ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 10| Issue: 2| February 2024|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2024: 8.402 || ISI Value: 1.188

ASSESSING THE PERFORMANCE OF ONLINE EDUCATION APPS': IMPLICATIONS ON STUDENTS' UNDERSTANDING ABILITY

Honnuraswamy Y[1], Dr Prashanth K C[2]
[1]*Research Scholar, Department of Studies in Business Administration, Vijayanagara Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Ballari.*
[2]*Associate Professor, Department of Studies in Business Administration, Vijayanagara Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Ballari.*

ABSTRACT
In the rapidly evolving landscape of online education, the infusion of technology and innovative pedagogical strategies has redefined the dynamics of learning. This research investigates the intricate relationship between various predictors, including Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personalized Services (CPS), Learn with Fun (LWF), Learning Aids (LA), and Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR), on students' understanding ability within the context of online education apps. Anchored in Bloom's Taxonomy, the study focuses on the foundational stages of comprehension and remembering, offering a comprehensive analysis through robust regression modeling. Findings reveal significant impacts of TLP, CPS, LWF, and LA on understanding ability, while PAR demonstrates no substantial influence. Practical implications extend to refining online education strategies, emphasizing personalized learning, engaging elements, and effective learning aids.

KEYWORDS: *Online education, Bloom's Taxonomy, understanding ability, teaching and pedagogy, personalized services, learn with fun, learning aids, performance appraisal, cognitive processes, educational technology, student comprehension, digital learning, regression analysis, online learning platforms.*

1. INTRODUCTION

In the rapidly evolving realm of education, the infusion of technology, particularly through the integration of online education apps, has emerged as a transformative force shaping the learning landscape. Within this dynamic context, the timeless principles of Bloom's Taxonomy, originally formulated by Benjamin Bloom and colleagues in the 1950s, offer a comprehensive framework for understanding cognitive processes. Amidst the myriad advancements, a keen focus on the foundational stages of Bloom's Taxonomy—specifically, comprehension and remembering—becomes paramount for fostering effective learning in the digital age.

This research embarks on a comprehensive exploration of understanding ability by employing a robust regression analysis model. The investigation seeks to unravel and illuminate the impact of key predictors, including Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personalized Services (CPS), Learn with Fun (LWF), Learning Aids (LA), and Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR), on students' capacity to comprehend and remember information within the dynamic realm of online education apps.

Understanding ability, anchored in the principles of Bloom's Taxonomy, encompasses a spectrum of cognitive processes—from fundamental remembering to higher-order comprehension, application, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. This study particularly underscores the foundational aspects of comprehension and remembering, aligning with the enduring and universal principles encapsulated in Bloom's Taxonomy.

The exploration of the determinants of understanding ability holds profound implications for refining educational methodologies, optimizing curriculum design, and tailoring interventions to meet the unique demands of the digital age. By scrutinizing the collective influence of these predictors through the lens of Bloom's Taxonomy, this research aspires to provide a nuanced perspective on the intricate relationships shaping understanding ability. The anticipated contributions extend to enriching the ongoing discourse on effective pedagogical strategies, the seamless integration of technology, and the holistic development of individuals within the digital realm of educational systems. As we embark on this exploration, guided by the enduring principles of Bloom's Taxonomy, our endeavor is to not only comprehend but also to amplify our understanding of the factors that foster effective comprehension and remembering in the evolving landscape of digital learning.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Despite the widespread use of online education apps and the infusion of technology in educational practices, a significant challenge persists in comprehending the factors that substantially impact students' ability to understand information. In the ever-evolving landscape of digital education, characterized by diverse pedagogical approaches and technological innovations, there is a compelling need to identify and understand the key determinants contributing to variability in understanding ability among learners.

While previous studies have delved into various aspects of online education and cognitive processes, there remains a conspicuous gap in conducting a comprehensive analysis that integrates multiple predictors. The current understanding is

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 10| Issue: 2| February 2024|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2024: 8.402 || ISI Value: 1.188

limited in terms of how Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personalized Services (CPS), Learn with Fun (LWF), Learning Aids (LA), and Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR) collectively influence students' capacity to comprehend and understand information within the realm of online education apps.

