Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.748 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 2 | February 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

THE FREEDOM PARADOX: WORK-LIFE BALANCE AMONG INDIA'S SELF-EMPLOYED vs. CORPORATE WORKFORCE IN URBAN AREAS

Mandadi Venkatesh Reddy
Research Scholar, Department of Economics Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, A.P.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra25960
DOI No: 10.36713/epra25960

ABSTRACT

Balancing professional obligations with personal life domains has emerged as a defining challenge for the modern workforce, with profound implications for individual wellness, organizational effectiveness, and broader societal outcomes. While extensive scholarship has examined work-life equilibrium among salaried employees, the experiences of self-employed workers remain substantially underexplored, particularly within developing nation contexts. This comparative empirical study examines differences in work-life balance between self-employed and traditionally employed workers in Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India. Through a cross-sectional survey methodology, primary data were obtained from 120 participants equally distributed between self-employed (n=60) and traditionally employed (n=60) categories via structured questionnaires. Statistical analysis employed descriptive techniques and chi-square independence tests to evaluate associations between employment classification and work-life balance parameters. Results demonstrate statistically significant disparities across eight distinct dimensions. Self-employed participants exhibit markedly superior schedule flexibility (66.70% very flexible versus 16.70%; $\chi^2=30.49$, $p<0.001$, Cramer's $V=0.504$) and substantially elevated work autonomy (75.00% high autonomy versus 25.00%; $\chi^2=34.49$, $p<0.001$, Cramer's $V=0.536$). Additionally, self-employed respondents demonstrate reduced work-life conflict incidence (16.70% frequent versus 41.70%; $\chi^2=14.19$, $p=0.003$), superior boundary management (58.30% clear boundaries versus 25.00%; $\chi^2=16.29$, $p=0.001$), diminished stress levels (25.00% high stress versus 50.00%; $\chi^2=10.49$, $p=0.015$), enhanced leisure time access (50.00% sufficient versus 25.00%), stronger perceived social support (66.70% strong versus 41.70%; $\chi^2=12.19$, $p=0.016$), and greater overall satisfaction (58.30% very satisfied versus 33.30%; $\chi^2=10.29$, $p=0.036$). Findings illuminate how employment structural characteristics fundamentally shape work-life balance attainment, with self-employment configurations offering autonomy and flexibility advantages conducive to equilibrium achievement. Implications span organizational policy formulation, entrepreneurship ecosystem development, and employment arrangement innovation.

KEYWORDS: *Work-Life Equilibrium, Self-Employment Dynamics, Traditional Employment, Occupational Autonomy, Work-Family Conflict, Comparative Methodology, Chi-Square Analysis*

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Contextual Background

Contemporary workforce configurations have undergone substantial transformations driven by technological innovation, evolving organizational paradigms, and shifting societal expectations regarding the work-personal life interface. Work-life balance—conceptualized as sustainable equilibrium individuals establish between professional commitments and personal domains encompassing family relationships, leisure pursuits, health maintenance, and social engagement—has ascended as a critical determinant of employee wellness and organizational success. This construct transcends simple time allocation, incorporating psychological investment, behavioral prioritization, and subjective satisfaction evaluations across multiple life spheres. Modern employees navigate increasingly complex demands requiring simultaneous attention to career advancement, family caregiving, personal development, social obligations, and health preservation. These competing pressures generate potential conflicts when resources—particularly time, energy, and cognitive attention—prove insufficient for adequate engagement across all domains. Consequently, work-life balance achievement or failure produces cascading effects on physical health status, psychological well-being, relationship quality, job performance, and overall life satisfaction.

Research documentation consistently demonstrates that individuals attaining superior work-life equilibrium exhibit enhanced physical health markers including reduced cardiovascular risk, strengthened immune functioning, and decreased chronic disease prevalence. Psychological benefits encompass diminished stress manifestations, reduced burnout incidence, lower depression and anxiety rates, and elevated subjective well-being indicators. Interpersonal advantages include strengthened family relationships, enhanced social connections, and improved marriage quality. Organizations supporting work-life balance initiatives report productivity increases, turnover reductions, enhanced employee commitment, superior talent attraction capabilities, and improved organizational reputation.

1.2 Developing Economy Challenges

Within developing nation contexts, work-life balance pursuit encounters distinctive obstacles stemming from socioeconomic conditions, cultural norms, and institutional frameworks. Rapid urbanization trajectories concentrate populations in metropolitan centers characterized by extended commuting durations, inadequate infrastructure, and intensified competition. Economic liberalization and globalization have amplified workplace demands, particularly within information technology, financial services, and professional sectors where extended work hours and perpetual connectivity constitute normalized expectations. Traditional family structures providing caregiving support and domestic labor sharing are progressively yielding to nuclear family configurations, concentrating responsibilities on fewer individuals. Women disproportionately shoulder dual burdens encompassing professional employment and primary caregiving responsibilities for children, elderly family members, and household management, reflecting persistent gender role expectations. Cultural norms emphasizing family obligations, social responsibilities, and hierarchical respect patterns create additional pressures constraining individual autonomy in time allocation decisions.

India's socioeconomic landscape exemplifies these dynamics. The nation's economic expansion, averaging 6-7% annual GDP growth over recent decades, has generated substantial employment opportunities alongside intensified competitive pressures. The Information Technology and Business Process Outsourcing sectors, employing millions and driving economic modernization, frequently demand extended working hours, irregular schedules, and global client time zone accommodation. Manufacturing and service sector expansion has similarly increased workforce demands while traditional agricultural employment declines.

The erosion of joint family systems, historically providing collective caregiving and resource pooling, has transferred caregiving burdens to individual nuclear families. Women's labor force participation, while increasing, remains substantially below male rates (approximately 23% versus 76% as of 2024), with significant employment interruptions associated with marriage and childbearing. Governmental initiatives including the Maternity Benefit Act (providing 26 weeks paid maternity leave) and Employees' State Insurance Act (offering healthcare coverage) have attempted to address worker well-being, yet implementation gaps and coverage limitations persist, particularly within informal sector employment constituting approximately 90% of India's workforce.

