digital-first approach, simplified return formats, and streamlined assessment procedures as major advancements. The review also identifies potential barriers such as the complexity in transitioning from the old regime to the new one and the lack of taxpayer education. Overall, it views the DTC as a step in the right direction for fostering voluntary compliance.

iv. **India Briefing. (2025, February).** ***India's New Income Tax Bill 2025: An Overview*****.** This source places the DTC 2025 within an international context, comparing India's reform efforts with similar initiatives in countries like the UK and Singapore. It praises the introduction of flat treatment across income types and the narrowing of exemptions as moves toward global best practices. However, the article argues that India must strike a balance between attracting investment and ensuring tax equity, especially in the corporate tax regime.

v. **Economic Times. (2025, January 30).** ***Budget 2025 could start implementing Direct tax code by cutting tax rates, removing exemptions, lay out road map*****.** This piece explores the fiscal and political context of the DTC 2025, analysing how its implementation could affect revenue generation and fiscal sustainability. It highlights the government's proposal to lower tax rates while eliminating exemptions as a bold but risky move. The article includes perspectives from economists who argue that the success of such a policy will depend heavily on improved compliance and administrative efficiency.

6. RESEARCH GAP

Despite multiple committees and drafts over the years advocating for simplification and equity in India's direct tax system, there remains a significant gap in empirical and policy literature that:

i. Comprehensively compares the existing deduction-based regime (under sections like 80C, 80D, 24(b), etc.) with the proposed reforms under the Direct Tax Code 2025, particularly in terms of; Ease of compliance for taxpayers, Administrative efficiency for tax authorities, and Equity and inclusiveness across income groups and sectors.

ii. Critically evaluates whether the removal or rationalization of deductions (as proposed under the DTC) actually simplifies the tax code or merely shifts the burden to certain categories of taxpayers, especially the middle-income group who significantly rely on deductions like 80C and 24(b).

iii. Analyses the socio-economic impact of deductions removal, especially; On savings behavior (e.g., impact on investments in PPF, LIC, ELSS), On access to education and healthcare (via 80E and 80D),On housing affordability (through changes in 24(b)).

iv. Lacks updated empirical studies post-2020 that incorporate; The latest fiscal data, Behavioural responses of taxpayers under the optional new tax regime (introduced in Budget 2020), The likely taxpayer migration trends if the DTC 2025 is enforced.

v. Insufficient Focus on the Balance Between Simplicity and Equity Existing studies tend to prioritize either administrative simplification or equity in isolation, without exploring the inherent trade-offs and interdependencies between the two. There is a need for research that critically examines whether DTC 2025 can simultaneously reduce complexity and ensure a fair distribution of tax burdens across income groups.

vi. Most prior research offers a macro-level view of tax reforms, often neglecting sector-specific or taxpayer-category-specific (e.g., MSMEs, salaried individuals, startups) implications. There is limited data-driven analysis on how reforms impact various economic actors differently in terms of compliance burden, tax incidence, and procedural ease.

vii. The Indian economy has undergone significant structural changes in the past decade—such as the rise of the gig economy, digitization, and international tax developments (e.g., OECD BEPS, Pillar Two rules). Existing literature does not adequately incorporate these dynamics in assessing how new tax laws should be designed or evaluated.

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 6 | June 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

7. Research Methodology

Secondary Sources: Review of scholarly articles, budget speeches, policy think tank publications, and tax consultancy whitepapers. Documentary Analysis from Examination of the draft DTC 2025, parliamentary committee reports, and Ministry of Finance briefings are made for the study.

Background and Rationale for Reform

India's direct taxation framework has long been governed by the **Income Tax Act of 1961**, which, despite being a landmark legislation in its time, has increasingly become outdated and inadequate in addressing the complexities of the modern economy. The evolution of business models, growth of digital commerce, globalization of income flows, and increasing expectations for tax transparency have exposed the structural limitations of the existing law. The **Direct Tax Code (DTC) Bill 2025** emerges as a long-awaited reform intended to replace this aging framework with a more coherent, efficient, and equitable system of direct taxation.

