

## IMPACT OF CONSUMER BUYING BEHAVIOUR ON GROCERY PRODUCTS WITH RESPECT TO OFFLINE (Physical) MARKET

### M.Shankaraiah<sup>1</sup>, Prof.V. Shekhar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Department of Business Management

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Business Management, Osmania University

#### ABSTRACT

In present scenario the customer behavior will be changing day by day. The customer behavior will change in the period on regular customer buying behavior. The associated changes need to bring in our seller's partners serving the marketplaces online. Will the changing customer habits will have an impact on the way online and offline business? The study covers the impact of customer behavior on fast moving consumer goods in Hyderabad in Telangana State. The study reveals the impact of customer behavior of grocery on online and offline market.

**KEYWORDS:** Technology, Costumer Behavior, Offline Market.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Customer behavior: there is a lean ship in the customer demand and not only limited to grocery but also is lifestyle products we need to be sharp enough to pick the products. The new trends in Grocery are vegetables, Sanitizers, body sanitizers and surface sanitizers etc. The customer changes the shopping habits in the pandemic situation due to the mandatory mask products have gone to down and other maintenance products sales have risen. The customers looking to invest more in products and services that provide comfort at home and thus leading to enhance the luxury products.

Technology: The travel will be restricted thus routine sales and account management meets and face to face (F2F) interaction will be a outmoded. We have to prepare the ecosystem for an on-call support. We also need to think laterally and educate our seller partners on the benefits of online. This is the time to learn technology for our own survival.

Customer ordering pattern: over the years ordering of products the customers have shifted to online, but covid-19 period we expect a further spike an in this transition. Many people returned from metro to their home places in India and ordering the products their family on the convenience of online shipping. in this trouble time online marketing will help leading online companies differentiate themselves form their competitors based on the experience that they deliver to their customers to serve better. The study was covered the buying decision factors effecting on customer form online and offline.

#### **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

Badorf & Hoberg, (2020). The weather is another crucial factor affecting consumers' choice of choosing brickand-mortar retail over online shopping, Sales can significantly increase or decrease, depending on the forecast. In their report, stressed that the turnover could fluctuate up to 25.9 percent based on the weather. Online shops, on the other hand, are not dependent on the weather.

Sarkas & Das, (2017). Since brick-and-mortar stores underlay ongoing running costs such as water and electricity, the overall operating costs are significantly higher than online stores and make it more challenging to compete with online retailers' extreme sales actions.

Ahmed et al. (2017) suggest that high service quality increases the consumers' purchase intention to buy on the desired website. Moreover, the study highlights that high service quality can directly affect the consumer's choice of revisiting the online shop

(Jiang, Yang and Jun, 2013) Online stores have implemented several new features to improve the shopping experience. New presentation features such as easy product description or customer review systems help consumers easily find their best personal fit. Short product descriptions and a review section can help fasten the information search and achieve a higher shopping convenience. Additionally, online shops maximize



their customers' convenience by implementing easy and known payment methods. If the provided payment methods are too complicated, the online store reduces consumers' shopping convenience and risks a shopping cart abandonment.

#### **3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

- 1. To study the variance between the demographic profile buying behavior of customers towards grocery products.
- 2. To study the impact of demographic profile buying behavior of customers on grocery products.
- 3. To study the factors influencing buying behavior of the customers on grocery products.
- 4. To study the satisfaction level of buying behavior of the customers on grocery products

#### 4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### 4.1Methods of data Collection:

Descriptive Research design was selected for the research work. The research design with studies and describes the characteristics of the population. The descriptive design was used in this research to the factors influencing consumer buying behavior. For the study of survey method was adopted and gathered through the structured questionnaire.

#### 4.2 Primary data:

The data was collected through the questionnaire form different consumers in Hyderabad city.

#### 4.3 Secondary data:

The secondary data was collected already published and analyzed by different publishers in different research papers, journals, articles and websites.

**4.4 Sample size:** The questionnaire was sent to the 100 respondents the data will collected and analyzed.

#### 4.5 Sampling method:

Convenience sampling: convenience sampling is a form of non-probability sampling involving the sample taken form that part of the population. Testing of the hypothesis is carried out through percentage and chi square test. The approach to the respondents were through personal contact and family contact distributed the questionnaire.