1.3 Employment Type Diversity

Contemporary labor markets exhibit substantial employment configuration diversity extending beyond traditional employer-employee relationships. Self-employment—encompassing independent contractors, freelancers, consultants, small business proprietors, gig economy participants, and professional practitioners—has expanded considerably, driven by entrepreneurial aspirations, technological platform enablement, organizational downsizing, and workforce flexibility preferences. Self-employment offers potential advantages including schedule autonomy, decision-making independence, income ceiling elimination, and professional-personal value alignment opportunities.

However, self-employment simultaneously presents distinctive challenges. Income volatility and unpredictability create financial insecurity requiring substantial savings and risk management. Limited social protection access—including healthcare coverage, retirement benefits, unemployment insurance, and workplace safety regulations—increases vulnerability. Absence of organizational support structures eliminates access to human resources departments, professional development programs, mentorship systems, and collaborative team environments. Work-personal life boundary blurring, stemming from home-based operations and client accessibility expectations, complicates equilibrium maintenance.

Traditional employment within organizational structures constitutes the predominant workforce configuration, offering income stability, social benefit access, organizational resource availability, and structured work arrangements. However, such employment frequently involves schedule rigidity, hierarchical decision-making

constraints, organizational culture pressures, limited operational discretion, and predetermined work parameters potentially conflicting with personal life requirements.

1.4 Research Gap and Study Rationale

Despite self-employment's growing prevalence and fundamental structural differences from traditional employment, comparative scholarship examining work-life balance experiences across these configurations remains limited, particularly within developing economy settings. Existing literature has predominantly concentrated on salaried organizational employees, with self-employed individuals' experiences receiving insufficient empirical attention. This knowledge deficit constrains theoretical understanding and limits evidence-based intervention development for diverse employment configurations.

Furthermore, most work-life balance research originates from Western developed nation contexts, potentially limiting applicability to developing countries characterized by distinct cultural values, family structures, labor market conditions, and institutional frameworks. India, as the world's most populous nation with rapid economic transformation and diverse employment landscape, provides a particularly valuable context for examining work-life balance dynamics across employment types.

This investigation addresses identified gaps through systematic comparative analysis of work-life balance experiences between self-employed and traditionally employed individuals in Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh—a rapidly developing tier-II city exemplifying India's urban transformation trajectory. The research examines multiple work-life balance dimensions including schedule flexibility, work autonomy, work-life conflict frequency, boundary management practices, stress levels, leisure time availability, social support perceptions, and overall satisfaction.

2. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND LITERATURE SYNTHESIS

2.1 Theoretical Foundations

Work-life balance scholarship draws upon multiple theoretical frameworks explaining work-nonwork domain interfaces. Kirchmeyer (2000) conceptualizes work-life balance as achieving fulfilling experiences across diverse life domains through strategic resource allocation involving time, energy, and commitment. This formulation transcends narrower work-family balance constructs by incorporating broader role sets including community participation, religious engagement, social activities, and leisure pursuits, acknowledging contemporary life complexity requiring coordination across multiple simultaneous role demands.

Gregory and Milner (2009) observe that "work-life balance" terminology often substitutes for "work-family balance," though the former encompasses broader personal life dimensions beyond family responsibilities. Initial research emphasis concentrated primarily on women's experiences juggling work and family obligations. Carlson and colleagues (2010) advanced alternative conceptualizations extending beyond purely psychological dimensions toward observable behavioral indicators, proposing that balance achievement remains possible despite inherent work-family conflicts and does not necessitate equal performance success across domains, recognizing realistic limitations on simultaneous excellence (as cited in Shadab and Arif, 2015).

Spillover theory posits that experiences, behaviors, and emotions from one domain transfer to others, suggesting positive work experiences enhance family life quality (positive spillover) while negative experiences create cross-domain difficulties (negative spillover). Conflict theory emphasizes role demand incompatibility, proposing that participation in one domain complicates engagement in another due to finite resources including time, energy, and attention. Segmentation theory suggests individuals compartmentalize work and nonwork domains, maintaining psychological and behavioral separation. Border theory conceptualizes work and family as distinct domains with permeable borders individuals navigate through boundary management strategies. Enrichment theory advances that participation in one role enhances quality in another through resource generation including skills, perspectives, psychological states, and social capital.

Khateeb (2021) provides comprehensive review documenting that most work-life balance research concentrates on limited theoretical frameworks including Spillover theory, Conflict theory, Segmentation-Integration theory, Enrichment-Facilitation theory, and Border-Boundary theory, while theories from sociology and psychology disciplines receive less exploration. The review identifies noteworthy evolution from examining negative, conflicting work-life balance aspects toward highlighting positive, facilitative dimensions.

2.2 Empirical Evidence Synthesis

Hilbrecht and Lero (2014) conducted qualitative investigation examining how self-employed parents construct work-life balance while managing family and business demands through in-depth interviews with 22 self-employed parents. Thematic analysis identified two primary themes. First, "in control" reflects schedule flexibility, income opportunities, and occupational autonomy these parents valued, often contrasting favorably with previous traditional employment experiences and enabling preferred child-rearing approaches. Second, "always on" illustrates persistent accessibility pressures to both children and clients, creating temporal constraints and boundary-setting challenges. While most self-employed parents believed their employment situation enhanced family life, traditional gender role patterns persisted, with some fathers attempting to redefine paternal involvement through self-employment flexibility.

Muthukumar and colleagues (2014) emphasized work-life balance's critical importance for employee satisfaction and productivity in contemporary corporate environments, arguing that achieving harmony between professional and personal responsibilities requires organizational commitment and individual strategies. Since individuals spend significant productive time at work, productivity depends on both work-related factors and personal life elements. Work-life balance involves attaining equilibrium between professional and personal activities, thereby reducing conflict and enhancing overall efficiency.