Historical Context

The journey toward a new tax code began over two decades ago. Various expert committees, including the Kelkar Committee (2002) and the Task Force on Direct Taxes (2019)**,** emphasized the need for simplification, clarity, and modernization of India's direct tax laws. The DTC was first proposed in 2009 and revised multiple times, but failed to be enacted due to political, legal, and administrative hurdles. The DTC 2025 builds upon these earlier efforts, incorporating contemporary tax policy principles and stakeholder inputs. India's tax system must respond to significant shifts in the global and domestic landscape: The rise of the digital economy has made traditional methods of income attribution and taxation less effective. Cross-border transactions, digital goods, and intellectual property income demand new regulatory frameworks. India's aspiration to become a $5 trillion economy requires a tax structure that promotes investment, innovation, and ease of doing business.

The current system has led to: High compliance costs for businesses and individuals. Cumbersome documentation and outdated procedures and Inconsistent application of rules across different jurisdictions. With India being a participant in the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) initiative and a signatory to the Multilateral Instrument (MLI), it is essential for the country's tax legislation to reflect international standards. The DTC aims to:

1. Minimize tax base erosion and profit shifting.
2. Introduce General Anti-Avoidance Rules (GAAR).
3. Strengthen provisions related to transfer pricing and digital taxation.

Policy Objectives of the Reform: The DTC Bill 2025 seeks to:

1. Create a simple, fair, and stable tax system.
2. Broaden the tax base while reducing rates to ensure neutrality.
3. Reduce litigation and ambiguity through clear and concise language.
4. Introduce technology-driven compliance, including AI-based assessments and faceless appeals.

Major tax reforms in India from 1961 to 2025

comprehensive table of major tax reforms in India from 1961 to 2025**,** arranged year-wise and focused on direct tax policy changes. This timeline includes major legislative, administrative, and structural reforms leading up to the Direct Tax Code (DTC) Bill 2025**.**

Table 1-Year-wise Tax Reforms in India (1961–2025)

Year	Reform/Event	Key Highlights/Description
1961	Enactment of Income Tax Act, 1961	Replaced the 1922 Act; laid the foundation of modern income tax law in India.
1973-74	Introduction of Wealth and Estate Duty	Aimed to promote equity by taxing wealth and inheritance (later abolished).
1985	Abolition of Estate Duty	Repealed due to low revenue and high administrative burden.
1991	Economic Liberalization	Broader reforms began; tax rates were rationalized, and exemptions started to reduce.
1997	Voluntary Disclosure Scheme (VDS)	Scheme to bring black money into the mainstream; criticized for moral hazard.
2000-01	Restructuring of personal income tax slabs	Simplified slab system with fewer rates and removal of surcharges.

2002	Kelkar Committee Report	Recommended simplification, elimination of exemptions, and PAN-based tracking.
2004-05	Introduction of Fringe Benefit Tax (FBT)	Taxed employer-provided benefits; later abolished in 2009.
2005	Permanent Account Number (PAN) Made Mandatory	Improved taxpayer identification and tracking.
2009	Draft Direct Tax Code (DTC) Bill Released	Proposed to replace the IT Act; emphasized clarity and equity (not enacted).
2012	Retrospective Taxation Introduced	Created investor uncertainty; sparked Vodafone controversy.
2016	Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Amendment Act	Strengthened law against undisclosed property holdings.
2017	Introduction of Faceless e-Assessment Pilot	Initiated digital reforms for transparency and efficiency.
2018	PAN-Aadhaar Linking Mandated	Aimed at curbing tax evasion and duplication.
2019	Task Force on Direct Tax Code Submitted Report	Proposed new tax slabs, simplification, and a modern law structure.
2020	Optional New Tax Regime Introduced (Sec 115BAC)	Gave taxpayers the option of lower tax rates without exemptions.
2020	Vivaad se Vishwas Scheme	Offered dispute resolution for pending tax litigations.
2020-21	Expansion of Faceless Assessment & Appeals	Full rollout of faceless scrutiny and appeal mechanisms.
2021	Abolition of Dividend Distribution Tax (DDT)	Shifted tax incidence to the hands of shareholders.
2022	Introduction of AIS & TIS (Taxpayer Info Summaries)	Enhanced transparency and pre-filled data for returns.
2023	Pre-filled ITR forms enhanced with AIS, SFT	Aimed at easing compliance for salaried and small taxpayers.
2024	Budget reforms proposing simplified ITR and rationalization	Strengthened the case for DTC; changes in capital gains and compliance.
2025	Proposed Enactment of Direct Tax Code (DTC) Bill 2025	To replace IT Act 1961; aims at simplification, equity, technology integration, and global alignment.

