Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 1 | January 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

THE IMPACT OF SOCIAL MEDIA ON DIGITAL INNOVATION AND BUSINESS PROCESS TRANSFORMATION

Disha Gupta[1], Dr. Rashi[2]
[1]*Marketing Assistant Professor, GL Bajaj Institute of Management & Research, Greater Noida, India*
[2]*HR Assistant Professor, GL Bajaj Institute of Management & Research, Greater Noida, India*

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra25746
DOI No: 10.36713/epra25746

ABSTRACT

This has changed in the digital age, where social media is no longer seen as a tool of communication, but rather it has become a transformation factor that is transforming how organisations innovate and redesign their business processes. The review paper discusses the complex influence of social media on digital innovation and transformation of business processes based on the strategic importance of social media in facilitating agile, data-driven and customer-centric organisational ecosystems. Based on an integrative review of the existing research, the research paper summarises the ways social media platforms enable open innovation, promote collaboration, spread knowledge faster and democratise the generation of ideas via digital communities. The paper explains how social media analytics, artificial intelligence, and big data help in enhancing innovation potentials through providing real-time insights, predictive intelligence, and evidence-based decision-making. The review further examines how the business processes, especially marketing, customer relationship management, human resources and supply chain operations, are undergoing reengineering with the integration of social media, which is facilitating the firms to respond with personalised digital experiences.

Although these opportunities exist, the review marks various challenges, such as privacy concerns, overload of information, skills gaps, organisational resistance and reliance on a particular platform that could lead to barriers to beneficial transformation. The paper ends with future research directions highlighting the use of social media and emerging technologies, including AI, IoT, blockchain, and the metaverse, to provide future directions for further digital transformation. On balance, the study offers a detailed insight into the role of social media as an agent of digital innovation and the transformation of the business process that allows organisations to be competitive in a constantly evolving technological environment.

KEYWORDS: *Social Media, Digital Innovation, Business Process Transformation, Social Media Analytics, Open Innovation, Digital Transformation, Emerging Technologies*

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. RISE OF SOCIAL MEDIA AS A TRANSFORMATIVE DIGITAL ECOSYSTEM

The last 20 years have seen social media transforming from an elementary network into one of the most powerful digital ecosystems in global communication, business, and the strategy of organisations (Carr and Hayes, 2015). The first social engagement sites, like Friendster, MySpace, were the predecessors of the modern socialisation concept of online socialisation, and the advent of Facebook, Twitter (since changed to X), Instagram, LinkedIn, TikTok, and YouTube were the turning point of socialisation in terms of creating, sharing, and consuming information by individuals and organisations. Social media is no longer a limited state of interpersonal communication, but a digital infrastructure that is integrated with business operations, marketing, customer interaction, innovation and even governance (Kaplan and Haenlein, 2010). Its interactive, real-time time and data-rich quality has enabled firms to remotely interact with customers, read the market behaviour, and co-create value at a scale never seen before. The traditional posting and communication functionalities have been expanded to include advanced digital technologies through artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, data analytics, augmented reality (AR) and social commerce integrations (Freberg, Palenchar and Veil, 2013). These technological improvements help platforms to become drivers of innovation to provide predictive intelligence, dynamic customisation of content, and algorithmically curated content. Subsequently, social media has taken the form of a force behind digital changes, organisational learning, and enterprise agility. In the modern markets, the social media network can be described as virtual marketplaces, ad networks, job boards, customer support centres, and innovation labs where companies are able to test their ideas and receive immediate feedback (Kempe, Kleinberg and Tardos, 2015).

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 1 | January 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

The democratisation of information has also risen with the emergence of social media. Mobile devices and high-speed internet have enabled users to influence brand perceptions, express their opinions publicly and impact market trends. This change in the communication from being dominated by firms to being consumer-driven has changed the competitive environment. Companies are now required to adjust to societal demands, have two-way communications and operate digital communities to stay relevant (Goodman, Booth and Matic, 2011). Besides, the increased adoption of social commerce, which is made possible by Instagram Shops, Facebook Marketplace, TikTok Shop, and WhatsApp Business, strengthens the position of social media as a strategic business tool.

1.2. IMPORTANCE OF DIGITAL INNOVATION IN MODERN ENTERPRISES

As organisations grapple with global competition, evolving consumer demands and fast-changing technology, digital innovation has become the lower block of competitive advantage of modern enterprises. Digital innovation can be described as the use of digital technologies (AI, cloud computing, IoT, blockchain, and big data analytics) to create new products, services, processes, and business models (PHILIP KOTLER et al., 2017). Digital innovation, in contrast to traditional innovation, which is usually linear and resource-intensive, is dynamic, iterative, and scalable, meaning that organisations can be able to feel the market change fast and react to it quickly and effectively. Digital innovation is no longer a choice, especially for firms that operate in the digital economy. It defines how they can survive and evolve in unstable environments. Companies that effectively adopt digital innovations accomplish better operational efficiencies, better customer experiences, personalised services on a large scale, and decision-making processes (Tsai and Men, 2013). As an example, Amazon, Tesla, Netflix, and Alibaba are firms that have used digital innovation to shake up the traditional industries and keep reshaping consumer expectations. These companies provide hyper-personalised content, automate routine activities, and predict using predictive analysis with the help of data-driven innovations (Oliveira, Barbosa and Sousa, 2020).

Furthermore, digital innovation enhances agility in organisations by facilitating rapid prototyping, experimentation and iterative approaches in the development. Agile management and design thinking are some of the methodologies where firms come up with flexible processes that address changes in the market quickly (SIMON KEMP, 2023). Such nimbleness is necessary in sectors where a digital disruption has taken place and where legacy business systems are becoming obsolete due to the advent of new technologies. Digital innovation also promotes both intra- and inter-organisational collaboration. By means of open innovation ecosystems, collaboration with startups, and involvement in digital communities, companies collect various insights and speed up the process of innovation solution development (Purwar, 2019).

Strategically, digital innovation increases value creation by redefining the customer experience, creating a digital way to monetise by offering digital channels and avenues of creating new revenue streams like subscription-based offerings, digital markets and platform ecosystems (Freberg *et al.*, 2011). Businesses that consider the digital tools in the value chain will be able to build brand equity, customer loyalty, and sustainability in the long term. Moreover, digital innovation is transformative in workplace development. Digital skills training, automation, and remote collaboration tools allow improving the productivity of employees and encouraging them to follow a culture of unceasing learning (Vaibhava Desai, 2019). Through digital innovation, organisations are also able to transform their HR processes as well as their ability to acquire talent and promote knowledge sharing via digital channels.