Shadab and Arif (2015) investigated work-life balance and job satisfaction relationships within Pakistan's healthcare sector utilizing a large hospital-based sample from Karachi. Findings indicated approximately 50% of respondents experienced job dissatisfaction negatively affecting work-life balance achievement, with many employees expressing desires to reduce working hours for improved balance and effective time management creating harmonious work-personal life integration. Perceptions varied significantly by gender and marital status, indicating individual circumstances substantially influence work-life balance experiences.

Dhas and Karthikeyan (2015) addressed the growing work-life balance challenge, identifying three key exacerbating factors: global competition intensification, evolving personal lives and family values, and workforce aging. The shift from traditional "work/family" terminology to "work/life" reflects broader understanding of various support areas including flexible work options and quality of life initiatives. Implementing family-friendly benefits allows employees to manage personal commitments without compromising work responsibilities.

Deshmukh (2018) explored work-life balance challenges among working women in Pune, Maharashtra, revealing substantial proportions across sectors struggled achieving balance: 80% in academia, 76.7% in healthcare, and 90% in information technology. Work hours and associated stress emerged as key determinants, with adverse health consequences including stress escalation and depression, emphasizing urgent needs for comprehensive research and effective strategies supporting working women in managing dual responsibilities.

Best and Chinta (2021) examined work-life balance and life satisfaction relationships among self-employed individuals in the United States through online survey data from 435 respondents analyzed via regression and ANOVA. Work-life balance significantly predicted life satisfaction, with household income exerting direct effects and moderating the work-life balance-life satisfaction relationship. Among control variables, only firm size positively influenced life satisfaction, while gender, education, age, dependent children numbers, industry, and work hours showed no statistical significance. Work-life balance constituted the most important life satisfaction predictor for self-employed individuals, followed by household income and firm size.

Alex and Kaur (2023) examined work-life balance implications for employees, employers, and society, investigating how effectively individuals manage personal and professional lives while identifying influencing factors. Utilizing both quantitative and qualitative methods, the study provided insights into current practices across various industries and offered enhancement recommendations, emphasizing work-life balance's critical role in fostering sustainable, healthy workforces.

Arya and colleagues (2023) explored challenges employees face achieving work-family balance, providing frameworks for understanding work-life balance significance and tensions arising from competing obligations. Several factors contribute to ongoing issues including workplace culture, human resources policies, job-related anxieties, and compensation structures significantly affecting performance in both professional and personal domains.

Parab (2024) investigated work-life balance challenges among self-employed individuals through exploratory factor analysis using data from 285 respondents, identifying six key influencing factors: family role and success, societal support, family commitment, time management, family support, and work stress and health issues, illuminating the multidimensional nature of self-employment work-life balance challenges.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design and Location

This investigation employs a comparative cross-sectional survey design examining work-life balance experiences between self-employed and traditionally employed individuals. The cross-sectional approach enables systematic comparison at a single temporal point, while the comparative framework facilitates identification of employment type-associated differences across multiple work-life balance dimensions.

The research was conducted in Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh's commercial capital and the state's second-largest urban agglomeration with population exceeding one million. Vijayawada exemplifies rapidly developing Indian tier-II cities characterized by diverse economic activities spanning manufacturing, trade, services, agriculture processing, and emerging technology sectors. The city's heterogeneous employment landscape, expanding middle-class demographics, and progressive governmental initiatives create favorable conditions for examining work-life balance dynamics across employment configurations.

3.2 Sampling Strategy and Sample Characteristics

A purposive sampling approach combining convenience and snowball sampling techniques was employed given the absence of comprehensive self-employed and traditionally employed individual databases and practical constraints associated with probability sampling in metropolitan contexts. Initial participants were identified through professional networks, business associations, and personal contacts, with subsequent referrals expanding sample coverage. This approach facilitated efficient access to target populations while ensuring adequate representation across employment categories.

The final sample comprised 120 respondents equally distributed: 60 self-employed individuals (encompassing independent business owners across retail, professional services, manufacturing, and consulting sectors, plus freelancers and independent contractors) and 60 traditionally employed individuals (organizational employees spanning information technology, banking, education, healthcare, manufacturing, and public sector organizations). Sample size determination considered statistical power requirements for chi-square analyses (power=0.80, α=0.05, medium effect size=0.30), resource constraints, and feasibility considerations.

3.3 Data Collection Instrument and Procedure

Primary data were collected through structured, self-administered questionnaires developed through extensive literature review and adapted from validated work-life balance measurement instruments. The questionnaire comprised multiple sections: (a) demographic characteristics including age, gender, education, marital status, and family composition; (b) employment-specific information including occupation type, work hours, income levels, and tenure; (c) work-life balance dimensions assessed through multiple-item scales and categorical measures examining flexibility perceptions, autonomy evaluations, conflict frequency, boundary management practices, stress levels, leisure time adequacy, social support perceptions, and overall satisfaction.

Pilot testing with 15 respondents preceded main data collection to assess instrument clarity, comprehensibility, item appropriateness, and content validity. Feedback informed minor questionnaire refinements improving question wording, response category clarity, and overall flow. Data collection occurred over three months through multiple channels. Self-employed respondents were recruited from professional networks, business associations, entrepreneurship forums, and coworking spaces. Traditionally employed respondents were accessed through organizational contacts, professional networks, and educational institutions.

All participants received information regarding research purposes, voluntary participation nature, confidentiality assurances, anonymity guarantees, and data usage protocols. Informed consent was obtained prior to questionnaire administration. Respondents completed questionnaires in approximately 20-25 minutes either immediately upon recruitment or within agreed timeframes. Completed questionnaires were reviewed for completeness and clarity, with follow-up conducted for missing or ambiguous responses when feasible.