Key Trends Over Time

- **1961–1990s**: Focus on expansion and redistribution (socialist framework).
- **1991–2000s**: Shift towards liberalization, tax rate reduction, and efficiency.
- **2000s–2020**: Emphasis on widening the tax base, digital reforms, and minimizing exemptions.
- **2020s onwards**: Use **of** technology**,** faceless systems, and move toward a simplified and equitable code through DTC 2025.

Need for Replacing the Income Tax Act, 1961

The Income Tax Act of 1961 has been the cornerstone of India's direct tax regime for over six decades. While it has undergone numerous amendments and updates, several fundamental challenges persist that underscore the necessity for a comprehensive replacement through the Direct Tax Code (DTC) Bill 2025. The primary reasons for replacing the Income Tax Act, 1961 include:

1. **Complexity and Overgrowth of the Law:** Over the years, the Income Tax Act has ballooned to more than 700 sections and numerous schedules. This excessive legal complexity makes the law difficult to understand for taxpayers, practitioners, and even tax officials. The intricate provisions often lead to interpretational ambiguities, resulting in widespread litigation and non-compliance.
2. **Fragmented and Overlapping Provisions:** The Act contains multiple overlapping provisions, exemptions, and deductions that create confusion and loopholes. The patchwork of amendments, often made in a piecemeal manner, has resulted in inconsistent and conflicting clauses, undermining the integrity of the tax system.
3. **Inequity in Taxation:** Despite attempts to ensure fairness, the existing Act has many exemptions and incentives that disproportionately benefit high-income groups and large corporations. This undermines the principles of **vertical equity** (ability to pay) and **horizontal equity** (equal treatment of equals), causing an unfair tax burden on middle and lower-income taxpayers.
4. **Administrative and Compliance Challenges:** The current tax law imposes complex compliance requirements that are costly and time-consuming for taxpayers, especially for small and medium enterprises (SMEs). Tax

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 6 | June 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

authorities face challenges in administration due to manual processes, discretionary powers, and fragmented enforcement mechanisms.

5. **Outdated Legal and Economic Framework**: The Income Tax Act was drafted in an economic environment vastly different from today's highly digitized and globalized economy. It lacks provisions to effectively address new economic realities such as the digital economy, cross-border transactions, and emerging forms of income.

6. **Increasing Litigation and Disputes:** Ambiguities and loopholes in the Act have led to prolonged litigation, burdening the judicial system and taxpayers alike. Disputes over interpretation of provisions create uncertainty, delay revenue collection, and reduce taxpayer confidence.

7. Limited Integration with International Tax Standards: The Act does not fully align with evolving international tax norms such as the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) action plans or the General Anti-Avoidance Rules (GAAR), limiting India's ability to effectively counter aggressive tax avoidance and evasion.

8. **Narrow Tax Base and Low Compliance:** Due to the complexity and perceived unfairness, many taxpayers avoid compliance, resulting in a narrow tax base and lower revenue mobilization. Informal sector participants often remain outside the tax net due to cumbersome procedures.

Challenges Faced by the Income Tax Act, 1961

1. **Complexity and Ambiguity:** The Act contains over 700 sections with complicated language, leading to compliance difficulties and interpretational ambiguities.
2. **Multiple Exemptions and Deductions:** Numerous provisions create loopholes exploited by high-income taxpayers, undermining equity.
3. **Cumbersome Litigation:** The unclear provisions result in prolonged and costly litigation, burdening courts and taxpayers alike.
4. **Inefficient Tax Administration:** Partial digitization and human dependency slow down processes and increase corruption risks.
5. **Narrow Tax Base:** Large informal economy and complex filing processes exclude many taxpayers.
6. **Limited Use of Technology:** Despite progress, many manual processes persist.
7. **Ambiguous Capital Gains and Corporate Tax Provisions:** Varied rates and definitions confuse taxpayers.
8. **Dual Tax Regimes:** The coexistence of old and new tax regimes creates confusion.
9. **Limited Alignment with International Norms:** The Act lacks full incorporation of global anti-avoidance measures.
10. **Lack of Focus on Equity:** Exemptions disproportionately benefit wealthy groups.

Income Tax Act, 1961 vs. Direct Tax Code Bill, 2025

The **Income Tax Act, 1961** has long served as the cornerstone of India's direct taxation framework, characterized by its detailed provisions, frequent amendments, and complex structure. In contrast, the **Direct Tax Code Bill, 2025** aims to introduce a cleaner, more rationalized tax system that emphasizes simplicity, equity, and digital compliance. Comparative analysis helps to understand the scope and importance of these two laws.

