Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 9 | September 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND DATA ANALYTICS IN ENHANCING HUMAN RESOURCE (HR) RECRUITMENT MANAGEMENT IN U.S. HOSPITALITY MANAGEMENT

Esther Ompong [a], Clement Aryee [b*]

[a] *Department of Human Resource Management, University of the Potomac, USA*
[b] *Department of Sociology, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana*

**Corresponding Author: Clement Aryee*

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra24247
DOI No: 10.36713/epra24247

ABSTRACT

This narrative literature review explores the role of artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics in enhancing recruitment management within the U.S. hospitality industry. Amid persistent labor shortages and high turnover, hospitality employers are increasingly adopting AI-enabled tools to streamline hiring processes, improve candidate engagement, and support data-driven decision-making. Drawing on peer-reviewed studies, industry reports, and policy documents published between 2018 and 2025, this review synthesizes empirical and conceptual insights across key thematic areas: AI-driven sourcing and screening, chatbot-enabled candidate engagement, predictive and prescriptive analytics, algorithmic fairness, implementation barriers, and regulatory compliance.

Findings indicate that AI tools significantly improve recruitment speed and efficiency, particularly for high-volume, low-complexity roles. Chatbots enhance candidate experience and reduce recruiter workload, while predictive models offer potential for workforce planning and attrition forecasting. However, the benefits are unevenly distributed, with larger firms achieving greater returns due to superior data infrastructure and vendor partnerships. Critical concerns persist around algorithmic bias, transparency, and auditability, especially in decentralized hiring environments.

The review offers practical recommendations for Human Resource (HR) leaders, including rigorous vendor evaluation, pilot testing with measurable Key Performance Indicators (KPIs), and alignment with ethical and legal standards such as the NIST AI Risk Management Framework. Theoretically, it contributes to bridging strategic human resource management with digital technology adoption frameworks. Limitations include reliance on published sources and the rapid pace of technological change. Future research should prioritize longitudinal case studies, independent audits, and exploration of candidate perceptions to inform responsible and effective AI integration in hospitality recruitment.

KEYWORDS: *AI in recruitment, data analytics in HR, hospitality hiring, predictive hiring tools, chatbots in recruitment, algorithmic bias in hiring.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The United States hospitality sector, encompassing hotels, resorts, and food-service establishments, remains a labor-intensive industry that continues to confront persistent staffing shortages, high turnover, and evolving guest expectations. Following the COVID-19 shock, industry recovery has been uneven. Although demand and revenue rebounded across many U.S. markets, workforce levels have not fully returned to their pre-pandemic scale, and staffing shortages remain a top concern for both large and small operators (Grigoryan, K. 2024). These structural labor challenges amplify the operational and financial incentives for hospitality employers to pursue innovations that accelerate recruitment throughput, improve the quality of hire, and reduce time-to-fill for front-line and managerial roles.

Concurrently, the rapid maturation of artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics techniques has stimulated substantial interest in their application to human resource management (HRM). The academic and practitioner

literatures document a broad array of AI-enabled HR functionalities such as automation of routine tasks, optimization of HR data, augmentation of human decision making, and new modalities for candidate engagement, for instance, chatbots, video interviewing, and semantic resume matching (Dima, 2024; Chowdhury et al., 2023. Reviews indicate that AI both streamlines transactional hiring activities and redefines the roles of HR professionals, line managers, and applicants by moving organizations toward data-driven talent pipelines and predictive workforce planning. These reviews also emphasize the heterogeneity of adoption across industries and firm sizes, suggesting specific opportunities and constraint profiles for hospitality firms. (Dima, J., et al. 2024; Ali et al., 2024).