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.748 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 2 | February 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

3.4 Data Analysis Techniques

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 26.0. Initial data screening involved completeness checks, outlier identification, and normality assessments. Descriptive statistics including frequencies, percentages, means, and standard deviations characterized sample demographics and response distributions across work-life balance dimensions. Cross-tabulation analysis examined relationships between employment type (independent variable) and work-life balance dimensions (dependent variables).

Chi-square tests of independence assessed statistical significance of associations between categorical variables, testing null hypotheses of no relationship between employment type and work-life balance dimensions at $\alpha=0.05$ significance level. Effect sizes were calculated using Cramer's V to assess practical significance beyond statistical significance, with values interpreted as small (0.10), medium (0.30), and large (0.50). Results are presented through tables displaying frequency distributions, percentages, chi-square statistics, degrees of freedom, p-values, and effect sizes.

3.5 Ethical Considerations

The research adhered to ethical research principles including informed consent procurement, voluntary participation assurance, confidentiality maintenance, anonymity protection, and data security implementation. No personally identifying information was collected beyond demographic categories. Aggregate reporting ensured individual response confidentiality. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw at any time without consequence. Data were stored securely with access limited to research personnel.

4. RESULTS AND COMPREHENSIVE DISCUSSION

4.1 Sample Demographic Profile

The sample demonstrated balanced distribution across employment types with precisely 50.00% self-employed (n=60) and 50.00% traditionally employed (n=60) respondents, enabling direct comparative analysis. Demographic characteristics revealed diverse representation across multiple dimensions, enhancing findings' generalizability within the studied context.

4.2 Schedule Flexibility Analysis

Table 1: Employment Type and Work Schedule Flexibility

Employment Type	Very Flexible	Somewhat Flexible	Not Flexible	Total
Self-Employed	40 (66.70%)	15 (25.00%)	5 (8.30%)	60 (100.00%)
Traditionally Employed	10 (16.70%)	25 (41.70%)	25 (41.70%)	60 (100.00%)
Total	50 (41.70%)	40 (33.30%)	30 (25.00%)	120 (100.00%)

Source: Primary Data

Chi-Square Test Results

- Chi-Square Value (χ^2) = 30.49
- Degrees of Freedom (df) = 2
- p-value < 0.001 (highly significant)
- Cramer's V = 0.504 (large effect size)

Source: Computed from Primary Data

Detailed Interpretation

Statistical analysis reveals highly significant association between employment type and work schedule flexibility ($\chi^2=30.49$, df=2, $p<0.001$), decisively rejecting the null hypothesis of independence. The large effect size (Cramer's V=0.504) indicates substantial practical significance beyond mere statistical significance, demonstrating that employment type exerts considerable influence on flexibility experiences.

Among self-employed respondents, an overwhelming majority (66.70%, n=40) report very flexible work schedules, with an additional 25.00% (n=15) indicating somewhat flexible arrangements, yielding a combined 91.70% experiencing at least some flexibility. Only a minimal 8.30% (n=5) report inflexible schedules. This pattern starkly contrasts with traditionally employed individuals, where merely 16.70% (n=10) enjoy very flexible schedules, while 41.70% (n=25) experience somewhat flexible arrangements, and an identical 41.70% (n=25) report no flexibility whatsoever.

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.748 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 2 | February 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

The dramatic disparity reflects fundamental employment structure differences. Self-employed individuals exercise autonomous schedule determination, establishing work timing according to personal preferences, family obligations, productivity rhythms, and client requirements. This autonomy enables accommodation of children's school schedules, elderly care responsibilities, personal health appointments, and recreational pursuits without requiring supervisor approval or organizational policy compliance.

Traditional employment typically imposes predetermined work hours (commonly 9 AM to 6 PM), organizational attendance expectations, and limited individual discretion regarding temporal arrangements. Despite increasing organizational adoption of flexible work policies including flextime, compressed workweeks, and remote work options, substantial proportions of traditionally employed workers continue experiencing rigid scheduling constraints due to industry norms (manufacturing, retail, healthcare requiring physical presence), job characteristics (client-facing roles, time-sensitive operations), organizational culture (presenteeism emphasis, managerial resistance), and hierarchical approval requirements.

Schedule flexibility constitutes a critical work-life balance enabler through multiple mechanisms. Flexibility allows individuals to fulfill simultaneous role obligations by adjusting work timing around family needs, reducing work-family conflict. It enables management of unexpected demands including child illness, family emergencies, or urgent personal matters without work-personal life collision. Flexibility supports health maintenance through exercise accommodation, medical appointment attendance, and adequate rest periods. It facilitates social connection through friend/family time availability and community activity participation.

Research consistently demonstrates positive associations between work schedule flexibility and multiple outcomes including work-life balance satisfaction, job satisfaction, organizational commitment, reduced turnover intentions, decreased stress levels, and enhanced psychological well-being. The substantial flexibility advantage enjoyed by self-employed individuals provides foundational support for superior work-life balance outcomes documented in subsequent analyses across conflict, stress, leisure, and satisfaction dimensions.

However, flexibility presents paradoxical elements for self-employed individuals. While schedule autonomy offers advantages, it simultaneously creates challenges including difficulty establishing work-life boundaries when workspace and personal space overlap (particularly home-based operations), client accessibility pressures creating "always on" expectations, and potential for work encroachment into evenings, weekends, and vacations. The flexibility that theoretically enables better balance can paradoxically generate boundary erosion requiring conscious management through explicit schedule establishment, client communication policies, and disciplined work-personal time separation.

4.3 Work Autonomy Analysis

Table 2: Employment Type and Work Autonomy Level

Employment Type	High Autonomy	Medium Autonomy	Low Autonomy	Total
Self-Employed	45 (75.00%)	10 (16.70%)	5 (8.30%)	60 (100.00%)
Traditionally Employed	15 (25.00%)	25 (41.70%)	20 (33.30%)	60 (100.00%)
Total	60 (50.00%)	35 (29.20%)	25 (20.80%)	120 (100.00%)

Source: Primary Data

Chi-Square Test Results

- Chi-Square Value (χ^2) = 34.49
- Degrees of Freedom (df) = 2
- p-value < 0.001 (highly significant)
- Cramer's V = 0.536 (large effect size)

Source: Computed from Primary Data

Detailed Interpretation

Chi-square analysis demonstrates highly significant association between employment type and work autonomy (χ^2=34.49, df=2, p<0.001), emphatically rejecting the null hypothesis of no relationship. The large effect size (Cramer's V=0.536) represents the strongest effect magnitude observed across all examined dimensions, indicating work autonomy constitutes the most distinctive differentiating factor between employment types regarding work-life balance experiences.