#### **5. RELIABILITY**

Cronbach's alpha is a measure of constancy and it is closely related a set of items are as a group. it measures the scale of reliability. The items are cheeked whether they are interring related or not by applying Cronbach's alpha. The questionnaire was employed to measure different underlying constructs. The scale has a high level of constancy between the variables online and offline determined by Cronbach's alpha of 0.888. The table-1 shows the reliability of online and offline questionnaire.

Renability Test								
Table: -1								
Reliability Statistics								
Statements	Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items						
Online/ Offline (Physical)	.888	15						

#### 6. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

	Table: -2										
Frequency Table											
Gender		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent						
Valid	Male	68	68.0	68.0	68.0						
Female Total		32	32.0	32.0	100.0						
		100	100.0	100.0							
Age		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent						
Valid	18-30 Years	48	48.0	48.0	48.0						
	31-49 Years	49	49.0	49.0	97.0						
	50-65Years	3	3.0	3.0	100.0						
	Total	100	100.0	100.0							



Educatio	on Qualification	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	<b>Cumulative Percent</b>
	SSC	6	6.0	6.0	6.0
	Intermediate	70	70.0	70.0	76.0
Valid	Degree	18	18.0	18.0	94.0
	Post Graduate	6	6.0	6.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	
Occupation		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	<b>Cumulative Percent</b>
	Student	11	11.0	11.0	11.0
	Intermediate	8	8.0	8.0	19.0
Valid	Private Employee	9	9.0	9.0	28.0
	Self employed	37	37.0	37.0	65.0
	Business	35	35.0	35.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	
	Income Level	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	10,000-20,000	9	9.0	9.0	9.0
V.1:4	20,000-30,000	6	6.0	6.0	15.0
vand	30,000-40,000	6	6.0	6.0	21.0
	40,000-50,000	38	38.0	38.0	59.0
	Above 50,000	41	41.0	41.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Form the above table -2 it is observed that the no of respondents of gender out of 100 male respondents are 60%, and female respondents are 32%. The respondents age group 18-30 Years are 48%, age group 31-49 Years are 49%, 50-66Yrs are 3%. the respondent's educational qualification SSC are 6%, intermediate respondents are 70%, Degree respondents are 18%, and postgraduate respondents are 6%. income level of respondents 10,000-20,000 are 9%, 20,000-30,000 respondents are 6%, 30,000-40,000 respondents are 6%, 40,000-50,000 respondents are 38% and Above 50,000 respondents are 41%.

		Table: -3			
Freque	ncy of offline (Physical) shopping	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	<b>Cumulative Percent</b>
	Sometimes	4	4.0	4.0	4.0
V-1:4	Daily	8	8.0	8.0	12.0
vand	Weekly	3	3.0	3.0	15.0
	Monthly	36	36.0	36.0	51.0
	Whenever needs	49	49.0	49.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	
Purcha	sing the products off line shopping	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Groceries	9	9.0	9.0	9.0
X7.1'.1	Gifts/Fashion	6	6.0	6.0	15.0
vand	Health care products	34	34.0	34.0	49.0
	Electronics/ Electrical goods	25	25.0	25.0	74.0
	Accessories/Fitness	26	26.0	26.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	
The fac	tors influencing to purchase the				
produc	ts off line	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Time to acquire the product	8	8.0	8.0	8.0
Valid	Product price	12	12.0	12.0	20.0
	Trust in the seller	39	39.0	39.0	59.0
	Product Quality	41	41.0	41.0	100.0
	Total	100	100.0	100.0	

Form the above tale -3 it is observed that the respondents out of 100 offline shopping sometimes respondents are 4%, the responders daily are 8%, the respondents weekly are 3%, the respondents monthly are 36% and the respondents whenever needs are 49%. Purchasing the products form offline respondents are 9% Groceries, the respondents are 6% are gifts/fashion, the respondents are 34% Health care products, the respondents are 25%



electronics/electrical goods and the respondent's accessories/fitness products are 26%. The factors influencing to purchase the products respondents are time to acquire the product 8%, respondents are product price 12%, respondents Trust in the seller are 39%, respondents are Product qualify 41%. **Table: -4** 

