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#### Abstract

Rainfall is an important factor that needs serious attention as Indian agriculture is drastically affected due to change in rainfall pattern. Understanding of rainfall trend is an important tool for future of agriculture. In the present study we see the rain fall is uniformly distributed over the geographical region of Maharashtra state and regression analysis used for the predication model. our study shows rain fall is not uniformly spread over the geographical region of Maharashtra state and the slope parameter estimate regression model in the predication model play an vital role for future prediction of future rain fall. KEY WORDS: Graphical Representation, Small Test, Regression analysis, Level of significance.


## INTRODUCTION

The rainfall received in an area is one of the determining factors for the socio-economic activities including agriculture, forestry and bio-diversity, water resources management, industry and tourism of the region. The changes in rainfall pattern may cause heavy floods in some areas while other areas may experience frequent droughts (IPCC, 2007). Due to the possible effects of climate change on rainfall pattern, analysis of rainfall characteristics and its long term variability has got special attention worldwide in recent years. Trend analysis of rainfall is the primary tool to understand its temporal variations. There are several studies in India on the rainfall variability and long term trends (Parthasarathy and Dhar, 1975; Mooley and Parthasarathy, 1984; Sarkar and Thapliyal, 1988;

Soman et al., 1988; Thapliyal and Kulshresthra, 1991; Guhathakurta and Rajeevan, 2008; Krishnakumar et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2010; Bhatla and Tripathi, 2014). Most of these studies investigated the trends in annual and seasonal rainfall series on the country scale or in regional scales. Studies of Mooley and Parthasarathy (1984), Sarkar and Thapliyal (1988), and Thapliyal and Kulshresthra (1991) have concluded that there is no significant trend in average annual rainfall of the country. Kumar et al. (2010) have reported no significant trend for annual, seasonal and monthly rainfall over India. Similarly, there are studies those focused mainly on the trends in intensity of daily rainfall. For example, Rakhecha and Soman (1994), Sen Roy and Balling (2004), Joshi and Rajeevan (2006), Goswami et al. (2006) and Guhathakurta et al. (2010) have studied the trend in extreme rainfall
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over India. On the other hand, there are only a few studies (Deka et al., 2013; Jain et al., 2013; Das et al., 2011; Das and Goswami, 2003) available on the rainfall variability and trends over northeast India. They have concluded that there is no significant trend in annual rainfall for northeast region whereas Rupa Kumar et al. (1992) have reported a decreasing trend in monsoon rainfall over this region. Geographical location of Maharashtra is such that it is subjected to different types of climatic features. Due to varied topographical climatologically features , India Meteorological Departments divided the state into five meteorological sub divisions .The meteorological subdivisions Konkan is to the extreme west coast of India .Due to this topographical feature, the region receives very high rainfall during monsoon season . The vidarbha region is to the extreme east of the state. The mean monsoon or annual rainfall of this region is less than that of konkan but more than the other three sub divisions viz.., Marathwada, Khandesh and northern Maharashtra and Paschim Maharashtra. The rainfall patterns have high interaseasonal variability.

Also there is high spatial variability of rainfall over districts of Maharashtra. Due to the increased no of disaster events and its high impact on the economic and human life, it's necessary for the district administrations to have district rainfall climatology and information about temporal variability of rainfall at the district level for the better disaster management and water resources management and planning. This information is very useful to agriculture and water sectors of this state. The study aims in to find changing patterns of rainfall over Maharashtra in the district scale which may have an impact on increasing extreme rainfall events and floods over Maharashtra. The distribution
of rainfall through the season or year plays an important role in recharging the ground water.

## OBJECTIVE

> To compare actual rain with normal rain.
$>$ To formulate the prediction model.
$>$ To identify the patterns of rain fall.
$>$ To study difference between the actual and expected rain.
$>$ To study the correlation between Normal rain and Actual rain.
$>$ To study the prediction of actual rain and rainy days.
$>$ To study the total rainfall of all regions is same or not.
$>$ Rainfall is not uniformly distributed.