1.3. TRANSFORMATION OF BUSINESS PROCESSES AND SOCIAL MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES

The adoption of social media technologies in organisational functions has radically transformed the manner in which business is conducted in terms of marketing, customer interaction, human resources, supply chain and product development (Peyravi et al., 2020). Business process transformation can be defined as the reengineering/redesign of organisational processes in order to increase efficiency, performance and serve the strategic goals (Ohanian, 1990). The technology of social media serves as a driver of such change by facilitating real-time communication, building collaboration, and making decisions that are data-driven. Customer-facing processes represent one of the most significant changes that social media has enabled. Social media enables organisations to interact with their customers in real time, responding to their concerns, collecting feedback and creating communities with their brands (Keller, 2013). This customer relationship management has moved to a proactive system rather than a reactive system due to this change in direction towards two-way communication. Social CRM tools combine social media analytics and conventional CRM systems to offer further understanding of customer sentiment, conduct, and anticipations (Constantinides, 2014a).

There is also a significant change in the way marketing processes are carried out. Traditional marketing used to be based on mass communication, which is no longer true with social media, which provides the opportunity to accomplish targeted advertising and collaboration with influencers and deliver personalised content (Broklyn et al., 2024). The use of algorithms to provide recommendations and user-created content expands the presence of the brand and allows for conducting a precision marketing strategy. This change has enhanced marketing and created new revenue-generating avenues in social commerce. On the inside, social media technologies have changed the collaboration and sharing of

knowledge. Enterprise social networks promote interdepartmental dialogue and decentralisation. The platforms enable those employees to exchange experience, work together on projects, and be creative together, making organisations more productive and agile. Furthermore, social media analytics is also important in transforming business operations by availing real-time market intelligence (Murgai, 2018). Sentiment analysis, consumer behaviour monitoring, and trend forecasting will enable organisations to redesign the operational processes on the basis of actionable insights. As an example, social listening can be used in supply chains to forecast demand change, identify possible disruptions, and optimise stock (Sharma and Verma, 2018).

Social media has also disrupted human resource processes like recruitment, employer branding and employee engagement. Social networks such as LinkedIn, Glassdoor, and Instagram enable organisations to target digital talent and communicate culture, as well as provide more employee advocacy (Maya Dollarhide, 2024). Therefore, social media technologies have transformed business processes operating in a linear and hierarchical manner to dynamic and networked services that rely on collaboration, data, and innovation. They will help organisations to be more customer-focused, flexible, and resilient in digital times (Chang and Chen, 2008).

1.4. RESEARCH GAPS

Nevertheless, despite the increasing significance of social media in the digital transformation of enterprises, several gaps exist in the literature:

- **a.** The current body of literature on social media and digital transformation is so interdisciplinary across disciplines that it does not have a comprehensive view of the way social media is a transformational digital technology.
- **b.** The cross-functional contributions of social media to business processes; that is, HR, supply chain, operations, and service delivery have not been adequately researched.
- **c.** No integrative model exists that links the social media potential with the digital innovation effects and the business process change of the whole business.

1.5. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

(RQ1): What role does social media play as a novel digital technology that innovates contemporary businesses?
(RQ2): How does social media aid the transformation of the cross-functional business processes in different areas of organisations?
(RQ3): Which conceptual frameworks are useful in conceptualising the relationship between social media capabilities, digital innovation, and business process transformation?

2. CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS

2.1. UNDERSTANDING SOCIAL MEDIA AS A DIGITAL ECOSYSTEM

The term social media refers to a set of online platforms that allow users to produce, exchange, and communicate content in technology-mediated communities. According to (Kaplan and Haenlein, 2010) Social media refers to a collection of Internet-based applications, which are supported by Web 2.0, that enable users to create and share user-generated content. In the past 20 years, social media has transformed into multimedia content and commerce platforms, analytics, and community-building interfaces through the combination of multimedia content, commerce, analytics, and community-building tools. The initial platforms offered a focus on personal networking, whereas recent platforms, like Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, and LinkedIn, use AI-based personalisation, immersive experience, and micro communities to fuel individual use and enterprise usage (Chi *et al.*, 2011). The evolution also depicts the change in passive consumption into active participation; the users in the active process contribute to the innovation by writing a review, co-creation, and content creation, as well as engagement with the brand. This constant transformation makes social media a living ecosystem that influences the communication patterns, customer expectations, and transformation of the business on a global level (Capatina *et al.*, 2020).

The technological foundation of social media platforms can be characterised by the functions that allow achieving scalability, personalisation, and the ability to be integrated without any issues. The recommendation algorithms developed using AI are tailored content streams, which not only enhance consumer interactions but also assist companies in targeting customers with accuracy (Chen *et al.*, 2022). Social graphs, Network maps of user relationships, provide platforms with the ability to analyse interactions and spread information quickly by using communities. Third-party developers, businesses, and enterprise systems can use API ecosystems to incorporate social media functionality into organisational workflows and use it to support social CRM, automated posting, and analytics dashboards (Hajli *et al.*, 2017). Real-time analytics will give information on user behaviour, sentiment, and engagement patterns, enabling businesses to make decisions based on data. All these technological capabilities make social media more than a communication tool and a complex digital infrastructure, which helps to innovate, automate and make strategic decisions. These capabilities enable firms to build stronger customer insight, streamline operations, and have a competitive edge in an ever-changing digital environment (Li *et al.*, 2023).

Social media plays a role in the digital economy as a facilitator of and catalyst for economic value generation. It helps in new business models, increasing market transparency, and innovation on a large scale. (Balaban et al., 2022) believes that the global GDP is driven by the social media economy with the help of advertising, digital commerce, influencer ecosystems, and platform-based services. In the case of businesses, social media lowers the information asymmetry levels, allowing real-time communication with customers and stakeholders from various geographical areas. It also reduces the entry barriers to small companies and business people so that they can access the international markets without the traditional infrastructure (Kaptein and Eckles, 2012). In addition, social media is an innovation accelerator: user-created content, crowdsourcing, and online communities can help firms to speed up the process of digital innovation because of their ability to generate ideas and prototype new ones quickly. Therefore, social media can be seen as one of the key drivers of digital transformation, and it affects organisational capabilities, competitive dynamics, and economic growth (Yoesoep Edhie Rachmad et al., 2024).