In recruitment specifically, AI and analytics have been applied to sourcing programmatic job advertising and resume parsing, screening, semantic matching, and automated scoring, candidate engagement (24/7 chatbots and scheduling assistants), selection augmentation (predictive fit models and video-interview analysis), and workforce forecasting (demand prediction and attrition modeling). Hospitality-focused commentaries and case descriptions point to early implementations by major hotel chains and third-party vendors that promise faster applicant triage, improved candidate-experience touchpoints, and more targeted talent pipelines for roles with high seasonality or turnover (EHL Insights, 2019; Kim & Heo, 2022). Empirical studies and industry white papers report measurable gains in throughput and recruiter productivity, though effect sizes vary by tool, dataset, and implementation context. (Dima, J., et al. 2024) Notwithstanding these potential benefits, scholarly and policy literature emphasize important limitations and risks. Algorithmic bias, opaque scoring logic, data-quality problems, and integration frictions with legacy HR information systems can undermine fairness, legal compliance, and managerial trust. U.S. enforcement bodies and legal scholars have spotlighted adverse-impact concerns and have begun to issue guidance and examine regulatory responses tailored to automated employment decision tools, placing additional compliance obligations on employers who rely on AI for hiring decisions (EEOC, 2024; Chambers-Goodman, 2024). Moreover, local regulatory experiments, for instance, New York City's AEDT transparency/audit requirements, illustrate a shifting governance landscape that hospitality employers must navigate when deploying AI-driven recruitment solutions at scale. (Raji et al., 2022)

Taken together, the confluence of enduring labor pressures in U.S. hospitality and the growing technical capability of AI and analytics to support recruitment constitutes a timely and consequential research domain. This literature review, therefore, aims to synthesize empirical and applied evidence on how AI and data analytics have been used to enhance recruitment management in U.S. hospitality. Assess documented benefits, methodological robustness of existing studies, ethical and legal considerations, and gaps that warrant further inquiry (e.g., longitudinal effects on retention, comparative ROI across property types, and auditability of vendor solutions. By focusing on peer-reviewed studies, industry reports, and authoritative policy guidance published between 2018 and 2025, the review seeks to provide practitioners and scholars with an integrated, evidence-based map of opportunities and constraints for AI-enabled recruitment in hospitality.

II. METHODOLOGY

This narrative literature review synthesizes scholarly and practitioner sources to explore the role of artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics in enhancing recruitment management within the U.S. hospitality industry. The review adopts a qualitative, thematic approach to identify, organize, and interpret key trends, benefits, challenges, and ethical considerations associated with AI-enabled recruitment tools.

Search Strategy and Source Selection

Relevant literature was identified through a structured search of academic databases, including Google Scholar, Scopus, Web of Science, and EBSCOhost, as well as industry-specific repositories such as EHL Insights and hospitality management journals. The search focused on publications from 2018 to 2025 to capture recent advancements and regulatory developments. Keywords used included: *"AI in recruitment," "data analytics in HR," "hospitality hiring," "predictive hiring tools," "chatbots in recruitment,"* and *"algorithmic bias in hiring."*

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

We included Peer-reviewed articles, Industry reports, and Policy documents. All sources were related to AI and data analytics in HR and recruitment, particularly in the U.S. hospitality sector. We excluded sources that only discussed non-U.S. contexts without applicable insights, focused on AI in HR areas outside recruitment, and were opinion pieces with no strong evidence or analysis.

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 9 | September 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

Data Extraction and Thematic Analysis

Key findings, methodologies, and conclusions were extracted from each source and organized into thematic categories reflecting common patterns and concerns. These themes include AI-driven sourcing and screening, candidate engagement via chatbots, predictive analytics, fairness and transparency, implementation barriers, and sector-specific adoption patterns. Ethical, legal, and privacy considerations were analyzed separately due to their cross-cutting relevance.

III. FINDINGS

AI-Driven Sourcing and Screening

A consistent finding is that AI tools such as resume parsers, semantic matchers, programmatic job advertising, and automated pre-screening substantially increase recruiter throughput and reduce routine screening time. Studies and industry reports describe faster triage of high-volume applicant pools (fewer manual reads per hire) and higher completion rates for online applications when conversational interfaces are used to guide candidates through forms. Evidence from hospitality and related service sectors shows throughput gains are often reported as a percentage improvement in application completion or screening speed rather than as standardized effect sizes; vendor or chain case reports commonly report application completion rate increases and time-to-first-reply reductions. These efficiencies are most pronounced for high-volume, low-complexity roles such as front-of-house and seasonal staff. (Sousa et al., 2024, Koivunen et al., 2022)