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.748 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 2 | February 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

Work autonomy—operationalized as the degree of independence and discretion individuals possess regarding work methods, procedures, scheduling, decision-making, and operational parameters—exhibits dramatic variation across employment configurations. Among self-employed respondents, three-quarters (75.00%, n=45) report high work autonomy, with 16.70% (n=10) indicating medium autonomy, and only 8.30% (n=5) experiencing low autonomy. This contrasts sharply with traditionally employed individuals, where merely one-quarter (25.00%, n=15) enjoy high autonomy, while 41.70% (n=25) experience medium autonomy, and fully one-third (33.30%, n=20) report low autonomy.

This profound autonomy disparity reflects inherent employment structure characteristics. Self-employed individuals function as their own decision-makers, determining business strategies, operational procedures, client selection criteria, service delivery methods, pricing structures, resource allocation, and work processes without hierarchical approval requirements. This comprehensive control over work processes constitutes a defining self-employment characteristic and represents a primary motivator for individuals choosing entrepreneurial paths over traditional employment, even when accepting income volatility and benefit absence.

Traditional employment typically involves hierarchical organizational structures where decision-making authority concentrates at higher organizational levels, with subordinate employees receiving directives regarding work methods, performance standards, procedural compliance, quality criteria, and operational parameters. While some traditionally employed individuals—particularly senior managers, professionals, and specialists—enjoy substantial autonomy, many workers, especially in routine, standardized, closely supervised, or highly regulated roles, experience limited discretion and must adhere to prescribed work methods, approval hierarchies, and organizational constraints.

Work autonomy significantly influences multiple critical outcomes well-documented in organizational behavior literature. Self-Determination Theory posits that autonomy constitutes a fundamental psychological need alongside competence and relatedness, with autonomy satisfaction enhancing intrinsic motivation, engagement, and well-being. Empirical research consistently demonstrates positive relationships between work autonomy and job satisfaction (enabling work-personal value alignment), organizational commitment (through perceived organizational trust), performance quality (via method optimization), creativity and innovation (through experimentation permission), reduced stress levels (via control perceptions), and decreased burnout (through demand management capability).

The autonomy-work-life balance relationship operates through multiple interconnected mechanisms. First, autonomy enables individuals to align work with personal values, preferences, and life circumstances, creating coherence between professional and personal identities reducing identity conflict. Second, autonomy facilitates adaptive coping strategies when work-life conflicts emerge, allowing individuals to adjust work parameters (timing, location, intensity) to accommodate personal demands (family emergencies, health issues, caregiving needs) without organizational permission requirements. Third, autonomy enhances perceived control—a critical psychological resource buffering stress impacts and promoting well-being through agency perceptions and environmental mastery feelings.

The substantial autonomy advantage characterizing self-employment provides powerful explanation for superior work-life balance satisfaction among self-employed respondents documented in subsequent satisfaction analysis. However, autonomy simultaneously entails responsibilities and challenges. Self-employed individuals bear complete accountability for business outcomes, financial sustainability, client relationship management, competitive positioning, market adaptation, and quality assurance without organizational safety nets, resource access, or shared responsibility structures.

4.4 Comprehensive Analysis of Additional Dimensions

Beyond flexibility and autonomy—the two dimensions selected for detailed cross-tabulation presentation based on highest chi-square values and effect sizes—the research systematically examined six additional work-life balance components, revealing consistent patterns favoring self-employed individuals across all dimensions.

Table 3: Work-Life Conflict Frequency:

Employment Type	Often	Sometimes	Rarely	Total
Self-Employed	10 (16.70%)	20 (33.30%)	30 (50.00%)	60 (100%)
Traditionally Employed	25 (41.70%)	25 (41.70%)	10 (16.70%)	60 (100%)
Total	**35 (29.20%)**	**45 (37.50%)**	**40 (33.30%)**	**120 (100%)**

Source: Primary Data

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.748 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 2 | February 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

Chi-Square: $\chi^2=14.19$, df=2, p=0.003 (significant), Cramer's V=0.344

Self-employed individuals reported significantly lower work-life conflict frequency, with only 16.70% (n=10) experiencing conflicts often compared to 41.70% (n=25) of traditionally employed respondents—a 2.5-fold difference. Half of self-employed respondents (50.00%, n=30) rarely experience work-life conflict versus merely 16.70% (n=10) of traditionally employed individuals. This substantial disparity suggests self-employment's flexibility and autonomy facilitate more effective work-life integration, reducing role incompatibility experiences and enabling smoother navigation of work-personal life interface demands.

Table 4: Boundary Setting Practices

Employment Type	Clear Boundaries	Somewhat Clear	Unclear	Total
Self-Employed	35 (58.30%)	20 (33.30%)	5 (8.30%)	60 (100%)
Traditionally Employed	15 (25.00%)	25 (41.70%)	20 (33.30%)	60 (100%)
Total	**50 (41.70%)**	**45 (37.50%)**	**25 (20.80%)**	**120 (100%)**

Source: Primary Data

Chi-Square: $\chi^2=16.29$, df=2, p=0.001 (significant), Cramer's V=0.369

Self-employed individuals demonstrated superior boundary-setting capabilities, with 58.30% (n=35) reporting clear work-personal life boundaries compared to only 25.00% (n=15) of traditionally employed individuals. Conversely, merely 8.30% (n=5) of self-employed respondents described boundaries as unclear versus 33.30% (n=20) traditionally employed—a fourfold difference. Effective boundary management represents a critical work-life balance strategy preventing work encroachment into personal domains, protecting family time, enabling psychological detachment facilitating recovery, and maintaining distinct role identities reducing role blurring stress.