				C	Overall sa	tisfactio	on of o	ffline sho	oping	2	
			Highly Dissa	tisfied	Dissat	isfied	Sa	tisfied		Highly Satisfied	Total
Gender	Mal	e	2		2			13		51	68
	Fen	nale	2		0	)		3		27	32
Total		4		2	,		16	78		100	
				C	Overall satisfaction of offline shopping						
Highly Dis			Highly Dissa	tisfied	Satis	fied	Sa	atisfied		Highly Satisfied	Total
	18-30	Years	2		0	)		9		37	48
	31-49	Years	2		2		6		39		49
Age	50-65	Years	0	0		)	1			2	3
Total			4		2	,		16		78	100
					Overall satisfaction of offline shopping						
				Hi	ghly						
				Dissa	atisfied	Satis	sfied	Satisfie	ed	Highly Satisfied	Total
		10,000-	-20,000		0	(	)	2		7	9
		20,000-	-30,000		1	(	)	2		3	6
Income Le	evel	30,000-	-40,000		0	(	)	1		5	6
		40,000-	-50,000		1	1	Į	8		28	38
		Above	50,000		2	1		3		35	41
Total					4	2	2	16		78	100

The above table -4 reviews that gender overall satisfaction of online and offline (Physical) shopping male respondents highly satisfied 51%, 13% are satisfied and female respondents 27% are highly satisfied and 16% are satisfied. The age group 18-30Yrs respondents 37% are highly satisfied, and 9 respondents are satisfied. Income levels Above 50,000 respondents 35% are highly satisfied and 8% respondents are satisfied. Age group 40,000-50,000 respondents 28% are highly satisfied and 8% respondents are satisfied. It concludes male respondents, 31-19Yrs age group responds and Above 50,000 income level are satisfied with online /offline shopping.

#### 7. TESTING OF HYPOTHESIS

 $H_{01}$ : there is no impact of Gender, Age, and Income Levels on preferred shopping mode.

	Table5											
			Preferred	l shopping	, mo	de		Pearson Chi-	df	Asymptotic		
								Square		Significance (2-		
		Both	1 (	Offline		Online Total		Value		sided)		
Gende	r Male	5		21		42	68					
	Female	0		10		22	32	$2.520^{a}$	2	.284		
Total	·	5		31		64	100					
			Prefer	red shoppi	ng n	node		Pearson Chi-	df	Asymptotic		
								Square Value		Significance (2-		
		В	oth	h Offline		Online	Total			sided)		
Age	18-30 Year	s	4	10		34	48					
	31-49 Year	s	1	19		29	49	6 921 <sup>a</sup>	4	145		
	50-65Years	5	0	2		1	3	0.851	4	.145		
Total			5	31		64	100					
			Pre	eferred sho	oppii	ng mode		Pearson Chi-	df	Asymptotic		
								Square Value		Significance		
			Both	Offlin	ne	Online	Total			(2-sided)		
Inco	10,000-20,00	0	0	1		8	9					
me	20,000-30,00	0	0	2		4	6	$7.897^{a}$	8	.444		
Level	30,000-40,00	0	1	0		5	6					

© 2022 EPRA EBMS | www.eprajournals.com



	40,000-50,000	2	12	24	38
	Above 50,000	2	16	23	41
Total		5	31	64	100

The above table -5 revels that the impact of Gender on preferred shipping mode in pandemic the chi-square value 2.520, p value is 0.284 greater than the .05 significance level. Age on preferred shipping mode in pandemic the chi-square value 6.831, p value is 0.145 greater than the .05 significance level. Income level on preferred shipping mode in pandemic the chi-square value 7.897, p value is 0.444 greater than the .05 significance level. Hence it concludes that there is no impact of Gender, Age, and Income Levels on preferred shopping mode in pandemic covid-19.