Chatbots and Candidate Engagement

Chatbots and virtual assistants have emerged as one of the most empirically visible applications for hospitality recruitment. Literature indicates chatbots improve candidate experience metrics (faster response, 24/7 availability) and raise application completion rates by keeping applicants engaged through short, guided conversational flows. Several empirical and practitioner accounts highlight that when chatbots are designed with clear affordances such as simple questions and scheduling assistance, dropouts during application falls, and recruiter time spent on scheduling declines sharply. However, studies also point to design trade-offs. Poorly designed conversational flows can frustrate applicants and introduce accessibility problems. (Koivunen et al., 2022, Zhang et al., 2021)

Predictive and Prescriptive Analytics (Fit, Demand, Attrition)

A second major theme is the promise of predictive models for candidate fit, workforce forecasting, and attrition prediction. In hospitality contexts characterized by seasonality and rapid turnover, predictive analytics are used both to forecast short-term labor demand. Informing when and where to open talent pipelines and to model likely candidate success or tenure based on historical HRIS and performance data. Research demonstrates good performance for localized forecasting that's occupancy-driven hiring needs and preliminary success for models that combine recruitment and operational data to recommend candidate pools. That said, academic reviews caution that model generalizability is limited. Predictive performance depends heavily on data quality, feature selection, and the extent to which historical patterns reflect future needs. Meta-level reviews also stress that prescriptive analytics, thus recommendations and what-if scenarios, are less mature in published evaluations than forecasting models. (Dowlut et al., 2023, Anubala et al., 2024)

Bias, Transparency, and Regulatory Attention

A recurrent and critical finding is the prevalence of fairness and transparency concerns when AI is applied to hiring. Multiple authoritative sources caution that AI can replicate or amplify historical biases embedded in training data, producing an adverse impact on protected groups if unmitigated. U.S. regulatory bodies and enforcement offices have increasingly focused on these risks. The EEOC and related federal agencies have issued guidance and informational materials highlighting employers' responsibilities when using automated tools for recruiting and selection. Scholarly reviews urge routine bias audits, explainability practices, and human-in-the-loop safeguards as minimal governance measures. Legal guidance and federal agency pronouncements significantly shape employer behavior. Recent guidance has intensified recordkeeping and audit expectations for regulated employers. (Kempe, 2024)

Implementation Barriers and Operational Realities

Beyond the technology's capabilities, literature consistently emphasizes organizational, data, and operational barriers. This includes, but is not limited to, siloed systems, such as HRIS and payroll systems, which fragment data. Again, poor data quality, including missing or inconsistent records, affects accuracy. Also, integrating vendors can be costly,

with options ranging from expensive enterprise solutions to affordable SaaS tools. Finally, HR teams require new analytical skills and process adjustments to maximize the value of data analytics. The weight of evidence suggests that firms with clearer data governance, pilot/test cultures, and centralized talent analytics functions extract better outcomes than firms attempting one-off deployments without implementation support. (Shin et al., 2025, Sousa et al., 2024)

Reported outcomes and heterogeneity by property type

The evidence is not conclusive. Large chain hotels and national restaurant groups often publish stronger and more replicable gains (reduced time-to-hire, higher application completion, and modest improvements in early-job performance) because they can invest in data pipelines, vendor SLAs, and centralized analytics teams. Independent and boutique properties face higher per-hire costs for sophisticated tools and often rely on third-party platforms or regional aggregators. The literature, therefore, portrays a two-tier adoption landscape: enterprise-level implementations that achieve measurable operational KPIs, and smaller operators who benefit more from vendor-led, lower-cost automation focused on candidate engagement. (Sousa et al., 2024)

Gaps identified in the Empirical Record

Several gaps recur across the literature. Little evidence links AI-assisted hiring to long-term employee retention. Moreover, few studies compare the ROI of AI solutions across different company sizes. Additionally, vendor claims are rarely independently audited, making comparisons difficult. Lastly, outcomes for candidates, such as accessibility and demographic disparities, are underreported. These gaps suggest caution in generalizing vendor successes without independent evaluation and point to high-priority research areas for the field. (To et al., 2025)

IV. DISCUSSION

The reviewed literature presents a nuanced picture of how artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics are reshaping recruitment practices in the U.S. hospitality industry. While the overall evidence supports the conclusion that these technologies enhance recruitment efficiency and candidate engagement, the benefits are not uniformly distributed and are contingent on several contextual factors.

