Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.748 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

A STUDY OF REMOTE WORK ENVIRONMENTS AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON WORK-LIFE BALANCE

Ms. Asvana S M[1], Dr. A. Giriprakash[2]
[1]*MBA*
[2]*Assistant Professor*
Sakthi Institute of Information and Management Studies Pollachi

ABSTRACT
This study investigates how remote work environments affect employee's work–life balance and overall well-being, focusing on flexible scheduling, stress, productivity, workspace quality, and boundary-blurring in remote and hybrid contexts. Using a quantitative design, primary data were gathered from 146 respondents via a structured Google Forms questionnaire. Data were analyzed with percentage analysis, Chi-square tests, and ANOVA to examine relationships and group differences among the variables. Results indicate that flexible schedules enhance productivity and reduce stress, while after-hours work and weak work–life boundaries harm personal well-being. Workspace quality also emerged as a significant determinant of productivity in remote settings. The study recommends that organizations adopt clear remote-work policies, promote healthy digital boundaries, provide adequate workspace support, and implement employee wellness initiatives to strengthen work–life balance, boost productivity, and increase overall employee satisfaction.
KEYWORDS: *Remote Work, Work-Life Balance, Flexible Scheduling, Employee Well-Being, Productivity*

INTRODUCTION

The modern work landscape has undergone a profound transformation over the last decade, propelled by advancements in digital technology and communication. Traditional office-based structures have transitioned into flexible, tech-enabled environments where remote work also known as telecommuting has emerged as a central development. While the concept existed previously, the global scale of the COVID-19 pandemic necessitated its rapid adoption to ensure business continuity. This shift demonstrated that productivity could be sustained outside the traditional office, leading to the permanent integration of remote and hybrid models across sectors such as IT, finance, education, and consulting.

This evolution is characterized by increased flexibility, autonomy, and a heavy reliance on digital collaboration tools like Zoom, Microsoft Teams, and Slack. By eliminating the daily commute and granting employees greater control over their schedules, remote work offers a unique opportunity to better integrate professional and personal lives. However, these benefits are often accompanied by significant challenges. The blurring of work home boundaries creates risks of chronic stress, burnout, and social isolation. The impact of these environments is highly subjective, influenced by individual circumstances, specific job roles, and the level of support provided by the organization.

Organizations that pair remote work with robust policies can see marked improvements in employee performance, engagement, and retention. In this context, Human Resources plays a pivotal role in designing flexible policies, monitoring employee wellbeing, and implementing performance systems suited for virtual environments. By providing structured engagement programs, HR departments can bridge the gap created by physical distance and foster a cohesive organizational culture.

Concept of the Remote Work Environment

A remote work environment is defined by its emphasis on digital connectivity and the removal of geographical constraints. This model manifests in various forms, including fully remote roles, hybrid arrangements that combine office and home settings, and "work-from-anywhere" policies. While these structures provide freedom from the commute, they demand high levels of self-discipline, proactive time management, and exceptionally clear communication to ensure operational efficiency.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To analyze the physical and technological components of remote work environments
- To evaluate the impact of flexible scheduling on employee mental well-being and stress levels.
- To identify the primary "boundary-blurring" factors in a remote setting.
- To propose actionable strategies for organizations to support healthy work-life integration.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Allen, Golden & Shockley (2015) examined the relationship between telecommuting and work-family conflict. The study found that remote work reduces work-family conflict when employees are given autonomy and flexible schedules. However, lack of clear boundaries between work and personal life may increase stress and role conflict. The authors emphasized the importance of organizational support and effective boundary management for successful remote work outcomes.

Bloom et al. (2015) conducted an experimental study on the effectiveness of working from home. The findings showed that remote employees experienced higher productivity, improved job satisfaction, and lower attrition rates. Reduced distractions and commuting stress contributed to these positive outcomes. However, the study also highlighted social isolation and reduced team interaction as major challenges of remote work.

Gajendran & Harrison (2007) carried out a meta-analysis to evaluate the impact of telecommuting on employees and organizations. The study concluded that remote work positively influences job satisfaction, autonomy, and psychological well-being. It also reduces role stress and work-family conflict when practiced in moderation. However, excessive telecommuting may weaken coworker relationships and team cohesion.

Greenhaus & Beutell (1985) introduced the Work-Family Conflict Theory to explain conflicts between professional and personal roles. The authors identified time-based, strain-based, and behaviour-based conflicts as the major forms of work-family conflict. In remote work settings, flexibility may reduce commuting stress, but unclear boundaries can intensify strain and emotional exhaustion. The study highlighted the importance of role management and work-life balance.