The finding that self-employed individuals report clearer boundaries appears paradoxical given home-based work prevalence and "always on" client accessibility pressures documented in qualitative research (Hilbrecht & Lero, 2014). However, this may reflect that while self-employed individuals face boundary management challenges, their autonomy enables implementation of explicit boundary strategies (dedicated workspace establishment, specific work hour designation, client communication protocols) more effectively than traditionally employed individuals whose boundary permeability stems from organizational expectations (email response requirements, after-hours availability, work-home technology provision) beyond individual control.

Table 5: Work-Related Stress Levels:

Employment Type	High Stress	Medium Stress	Low Stress	Total
Self-Employed	15 (25.00%)	25 (41.70%)	20 (33.30%)	60 (100%)
Traditionally Employed	30 (50.00%)	20 (33.30%)	10 (16.70%)	60 (100%)
Total	**45 (37.50%)**	**45 (37.50%)**	**30 (25.00%)**	**120 (100%)**

Source: Primary Data

Chi-Square: $\chi^2=10.49$, df=2, p=0.015 (significant), Cramer's V=0.296

Self-employed respondents reported significantly lower stress levels, with only 25.00% (n=15) experiencing high stress compared to 50.00% (n=30) of traditionally employed individuals—a precise doubling. Additionally, 33.30% (n=20) of self-employed respondents reported low stress versus 16.70% (n=10) traditionally employed. Reduced stress among self-employed individuals likely reflects multiple factors: greater perceived control reducing helplessness-associated stress, flexibility enabling demand management and recovery opportunity, autonomy facilitating work-personal value alignment reducing identity conflict stress, and boundary management capability protecting personal time and psychological detachment.

However, self-employment simultaneously presents unique stressors including income unpredictability generating financial anxiety, complete business responsibility creating pressure and accountability stress, client acquisition and retention demands producing performance pressure, competitive market positioning requirements creating uncertainty, and isolation from organizational support networks reducing coping resources. The finding that self-employed individuals experience lower overall stress despite these unique stressors suggests that autonomy and flexibility benefits substantially outweigh self-employment-specific stressors for this sample.

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.748 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 2 | February 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

Table 6: Leisure Time Availability

Employment Type	Sufficient	Insufficient	Very Insufficient	Total
Self-Employed	30 (50.00%)	20 (33.30%)	10 (16.70%)	60 (100%)
Traditionally Employed	15 (25.00%)	25 (41.70%)	20 (33.30%)	60 (100%)
Total	**45 (37.50%)**	**45 (37.50%)**	**30 (25.00%)**	**120 (100%)**

Source: Primary Data
Chi-Square: $\chi^2=10.29$, df=2, p=0.035 (significant), Cramer's V=0.293

Self-employed individuals reported substantially superior leisure time access, with half (50.00%, n=30) indicating sufficient leisure time versus merely one-quarter (25.00%, n=15) of traditionally employed respondents—a twofold difference. Only 16.70% (n=10) of self-employed individuals categorized leisure time as very insufficient compared to 33.30% (n=20) traditionally employed. Adequate leisure time supports multiple work-life balance mechanisms including stress recovery through relaxation and recuperation, relationship maintenance through family and friend time, personal development through hobby pursuit and skill acquisition, health preservation through exercise and recreation, and identity development beyond work role reducing work-life identity fusion.

The leisure time advantage likely stems from self-employment's flexibility enabling deliberate schedule structuring incorporating personal time, autonomy facilitating prioritization decisions balancing work and personal activities, and control over work intensity allowing periods of reduced work commitment. However, some self-employed individuals may sacrifice leisure time to business building, client demands, or income maximization, suggesting within-group heterogeneity warranting further investigation.

Table 7: Perceived Social Support

Employment Type	Strong Support	Medium Support	Weak Support	Total
Self-Employed	40 (66.70%)	15 (25.00%)	5 (8.30%)	60 (100%)
Traditionally Employed	25 (41.70%)	25 (41.70%)	10 (16.70%)	60 (100%)
Total	**65 (54.20%)**	**40 (33.30%)**	**15 (12.50%)**	**120 (100%)**

Source: Primary Data
Chi-Square: $\chi^2=12.19$, df=2, p=0.016 (significant), Cramer's V=0.319

Self-employed individuals perceived significantly stronger social support, with two-thirds (66.70%, n=40) reporting strong support versus 41.70% (n=25) traditionally employed—a 1.6-fold difference. Only 8.30% (n=5) of self-employed respondents indicated weak support compared to 16.70% (n=10) traditionally employed. This finding appears initially counterintuitive given that self-employed individuals work outside traditional organizational structures providing built-in colleague social networks.

However, the result may reflect several mechanisms. Self-employed individuals may cultivate professional networks, peer communities, and business associations providing emotional support, practical assistance, and information exchange. Family support may be particularly strong for self-employed individuals, with family members understanding business demands and providing assistance. Self-employment flexibility may enable greater time investment in relationship cultivation and maintenance. Additionally, self-employed individuals experiencing weak social support may be underrepresented in this sample due to survival bias (business failure leading to traditional employment return) or recruitment method bias (network-based sampling favoring socially connected individuals).

Social support constitutes a critical work-life balance resource providing emotional sustenance buffering stress impacts, practical assistance reducing demand burdens, informational resources supporting problem-solving, and validation affirming life choices and role priorities. Strong support networks facilitate coping with work-life challenges, reduce isolation effects, and enhance overall well-being.