2.2. DIGITAL INNOVATION: CONCEPTS AND DIMENSIONS

Digital innovation is the development or re-assembly of digital technology to enhance products, services, business models, and business processes. Yoo (Henfridsson and Bygstad, 2013) define digital innovation as a socio-technical occurrence that is facilitated by convergence between digital infrastructures and organisational capabilities. The social technologies offer a special space that facilitates ideation, co-creation, collaboration and experimentation. LinkedIn, GitHub, Instagram, and Reddit are the platforms where communities can exchange knowledge, offer feedback and work together on innovating solutions. According to (Nambisan, 2019), social technologies improve innovation by bringing together far-flung knowledge, enabling the crowdsourcing concept, and providing real-time feedback loops. Social media analytics (SMA) is another instrument that enhances the capacity for innovation, discovering new trends, customer pain points, and creating insights to inform strategic decisions. Social listening tools are becoming very popular among organisations due to the desire to understand sentiments, rival competitors, and white space where new products can be developed (Carr and Hayes, 2015). Innovation ecosystem continues to be expanded by social technologies, which allow influencer collaboration as well as consumer involvement, which democratises the innovation process. In this respect, social media serves as a strategic asset in the way it improves creativity, speed of discovery, and sustains the flow of innovation within organisational functions (Ul Islam and Rahman, 2017).

The digital era is characterised by a radical change in the prior product-based to platform-based innovation, in which value is co-created in the multi-sided digital ecosystems. Platform-based innovation involves using network effects, interoperability and scalable digital infrastructures to increase value creation. (Gawer and Cusumano, 2014) argue that platform-centric innovation allows companies to coordinate value exchanges between users, developers, partners, and content creators. This change is reflected on the social media sites with the provision of API, plug-ins, and other community-based spaces where third parties can innovate on the platform. In the business context, platforms offer access to large volumes of users, which can be used to create a personalised experience, advertising targeted at a specific group, and predictive benefits. Platform-centric models make innovation continuous, collaborative, instead of linear and internal. This shift enables organisations to react quickly to market changes, combine various digital modules, and develop their value networks (Boyd and Ellison, 2007). Finally, platform-based innovation improves flexibility, scalability, and disruptive business re-definition.

2.3. BUSINESS PROCESS TRANSFORMATION

Business process transformation (BPT) can be described as the basic re-engineering and streamlining of the key organisational processes to ensure higher performance, efficiency, and flexibility. In contrast to incremental process improvement, BPT implies radical re-thinking of work processes, structures and resource allocation to match the digital capabilities (Khin and Ho, 2019). Digital technologies, such as social media, artificial intelligence, automation, and cloud systems, are the focus points of process re-engineering to offer new forms of structuring work and value provision. (Ohanian, 1990) explain that digital technologies enable organisations to redesign processes with information flows and not the physical processes. Transparency, stakeholder engagement, and information sharing, in particular, are the benefits of social media that allow businesses to collect real-time information that can be used to optimise processes. Social CRM, as an illustration, combines social media information with business operations, which allows the implementation of one-to-one customer experience and predictive analytics. AI tools are robots that execute repetitive duties, simplify communication, and manage knowledge management. Remote collaboration, virtual teams, and decentralisation of decision-making are also aided by digital technologies, which are becoming imperative components of the digital enterprise of today. With the adoption of such technologies by organisations, they experience greater efficiency, customer satisfaction, and shorter periods of innovation.

There are a number of theoretical frameworks that direct the strategic execution of business transformation. (Yoesoep Edhie Rachmad et al., 2024) focus on Business Process Reengineering (BPR) as a radical redesign needed to bring radical improvements in performance. Business Process Management (BPM) framework is concerned with the process lifecycle

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 1 | January 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

and ongoing process optimisation. The Digital Maturity Model (Constantinides, 2014b) is a tool that determines an organisation's readiness to transition into a digital environment in terms of people, process, and technology. Digital adoption is caused by factors like technological, organisational, and environmental influences, which are explained using the Technology- Organisation- Environment (TOE) framework (Oliveira and Fraga Martins, 2011). The role of social media in these structures is transformative because it provides the ability to make decisions based on data, gives more interactivity to stakeholders, and establishes new systems of collaboration. As companies capitalise on social media in such structures, they become more innovative faster, agile in operations and enhance a competitive edge in digitally transforming markets (Berthon *et al.,* 2012).

3. THE ROLE OF SOCIAL MEDIA IN DRIVING DIGITAL INNOVATION

3.1. POWER OF SOCIAL MEDIA TO SPARK COLLABORATIVE IDEAS

Social media has become an effective tool for crowdsourcing ideas, and organisations can access a wide and geographically spread body of knowledge. Compared to the old ways of innovation, where companies would be greatly dependent on internal research and development to provide services, social media enables companies to receive ideas, feedback, and problem-solving solutions directly from the customers, the developers and the general population. Online communities hosted by brands, including Twitter (X), LinkedIn, Reddit, and websites on which people submit their ideas and discuss them in real-time, allow real-time interaction, idea submission, and discussion. This transparency increases the breadth of ideas, innovation, and pace, which are essential in digitally dynamic settings. Social media crowdsourcing creates lower costs to innovation and improves the quality of solutions by using the power of collective intelligence (Murgai, 2018). LEGO (LEGO Ideas) and Starbucks (My Starbucks Idea) are examples of companies that have proven that user-generated ideas can be successfully converted into commercial products. Furthermore, crowdsourcing in social media makes it less uncertain in terms of innovation decisions because the comments, shares, and likes tend to confirm the existence of an idea before it is developed into an actual product. Strategy-wise, this participatory model of innovation fits the open innovation paradigm by Chesbrough, which focuses on the competitive advantage of external knowledge inflows (Segijn and van Ooijen, 2022). Nonetheless, successful crowdsourcing needs to be governed through well-organised practices, including idea filters, rewards, and intellectual property. In the absence of these, organisations might experience issues of overloading of ideas or poor-quality input. In general, crowdsourcing with the help of social media is a paradigm shift towards an ecosystem-based rather than firm-based innovation, which significantly shortens the process of digital innovation. Innovation has been revolutionised using social media, as nowadays it is less of a firm-led innovation and more of a co-creation process that uses a number of stakeholders (Khin and Ho, 2019). Co-creation is the concept of engaging the customers, employees, suppliers and partners in the innovation process in a dialogue, in collaboration and shared value creation. The social platforms allow sustained interaction, and the organisation can incorporate the stakeholder insights in product development, service design, and process innovation.

Social media is important in knowledge sharing in terms of innovation communities, both internal and external. Online groups that are hosted on the platforms of LinkedIn Groups, Slack, GitHub, and Reddit facilitate the ongoing sharing of ideas and best practices and problem-solving experiences. Such communities serve as storehouses of tacit and explicit knowledge, which encourages innovation that relies on learning (Castro et al., 2022). Technology-intensive sectors are the ones where innovation communities promote collective sense-making and experimentation. The social platform of open-source software communities serves as a good example of how collaborative innovation can be supported through social platforms where distributed contributors co-create solutions. Enterprise social networks within organisations also contribute to silo breaking and process innovation through the improvement of cross-functional knowledge flows (Bian and Forsythe, 2012). Social media knowledge sharing has enhanced speed in innovation by eliminating the aspect of redundancy, enhancing the process of decision-making, as well as the rapidity of spreading ideas. Nevertheless, to maintain the vibrant communities of innovation, there should be trust, purpose, and moderation. Recognition, visibility and professional growth are some of the incentives that encourage participation. All in all, innovation communities that are enabled by social media enhance organisational learning capacities and hence become crucial in ensuring a sustained digital innovation (Khin and Ho, 2019).