## METHOD OF DATA COLLECTION

Various method of collecting data are employed by social scientist. There are two methods of data collection which are Primary data and Secondary data. The secondary data was taken from the internet and the website is WWW.Maharain.com

## STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES

A] Diagrammatical representation B] Analysis of data
a) Correlation coefficient
b) Testing of hypothesis
b) Simple linear regression

## C] Software used

- MS-EXCEL
- R-Software
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## ANALYSIS PART

## B] Measure of central tendency and dispersion

We have a Rainfall data of 20 years from 1998 to 2017 of 34 district.the descriptive statistics
OBSERVATION TABLE

| District | mean | Var | Sd | c.v | District | Mean | Var | Sd | c.v |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thane | 2636 | 238638 | 489 | 19 | Latur | 825 | 37847 | 195 | 24 |
| Raigadh | 3093 | 322781 | 568 | 18 | Osmanabad | 711 | 36867 | 192 | 27 |
| Ratnagiri | 3634 | 423593 | 651 | 18 | Nanded | 819 | 56102 | 237 | 29 |
| Sindhudurga | 3289 | 403879 | 636 | 19 | Parabhani | 809 | 54265 | 233 | 29 |
| Palghar | 2604 | 187623 | 433 | 17 | Hingoli | 844 | 59447 | 244 | 29 |
| Nashik | 1042 | 58997 | 243 | 23 | Bhuldhana | 756 | 27887 | 167 | 22 |
| Dhule | 633 | 28772 | 170 | 27 | Akola | 792 | 32027 | 179 | 23 |
| Nandurbar | 907 | 82307 | 287 | 32 | Washim | 876 | 55029 | 235 | 27 |
| Jalgaon | 708 | 44240 | 210 | 30 | Amravati | 851 | 29963 | 173 | 20 |
| Ahamadnagar | 614 | 32939 | 181 | 30 | Yawatmal | 842 | 55768 | 236 | 28 |
| Pune | 952 | 77365 | 278 | 29 | Wardha | 951 | 69100 | 263 | 28 |
| Solhapur | 583 | 33854 | 184 | 32 | Nagpur | 1076 | 55760 | 236 | 22 |
| Satara | 1244 | 132720 | 364 | 29 | Bhandara | 1176 | 70955 | 266 | 23 |
| Sangali | 596 | 19739 | 140 | 24 | Goindia | 1308 | 79134 | 281 | 22 |
| Kolhapur | 1817 | 262081 | 512 | 28 | Chandrapur | 1137 | 96981 | 311 | 27 |
| Aurangabad | 638 | 20887 | 145 | 23 | Gadchiroli | 1389 | 116967 | 342 | 25 |
| Jalna | 695 | 34343 | 185 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beed | 701 | 27364 | 165 | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |

a] Correlation Coefficients:
Let x be a actual rain and y be the normal rain.

$$
\boldsymbol{r}=\frac{\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}{\sqrt{(\operatorname{var}(x) * \operatorname{var}(\mathbf{y}))}}
$$

Observed values are $\operatorname{Cov}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=732282.5 ; \operatorname{var}(\mathrm{x})=812871.2 \& \operatorname{var}(\mathrm{y})=684328.2$ $\mathrm{r}=0.930802$

## Testing Part

i] Z test for sample correlation
Test for correlation between normal rain and actual rain:

Hypothesis: $\mathrm{H} 0: \rho=0 \quad \mathrm{H} 1: \rho \neq 0$
Level of significance $=\alpha \%=5 \%$

Test statistic:

$$
|Z|=\left|\frac{z-\xi}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-3}}}\right| \sim \mathrm{N}(0,1)
$$