H<sub>02</sub>: The factors not influencing to purchase the products offline on Gender, Age, and Income Levels

r													
		The	e factors i	nfluer	ncing t	o purc ine	chase the	e pr	oducts off		Pearson Chi-Square	Df	
		T acc p	ime to quire the product	Pro	oduct rice	Trus se	t in the eller		Product Quality	Total	Value		Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Gende	r Male		4		5		27		32	68			
	Female		4		7		12		9	32	6.945	3	.074
Total			8		12		39		41	100			
The			factors in	actors influencing to purchase the products off line					Pearson Chi-Square	Df			
		Tin acqu pro	me to tire the oduct	Pro pr	duct ice	Trus	t in the eller		Product Quality	Total	Value		Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)
Age	18-30 Yrs		3		6		17		22	48			
	31-49 Yrs		5		5		21		18	49	$2.004^{a}$	6	821
	50-65Yrs		0		1		1		1	3	2.904	0	.021
Total			8	1	12	2 39		41		100		-	
			The fac	tors in	nfluen	cing to off li	o purcha ne	ise t	the products	5	Pearson Chi-Square	Df	
			Time	e to							Value		Asymptotic
			acquir	e the	Pro	duct	Trust	in 1	Product	<b>T</b> ( 1			Significance (2-
Incom	10 000 2	0.000	prod	uct	pr	ice	the sel	ler	Quality	I otal	15 2718	10	sided)
Laval	10,000-2	0,000	0			0	0		<u> </u>	9	- 13.271	12	.227
Level	Level 20,000-30,000		1			0	4		1	6	_		
	40,000-50,000 1			7		15		15	38	-			
	Above 50	0.000	6			, 5	12		18	41	-		
Total	1100.00	,	8		1	2	39		41	100	-		

Table-6

The above table -6 revels that the impact of Gender on the factors influencing to purchase the products off line in pandemic the chi-square value 6.945, p value is 0.074 greater than the .05 significance level. Age on preferred shipping mode in pandemic the chi-square value 2.904, p value is 0.821 greater than the .05 significance level. Income level on preferred shipping mode in pandemic the chi-square value 15.271, p value is 0.227 greater than the .05 significance level. Hence it concludes that there is no impact of Gender, Age, and Income Levels on the factors influencing to purchase the products off line mode.

#### 8. ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

 $H_{03}$ : There is no association between Gender and the factors influencing purchase behavior of grocery products association between Gender and the factors influencing purchase behavior of grocery products.



		Table: -7				
		ANOVA				
		Sum of				
		Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
I shop in physical stores	Between Groups	8.007	1	8.007	6.083	.015
because I value the	Within Groups	128.993	98	1.316		
physical experience in the	Total	137.000	99			
store						
I shop in physical stores	Between Groups	2.739	1	2.739	1.709	.194
because I receive a huge	Within Groups	157.101	98	1.603		
amount of customer	Total	159.840	99			
satisfaction						
I shop in physical stores	Between Groups	.050	1	.050	.065	.800
because I like the help and	Within Groups	75.110	98	.766		
friendliness, I can get at	Total	75.160	99			
local stores						
I shop in physical stores	Between Groups	2.912	1	2.912	2.413	.124
because I like the energy	Within Groups	118.248	98	1.207		
and fun of shopping at	Total	121.160	99			
local retail stores						
I shop in physical stores	Between Groups	.890	1	.890	.675	.413
because I can physically	Within Groups	129.110	98	1.317		
evaluate the products	Total	130.000	99			
<b>Y</b> 1 <b>Y</b> 1 <b>Y</b> 1 <b>Y</b> 1	D. C	1.0.11		1.0.41	507	470
I shop in physical stores	Between Groups	1.041	l	1.041	.527	.470
because I can directly	Within Groups	193.719	98	1.977		
compare products with	Total	194.760	99			
each other					10.1	
The factors influencing to	Between Groups	.311	1	.311	.196	.659
purchase the products	Within Groups	155.689	98	1.589		
online/off line	Total	156.000	99			

The above table -7 revels that the association between Gender and the factors influencing purchase behavior of grocery products I shop in physical stores because I value the physical experience in the store F value is 6.083 and p value is 0.015 is less than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I receive a huge amount of customer satisfaction F value is 1.079 and p value is 0.194 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I like the help and friendliness, I can get at local stores F value is 0.065 and p value is 0.800 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I like the energy and fun of shopping at local retail stores F value is 2.413 and p value is 0.124 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I can physically evaluate the products F value is .675and p value is 0.413 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I can directly compare products with each other F value is .527 and p value is 0.470 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, The factors influencing to purchase the products online/off line F value is .196 and p value is 0.659 is greater than the 0.05 significance level.