3.2. SOCIAL MEDIA ANALYTICS (SMA) AND DATA-DRIVEN INNOVATION

Social Media Analytics is the application of artificial intelligence and machine learning tools to derive actionable information that can be taken on large amounts of unstructured social data. Sentiment analysis algorithm, topic modelling algorithm, and trend detection algorithm help the firms to comprehend consumer emotions, preferences, and new expectations in real time (Esteban Ortiz-Ospina, 2019). The insights provide an informational basis for innovation choices based on an unmet need and market gaps. Artificial intelligence in analytics will improve the accuracy of innovations by substituting the choice of intuition with evidence-based information (Aziz et al., 2024). Companies are in a position to track brand conversations, identify weak signals and predict how consumers will change. This is a data-driven solution that facilitates fast-tracked innovation and minimises the cost of product failure. SMA can also be personalised and micro-segmented, and firms can create specific innovations for niche markets (Campbell *et al.,* 2020). Nevertheless, issues

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 1 | January 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

associated with data quality, the bias of algorithms, and compliance with privacy should be addressed. SMA can be used as a competitive tool of ongoing digital innovation when properly harnessed. Predictive innovation involves the application of SMA to predict the future needs of customers without them stating them. With the help of historical social information, behaviour and feeling trends, companies are able to predict new needs and plan proactive innovations. The machine learning models that are used in the central role in this process include clustering and predictive regression. Predictive innovation transforms organisations into responsive innovation strategies, which allow first-mover benefits. It is especially useful in the constantly changing sectors like fashion, technology, and healthcare. Predictive accuracy, however, will be a factor of data integration and the maturity of analytics (Aziz et al., 2024).

4. THEORETICAL VIEWS CONNECTING TRANSFORMATION WITH SOCIAL MEDIA

4.1. TECHNOLOGY–ORGANIZATION–ENVIRONMENT (TOE) FRAMEWORK

The Technology-Organisation-Environment (TOE) framework that is postulated by (Ohanian, 1990) offers an all-encompassing perspective on the analysis of social media technologies adoption and influence in organisations. Based on the framework, technological innovation adoption depends on three contextual dimensions, namely technological readiness, organisational characteristics and environmental pressures (Fiad *et al.*, 2024). Using TOE to explain digital transformation as an initiative by social media demonstrates not only the reasons why organisations use social media but also how the usage of this technology can create innovation and a business process change.

Technologically speaking, social media platforms are defined by relative advantage, their compatibility with other existing systems and complexity. It is also true that organisations that have more developed digital infrastructure and analytics are in a better position to use social media to be innovative, customer-intelligent and agilely redesign processes (Oliveira et al., 2011; Zhuang et al., 2021). The organisational environment consists of the size of the firm, top management support, digital culture and human capital. The culture of experimentation and leadership commitment plays an important role in integrating social media within the mainstream business operations, like marketing, customer service, and product development (Pentina et al., 2012). Companies that have considered social media as a strategic asset and not a communication tool stand a high chance of attaining process-level transformation and innovation results.

The environmental dimension comprises intensity of competition, customer expectation, regulatory norms and industry pressures. Organisations operating in competitive and digitally mature settings have high coercive and mimetic pressure to embrace social media-enabled practices (Pavlou and Fygenson, 2006). The additional demand of the customers to be able to engage in real-time and receive a personalised experience further drives change efforts. Therefore, the TOE model is a holistic explanation of the way that social media serves as a driver of digital innovation and transformation of business processes of any industry.

4.2. ENTERPRISE SOCIAL SYSTEMS THEORIES

Enterprise Social Systems (ESS) theories are concentrated on the application of internal social media tools, including enterprise social networks (ESNs), collaboration tools and knowledge-sharing platforms and how they affect organisational communication, collaboration, and power systems. According to (Bukharina and Pavliuk, 2021), enterprise social systems essentially transform the way work is coordinated in terms of visibility, persistence and correlation of communication in organisations. One of the key ideas of ESS theory is the visibility of communication, meaning the possibilities of employees to see with whom and what is being communicated to whom. This visibility facilitates quicker knowledge finding and cross-functional cooperation and innovation through decreasing silos and informal hierarchies (Ridley-Duff and Bull, 2016). Consequently, organisations will be able to re-architect processes concerning decision-making, problem-solving, and innovation management and make them more transparent and agile.

Power and control of the organisation also depend on the enterprise social systems. Formalised hierarchical communication systems are substituted by networked communications where ideas can move downwards and cross-laterally. This voice democratisation increases the participation of the employee and the culture of continuous improvement and innovation (Leonardi and Treem, 2012). These cultural changes are vital facilitators of digital transformation because they help to match the behaviour of the people and the process transformation made possible through technology. In addition, ESS systems support integration of processes, connecting work across different departments, integrating collaboration into processes and real-time coordination across workplaces. Enterprise social systems can be viewed as key strategic infrastructures that facilitate digital innovation and business process change when they are combined with enterprise systems such as project management systems and knowledge repositories (Kane *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, the ESS theories explain why the internal social media technologies transcend communication to transform the organisational processes, structures, and capabilities.

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 1 | January 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

5. CHALLENGES AND RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH SOCIAL MEDIA–DRIVEN TRANSFORMATION

i. ***Privacy, Security and Ethical Concerns of Data:*** The extent of the introduction of social media into organisational innovation and business processes enhances the issues of data privacy, security, and ethics to a substantial degree. The social media sites construct huge amounts of user-generated data, and this information may be personal, behavioural, preferences, as well as emotions. When companies use this data to innovate, market or optimise processes, they tend to be on the borderline of regulation and ethical accountability. The growing data breaches and misuse of personal data of high-profile people have increased criticism by regulators, customers, and policymakers (Martin and Murphy, 2017). The regulations, like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA), bring about a high level of responsibility on companies regarding data gathering, storage, and use. Failure to comply will result in dire financial repercussions and reputation. Nevertheless, along with legal compliance, there exist ethical issues in the spheres of algorithmic discrimination, surveillance capitalism, and black box data practices. Social media analytics powered by AI can lead to unintended discrimination or consumer manipulation, which poses ethical concerns of serious moral rights (Takashima *et al.,* 2018). Security-wise, social media sites expose organisations to cybercrimes, including phishing, social engineering attacks and data leakage. When employees use social platforms to communicate in their business, they will leave loopholes unless strong security policies are in place (Cohen *et al.*, 2014). In turn, the organisations need to strike a balance between the advantages of innovations and ethical regulation, data protection systems, and cybersecurity units in order to achieve a sustainable digital transformation.

