Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 9 | September 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

NEXT-GENERATION PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL: BALANCING TECHNOLOGY AND HUMAN-CENTRIC FAIRNESS

Basavaraj M Naik[1], Trupti G Appugol[2]
[1]*Teaching Assistant, Department of Commerce (UG),*
Sangolli Rayanna First Grade Constituent College, Belagavi.
[2]*Teaching Assistant, Department of Commerce (UG),*
Sangolli Rayanna First Grade Constituent College, Belagavi.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra24028
DOI No: 10.36713/epra24028

ABSTRACT
In the digital era, performance appraisal systems are undergoing significant transformation driven by artificial intelligence (AI), analytics, and continuous feedback platforms. Traditional appraisal methods are often criticized for bias, subjectivity, and inefficiency, while digital systems promise real-time evaluations, predictive insights, and improved fairness. However, ethical concerns, employee acceptance, and cultural adaptability remain major challenges. This paper critically reviews contemporary literature (2023–2025) and leading practices of global firms such as Adobe, Microsoft, and Infosys to assess the effectiveness of digital appraisal systems. It identifies research gaps, articulates the problem of balancing technological efficiency with employee trust, and proposes a reimagined framework for performance appraisal. The study contributes to advancing HRM scholarship and offers actionable strategies for organizations seeking sustainable, transparent, and equitable appraisal systems in the digital age.
KEYWORDS: *Performance Appraisal, Artificial Intelligence, Digital HRM, Employee Perception, Organizational Performance.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Performance appraisal is a cornerstone of human resource management (HRM), traditionally designed to evaluate employee contributions, inform promotions, and align workforce behavior with organizational objectives. However, conventional appraisal methods—often annual, paper-based, and manager-driven—have been increasingly criticized for bias, subjectivity, and lack of timeliness.

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated digital transformation, pushing organizations toward AI-enabled appraisal platforms, continuous feedback mechanisms, and data-driven HR analytics.

These innovations have reframed performance management from compliance-driven evaluations to dynamic, employee-centric processes that support engagement, fairness, and adaptability.
This paper critically examines recent literature and corporate practices, identifies existing gaps, and proposes a conceptual framework for redesigning performance appraisal systems for the digital era.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. Nyathani (2023) emphasizes that artificial intelligence (AI) is redefining performance appraisal by enabling dynamic, personalized, and predictive evaluation systems. Similarly, Li, Zhou, and Xu (2024) demonstrate that large language models such as GPT-4 can enhance the objectivity and consistency of knowledge-based performance assessments, though risks of context-related biases, such as the halo effect, remain.
2. While AI promises efficiency, Gupta and Tembhurnekar (2024) caution that algorithmic bias, privacy concerns, and ethical dilemmas may undermine fairness. In agreement, Sadeghi (2024) highlights employee concerns about transparency, fairness, and job security in AI-enabled HR systems, recommending employee involvement and open communication to mitigate negative impacts.

3. Empirical evidence further supports the promise and pitfalls of digital appraisal. Haider, Iftikhar, and Imtiaz (2025) conducted a cross-sectoral study that revealed widespread acceptance of AI-driven analytics and continuous monitoring. However, the authors note significant methodological drawbacks, such as low reliability of measurement indicators.
4. Shahiduzzaman (2025) shifts the focus to organizational readiness, identifying digital maturity as a crucial factor in successful HRM transformation. Post-COVID, organizations with higher levels of digital maturity have been more effective in embedding innovation, performance management, and continuous feedback practices into HRM strategies.

   Collectively, these studies underscore a duality: digital appraisal systems enhance efficiency and objectivity, but ethical, psychological, and organizational readiness factors remain barriers to their universal adoption. The literature suggests a pressing need for appraisal frameworks that integrate technological efficiency with employee-centered fairness and cultural adaptability.

3.REVIEW OF CONTEMPORARY PRACTICES IN GLOBAL FIRMS

a) **Adobe**: Replaced annual reviews with "Check-In," a continuous feedback system that emphasizes coaching, development, and real-time dialogue between employees and managers.
b) **Microsoft**: Shifted toward growth-mindset-based evaluations, integrating teamwork metrics, continuous feedback, and AI-enabled performance tracking.
c) **Infosys**: Introduced iCount, a digital appraisal platform that facilitates real-time goal tracking and personalized feedback, designed to support large and globally distributed workforces.
    a. These examples demonstrate a global shift toward continuous, technology-supported appraisal frameworks that are more adaptable and employee-centric.

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To evaluate the effectiveness of AI-driven appraisal systems in global organizations.
2. To identify ethical and operational challenges in adopting AI-driven performance evaluations.
3. To compare contemporary appraisal practices in Adobe, Microsoft, and Infosys.
4. To propose a redesigned framework for performance appraisal suitable for the digital era.

4. RESEARCH GAP

While digital appraisal systems promise efficiency and fairness, few studies holistically examine the intersection of technology, employee perception, and organizational outcomes. Existing literature is concentrated in Western economies, with limited insights from global and emerging-market firms. Additionally, insufficient attention has been paid to how ethical concerns, cultural differences, and organizational maturity shape the effectiveness of digital appraisal systems.

5. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Despite technological advancements, performance appraisals remain contested. Traditional systems are criticized for being biased and demotivating, while digital systems risk replicating these flaws in algorithmic form. The central problem is the absence of a transparent, fair, and universally adaptable appraisal framework that balances efficiency with trust, motivation, and employee well-being.

6. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

- **Geographical Scope**: Global firms with emphasis on U.S. and Asian companies (Adobe, Microsoft, Infosys).
- **Thematic Scope**: Digital HRM, AI integration, employee perception, ethics, and organizational outcomes.
- **Time Scope**: Post-2020 digital transformation era.

7. LIMITATIONS

- Reliance on secondary data and published reports limits first-hand insights.
- Findings may not generalize to SMEs or traditional industries.
- Rapid advancements in AI may quickly outdate proposed frameworks.

8. SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

This study provides a holistic understanding of digital performance appraisal, integrating technological, ethical, and psychological perspectives. It offers practical insights for HR leaders to design fair, transparent, and future-

ready appraisal systems, while contributing to the growing body of research on AI in HRM, particularly in emerging economies.

9. Proposed Conceptual Framework

The redesigned appraisal model integrates three interdependent dimensions:

1. **Technological Efficiency**: AI-based analytics, predictive tools, and continuous feedback platforms.
2. **Employee-Centric Fairness**: Transparency, inclusion, and psychological safety supported by participatory design.
3. **Organizational Outcomes**: Enhanced engagement, productivity, and alignment of employee performance with strategic goals.

This framework positions technology as an enabler, not a replacement, for human judgment in appraisal.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Performance appraisal systems are at a crossroads in the digital era. While AI and continuous feedback tools present opportunities for greater efficiency and fairness, they must be deployed with caution to prevent ethical and psychological risks. Future research should employ longitudinal and cross-cultural designs to validate redesigned frameworks and assess their adaptability across industries. Organizations must also invest in HR capability-building to balance technological innovation with employee well-being.

REFERENCES

1. *Cappelli, P., & Nehmeh, R. (2025, July). Hybrid still isn't working. Harvard Business Review. https://hbr.org/*
2. *Haider, A. U., Iftikhar, K. M., & Imtiaz, F. (2025). AI-enhanced performance management: Transforming appraisals in modern HRM. Social Sciences & Humanity Research Review, 3(1), 509–526. https://jssr.online/index.php/4/article/view/90*
3. *Business Insider. (2025, April). How AI is making self-appraisals better and less painful—for both workers and managers. https://www.businessinsider.com*
4. *Adobe. (2024). How Adobe revolutionized its performance management system: Check-ins and continuous feedback. Deel Blog. https://www.deel.com/*
5. *Amazing Workplaces. (2024). Adobe's "Check-In": The future of performance reviews. https://amazingworkplaces.co/*
6. *Business Insider. (2025, April). How AI is making self-appraisals better and less painful—for both workers and managers. https://www.businessinsider.com/*
7. *Deloitte. (2024). 2024 Global Human Capital Trends: Thriving beyond boundaries—Human performance in a boundaryless world. Deloitte Insights. https://www.deloitte.com/*
8. *Deloitte. (2025). 2025 Global Human Capital Trends report. Deloitte Insights. https://www.deloitte.com/*
9. *Deloitte. (2025). Employee performance management: Optimizing an effective strategy. Deloitte Insights. https://www.deloitte.com/*
10. *Deloitte. (2024). Measuring human performance is the new way to assess productivity. Deloitte Insights. https://www.deloitte.com/*
11. *Financial Times. (2025, August). Does HR still need humans? Financial Times. https://www.ft.com/*
12. *Gupta, R. K., & Tembhurnekar, C. M. (2024). AI-driven performance appraisal systems: A critical literature review of emerging issues and challenges. ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts, 5(7), 492–496. https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i7.2024.3481*
13. *Infosys. (2024). Integrated Annual Report 2023–24. Infosys Investors. https://www.infosys.com/*
14. *Infosys. (2024). ESG Report 2023–24: Employee wellness and experience. Infosys. https://www.infosys.com/*
15. *Kim, Y., & Park, J. (2023). Continuous performance feedback: Investigating the effects of content and source. Human Performance, 36(5), 397–418. https://doi.org/10.1080/08959285.2023.2221132*
16. *Li, N., Zhou, H., & Xu, M. (2024). From text to insight: Leveraging large language models for performance evaluation in management. arXiv preprint. https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.05328*
17. *McKinsey & Company. (2025). Superagency in the workplace: Empowering people to unlock AI's full potential at work. McKinsey Digital. https://www.mckinsey.com/*
18. *Nyathani, R. (2023). AI-in-performance-management: Redefining performance appraisals in the digital age. Journal of Artificial Intelligence & Cloud Computing, 2(2), 134. https://doi.org/10.47363/JAICC/2023(2)134*
19. *Rahman, M. M., & Akter, S. (2025). AI in performance management: A study on employee perceptions (SSRN Working Paper No. 5194491). Social Science Research Network. https://papers.ssrn.com/*
20. *Sadeghi, S. (2024). Employee well-being in the age of AI: Perceptions, concerns, behaviors, and outcomes. arXiv preprint. https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.04796*
21. *Shahiduzzaman, M. (2025). Digital maturity in transforming human resource management in the post-COVID era: A thematic analysis. Administrative Sciences, 15(2), 51. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15020051*