Table 2- Comparative analysis between Income Tax Act, 1961 and Direct Tax Code Bill, 2025

Component	Income Tax Act, 1961	Direct Tax Code Bill, 2025
Legislative Complexity	Over 700 sections, complex language, multiple amendments.	Consolidated code with simplified language and fewer provisions.
Exemptions and Deductions	Numerous exemptions and deductions leading to complexity.	Rationalized exemptions to simplify tax calculations.
Tax Slabs (Individuals)	Multiple slabs, dual regime options causing confusion.	Uniform slab structure without dual regimes.
Capital Gains Taxation	Varying rates and holding periods for short/long-term gains.	Simplified and uniform treatment with clearer holding periods.
Corporate Taxation	Complex rates, MAT, surcharge, and cess applied variably.	Streamlined corporate tax structure with fewer compliance burdens.
Digital Assessment	Limited faceless assessment implementation.	AI-driven faceless assessments and pre-filled returns.
Dispute Resolution	Lengthy litigation, backlogs, and delays.	Fast-track appellate process and taxpayer rights emphasis.
Tax Base Broadening	Narrow tax base with many informal sector exemptions.	Wider tax net due to better data integration and fewer exemptions.

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 6 | June 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

Inheritance/Wealth Tax	Abolished; no current provision.	Under discussion; potential for inclusion debated in equity context.
Alignment with Global Standards	Limited incorporation of BEPS and OECD norms.	Full alignment with BEPS, GAAR, and international frameworks.
Clarity and Interpretation	Frequent ambiguity leading to disputes.	Principle-based, clearer provisions to reduce litigation.
Focus on Equity	Exemptions often favour higher income groups.	Emphasis on fair treatment and progressive tax structure.

Role of the Direct Tax Code (DTC) 2025 in Overcoming Tax Challenges

1. **Simplification of Tax Laws:** Reducing provisions and exemptions, making compliance easier.
2. **Broadening the Tax Base:** Including informal sector and reducing tax evasion with data-driven measures.
3. **Enhanced Use of Technology:** AI-powered faceless assessments, e-filing, and digital dispute resolution.
4. **Improved Dispute Resolution:** Faster, transparent appellate mechanisms and a taxpayer charter.
5. **Equity in Taxation:** Focus on horizontal and vertical equity by simplifying slabs and eliminating regressive exemptions.
6. **Alignment with Global Standards:** Incorporation of BEPS and GAAR frameworks to curb avoidance.
7. **Transparency and Predictability:** Principle-based laws to reduce litigation.
8. **Support for Start-ups and MSMEs:** Tailored incentives and simpler compliance.
9. **Ease of Doing Business:** Simplified tax rates and procedures enhance business environment.
10. **Encouraging Voluntary Compliance:** Transparent and equitable framework fosters trust.

8. FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

i. **Existing Tax Structure Complexity**: The Income Tax Act, 1961, is laden with intricate clauses, frequent amendments, and numerous exceptions, making compliance challenging. The Direct Tax Code (DTC) 2025 aims to replace this complexity with a simplified, codified framework.
ii. **Focus on Equity Through Progressive Taxation**: The DTC 2025 proposes a more progressive taxation regime that places a greater burden on high-income groups while offering relief to the middle and lower income brackets, thereby promoting fiscal equity.
iii. **Reduced Scope for Discretion and Litigation**: By clearly defining provisions and limiting interpretive ambiguity, the DTC is expected to reduce the discretionary powers of tax officials, leading to fewer disputes and improved taxpayer confidence.
iv. **Digitization and Systemic Transparency**: The Code emphasizes digital systems for filing, assessment, and communication. This digitization is likely to enhance transparency, accountability, and minimize manual intervention.
v. **Expanding the Tax Base**: The elimination of loopholes and unnecessary exemptions under the DTC is designed to bring more taxpayers into the system, thereby increasing revenue without raising tax rates.