ii. ***Digital Fatigue and Information Overload:*** Whereas social media allows sharing of information fast and in real time, too much exposure to digital information usually leads to information overload and digital fatigue. Organisations that use social platforms in their innovation efforts are confronted with the abundance paradox: there is no direct correlation between having an abundance of data and having actionable knowledge. It might be difficult to make meaningful decisions between noise and insights, which decreases the usefulness of social media-based innovation endeavours (Rahman Prasetyo *et al.*, 2025). Cognitive processing may be impaired by information overload, which results in slow decision-making, lack of creativity and stress among the employees. Unnecessary information collected on various platforms can potentially interfere with strategic thinking in an environment that is highly focused on speed and agility, in other words, an innovation-driven environment (Cheung *et al.*, 2009). Poorly designed or unmanaged social listening tools, dashboards and analytics systems exacerbate this problem. Another organisational risk is now being noted as digital fatigue. Unrelenting connectivity, unceasing notifications, and the urge to be socially accountable are the factors that lead to burnout and loss of motivation in workers. It has been shown that overuse of social media in the workplace may lead to poor job satisfaction, reduced productivity, and mental health (Tarafdar et al., 2019). This disrupts the very purpose of digital transformation, which is to increase efficiency and innovation. To cope with such obstacles, companies should invest in the use of smart filtering software, explicit digital use policies, and training sessions that will make employees data literate. It is necessary to curate social data strategically and practice mindfulness on the Internet to avoid excessive overload of information and to achieve sustainable innovation results.

iii. ***Misalignment Between Social Media Strategies and Business Goals:*** One hurdle that has afflicted the transformation with social media is the lack of alignment between social media strategies and the overall business goals. Most companies use social platforms strategically, based on the visibility goals, interaction, or follower acquisition, but they do not connect them to strategy, including innovation management, operations, or value creation (Kaplan and Haenlein, 2010). This creates a disjointed work and strategic ineffectiveness. Their ability to generate innovation and transform processes in an organisation is not fully leveraged when social media strategies are implemented in silos that are not linked to the organisational priorities. As an example, the insights created through social listening could not be effectively applied to product development, supply chain planning, and customer experience design. Such detachment lessens the payback on digital investments and undermines strategic congruence (Hanna et al., 2011). Lack of governance structures and accountability is another cause of misalignment. In the absence of specific ownership, performance indicators, and other cross-functional coordination, social media projects can lose focus on business objectives. In addition, there is the tendency to think of short-term campaigns at the expense of long-term transformation goals, which constrain sustainable value creation. In order to address this obstacle, companies will have to integrate social media plans as part of their digital transformation agenda. The coordination of social initiatives, innovation objectives, customer-focused processes, and performance measures is critical to making sure that social media will play a significant part in the organisation's transformation, instead of being a peripheral marketing instrument (Pongatichat and Johnston, 2008).

iv. ***Weaknesses in the Measurement of ROI of Social Media Innovation:*** The challenge of gauging the return on investment (ROI) of innovation and transformation in processes initiated by the use of social media is an ongoing problem. The results of social media programs are usually indirect, long-term term and intangible, unlike the old methods of investment. The advantages, like increased brand equity, customer trust, creation of knowledge and innovation capability, are the benefits that are hard to measure using traditional financial metrics (Rubathee Nadaraja and Rashad Yazdanifard, 2013). The majority of the organisations focus on the superficial indicators of likes, shares,

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 1 | January 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

impressions, and engagement rates. These metrics only reflect on activity but not strategic performance in terms of process efficiency, innovation performance, or competitive advantage. This is a measurement gap that has led to ambiguity in assessing the actual effects of social media on transforming business (Trainor *et al.*, 2014). Also, the assessment of ROI is complicated by the attribution complexity. All social media tends to interrelate with several digital touchpoints, such as CRM systems, e-commerce websites and offline, which makes it challenging to determine the particular contribution of social media to the results. The absence of standard measurement systems also contributes to this predicament and results in uneven reporting and doubts by the managers. In order to solve these shortcomings, researchers recommend the use of multidimensional performance models which integrate financial, operational and strategic measures. Connecting social media analytics and enterprise systems, and metrics of innovation, could give a broader perspective on value creation. Well-developed measurement models are prerequisites for legitimising social media as a strategic agent of digital transformation (Hoffman and Fodor, 2010).

6. FUTURE TRENDS: SOCIAL MEDIA AS THE ENGINE OF DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION 2.0

i. ***Artificial Intelligence-based Social Agents and Autonomous Interfaces:*** AI-driven social agents such as chatbots, virtual influencers, and autonomous brand agents are also being integrated into social media platforms to handle the interactions on a large scale. These agents use natural language processing (NLP), machine learning, and generative AI to interact with the user, respond to questions, suggest products, and affect the decision-making process without a human constantly present. On the business process level, the use of AI-based social agents changes the operations of customer service, sales, and marketing, as it allows conducting customer-centric interactions in real-time, personalised, and at scale. They also serve as agents of innovation by gathering unorganised data on the social scene, defining new patterns, and producing insights that can be acted upon. Independent interactions also minimise the operational costs and enhance responsiveness and uniformity. Additionally, virtual influencers are uniting the divide between technology and human interaction, where a brand is able to control the message level but still appears to be authentic. Nonetheless, the problem of trust, transparency, algorithmic bias, and ethical AI governance should be resolved to sustain a positive adoption.

ii. ***Decentralised innovation that is driven by social media:*** Web3 technologies are transforming social media to decentralised platforms where users have ownership of data, identity and digital assets. Social networks built on blockchain technology and Decentralised Autonomous Organisations (DAOs) enable community innovations, in which the decision-making, funding, and value creation processes are decentralised and not governed by centralised companies. In such a model, social media would be a platform of decentralised innovation where businesses can co-create products, manage ecosystems, and compensate contributors using smart contracts and tokens. Transparent collaboration is made possible by Dao, which cuts agency costs and enhances trust among stakeholders. The change is fundamental in nature and changes business processes in governance, management of innovation and allocation of values. Organisations that are using the decentralised social systems can access global talent pools and achieve open innovation on an unprecedented scale. Nevertheless, one of the obstacles to the wide adoption is regulatory uncertainty, scaling challenge, and complexity of governance.