H<sub>04</sub>: There is no association between Age and the factors influencing purchase behavior of grocery products

	Table: -8										
	ANOVA										
		Sum of		Mean							
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.					
I shop in physical stores because I value the	Between Groups	6.389	2	3.195	2.373	.099					
physical experience in the store	Within Groups	130.611	97	1.347							
	Total	137.000	99								
I shop in physical stores because I receive a	Between Groups	1.667	2	.833	.511	.601					
huge amount of customer satisfaction	Within Groups	158.173	97	1.631							
	Total	159.840	99								



# Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013|SJIF Impact Factor (2021): 7.473ISSN: 2347-4378EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)<br/>Volume: 9 | Issue: 1 | January 2022-Peer-reviewed Journal

I shop in physical stores because I like the	Between Groups	1.419	2	.709	.933	.397
help and friendliness, I can get at local	Within Groups	73.741	97	.760		
stores	Total	75.160	99			
I shop in physical stores because I like the	Between Groups	.334	2	.167	.134	.875
energy and fun of shopping at local retail	Within Groups	120.826	97	1.246		
stores	Total	121.160	99			
I shop in physical stores because I can	Between Groups	1.850	2	.925	.700	.499
physically evaluate the products	Within Groups	128.150	97	1.321		
	Total	130.000	99			
I shop in physical stores because I can	Between Groups	1.292	2	.646	.324	.724
directly compare products with each other	Within Groups	193.468	97	1.995		
	Total	194.760	99			
The factors influencing to purchase the	Between Groups	4.687	2	2.344	1.502	.228
products off line	Within Groups	151.313	97	1.560		
	Total	156.000	99			

The above table -8 revels that the association between age and the factors influencing purchase behavior of grocery products I shop in physical stores because I value the physical experience in the store F value is 2.33 and p value is 0.099 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I receive a huge amount of customer satisfaction F value is 0.511 and p value is 0.601 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I like the help and friendliness, I can get at local stores F value is 0.933 and p value is 0.937 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I like the help and friendliness, I can get at local stores because I like the energy and fun of shopping at local retail stores F value is 0.134 and p value is 0.875 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I can physically evaluate the products F value is .700 and p value is 0.499 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I can directly compare products with each other F value is .3247 and p value is 0.724 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, The factors influencing to purchase the products online/off line F value is 1.502 and p value is 0.228 is greater than the 0.05 significance level.

Ho5: There is no association between Income and the factors influencing purchase behavior of grocery products Table: -9

	ANOVA					
		Sum of		Mean		
		Squares	df	Square	F	Sig.
I shop in physical stores because I value	Between Groups	5.589	4	1.397	1.010	.406
the physical experience in the store	Within Groups	131.411	95	1.383		
	Total	137.000	99			
I shop in physical stores because I	Between Groups	9.645	4	2.411	1.525	.201
receive a huge amount of customer	Within Groups	150.195	95	1.581		
satisfaction	Total	159.840	99			
I shop in physical stores because I like	Between Groups	4.405	4	1.101	1.479	.215
the help and friendliness, I can get at	Within Groups	70.755	95	.745		
local stores	Total	75.160	99			
I shop in physical stores because I like	Between Groups	12.425	4	3.106	2.714	.034
the energy and fun of shopping at local	Within Groups	108.735	95	1.145		
retail stores	Total	121.160	99			
I shop in physical stores because I can	Between Groups	10.864	4	2.716	2.166	.079
physically evaluate the products	Within Groups	119.136	95	1.254		
	Total	130.000	99			
I shop in physical stores because I can	Between Groups	21.946	4	5.487	3.016	.022
directly compare products with each	Within Groups	172.814	95	1.819		
other	Total	194.760	99			
The factors influencing to purchase the	Between Groups	6.636	4	1.659	1.055	.383
products online/off line	Within Groups	149.364	95	1.572		
	Total	156.000	99			



The above table -9 revels that the association between age and the factors influencing purchase behavior of grocery products I shop in physical stores because I value the physical experience in the store F value is 1.010 and p value is 0.406 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I receive a huge amount of customer satisfaction F value is 1.525 and p value is 0.201 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I like the help and friendliness, I can get at local stores F value is 1.479 and p value is 0.215 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I like the energy and fun of shopping at local retail stores F value is 2.714 and p value is 0.034 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I can physically evaluate the products F value is 2.166 and p value is 0.079 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, I shop in physical stores because I can directly compare products with each other F value is 3.016 and p value is 0.022 is greater than the 0.05 significance level, The factors influencing to purchase the products online/off line F value is 1.055 and p value is 0.383 is greater than the 0.05 significance level.