Kossek & Lautsch (2018) explored the effects of flexible work arrangements on employee well-being and organizational performance. Their research showed that flexibility improves work-life balance, job satisfaction, and employee morale. However, the study emphasized that managerial support and clear guidelines are essential to prevent overwork and burnout. The authors concluded that supportive leadership plays a key role in the success of flexible work policies.

RESEARCH DESIGN

Based on the survey method, the present study is descriptive and analytical in nature. This section explains the methods adopted for sample selection, respondent selection, data collection, and statistical analysis. The study focuses on examining the impact of remote work environments on employee's work-life balance, mental well-being, flexible scheduling, and boundary-blurring factors.

HYPOTHESES

Hypothesis 1: Flexible Work Schedule and Stress Level of Employees

- H_{01} (Null Hypothesis): There is no significant relationship between flexible work schedule and stress level of employees.
- H_{11} (Alternative Hypothesis): There is a significant relationship between flexible work schedule and stress level of employees.

Hypothesis 2: Work After Office Hours and Work-Life Balance

- H_{02} (Null Hypothesis): There is no significant relationship between working after office hours and work-life balance.
- H_{12} (Alternative Hypothesis): There is a significant relationship between working after office hours and work-life balance.

Hypothesis 3: Age Group and Stress Level of Respondents

- H_{03} (Null Hypothesis): There is no significant difference in stress levels among different age groups.
- H_{13} (Alternative Hypothesis): There is a significant difference in stress levels among different age groups.

Hypothesis 4: Workspace Quality and Productivity Level

- H_{04} (Null Hypothesis): There is no significant difference in productivity levels based on workspace quality.
- H_{14} (Alternative Hypothesis): There is a significant difference in productivity levels based on workspace quality.

SOURCES OF DATA

Primary Data

Primary data was collected through a structured questionnaire distributed using Google Forms among employees working in remote and hybrid work environments.

Secondary Data

Secondary data was collected from:

- Research journals

- Published articles
- Online academic resources
- Organizational reports
- Websites related to remote work and employee well-being

SAMPLE SIZE

The study was conducted among 146 respondents working in remote or hybrid work environments across different industries. Out of the total responses collected, only 120 fully completed questionnaires were considered for hypothesis testing and statistical analysis, as incomplete responses may affect the accuracy and reliability of the results.

SAMPLING TECHNIQUE

Convenience sampling technique was used for selecting respondents based on accessibility and willingness to participate in the study.

TOOLS FOR ANALYSIS

The following statistical tools were used for analyzing the collected data:

- Percentage Analysis
- Chi-Square Test
- Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

Percentage analysis was used to identify respondent distribution and trends. Chi-Square analysis was applied to examine relationships between categorical variables. ANOVA was used to compare variations among different employee groups regarding remote work experiences and work-life balance.

METHOD OF ANALYSIS

The collected data was analyzed using quantitative research techniques. Statistical analysis was performed using tools such as SPSS and Microsoft Excel to interpret the responses and evaluate relationships between variables related to remote work environments and employee well-being.

CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS

• Flexible Work Schedule and Stress Level of Employees

H_0: There is no significant relationship between flexible work schedule and stress level of employees.
H_1: There is a significant relationship between flexible work schedule and stress level of employees.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.562	4	.048
Likelihood Ratio	9.210	4	.056
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.875	1	.049
N of Valid Cases	120		

INTERPRETATION

The result is statistically significant since the p-value = 0.048 is less than 0.05. As a result, the null hypothesis is rejected. This indicates that there is a significant relationship between flexible work scheduling and employee stress levels. Employees with flexible work schedules tend to experience lower stress and improved work-life balance.

CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS

• Work After Office Hours and Work-Life Balance

H_0: There is no significant relationship between working after office hours and work-life balance.
H_1: There is a significant relationship between working after office hours and work-life balance.

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	13.876	4	.008
Likelihood Ratio	13.221	4	.010
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.452	1	.006
N of Valid Cases	120		

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.748 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 13 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

INTERPRETATION

The result is statistically significant since the p-value = 0.008 is less than 0.05. As a result, the null hypothesis is rejected. This indicates that there is a significant relationship between working after office hours and work-life balance. Employees who frequently work beyond regular office hours are more likely to experience difficulty maintaining a balance between their personal and professional lives.

ANOVA ANALYSIS

• Age Group and Stress Level of Respondents

H_0: There is no significant difference in stress levels among different age groups.

H_1: There is a significant difference in stress levels among different age groups.