iii. ***Hyper-Personalisation through Neuromarketing and Behavioural Analytics:*** Digital transformation combines social media data, neuromarketing methods, and high-level behavioural analytics to provide hyper-personalisation. Social sites lead to the creation of huge volumes of behavioural information, such as emotional responses, attention, and engagement signals. Firms can be able to create highly personalised content, products and experiences when combined with the AI-driven neuromarketing tools, which include sentiment analysis, facial recognition, eye-tracking simulation and biometric proxy. This degree of individualisation has gone beyond demographic targeting to cognitive and emotional targeting, which has had a great impact on the outcomes of innovation and customer happiness. Business marketing processes, management of customer experiences, and product development are also redesigned by hyper-personalisation as they allow a real-time adaptation. Nevertheless, privacy, manipulation and consent issues raise ethical issues that require strong governance structures. With the increasing regulation, companies are forced to balance between individualism and openness and the confidence of a customer.

iv. ***Predictive and Prescriptive Process Transformation:*** Social media analytics is becoming more predictive and prescriptive intelligence as opposed to descriptive understanding, aiding in proactive transformation of business processes. State-of-the-art AI systems analyse both historical and real-time social data to forecast the customer behaviour, the market development and operations risk. Predictive analytics enables companies to identify demand variability, innovations, and threats to reputation. Prescriptive analytics goes one step ahead to prescribe the best actions, automate the decision-making process, and dynamically reconfigure processes. This ability makes organisations flexible systems that are in a position to be constantly innovative. Social media, therefore, becomes an important input to enterprise-wide decision engines, which affect marketing, operations, HR, and supply chain management. Although these changes make organisations more agile and competitive, organisations need to deal with questions of data quality, transparency of algorithms and integrate them to reap the full benefit.

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 1 | January 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

7. RESEARCH GAPS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES

i. ***Longitudinal Research Gap on Transformation Results:*** One of the existing literature gaps is the overdependence on cross-sectional and short-term research to determine the influence of social media on digital innovation and business transformation of processes. The majority of empirical research works measure instantaneous results, such as engagement, adoption, or improvement in short-term performance, but do not measure the long-term effects of organisational transformation. Social media-led digital innovation is evolutionary, and it may take place in several stages, such as experimentation, integration, routinization, and strategic renewal. Longitudinal studies can give more information on how social media capabilities evolve as time goes by, how organisational learning takes place, as well as how an enduring competitive advantage is realised. The next round of research ought to utilise the panel data, time-series analysis, and multi-year case study to identify the causal relationships among social media adoption, development of innovation capability, and the long-term change in the business processes.

ii. ***Social Data and Enterprise Systems:*** Since social media produces large volumes of real-time, unstructured data, its systematisation and integration with enterprise systems like ERP, SCM and CRM is under-investigated in the literature. The majority of the research assumes the independent use of social media analytics as a specific marketing or customer engagement tool, which does not explore the ways of its functional and strategic implementation in the general business processes. This gap restricts the knowledge of how social data can be used to improve decision-making in procurement, inventory management, production planning, and customer relationship management. The future studies should dwell upon the architectural models, data governance mechanisms, and middleware solutions to facilitate the smooth integration of social media data into the enterprise information systems. Empirical research on the organisational preparedness, data interoperability dilemmas, and the performance of such integrations would be of great knowledge contribution in the digital transformation and innovation management.

iii. ***Measuring Impact Beyond Marketing:*** Holistic Transformation Metrics: One significant weakness of current literature is the lack of measurement of social media impact, including other marketing outcomes besides brand awareness, customer engagement, and sales performance. This marketing-focused perspective does not reflect the organisational ramifications of the social media-enabled change. It needs comprehensive measurement frameworks that evaluate the effectiveness of social media on operational efficiency, speed of innovation, quality of decisions, employee collaboration, agility of processes and resilience of an organisation. Further studies must come up with multidimensional measures of performance and measurement scales that are validated to match the capabilities of social media with business process and business strategy outcomes. The combination of balanced scorecard strategies, digital maturity models, and dynamic capabilities measurements might provide a more detailed assessment of the role of social media in the digital transformation of the enterprise as a whole.

iv. ***Ethical Frameworks of AI-Driven Social Innovation:*** There is a growing trend of ethically problematic AI-driven technologies in social media analytics, personalisation, and automation, which is covered incompletely in extant literature. Data privacy, algorithmic bias, surveillance, misinformation, and consumer behaviour manipulation issues are a serious concern to sustainable digital innovation. Available literature fails to consider the ethical aspects of employing social media-based data to transform business processes, especially in a scenario where AI-based systems are autonomous or semi-autonomous in their decision-making. Further studies ought to be directed towards the establishment of ethics and governance frameworks and models that provide a balance between transparency, responsibility, and innovation. Integrative strategies of management, ethics, law, and information systems research are needed to determine the ethics of AI-enabled social innovation, as the guiding principle would guarantee the development of trust, compliance, and long-term social acceptance.

8. CONCLUSION & DISCUSSION

This review has given an in-depth perspective of the game-changing role of social media on digital innovation and business process transformation. The merger between social media and digital technologies has turned out to be a driving force toward transforming the old business models, providing new grounds of innovation, efficiency of operations, and communication with the customer. Through the strength of social media, businesses are able to create open innovation, interact with customers in real-time, and utilise valuable insights with the help of social media analytics (SMA). These strengths would help the businesses respond quickly to the needs of the market and speed up their innovation process. Social media has become one of the most important facilitators of digital transformation, not only in marketing but in all aspects of business, such as operations, human resources and customer services. Its capability to develop responsive and agile systems that are capable of incorporating stakeholder feedback and real-time data into the system has transformed the way business is done. Moreover, social media like Facebook, LinkedIn and Twitter have created new social commerce and collaborative supply chains that are enabling businesses to create processes that are more efficient and deliver customer experiences that have never before witnessed.

It is a fact that social media plays a strategic role towards innovation. Companies that will not take advantage of such platforms may be swept away by an increasingly digital-first world. This review shows business leaders, researchers, and policymakers that they need to adopt social media as part of their digital strategies. The question that should be addressed

by researchers is the long-term consequences of adopting social media by different industries, whereas policymakers will need to make sure that the ethical standards and privacy rules are developed with the new technologies. In conclusion, companies, academics and governments should collaborate to exploit social media to influence innovation and curb the disadvantages of the media, including loss of privacy data, and reluctance to embrace changes. The future of business is a digital ecosystem that is interconnected, and social media is the core of this development.