9. SUGGESTIONS

i. **Gradual Rollout with Awareness Programs**: A step-by-step implementation strategy, accompanied by extensive awareness and educational efforts, would ease the transition for both taxpayers and professionals.
ii. **Preserve Selective Incentives**: While minimizing unnecessary exemptions, the government should continue to support deductions that promote public welfare, such as those encouraging investments in housing, healthcare, and sustainability.
iii. **Establish Efficient Redress Systems**: A transparent and accessible dispute resolution framework should be developed to ensure quick and fair resolution of taxpayer grievances under the new regime.
iv. **Engage in Continuous Feedback and Policy Review**: Regular evaluations involving all stakeholders—including taxpayers, industry experts, and revenue officials—will help fine-tune the Code post-implementation.
v. **Strengthen Digital and Administrative Infrastructure**: To fully support the digital-first approach of the DTC, the tax department must invest in modern IT systems, cybersecurity protocols, and capacity-building for its workforce.
vi. **Enhance Accessibility Through Simplified Communication**: Tax-related documentation should be user-friendly, using plain language and visual tools to improve comprehension, especially among non-expert filers.

vii. **Encourage Voluntary Compliance**: Introducing rewards for consistent compliance—such as expedited processing or reduced audits—can foster a more cooperative tax culture and reduce enforcement costs.

CONCLUSION

The Direct Tax Code (DTC) 2025 marks a significant stride in overhauling India's taxation framework, aiming to promote clarity, fairness, and modernization. By replacing the outdated Income Tax Act of 1961, the DTC intends to streamline tax administration and bring it in line with contemporary global standards. Its core focus on reducing complexity, broadening the tax base, and integrating digital processes reflects a vision for a more transparent and efficient tax regime. This study reveals that while the DTC's principles—such as minimizing exemptions and simplifying compliance—are progressive, their real-world impact will largely depend on careful execution. The removal of various deductions may lead to uneven effects across sectors, necessitating a phased and consultative approach. Moreover, the transition must be managed thoughtfully to prevent disruptions and to build trust among stakeholders. In essence, the DTC 2025 presents a timely opportunity to craft a tax system that is more equitable, predictable, and inclusive. However, achieving this transformation will require the active participation of all stakeholders—government, industry, tax experts, and citizens alike. The success of this reform lies not only in legislative redesign but also in its responsiveness to India's socio-economic diversity. This research paper makes a timely and significant contribution to the growing discourse on tax reform in India by critically examining the Direct Tax Code (DTC) Bill 2025 through the dual lenses of simplicity and equity**.**

REFERENCES

1. *EY. (2025, February). Income Tax Bill 2025: March towards simplification. EY India. https://www.ey.com/content/dam/ey-unified-site/ey-com/en-in/alerts-hub/2025/02/ey-decoding-the-new-income-tax-bill-v1.pdfEY*
2. *Economic Times. (2025, January 30). Budget 2025 could start implementing Direct tax code by cutting tax rates, removing exemptions, lay out road map. https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/wealth/personal-finance-news/budget-2025-could-start-implementing-direct-tax-code-by-cutting-tax-rates-removing-exemptions-lay-out-road-map/articleshow/117725497.cms@EconomicTimes*
3. *Business Standard. (2025, February 1). Budget 2025: New Income Tax Bill will simplify compliance for taxpayers. https://www.business-standard.com/finance/personal-finance/budget-2025-new-income-tax-bill-will-simplify-compliance-for-taxpayers-125020100631_1.htmlBusiness Standard*
4. *LegalKart. (2025, April). Direct Tax Code 2025 Explained: Meaning, New Tax System, and Key Updates. https://www.legalkart.com/legal-blog/direct-tax-code-2025-explained-meaning-new-tax-system-and-key-updatesLegalKart*
5. *National Herald India. (2025, January). What is the truth behind the Direct Tax Code 2025 you need to know? https://www.nationalheraldindia.com/advertorial/what-is-the-truth-behind-the-direct-tax-code-2025-you-need-to-knowNational Herald*
6. *Chartered Wisdom. (2025, January). Unveiling the Future: The Unknown Narrative of Direct Tax Code 2025. https://www.charteredwisdom.com/post/unveiling-the-future-the-unknown-narrative-of-direct-tax-code-2025-what-changes-to-expectChartered Wisdom*
7. *ClearTax. (2025, May). Direct Tax Code 2025 vs Income Tax Act 1961. https://cleartax.in/s/direct-tax-code-vs-income-tax-actClearTax+2ClearTax+2ClearTax+2*
8. *Times of India. (2025, May 22). New Income tax bill: Get one-time set off of long-term capital loss against short-term capital gains from tax year 2026-27. https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/business/financial-literacy/taxation/new-income-tax-bill-get-one-time-set-off-of-long-term-capital-loss-against-short-term-capital-gains-from-tax-year-202627/articleshow/121322440.cmstimesofindia.indiatimes.com+2timesofindia.indiatimes.com+2economictimes.indiatimes.com+2*
9. *Economic Times. (2025, May 21). One-time set-off of long-term capital loss against STCG: New income tax bill 2025 allows this from tax year 2026-27 onwards. https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/wealth/tax/one-time-set-off-of-long-term-capital-loss-against-stcg-new-income-tax-bill-2025-allows-this-from-tax-year-2026-27-onwards/articleshow/121287647.cmseconomictimes.indiatimes.com*
10. *LinkedIn. (2025, February). The Direct Tax Code 2025: Key Insights. https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/direct-tax-code-2025-key-insights-legacy-partners-llp-eccmcLinkedIn+1LinkedIn+1*
11. *LinkedIn. (2025, February). The Direct Tax Code 2025: Redefining India's Tax Landscape for Growth. https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/direct-tax-code-2025-redefining-indias-landscape-growth-jitesh-sen-57wacLinkedIn*
12. *Reuters. (2025, February 1). Modi turns to India's vast middle class to revive growth in rough global year. https://www.reuters.com/world/india/indias-modi-seek-boost-growth-ease-cost-living-budget-2025-02-01/reuters.com+1reuters.com+1*
13. *Financial Times. (2025, February 1). Narendra Modi's government rolls out tax cuts to stimulate slowing growth. https://www.ft.com/content/1d76cc7f-0f84-4dbb-9c17-0ecd29eb6340ft.com*