Where;

$$
\mathrm{z}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+r}{1-r} \quad \text { and } \quad \xi=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\rho}{1-\rho}
$$

$$
\text { Observed values are } \quad \mathrm{Z}=0.722822 \text { and } \quad \xi=0
$$

$$
\text { Zcal. }=4.024503 ; \quad Z_{t a b}=1.96 \text { And } Z_{t a b}>Z_{c a l}
$$

ii] Test for correlation between actual rain and rainy days
$\mathrm{H} 0: \rho=0 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{H} 1: \rho \neq 0$
Level of significance $=\alpha \%=5 \%$
Test statistic:
$|t|=\left|\frac{r * \sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{\left(1-r^{2}\right)}}\right||t|_{c a l}=10.4$ and $t_{t a b}=t_{n-1}=2.101$ and $|\mathrm{t}|_{c a l}>t_{t a b}$
C] Testing of Hypothesis:
i) Test for uniformity:

Define: Let X be discrete random variable follows uniform distribution then its probability mass function is given by;

$$
P(x)=\frac{1}{5} \quad ; \mathrm{x}=1,2,3,4,5
$$

Where; $1=$ Vidrbha ; 2= Maratrhwada ; 3= Khandesh and northern Maharashtra
4= Kokan 5= Pachim Maharashtra
Expected frequency $=E_{i}=\mathrm{N} * \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x}) ;$
Where; $\mathrm{N}=$ Sum of all frequency
H0 : Rain fall is uniformly distributed over the geographical Regions.
H1 : Rain fall is not uniformly distributed over the geographical Regions.
Level of significance $=\alpha \%=5 \%$
Test statistic:

$$
\chi^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{(o i-e i)^{2}}{e i}
$$

$\chi_{\text {calculated }}^{2}=217555.9 \quad \& \quad \chi^{2}{ }_{n-1}=\chi^{2}{ }_{4}=7.827 .82$
Therefore $; \chi_{\text {calculated }}^{2}>\chi_{\text {Table }}$

## ii) One Way Analysis of Variance

a) Part I: Total rainfall same or not.

Ho: The total rainfall is same in all regions.
H 1 : The total rainfall is not same in atleast two regions.

Level of Significance $=\alpha=5 \%$
Observation table:

| Groups | Count | Sum | Average | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | 11 | 221671.9 | 20151.99091 | 16787520.29 |
| M | 8 | 120814.7 | 15101.8375 | 2402570.974 |
| KN | 5 | 78071.9 | 15614.38 | 13937338.96 |
| K | 5 | 305131.8 | 61026.36 | 76964736.79 |
| PM | 5 | 103840.4 | 20768.08 | 105942405.5 |

ANOVA Table:

| Source of <br> Variation | SS | D.f. | $\boldsymbol{M S}$ | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between Region | 8049488902 | 4 | 2012372225 | 60.03551906 | 0.00000000000013 |
| Within Region | 972071124.7 | 29 | 33519693.96 |  |  |
| Total | 9021560027 | 33 |  |  |  |

b) Part II: Mean rainfall same or not

Ho: The mean rainfall is same in all regions.
H1: The mean rainfall is not same in atleast two regions.
Level of Significance $=\alpha=5 \%$.
Observation table:

| Groups | Count | Sum | Average | Variance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | 11 | 11153.03 | 1013.912 | 46734.75 |
| M | 8 | 6040.735 | 755.0919 | 6006.427 |
| KN | 5 | 3903.595 | 780.719 | 34843.35 |
| K | 5 | 15256.59 | 3051.318 | 192411.8 |
| PM | 5 | 5192.02 | 1038.404 | 264856 |

One way ANOVA:

| Source of Variation | SS | D.f. | MS | F | P-value | Fcritical- <br> value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between Regions | 20094537 | 4 | 5023634 | 58.79539 | $2 \mathrm{E}-13$ | 2.701399 |
| Within Regions | 2477837 | 29 | 85442.66 |  |  |  |
| Total | 22572375 | 33 |  |  |  |  |