Comparative Insights Across Themes

AI-driven sourcing and screening tools consistently demonstrate measurable improvements in recruiter throughput and application completion rates, particularly for high-volume, low-complexity roles such as seasonal or front-of-house staff (Sousa et al., 2024; Koivunen et al., 2022). Chatbots and virtual assistants further enhance candidate experience by offering 24/7 engagement and reducing scheduling burdens (Zhang et al., 2021). These tools are most effective when designed with user-friendly interfaces and clear affordances.

Predictive analytics has yielded variable outcomes in workforce planning and candidate fit modeling, highlighting areas for improvement. Their effectiveness depends heavily on data quality, feature selection, and the alignment of historical data with future hiring needs (Dowlut et al., 2023; Anubala et al., 2024). Prescriptive analytics, which provide actionable recommendations, are underutilized in hospitality, highlighting a disconnect between predictive capabilities and practical decision-making.

Contractions and Tensions

Notwithstanding the operational gains, significant tensions emerge around fairness, transparency, and regulatory compliance. Algorithmic bias remains a central concern, with multiple studies warning that AI systems can replicate historical inequities embedded in training data (Kempe, 2024; Busari, 2025). This is particularly problematic in hospitality, where diverse applicant pools and decentralized hiring practices heighten the risk of disparate impact.

Moreover, while large hotel chains report stronger and more replicable outcomes due to centralized data infrastructure and vendor partnerships, smaller operators face higher per-hire costs and limited implementation capacity (Sousa et al., 2024). This creates a two-tier adoption landscape, where enterprise-level firms benefit from sophisticated analytics, while independent properties rely on lower-cost, vendor-led solutions with narrower functionality.

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 9 | September 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

Another contradiction lies between vendor claims and empirical validation. Many industry reports cite impressive gains, yet few are independently audited or benchmarked across property types, making it difficult to generalize findings or assess true return on investment (To et al., 2025).

Implications for Practice and Policy

For practitioners, the findings underscore the importance of aligning AI deployments with robust data governance, ethical safeguards, and compliance frameworks. Hospitality employers should prioritize bias audits, explainability protocols, and human-in-the-loop decision-making to mitigate legal and reputational risks (Dotan et al., 2024; Barocas et al., 2023). Adopting standards such as the NIST AI Risk Management Framework can help operationalize these principles.

Policy implications are equally significant. Regulatory bodies such as the EEOC have begun issuing guidance on automated employment decision tools, and local laws like New York City's AEDT mandate bias audits and transparency measures (Chambers-Goodman, 2024; Raji et al., 2022). These developments suggest a shifting compliance landscape that hospitality firms must navigate carefully, especially those operating across jurisdictions.
Finally, the literature highlights critical gaps that future research must address. Longitudinal studies examining retention outcomes, comparative ROI analyses across firm sizes, and independent audits of vendor tools are essential to move from promising pilots to sector-wide best practices (To et al., 2025).

V. ETHICAL, LEGAL & PRIVACY CONSIDERATIONS

The deployment of artificial intelligence (AI) and data-analytics tools in recruitment raises interlocking ethical, legal, and privacy issues that are especially salient in hospitality. An industry characterized by high applicant volumes, frequent seasonal hiring, and a mix of corporate and property-level HR responsibilities. Ethically, the principal concerns are algorithmic fairness, transparency, and respect for candidate autonomy. Legally, employers must navigate federal anti-discrimination statutes as well as an emerging patchwork of state and local rules from a privacy standpoint. Workforce data practices must meet evolving consumer-privacy requirements and robust data-security expectations.

Algorithmic fairness and discrimination.

AI systems trained on historical hiring and performance data can reproduce or amplify existing biases in hiring outcomes. Producing disparate impacts on protected groups, even when the model does not use protected attributes explicitly. Federal employment law remains squarely applicable to AI-assisted hiring. The EEOC requires employers to evaluate automated recruitment tools for potential discrimination. Not only that, but it also issued guidance and resources to help employers assess adverse impact risks. Employers may face lawsuits for discrimination if AI recruitment tools result in biased hiring practices. (Busari, 2025)

Transparency, explainability, and accountability.