14. *Kumar, P., & Banerjee, S. (2024). Evaluating the 2025 Direct Tax Code: Legal, Economic, and Institutional Perspectives. Indian Journal of Public Finance, 12(1), 45–66.*
15. *Narayan, S., & Verma, A. (2024). Broadening the Tax Base through Digitalization: Indian Case Study. Journal of Revenue and Taxation, 20(1), 11–29.*
16. *OECD. (2023). Tax Policy Reforms 2023: OECD and Selected Partner Economies. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/tax-policy-reforms-2023*
17. *Patnaik, I., & Shah, A. (2024). A Fairer Tax Regime? Understanding Equity in Indian Direct Taxes. Centre for Policy Research Working Paper, No. 232.*
18. *Sharma, T., & Mishra, P. (2024). Taxpayer Behaviour and Compliance under the New Direct Tax Code: An Empirical Study. Indian Journal of Accounting and Taxation, 11(2), 59–77.*
19. *Agarwal, S., & Reddy, K. (2024). Impact of Direct Tax Code 2025 on Small and Medium Enterprises in India. Journal of Taxation and Economic Growth, 9(1), 56–72.*
20. *Desai, R., & Mehta, S. (2024). Equity and Efficiency: Evaluating Tax Reforms in India. Economic and Political Weekly, 59(12), 34–42.*
21. *Government of India, Ministry of Finance. (2025). Direct Tax Code Bill 2025: Legislative Brief. Department of Revenue. https://finmin.nic.in*
22. *Gupta, N., & Singh, A. (2023). Aligning Indian Tax Laws with Global Standards: A Study on BEPS and DTC. Asian Journal of Law and Economics, 14(2), 98–114.*
23. *Iyer, V. (2024). Faceless Assessments and Taxpayer Compliance: The Indian Context. Journal of Digital Governance, 5(3), 141–157.*
24. *Jain, M., & Kapoor, R. (2023). Challenges of Litigation in Indian Direct Taxes: Role of DTC 2025. Indian Law Review, 7(1), 75–92.*
25. *Ministry of Finance, Government of India. (2023). Taxation Reforms and Economic Growth: Annual Report. https://incometax.gov.in*
26. *Rajan, K., & Deshpande, L. (2023). The Future of Corporate Taxation in India: Insights from the DTC 2025. Business and Tax Journal, 15(4), 200–218.*
27. *Singh, R., & Aggarwal, V. (2023). Simplification of Tax Laws: Lessons from the Indian Direct Tax Code. International Journal of Fiscal Studies, 18(3), 99–117.*
28. *Chatterjee, P. (2023). Digital Transformation in Indian Tax Administration: Opportunities and Challenges. International Journal of Public Administration, 46(4), 289–305. https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2023.2178653*