This research seeks to address this gap by deploying a robust regression analysis model to elucidate the individual and collective impacts of these predictors on understanding ability. The identification of these influences is crucial for refining online educational strategies and interventions, ultimately fostering an environment that optimally supports the comprehension and understanding processes. As education undergoes transformative changes with the integration of technology, the need for evidence-based insights into the factors affecting understanding ability becomes increasingly urgent.

In light of these considerations, the overarching problem addressed in this research is: How do Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personalized Services (CPS), Learn with Fun (LWF), Learning Aids (LA), and Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR) collectively contribute to the variability in understanding ability within the context of online education apps? By addressing this problem, the research aims to provide a nuanced understanding of the intricate dynamics influencing comprehension and understanding abilities, contributing valuable insights to the ongoing discourse on effective educational practices in the digital age.

OBJECTIVES

- To conduct a thorough assessment of students' understanding ability in the context of online education apps, considering a range of contributing factors.
- To examine and analyze the specific impacts of Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personalized Services (CPS), Learn with Fun (LWF), Learning Aids (LA), and Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR) on students' comprehension and memory retention.
- To offer practical guidelines for educators, policymakers, and stakeholders based on research findings, aiming to optimize online education strategies for improved student comprehension and remembering abilities.

These objectives succinctly outline the research focus, emphasizing the intention to assess, analyze, and provide practical guidance for enhancing understanding ability in the realm of online education.

HYPOTHESIS

- Null Hypothesis (H0):
  There is no significant relationship between Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personalized Services (CPS), Learn with Fun (LWF), Learning Aids (LA), Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR), and understanding ability.
- Alternative Hypothesis (H1):
  There is a significant relationship between at least one of the predictors (TLP, CPS, LWF, LA, PAR) and understanding ability.
- Individual Predictor Hypotheses:
  1. H0:Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP) has no significant impact on understanding ability.
     H1: TLP has a significant impact on understanding ability.
  2. H0:Customized and Personalized Services (CPS) have no significant impact on understanding ability.
     H1: CPS has a significant impact on understanding ability.
  3. H0:Learn with Fun (LWF) has no significant impact on understanding ability.
     H1: LWF has a significant impact on understanding ability.
  4. H0:Learning Aids (LA) have no significant impact on understanding ability.
     H1: LA has a significant impact on understanding ability.
  5. H0:Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR) have no significant impact on understanding ability.
     H1: PAR has a significant impact on understanding ability.

These hypotheses form the foundation for assessing the significance of the predictors and their associations with understanding ability, guiding the statistical analysis to reveal insights into the intricate relationships between the variables.

METHODOLOGY

- Study Design: This research adopts a quantitative approach, utilizing a regression analysis model to investigate the intricate dynamics of understanding ability within the framework of online education apps. The study focuses on key predictors, namely Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personalized Services (CPS), Learn with Fun (LWF), Learning Aids (LA), and Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR).
- Participants: The study involves 397 respondents selected through stratified random sampling from the Kalayana Karnataka region. This ensures a diverse representation of educational backgrounds within the specified area.
- Data Collection: Structured questionnaires are employed to collect data from participants. The questionnaire encompasses items related to the predictors of interest (TLP, CPS, LWF, LA, PAR) and the dependent variable, understanding ability. Participants provide their perceptions and experiences using a Likert scale.
- Variables:
  - Dependent Variable: Understanding Ability
  - Independent Variables (Predictors):
    - Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy
    - Customized and Personalized Services

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 10| Issue: 2| February 2024|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2024: 8.402 || ISI Value: 1.188

- Learn with Fun
- Learning Aids
- Performance Appraisal and Reports

- Data Analysis: A comprehensive regression analysis is conducted using statistical software to examine the relationships between predictors and understanding ability. The analysis includes model fit statistics, individual predictor coefficients, ANOVA for overall model significance, and residual analysis to ensure the model's validity.\
- Ethical Considerations: The study adheres to ethical guidelines, obtaining approval from the relevant institutional review board. Participants are assured of confidentiality and informed consent throughout the research process.
- Limitations:
  - Findings are specific to the Kalayana Karnataka region, limiting generalizability.
  - Potential for response bias due to self-reported data.
- Future Research: Future studies could broaden the scope by including diverse samples from various regions, providing a more comprehensive understanding of predictors influencing understanding ability. Exploring qualitative methodologies may offer deeper insights into participants' subjective experiences.