#### 9. FINDINGS

- Majority of the respondents of gender out of 100 male respondents are 60%, and female respondents are 32%. Maximum respondents were male
- Majority of the respondent's age group 18-30 Yrs. are 48%, age group 31-49 Yrs. are 49%, 50-66Yrs are 3%. Maximum respondents are group are 31-49 Yrs. are 49%.
- Majority of the respondent's educational qualification SSC are 6%, intermediate respondents are 70%, Degree respondents are 18%, and postgraduate respondents are 6%. Maximum respondents are intermediate 70%.
- Majority of the respondent's income level of respondents 10,000-20,000 are 9%, 20,000-30,000 respondents are 6%, 30,000-40,000 respondents are 6%, 40,000-50,000 respondents are 38% and Above 50,000 respondents are 41%. Maximum respondents are Above 50,000.
- Majority of the respondents of purchasing online shopping sometimes respondents are 4%, the responders daily are 8%, the respondents weekly are 3%, the respondents monthly are 36% and the respondents whenever needs are 49%.
- Majority of the respondent's purchasing the products form online are 9% Groceries, the respondents are 6% are gifts/fashion, the respondents are 34% Health care products, the respondents are 25% electronics/electrical goods and the respondent's accessories/fitness products are 26%.
- Majority of the respondent's Purchasing the products form offline are 34% Groceries, the respondents are 6% are gifts/fashion, the respondents are 9% Health care products, the respondents are 25% electronics/electrical goods and the respondent's accessories/fitness products are 26%.
- Majority of the respondent's the factors influencing to purchase the products respondents are time to acquire the product 8%, respondents are product price 12%, respondents Trust in the seller are 39%, respondents are Product qualify 41%.

The study reveals that there is no impact of Gender, Age, and Income Levels on preferred shopping mode.

The study reveals that there is no impact of Gender, Age, and Income Levels on the factors influencing to purchase the products off line.

The study reveals that there is no association between Gender, Age, and Income Levels on the factors influencing to purchase the products off line.

#### **10. CONCLUSION**

The observing the analysis it is clear that the most of the respondents purchasing products online and offline. Overall, the findings of the study suggest a strong and optimistic of its effects on the customer preferences offline grocery products and online health products. The measures of consumers preferences assume that customers recall of the brand automatically translation to buying of the products online in pandemic. The purchasing behavior of the respondents are observed that Trust in the seller and Product qualify. The research would like to suggest undertaking research on the impact of consumer behavior on the online stores in pandemic situations.

The rapid shift will be needed form offline activities. The study highlighted that consumer strongly value the high level of convenience of online and offline shipping. Such that the factors effecting easiness, see the discounts and prices and greater availability of products.



#### REFERENCES

- 1. Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 50(2), pp.179–211.
- 2. Ahmed, W., Hussain, S., Muhammad, R. and Jianzhou, Y. (2017). Impact of E-Service Quality on Purchase Intention Through Mediator Perceived Value in Online Shopping. Journal of Information Engineering and Applications, [online] 7(8).
- 3. Badorf, F. and Hoberg, K. (2020). The impact of daily weather on retail sales: An empirical study in brick-andmortar stores. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 52, p.101921
- 4. Kotler.(2003), Marketing Management, Pearson education, Delhi..
- 5. Malhotra, N (2006), Marketing Research: An Applied orientation, Pearson education, new Delhi
- 6. Jiang, L. (Alice), Yang, Z. and Jun, M. (2013). Measuring consumer perceptions of online shopping convenience. Journal of Service Management, 24(2), pp.191–214.
- 7. Sarkar, R. and Das, Dr.S. (2017). Online Shopping vs Offline Shopping: A Comparative Study. International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology, 3(1), pp.424–431.