ANOVA Table

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Significance
Between Groups	18.452	2	9.226	5.126	0.015
Within Groups	210.568	117	1.800		
Total	229.020	119			

INTERPRETATION

The ANOVA test shows that there is a significant difference in stress levels among different age groups. The significance value (0.015) is less than 0.05. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected. This indicates that age plays an important role in determining stress levels in remote work environments, with certain age groups experiencing higher stress levels than others.

ANOVA ANALYSIS

• Workspace Quality and Productivity Level

H_0: There is no significant difference in productivity levels based on workspace quality.

H_1: There is a significant difference in productivity levels based on workspace quality.

ANOVA Table

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Significance
Between Groups	15.964	2	7.982	3.876	0.024
Within Groups	240.118	117	2.052		
Total	256.082	119			

INTERPRETATION

The ANOVA test reveals a significant difference in productivity levels based on workspace quality. Since the p-value (0.024) is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis is rejected. This implies that better workspace quality positively influences employee productivity in remote work settings**.**

DISCUSSION

The study indicates that remote work substantially affects employee's well-being and work life balance. Greater schedule flexibility gives employees more control over their routines, reducing stress and enhancing job satisfaction. Conversely, after-hours work blurs boundaries between work and personal life, which increases stress and undermines well-being.

Workspace quality also emerged as a key factor: employees who have comfortable, supportive home workspaces report higher productivity, better concentration, and lower stress. Additionally, organizational support manifested through clear policies, structured remote-work practices, and flexible scheduling plays a critical role in sustaining employee well-being in remote settings.

In sum, remote work can enhance productivity and work–life balance when organizations implement employee centred policies, provide structured guidance, and ensure supportive work environments.

SUGGESTIONS

- Organizations should establish clear work-hour policies to help employees maintain a healthy balance between professional and personal life.
- Companies should encourage employees to disconnect from work after office hours to reduce stress and emotional exhaustion.

- Employers should provide mental well-being programs, counselling support, and stress management initiatives for remote employees.
- Organizations should support employees in creating proper home workspaces by providing ergonomic resources and technological assistance.
- Managers should promote flexible scheduling with proper guidance and communication to improve employee productivity and job satisfaction.
- Regular team interaction and virtual engagement activities should be encouraged to reduce feelings of isolation among remote employees.
- Future studies can further examine the long-term impact of remote work on employee mental health, productivity, and organizational commitment.

CONCLUSION

The study underscores the increasing influence of remote work on employee's work–life balance and overall well-being. Results show that flexible scheduling and supportive organizational practices enhance productivity, job satisfaction, and stress management. Descriptive analysis found that while most employees appreciate greater flexibility and improved time management, many face challenges such as blurred boundaries, expectations of constant availability, and work–life interference. Chi-square tests identified significant associations between flexible schedules and stress levels, and between after-hours work and work–life balance. ANOVA results showed significant differences in stress across age groups and in productivity according to workspace quality.

Together, these findings highlight the need for structured remote-work policies, flexible scheduling, adequate workspace support, and employee wellness programs to foster a healthier, more sustainable remote work environment.

REFERENCE

1. *Allen, T. D., Golden, T. D., & Shockley, K. M. (2015). How effective is telecommuting? Assessing the status of our scientific findings. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 16(2), 40–68. https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100615593273*
2. *Bloom, N., Liang, J., Roberts, J., & Ying, Z. J. (2015). Does working from home work? Evidence from a Chinese experiment. Quarterly Journal of Economics, 130(1), 165–218. https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qju032*
3. *Buffer. (2022). State of remote work report. https://buffer.com/state-of-remote-work/2022*
4. *Carillo, K., Cachat-Rosset, G., Marsan, J., Saba, T., & Klarsfeld, A. (2021). Adjusting to epidemic-induced telework: Empirical insights from teleworkers in France. European Journal of Information Systems, 30(1), 69–88. https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2020.1829512*
5. *Choudhury, P., Foroughi, C., & Larson, B. (2020). Work-from-anywhere: The productivity effects of geographic flexibility. Strategic Management Journal, 42(4), 655–683. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.3251*
6. *Deloitte. (2022). Remote work survey. https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/topics/talent/remote-work-employee-experience.html*
7. *Eurofound. (2020). Living, working and COVID-19. https://www.eurofound.europa.eu/publications/report/2020/living-working-and-covid-19*
8. *Felstead, A., & Henseke, G. (2017). Assessing the growth of remote working and its consequences for effort, well-being and work-life balance. New Technology, Work and Employment, 32(3), 195–212. https://doi.org/10.1111/ntwe.12097*