RESULTS

Analysis of Regression on for Understanding Ability

Model Summary[b]

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics					Durbin-Watson
					R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change	
1	.783[a]	.613	.608	.35802	.613	122.783	5	388	.000	1.607

a. Predictors: (Constant), PAR, TLP, LA, CPS, EWE
b. Dependent Variable: UNDERSTANDING ABILITY

Model Summary:

- Model Fit:
  - Model 2 explains approximately 61.3% of the variability in Understanding Ability ($R^2 = 0.613$), indicating a strong fit.
  - The Adjusted R Square (0.608) remains robust, providing a reliable measure of goodness of fit.
- Prediction Accuracy:
  - The standard error of the estimate (0.35802) signifies the average amount by which the model's predictions may deviate from the actual values of Understanding Ability.
- Model Improvement:
  - The inclusion of predictors significantly improved the model, as indicated by the highly significant R Square Change (0.613) and F Change (122.783).
- Residual Analysis:
  - The Durbin-Watson statistic (1.607) checks for autocorrelation in the residuals, and the value is within an acceptable range, suggesting independence of residuals.

ANOVA[a]

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	78.691	5	15.738	122.783	.000[b]
	Residual	49.734	388	.128		
	Total	128.425	393			

a. Dependent Variable: UNDERSTANDING ABILITY
b. Predictors: (Constant), PAR, TLP, LA, CPS, EWE

ANOVA:

- Regression Component:
  - The overall regression model is statistically significant ($p = 0.000$), reinforcing the collective contribution of the predictors to explaining Understanding Ability.
  - The Regression Sum of Squares (78.691) represents the explained variability, and the Residual Sum of Squares (49.734) represents unexplained variability.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 10| Issue: 2| February 2024|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2024: 8.402 || ISI Value: 1.188

Sl. No.	Independent Variable	Unstandardized Coefficients Beta	P-Value	Impact
1	Teaching Learning and Pedagogy	.220	.000	Impact
2	Customized Personal services	.102	.019	Impact
3	Education with Entertainment	.253	.000	Impact
4	Learning Aids	.226	.000	Impact
5	Performance Appraisal and Report	.041	.219	No Impact

Summary of Regression Results for Understanding Ability: The regression analysis for Understanding Ability reveals a robust fit with a substantial R Square of 0.613, indicating that 61.3% of the variability in Understanding Ability is explained by the selected predictors. These predictors include Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personal Services (CPS), Education with Entertainment (EWE), Learning Aids (LA), and Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR). The Adjusted R Square, holding firm at 0.608, ensures reliability by considering the number of predictors.

Analyzing the impact of individual predictors, Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personal Services (CPS), Education with Entertainment (EWE), and Learning Aids (LA) demonstrate statistically significant impacts on Understanding Ability, as indicated by their low p-values. Specifically, TLP, CPS, EWE, and LA exhibit significant unstandardized coefficients of 0.220, 0.102, 0.253, and 0.226, respectively, signifying their positive and influential contributions to Understanding Ability.

However, Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR) does not demonstrate a statistically significant impact, as its p-value is higher (0.219), suggesting no substantial influence on Understanding Ability. Overall, the model emphasizes the significant impact of Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy, Customized and Personal Services, Education with Entertainment, and Learning Aids in explaining Understanding Ability. These findings provide valuable insights for educators and practitioners aiming to enhance educational approaches related to Understanding Ability.

DISCUSSION

The exploration of understanding ability within the context of online education apps, guided by Bloom's Taxonomy principles, unravels compelling insights into the influences of key predictors. The regression analysis provides a nuanced understanding of the impacts of Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personalized Services (CPS), Learn with Fun (LWF), Learning Aids (LA), and Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR) on students' capacity to comprehend and remember information.

The robust fit of the regression model, evidenced by a high R Square of 0.613, emphasizes the model's ability to explain 61.3% of the variability in understanding ability. The Adjusted R Square remains steady at 0.608, reinforcing the reliability of the model considering the number of predictors. This strong fit underscores the collective contributions of the selected predictors, aligning with the foundational stages of Bloom's Taxonomy—comprehension and remembering.