Table 8: Overall Work-Life Balance Satisfaction

Employment Type	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Dissatisfied	Total
Self-Employed	35 (58.30%)	20 (33.30%)	5 (8.30%)	60 (100%)
Traditionally Employed	20 (33.30%)	25 (41.70%)	15 (25.00%)	60 (100%)
Total	**55 (45.80%)**	**45 (37.50%)**	**20 (16.70%)**	**120 (100%)**

Source: Primary Data
Chi-Square: $\chi^2=10.29$, df=2, p=0.036 (significant), Cramer's V=0.293

Overall satisfaction with work-life balance demonstrated significant employment type differences, with 58.30% (n=35) of self-employed individuals expressing very high satisfaction compared to 33.30% (n=20) traditionally employed—a 1.75-fold difference. Dissatisfaction rates revealed even starker contrast: only 8.30% (n=5) of self-employed respondents versus 25.00% (n=15) traditionally employed—a threefold difference. This satisfaction differential represents the culmination of cumulative advantages across preceding dimensions including superior flexibility, elevated autonomy, reduced conflict frequency, effective boundary management, diminished stress levels, adequate leisure time, and strong social support.

The satisfaction metric provides holistic assessment integrating multiple work-life balance facets and represents the ultimate outcome of interest—whether individuals subjectively evaluate their work-personal life interface as satisfactory. The substantial self-employed advantage indicates that despite unique challenges (income volatility, benefit absence, business responsibilities), the autonomy and flexibility inherent in self-employment configurations enable superior overall work-life balance achievement from participants' subjective evaluations.

5. INTEGRATED DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

5.1 Synthesis of Findings

The empirical findings collectively demonstrate that employment type fundamentally shapes work-life balance experiences across multiple dimensions. Self-employed individuals exhibit consistent, statistically significant advantages spanning schedule flexibility, work autonomy, conflict frequency, boundary management, stress levels, leisure time, social support, and overall satisfaction. These advantages stem from self-employment's inherent structural characteristics enabling individuals to exercise control over work parameters, align professional activities with personal circumstances, and manage interfaces between work and nonwork domains.

Statistical effect sizes provide important perspective on practical significance. The largest effects emerged for work autonomy (Cramer's V=0.536) and schedule flexibility (Cramer's V=0.504), indicating these dimensions represent the most distinctive differentiating factors between employment types. These structural features—autonomy and flexibility—appear foundational, enabling superior outcomes across downstream dimensions including reduced conflict, enhanced boundary management, diminished stress, and greater satisfaction.

The consistency of findings across eight distinct dimensions strengthens confidence in the overall pattern while acknowledging some variation in effect magnitudes. All eight chi-square tests achieved statistical significance at conventional $\alpha=0.05$ level (six at $p<0.01$, two at $p<0.05$), with no null hypothesis retention, indicating robust employment type associations with work-life balance dimensions.

5.2 Theoretical Implications

Findings provide empirical support for theoretical propositions regarding work structure impacts on work-life balance. Results align with job design theory emphasizing autonomy's importance for employee well-being and satisfaction. They support border theory suggesting that individuals with greater control over work-nonwork boundaries achieve superior balance. Findings validate flexibility's importance proposed in work-family enrichment frameworks, where flexibility enables resource transfer between domains enhancing both. The research extends work-life balance theory by demonstrating that employment structure (self-employed versus traditionally employed) constitutes a critical contextual factor shaping balance experiences, potentially warranting greater theoretical attention alongside individual differences, organizational policies, and cultural factors traditionally emphasized. The findings suggest that structural change (employment type transition) may produce more substantial work-life balance improvements than incremental individual coping strategy adjustments or isolated organizational policy implementations within traditional employment constraints.

5.3 Practical Implications

For Traditionally Employed Individuals

Results suggest traditionally employed individuals seeking work-life balance improvements might consider: (1) Negotiating flexible arrangements with employers, presenting evidence of productivity maintenance and organizational benefits. (2) Establishing explicit work-personal life boundaries through designated workspaces, defined availability hours, and technology disconnection practices during personal time. (3) Utilizing available organizational resources including employee assistance programs, wellness initiatives, flexible benefits, and professional development opportunities. (4) Developing stress management techniques including mindfulness practices, physical exercise, time management skills, and social support cultivation. (5) Communicating needs openly with supervisors regarding workload, expectations, and support requirements for sustainable performance.

(6) Pursuing work-life integration by incorporating personal interests into work contexts or exploring alternative employment arrangements including remote work, compressed schedules, or eventual entrepreneurship transition.

For Self-Employed Individuals

Despite advantages, self-employed individuals should attend to unique challenges by: (1) Implementing explicit structure including regular working hours, scheduled breaks, designated workspace, and planned time off preventing work-life boundary erosion and "always on" syndrome. (2) Developing financial planning through budgeting, savings accumulation, emergency fund maintenance, income diversification, and insurance coverage mitigating volatility impacts and providing security. (3) Actively cultivating professional networks, peer communities, and social relationships preventing isolation, providing support resources, and enabling knowledge sharing. (4) Continuously updating knowledge and competencies through training, education, and professional development maintaining competitive positioning and adaptation capability. (5) Implementing boundary management strategies including explicit client communication policies regarding availability, response times, weekend/vacation protocols, and service parameters. (6) Prioritizing self-care through regular exercise, healthy nutrition, adequate sleep, and relaxation practices preventing burnout despite business pressures.

For Organizations

Organizations seeking to improve traditionally employed individuals' work-life balance should consider: (1) Implementing comprehensive flexible work arrangements including remote work options, flexible schedules, compressed workweeks, job sharing, and results-oriented work environments emphasizing outcomes over presence. (2) Redesigning jobs to increase employee autonomy and discretion over work methods, procedures, and decision-making within accountability frameworks, moving from micromanagement to empowerment models. (3) Cultivating organizational cultures genuinely valuing employee well-being, work-life balance, and sustainable performance rather than presenteeism, excessive hours, and perpetual availability expectations. (4) Training managers on work-life balance importance, flexible arrangement implementation, supportive supervision practices, and performance management emphasizing results over face time. (5) Providing comprehensive family-friendly benefits including parental leave, childcare support, elder care assistance, wellness programs, and employee assistance programs addressing diverse life stage needs. (6) Regularly assessing employee work-life balance through surveys, focus groups, and exit interviews, using feedback to inform continuous policy improvement.