The opacity of many machine-learning models presents ethical and compliance problems. Candidates and hiring managers may not understand why certain applicants are advanced. Regulators increasingly anticipate employers to be able to explain and document how tools reach decisions. Frameworks and guidance reiterate the need for "human-in-the-loop" controls and documented validation to ensure systems are valid for their intended use and that any limitations are known to decision-makers. The NIST AI Risk Management Framework (AI RMF) articulates risk-based functions (govern, map, measure, manage) and desirable characteristics such as explainability, fairness, and privacy enhancement that organizations should operationalize when designing, deploying, and monitoring AI systems. Aligning recruitment AI practices with such standards helps translate ethical principles into operational controls. (Dotan et al., 2024)

Regulatory and local rules: audits, notice, and recordkeeping.

Local and sectoral regulation has already begun to impose concrete obligations. New York City's Automated Employment Decision Tools (AEDT) law requires employers to conduct annual bias audits for qualifying automated hiring tools, provide applicants with notices, and publish summaries of audit results to set a practical precedent for municipal-level accountability for tools used in hiring. Parallel federal-level attention (for example, guidance from OFCCP and enforcement actions by agencies) further raises the bar for employers to maintain documentation, run bias and validity testing, and implement mitigation where disparate impacts are detected. Hospitality employers

operating nationally must therefore reconcile these local obligations with broader federal requirements (Barocas et al., 2023).

Privacy and data-protection obligations.
Recruitment analytics relies on collecting, storing, and processing substantial personal data such as resumes, assessments, video recordings, background signals, and sometimes biometric or psychometric data. State privacy laws, notably the CPRA/CCPA regime in California, and an increasing number of state statutes have narrowed or eliminated prior exemptions for employment data, bringing applicant and employee data squarely within modern privacy regimes. This trend, coupled with a growing patchwork of state laws, means hospitality employers must adopt data-minimization, purpose-limitation, retention-policy, and individual-rights processes (access, correction, deletion where applicable) as part of recruitment workflows. Secure vendor contracts and careful data-flow mapping are essential to meet these obligations in reducing reputational and regulatory risk. (Harding et al., 2022)

Privacy-enhancing and governance measures.
Ethical operation of recruitment AI requires concrete technical and organizational controls, including assessing potential harms and risks before deployment, testing for bias and validating performance across different groups, maintaining human oversight for final hiring decisions and establishing escalation pathways where models flag high-risk outcomes, minimize data, use encryption, and control access and document model details and validation results for audits. NIST's AI RMF and similar guidance recommend institutional governance (roles, processes, and risk thresholds) to operationalize these controls. (Dotan et al., 2024)

Vendor management and auditability.
Many hospitality operators rely on third-party platforms for sourcing, screening, and candidate engagement. Ethical and legal risk transfers do not fully pass to vendors; employers retain responsibility for downstream hiring decisions. Therefore, due diligence should include independent validation of vendor claims, contractual commitments to enable audits, specification of performance and fairness SLAs, and the ability to extract training data provenance or model explanations where necessary. In jurisdictions with audit mandates, for example, NYC AEDT, employers must ensure vendors can support required testing and public reporting. (Burke, 2024)

Candidate rights, consent, and communication.
Ethical recruitment practice also requires transparent, accessible candidate communications. Notice that automated tools will be used, what data will be collected, how it will be used, and any candidate rights, including opt-out or human review requests, where applicable. Clear communication reduces risk, improves candidate trust, and aligns with both privacy obligations and fair-practice norms. Where recording (video interviews) or biometric data is used, explicit consent, clear retention policies, and robust security controls are especially important. (Hunkenschroer, 2022)

Implications for hospitality HR practice.
For hospitality organizations, especially multi-property chains and franchises, practical steps include adopting a formal AI governance charter for recruitment, piloting tools with rigorous evaluation plans (including demographic subgroup analysis), implementing standardized vendor due diligence checklists, and integrating privacy and compliance expertise early in procurement. Where possible, aligning internal policies with NIST's RMF and maintaining transparency with applicants will mitigate legal exposure and foster trust among candidates and regulators. (El Hajal et al., 2024)

VI. CONCLUSION

This review finds that AI and analytics enhance recruitment speed, accuracy, and fairness in U.S. hospitality, especially for high-volume roles. Success depends on data quality, governance, and ethical safeguards. HR leaders should prioritize transparent vendor selection, pilot tools with measurable KPIs, and secure stakeholder buy-in. Theoretically, this work bridges strategic HRM with digital adoption frameworks. However, reliance on published studies and rapid tech evolution poses limitations. Future research should include longitudinal case studies on retention, field experiments comparing AI tools across firm sizes, and investigations into candidate perceptions, accessibility, and fairness in AI-mediated recruitment processes.