## ii)Two way Analysis of Variance:

Ho: There is no significance difference between average rainfalls in all years.
Hol : There is no significance difference between average rainfalls in all regions.
Level of Significance $=\alpha=5 \%$
Analysis of variance:

| Source of <br> Variation | SS | D.f. | $\boldsymbol{M S}$ | $\boldsymbol{F}$ | P-value | F crit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rows | $1.81 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 4 | $4.53 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 271.6799 | $3.51 \mathrm{E}-44$ | 2.492049 |
| Columns | $1.48 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 19 | 7803778 | 4.67887 | $6.35 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.725029 |
| Error | $1.27 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 76 | 1667876 |  |  |  |
| Total | $2.09 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 99 |  |  |  |  |

Here p-value is less than alpha
iii) Regression analysis for prediction:

For the prediction of the trend in rainfall we use the following relationship;

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{bx}
$$

Where $\mathrm{a}=$ intercept, $\mathrm{b}=$ slope and $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{a}$; $\mathrm{t}=2007$ (fixed value), ' a ' is predicted year.
For Vidarbha region we calculate the model to predict the rainfall for whichever year one wants.
Observation table:

| Year | actual <br> rain | X=t-a | $x^{\wedge} 2$ | $x^{*} y$ | Trend | Year | actual <br> rain | $X=t-a$ | $x^{\wedge} 2$ | $x^{*} y$ | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 1020.3 | -9 | 81 | 9183 | 942.68 | 2009 | 788.98 | 2 | 4 | 1578 | 1029.74 |
| 1999 | 1173.8 | -8 | 64 | 9391 | 950.6 | 2010 | 1313.7 | 3 | 9 | 3941 | 1037.66 |
| 2000 | 1028.8 | -7 | 49 | 7202 | 958.51 | 2011 | 921.12 | 4 | 16 | 3684.5 | 1045.57 |
| 2001 | 1127.6 | -6 | 36 | 6766 | 966.42 | 2012 | 1072.5 | 5 | 25 | 5362.6 | 1053.49 |
| 2002 | 944.94 | -5 | 25 | 4725 | 974.34 | 2013 | 1413.7 | 6 | 36 | 8482 | 1061.4 |
| 2003 | 1016.0 | -4 | 16 | 4064 | 982.25 | 2014 | 749.75 | 7 | 49 | 5248.2 | 1069.31 |
| 2005 | 1221.4 | -2 | 4 | 2443 | 998.08 | 2015 | 912.57 | 8 | 64 | 7300.6 | 1077.23 |
| 2006 | 1177.4 | -1 | 1 | 1177 | 1006 | 2016 | 994.49 | 9 | 81 | 8950.4 | 1085.14 |
| 2007 | 1141.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1013.9 | 2017 | 702.2 | 10 | 100 | 7022 | 1093.06 |
| 2008 | 839.20 | 1 | 1 | 839.2 | 1021.8 |  | 1013.9 | 10 | 670 | 5302.8 | 1100 |

Prediction model to predict the future of rainfall for Vidarbha is

$$
\mathrm{Y}=1013.91+7.91456 * \mathrm{x}
$$

Similarly predictions models for to predict the future or past of rainfall of the regions Marathwada is $\mathrm{Y}=510.9132+1.6265^{*} \mathrm{x}$ and Khandesh and Northern Maharashtra $\mathrm{Y}=780.719+3.854^{*} \mathrm{x}$ Konkan is $\mathrm{Y}=3051.4+3.69221^{*} \mathrm{x}$ and Paschim Maharashtra is $\mathrm{Y}=1038.4+3.69221^{*} \mathrm{x}$.

## MAJOR FINDINGS

The correlation between actual rain and rainy days is 0.9267 .The Simple linear regression model between actual rain and rainy days is $\mathrm{Y}=38.7^{*} \mathrm{x}$ 1490.4 . rainfall is consistently falls in the Palghar district but it is is not uniformly distributed ore all the districts or region. The total rainfall as well as average rainfall is not same in at least two regions.The estimate slope parameter is play vital role in the prediction of future rain model.
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