REFRENCES

1. *Aziz, K., Dua, S. and Gupta, P. (2024) "Revolutionizing Influencer Marketing: Harnessing the Power of Data Analytics and Artificial Intelligence (AI)," in, pp. 41–66. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-65727-6_4.*
2. *Balaban, D.C., Mucundorfeanu, M. and Naderer, B. (2022) "The role of trustworthiness in social media influencer advertising: Investigating users' appreciation of advertising transparency and its effects," Communications, 47(3), pp. 395–421. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1515/commun-2020-0053.*
3. *Berthon, P.R. et al. (2012) "Marketing meets Web 2.0, social media, and creative consumers: Implications for international marketing strategy," Business Horizons, 55(3), pp. 261–271. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2012.01.007.*
4. *Bian, Q. and Forsythe, S. (2012) "Purchase intention for luxury brands: A cross cultural comparison," Journal of Business Research, 65(10), pp. 1443–1451. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2011.10.010.*
5. *Boyd, D.M. and Ellison, N.B. (2007) "Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship," Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 13(1), pp. 210–230. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2007.00393.x.*
6. *Broklyn, P., Olukemi, A. and Bell, C. (2024) "AI-Driven Personalization in Digital Marketing: Effectiveness and Ethical Considerations," SSRN Electronic Journal [Preprint]. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4906214.*
7. *Bukharina, L. and Pavliuk, T. (2021) "Social system of enterprise management as an opportunity to achieve social effects and sustainable development goals," Management and entrepreneurship: trends of development, 2(16). Available at: https://doi.org/10.26661/2522-1566/2021-1/16-01.*
8. *Campbell, C. et al. (2020) "From data to action: How marketers can leverage AI," Business Horizons, 63(2), pp. 227–243. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2019.12.002.*
9. *Capatina, A. et al. (2020) "Matching the future capabilities of an artificial intelligence-based software for social media marketing with potential users' expectations," Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 151. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119794.*
10. *Carr, C.T. and Hayes, R.A. (2015) "Social Media: Defining, Developing, and Divining," Atlantic Journal of Communication, 23(1), pp. 46–65. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/15456870.2015.972282.*
11. *Castro, C.A. de, O'Reilly, I. and Carthy, A. (2022) "The Evolution of the Internet and Social Media: A Literature Review," International Journal of e-Education, e-Business, e-Management and e-Learning, 12(1), pp. 30–41. Available at: https://doi.org/10.17706/ijeeee.2022.12.1.30-41.*
12. *Chang, H.H. and Chen, S.W. (2008) "The impact of customer interface quality, satisfaction and switching costs on e-loyalty: Internet experience as a moderator," Computers in Human Behavior, 24(6), pp. 2927–2944. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2008.04.014.*
13. *Chen, W.-K. et al. (2022) "Using fuzzy sets Qualitative Comparative Configuration to Predict Consumer Travel Behavior from Instagram: The Role of Social Media Influencers," in 2022 International Conference on Information Management and Technology (ICIMTech). IEEE, pp. 431–436. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICIMTech55957.2022.9915052.*
14. *Cheung, M.Y. et al. (2009) "Credibility of Electronic Word-of-Mouth: Informational and Normative Determinants of On-line Consumer Recommendations," International Journal of Electronic Commerce, 13(4), pp. 9–38. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2753/JEC1086-4415130402.*
15. *Chi, H. et al. (2011) "The Influences of Perceived Value on Consumer Purchase Intention: The Moderating Effect of Advertising Endorser."*
16. *Cohen, I.G. et al. (2014) "The Legal And Ethical Concerns That Arise From Using Complex Predictive Analytics In Health Care," Health Affairs, 33(7), pp. 1139–1147. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2014.0048.*
17. *Constantinides, E. (2014a) "Foundations of Social Media Marketing," Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 148, pp. 40–57. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.07.016.*
18. *Constantinides, E. (2014b) "Foundations of Social Media Marketing," Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 148, pp. 40–57. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.07.016.*
19. *Esteban Ortiz-Ospina (2019) "The rise of social media Social media sites are used by more than two-thirds of Internet users. How has social media grown over time?," Our World in Data [Preprint].*
20. *Fiad, N. et al. (2024) "Transforming Fashion: The Influence of Technology, Smart Clothing, and Historical Costumes," Journal of Textiles, Coloration and Polymer Science, 0(0), pp. 0–0. Available at: https://doi.org/10.21608/jtcps.2024.258415.1258.*
21. *Freberg, K. et al. (2011) "Who are the social media influencers? A study of public perceptions of personality," Public Relations Review, 37(1), pp. 90–92. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2010.11.001.*
22. *Freberg, K., Palenchar, M.J. and Veil, S.R. (2013) "Managing and sharing H1N1 crisis information using social media bookmarking services," Public Relations Review, 39(3), pp. 178–184. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2013.02.007.*
23. *Gawer, A. and Cusumano, M.A. (2014) "Industry platforms and ecosystem innovation," Journal of Product Innovation Management, 31(3), pp. 417–433. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12105.*