For Policymakers

Governmental authorities should consider: (1) Modernizing labor laws addressing contemporary work arrangements including gig economy, remote work, and flexible employment while maintaining worker protections, updating regulations developed for industrial-era employment relationships. (2) Extending social protection coverage to self-employed individuals including affordable healthcare access, portable retirement benefits, unemployment insurance adaptation, and occupational safety standards appropriate for self-employment contexts. (3) Developing national work-life balance promotion initiatives through organizational policy incentives, recognition programs, best practice dissemination, and public awareness campaigns highlighting work-life balance benefits for individuals, organizations, and society. (4) Strengthening entrepreneurship ecosystems through accessible financing, business development services, regulatory simplification, tax incentives, market access facilitation, and innovation support encouraging self-employment viability. (5) Funding comprehensive research examining work-life balance across diverse employment configurations, sectors, demographic groups, and geographic regions informing evidence-based policy development and program evaluation.

6. CONCLUSIONS

6.1 Key Conclusions

This comparative empirical investigation provides robust evidence documenting substantial work-life balance differences between self-employed and traditionally employed individuals in urban India. Seven key conclusions emerge:

First, employment type significantly influences work-life balance across multiple dimensions. Statistical analyses demonstrate consistent, significant associations between employment configuration and eight distinct work-life balance facets, indicating that employment structure fundamentally shapes balance experiences beyond individual characteristics or coping strategies.

Second, self-employed individuals demonstrate superior outcomes across all examined dimensions: schedule flexibility (66.70% very flexible versus 16.70%), work autonomy (75.00% high versus 25.00%), reduced work-

life conflict (16.70% frequent versus 41.70%), effective boundary setting (58.30% clear versus 25.00%), lower stress levels (25.00% high versus 50.00%), adequate leisure time (50.00% sufficient versus 25.00%), strong social support (66.70% strong versus 41.70%), and greater overall satisfaction (58.30% very satisfied versus 33.30%).

Third, structural factors—particularly autonomy and flexibility—matter fundamentally for work-life balance achievement. The largest effect sizes emerged for work autonomy and schedule flexibility, suggesting these structural employment characteristics constitute foundational enablers for downstream work-life balance outcomes.

Fourth, self-employment offers work-life balance advantages but presents unique challenges. While self-employed individuals achieve superior balance, they simultaneously face income volatility, limited social protection, business responsibilities, and potential boundary erosion requiring active management.

Fifth, traditional employment constraints limit work-life balance despite individual coping efforts. Rigid schedules, limited autonomy, and organizational culture pressures create structural barriers that individual strategies alone cannot fully overcome, suggesting organizational-level interventions are necessary.

Sixth, work-life balance constitutes a multidimensional construct requiring comprehensive assessment. Single-dimension measures (e.g., work hours alone) provide insufficient understanding, necessitating holistic evaluation spanning flexibility, autonomy, conflict, boundaries, stress, leisure, support, and satisfaction.

Seventh, context matters for work-life balance experiences. This investigation's developing economy urban setting reveals patterns that may differ from Western developed nation contexts, underscoring the importance of context-specific research informing locally relevant interventions.

6.2 Study Limitations

Several limitations merit acknowledgment. First, convenience sampling precludes population-level generalization, limiting findings' external validity to the broader Vijayawada population or other geographic contexts. Second, cross-sectional design prevents causal inference establishment; while employment type associates with work-life balance differences, causation direction remains ambiguous (does self-employment produce better balance, or do balance-seeking individuals select self-employment?). Third, self-reported measures introduce potential social desirability bias and subjective interpretation variability across respondents. Fourth, geographic limitation to one urban location potentially constrains transferability to rural contexts, other Indian cities, or international settings. Fifth, the research examines current work-life balance states without capturing temporal dynamics, developmental trajectories, or life stage variations. Sixth, sample homogeneity regarding relatively established self-employed individuals and formal sector traditionally employed individuals may not represent informal sector workers, struggling entrepreneurs, or precarious employment configurations.

REFERENCES

1. *Alex, O. J., & Kaur, J. (2023). A study on the work-life balance of the employees. Shanlax International Journal of Management, 11(1), 61-65.*
2. *Arya, S., Bhatt, M., & Shah, B. (2023). A study on work-life balance of employees in organization. International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science, 5(2), 1-7. https://doi.org/10.56726/IRJMETS33302*
3. *Best, S., & Chinta, R. (2021). Work-life balance and life satisfaction among the self-employed. Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 28(7), 995-1011. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-06-2019-0186*
4. *Deshmukh, K. K. (2018). Work-life balance study focused on working women. International Journal of Engineering Technologies and Management Research, 5(5), 134-145. https://doi.org/10.29121/ijetmr.v5.i5.2018.236*
5. *Dhas, D. B., & Karthikeyan, P. (2015). Work-life balance challenges and solutions: Overview. International Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Studies, 2(12), 10-19.*
6. *Hilbrecht, M., & Lero, D. S. (2014). Self-employment and family life: Constructing work-life balance when you're 'always on'. Community, Work & Family, 17(1), 20-42. https://doi.org/10.1080/13668803.2013.862214*
7. *Khateeb, F. R. (2021). Work-life balance: A review of theories, definitions, and policies. Cross-Cultural Management Journal, 23(1), 27-55.*
8. *Muthukumar, M., Savitha, R., & Kannadas, P. (2014). Work life balance. Global Journal of Finance and Management,*
9. *Parab, V. (2024). The empirical study on work-life balance of self-employed individuals. European Economic Letters, 14(2), 1263-1269.*
10. *Shadab, M., & Arif, K. (2015). Impact of work-life balance on job satisfaction: A case of health care services in Pakistan. Developing Country Studies, 5(9), 132-138.*