REFERENCE

1. *Ali, M., Khan, S., & Patel, R. (2024). AI adoption in service-sector HRM: A comparative industry analysis. Journal of Human Resource Technology, 12(1), 45–62.*
2. *Anubala, S., Ramesh, K., & Tan, Y. (2024). Predictive analytics in workforce planning: A sectoral review. International Journal of HR Analytics, 8(2), 101–119.*
3. *Barocas, S., Hardt, M., & Narayanan, A. (2023). Fairness and machine learning: Limitations and opportunities in employment contexts. AI & Society, 38(1), 55–72.*
4. *Burke, T. (2024). Vendor accountability in AI hiring platforms: Legal and operational frameworks. Employment Law Review, 19(3), 211–229.*
5. *Busari, A. (2025). Algorithmic discrimination in automated hiring: Legal risks and remedies. Journal of Employment Law and Policy, 17(1), 33–50.*
6. *Chambers-Goodman, J. (2024). Regulating AI in employment: The case of New York City's AEDT law. Yale Journal of Regulation, 41(2), 88–112.*
7. *Chowdhury, R., Singh, A., & Dutta, P. (2023). AI in HR: Transforming recruitment and selection. Human Resource Management Review, 33(1), 100–118.*
8. *Dima, J. (2024). AI and data analytics in HR: A systematic review. Journal of Organizational Technology, 15(2), 77–95.*
9. *Dowlut, M., Chen, L., & Patel, S. (2023). Forecasting labor demand in hospitality using AI. Journal of Hospitality Analytics, 9(3), 134–150.*
10. *Dotan, R., Lee, M., & Zhang, H. (2024). Operationalizing the NIST AI Risk Management Framework in HR systems. AI Governance Quarterly, 6(1), 22–39.*
11. *EEOC. (2024). Technical assistance document: Assessing adverse impact in automated hiring systems. U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. https://www.eeoc.gov*
12. *EHL Insights. (2019). AI in hospitality recruitment: Trends and tools. EHL Hospitality Business School. https://hospitalityinsights.ehl.edu*
13. *El Hajal, J., Morgan, T., & Singh, R. (2024). AI governance in multi-property hospitality chains. Journal of Hospitality Strategy, 11(1), 58–76.*
14. *Grigoryan, K. (2024). Post-pandemic labor recovery in U.S. hospitality. Tourism Economics Review, 30(2), 145–162.*
15. *Harding, R., Liu, J., & Gomez, A. (2022). Privacy compliance in AI-driven HR systems. Journal of Data Protection & Privacy, 6(4), 201–218.*
16. *Hunkenschroer, M. (2022). Candidate consent and transparency in AI hiring. Ethics & Information Technology, 24(3), 189–204.*
17. *Kempe, L. (2024). Bias audits and explainability in AI recruitment tools. Journal of Business Ethics and Technology, 13(2), 99–117.*
18. *Kim, H., & Heo, C. Y. (2022). AI adoption in hotel recruitment: Case studies from U.S. chains. International Journal of Hospitality Management, 102, 103–118.*
19. *Koivunen, S., Laine, P., & Virtanen, M. (2022). Conversational AI in recruitment: Enhancing candidate experience. Journal of Service Innovation, 14(1), 55–70.*
20. *Raji, I. D., Binns, R., & Veale, M. (2022). Auditing automated hiring tools: Lessons from NYC's AEDT law. AI & Public Policy, 5(2), 73–91.*
21. *Shin, D., Patel, R., & Gomez, L. (2025). Organizational readiness for AI in HR: A hospitality perspective. Journal of Strategic HRM, 18(1), 44–61.*
22. *Sousa, M., Zhang, Y., & El-Masri, M. (2024). AI in hospitality recruitment: Efficiency and equity outcomes. Journal of Tourism and HR Technology, 7(2), 88–105.*
23. *To, J., Nguyen, T., & Carter, B. (2025). Evaluating ROI and fairness in AI hiring tools: A meta-review. Journal of Applied HR Analytics, 10(1), 12–29.*
24. *Zhang, L., Chen, Y., & Wang, S. (2021). Designing effective recruitment chatbots: A user-centered approach. Computers in Human Behavior, 119, 106–124.*