24. *Goodman, M.B., Booth, N. and Matic, J.A. (2011) "Mapping and leveraging influencers in social media to shape corporate brand perceptions," Corporate Communications: An International Journal, 16(3), pp. 184–191. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1108/13563281111156853.*
25. *Hajli, N. et al. (2017) "A social commerce investigation of the role of trust in a social networking site on purchase intentions," Journal of Business Research, 71, pp. 133–141. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.10.004.*
26. *Hanna, R., Rohm, A. and Crittenden, V.L. (2011) "We're all connected: The power of the social media ecosystem," Business Horizons, 54(3), pp. 265–273. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2011.01.007.*
27. *Henfridsson, O. and Bygstad, B. (2013) THE GENERATIVE MECHANISMS OF DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE EVOLUTION 1. Available at: http://www.misq.org.*
28. *Hoffman, D.L. and Fodor, M. (2010) "Can You Measure the ROI of Your Social Media Marketing?," MIT Sloan Management Review [Preprint]. Available at: https://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/can-you-measure-the-roi-of-your-social-media-marketing/ (Accessed: January 14, 2026).*
29. *Kane, G.C. et al. (2014) WHAT'S DIFFERENT ABOUT SOCIAL MEDIA NETWORKS? A FRAMEWORK AND RESEARCH AGENDA 1, THEORY AND REVIEW.*
30. *Kaplan, A.M. and Haenlein, M. (2010) "Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of Social Media," Business Horizons, 53(1), pp. 59–68. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2009.09.003.*
31. *Kaptein, M. and Eckles, D. (2012) "Heterogeneity in the Effects of Online Persuasion," Journal of Interactive Marketing, 26(3), pp. 176–188. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2012.02.002.*
32. *Keller, K.L. (2013) "Building strong brands in a modern marketing communications environment," Journal of Marketing Communications, pp. 139–155. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/13527260902757530.*
33. *Kempe, D., Kleinberg, J. and Tardos, É. (2015) "Maximizing the spread of influence through a social network," Theory of Computing, 11, pp. 105–147. Available at: https://doi.org/10.4086/toc.2015.v011a004.*
34. *Khin, S. and Ho, T.C.F. (2019) "Digital technology, digital capability and organizational performance: A mediating role of digital innovation," International Journal of Innovation Science, 11(2), pp. 177–195. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIS-08-2018-0083.*
35. *Leonardi, P.M. and Treem, J.W. (2012) "Knowledge management technology as a stage for strategic self-presentation: Implications for knowledge sharing in organizations," Information and Organization, 22(1), pp. 37–59. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2011.10.003.*
36. *Li, W. et al. (2023) "The Impact of Fitness Influencers on a Social Media Platform on Exercise Intention during the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Role of Parasocial Relationships," International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20(2). Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20021113.*
37. *Martin, K.D. and Murphy, P.E. (2017) "The role of data privacy in marketing," Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 45(2), pp. 135–155. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-016-0495-4.*
38. *MAYA DOLLARHIDE (2024) "Social Media: Definition, Importance, Top Websites & Apps," Investopedia [Preprint].*
39. *Murgai, A. (2018) Transforming Digital Marketing with Artificial Intelligence, International Journal of Latest Technology in Engineering. Available at: www.ijltemas.in.*
40. *Nambisan, S., Keithley Professor of Technology Management, J. and Baron, R.A. (2019) On the Costs of Digital Entrepreneurship: Role Conflict, Stress, and Venture Performance in Digital Platform-based Ecosystems On the Costs of Digital Entrepreneurship: Role Conflict, Stress, and Venture Performance in Digital Platform Ecosystems On the Costs of Digital Entrepreneurship: Role Conflict, Stress, and Venture Performance in Digital Platform Ecosystems.*
41. *Ohanian, R. (1990) "Construction and Validation of a Scale to Measure Celebrity Endorsers' Perceived Expertise, Trustworthiness, and Attractiveness," Journal of Advertising, 19(3), pp. 39–52. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.1990.10673191.*
42. *Oliveira, M., Barbosa, R. and Sousa, A. (2020) "The Use of Influencers in Social Media Marketing," in Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies. Springer, pp. 112–124. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-1564-4_12.*
43. *Oliveira, T. and Fraga Martins, M. (2011) "Literature Review of Information Technology Adoption Models at Firm Level," The Electronic Journal Information Systems Evaluation, 14, p. 110.*
44. *Pavlou and Fygenson (2006) "Understanding and Predicting Electronic Commerce Adoption: An Extension of the Theory of Planned Behavior," MIS Quarterly, 30(1), p. 115. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2307/25148720.*
45. *Pentina, I., Koh, A.C. and Le, T.T. (2012) "Adoption of social networks marketing by SMEs: Exploring the role of social influences and experience in technology acceptance," International Journal of Internet Marketing and Advertising, 7(1), pp. 65–82. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1504/IJIMA.2012.044959.*
46. *Peyravi, B., Nekrošienė, J. and Lobanova, L. (2020) "Revolutionised technologies for marketing: Theoretical review with focus on artificial intelligence," Business: Theory and Practice, 21(2), pp. 827–834. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2020.12313.*
47. *PHILIP KOTLER, HERMAWAN KARTAJAYA and IWAN SETIAWAN (2017) Marketing 4.0: Moving from Traditional to Digital.*
48. *Pongatichat, P. and Johnston, R. (2008) "Exploring strategy-misaligned performance measurement," International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 57(3), pp. 207–222. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1108/17410400810857220.*
49. *Purwar, S. (2019) Digital Marketing: An Effective Tool of Fashion Marketing. Available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=3318992.*

50. *Rahman Prasetyo, A. et al. (2025) Digital Fatigue and Cognitive Overload: Psychological Challenges in the Era of Cyber Education at Universitas Negeri Malang, International Proceeding (SENDIYA).*
51. *Ridley-Duff, Rory. and Bull, Mike. (2016) Understanding social enterprise : theory and practice. SAGE.*
52. *Rubathee Nadaraja and Rashad Yazdanifard (2013) "SOCIAL MEDIA MARKETING: ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES," Social Media Marketing [Preprint].*
53. *Segijn, C.M. and van Ooijen, I. (2022) "Differences in consumer knowledge and perceptions of personalized advertising: Comparing online behavioural advertising and synced advertising," Journal of Marketing Communications, 28(2), pp. 207–226. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/13527266.2020.1857297.*
54. *Sharma, S. and Verma, H. V. (2018) "Social Media Marketing: Evolution and Change," in Social Media Marketing. Singapore: Springer Singapore, pp. 19–36. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5323-8_2.*
55. *SIMON KEMP (2023) "DIGITAL 2023: GLOBAL OVERVIEW REPORT," Data Reportal – Global Digital Insights.*
56. *Takashima, K. et al. (2018) "Ethical concerns on sharing genomic data including patients' family members," BMC Medical Ethics, 19(1), p. 61. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-018-0310-5.*
57. *Tarafdar, M., Cooper, C.L. and Stich, J. (2019) "The technostress trifecta - techno eustress, techno distress and design: Theoretical directions and an agenda for research," Information Systems Journal, 29(1), pp. 6–42. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12169.*
58. *Trainor, K.J. et al. (2014) "Social media technology usage and customer relationship performance: A capabilities-based examination of social CRM," Journal of Business Research, 67(6), pp. 1201–1208. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.05.002.*
59. *Tsai, W.-H.S. and Men, L.R. (2013) "Motivations and Antecedents of Consumer Engagement With Brand Pages on Social Networking Sites," Journal of Interactive Advertising, 13(2), pp. 76–87. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2013.826549.*
60. *Ul Islam, J. and Rahman, Z. (2017) "The impact of online brand community characteristics on customer engagement: An application of Stimulus-Organism-Response paradigm," Telematics and Informatics, 34(4), pp. 96–109. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2017.01.004.*
61. *Vaibhava Desai (2019) "Digital Marketing: A Review," International Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development (IJTSRD) [Preprint].*
62. *Yoesoep Edhie Rachmad, Budiyanto Budiyanto and Khuzaini Khuzaini (2024) "Impact of Viral Marketing and Gimmick Marketing on Transformation of Customer Behavior Mediated by Influencer Marketing," International Journal of Economics, Management and Accounting, 2(1), pp. 221–233. Available at: https://doi.org/10.61132/ijema.v2i1.419.*
63. *Zhuang, W., Luo, X. and Riaz, M.U. (2021) "On the Factors Influencing Green Purchase Intention: A Meta-Analysis Approach," Frontiers in Psychology, 12. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.644020.*