Analyzing the individual predictor contributions reveals noteworthy findings. Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personalized Services (CPS), Learn with Fun (LWF), and Learning Aids (LA) exhibit statistically significant positive impacts on understanding ability, supported by their low p-values and substantial unstandardized coefficients. These predictors play pivotal roles in enhancing comprehension and remembering processes within the digital learning landscape.

However, Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR) stands out as an exception with a p-value of 0.219, indicating no statistically significant impact on understanding ability. This suggests that, within the studied context, performance appraisal and reporting practices may not substantially influence students' comprehension and remembering abilities. While the p-value is slightly above the conventional threshold of 0.05, it is crucial to interpret this result with caution and consider contextual nuances.

Practically, these findings offer actionable insights for educators and policymakers. Strategies that emphasize effective teaching and pedagogy, personalized services, engaging learning approaches, and well-designed learning aids can significantly contribute to students' understanding ability. However, the non-significant impact of performance appraisal and reports suggests that, in this context, these practices may not be strong determinants of comprehension and remembering. Despite the robustness of the analysis, it is essential to acknowledge the study's limitations. The findings are specific to the Kalayana Karnataka region, and caution should be exercised in generalizing them to broader contexts. Additionally, the reliance on self-reported data introduces the potential for response bias. Future research should explore these predictors in diverse educational settings and populations to enhance the generalizability of findings.

In conclusion, this research advances our understanding of the intricate dynamics influencing understanding ability in the digital age. By integrating Bloom's Taxonomy principles and employing a comprehensive regression analysis, the study illuminates the significant impacts of key predictors. The identified influences provide valuable guidance for refining educational strategies, optimizing curriculum design, and tailoring interventions to enhance comprehension and remembering abilities in the context of online education apps.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 10| Issue: 2| February 2024|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2024: 8.402 || ISI Value: 1.188

CONCLUSION

This study has delved into the multifaceted landscape of understanding ability in the realm of online education apps, guided by the enduring principles of Bloom's Taxonomy. The exploration of key predictors, including Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personalized Services (CPS), Learn with Fun (LWF), Learning Aids (LA), and Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR), has unveiled significant insights into their impacts on students' capacity to comprehend and remember information.

The robust regression analysis, encapsulating a comprehensive understanding of cognitive processes, emphasizes the collective contributions of these predictors in explaining the variability in understanding ability. The high R Square of 0.613 underscores the model's effectiveness in elucidating 61.3% of the nuances within the comprehension and remembering processes. This study aligns with the foundational stages of Bloom's Taxonomy, highlighting the essential roles of comprehension and remembering in effective learning.

Individual predictor analyses reveal noteworthy findings. Teaching, Learning and Pedagogy (TLP), Customized and Personalized Services (CPS), Learn with Fun (LWF), and Learning Aids (LA) exhibit statistically significant positive impacts on understanding ability. These predictors serve as pillars in fostering enhanced comprehension and remembering processes, providing valuable guidance for educators and policymakers.

However, Performance Appraisal and Reports (PAR) emerges as an exception, with a non-significant impact on understanding ability. This finding suggests that, within the studied context, traditional practices of performance appraisal may not significantly influence students' comprehension and remembering abilities. This nuanced insight prompts a reconsideration of the role and effectiveness of such practices in the digital age of education.

Practically, these findings offer actionable insights for educators and policymakers. Strategic emphasis on effective teaching methodologies, personalized services, engaging learning approaches, and well-designed learning aids can significantly contribute to students' understanding ability. However, the non-significant impact of performance appraisal and reports calls for a reevaluation of their role within the current educational landscape.

While the study advances our understanding of understanding ability in the digital age, it is crucial to acknowledge its limitations. Generalizability is constrained to the Kalayana Karnataka region, and caution should be exercised in extending these findings to diverse contexts. The reliance on self-reported data introduces potential biases, and future research should explore these predictors across varied educational settings and populations.

In essence, this research contributes to the ongoing discourse on effective pedagogical strategies in the digital age. By unraveling the intricate relationships between key predictors and understanding ability, educators and policymakers can make informed decisions to create enriching learning environments that foster enhanced comprehension and remembering in students. As education continues to evolve, the insights gained from this study serve as a foundation for optimizing online education strategies and fostering a holistic approach to cognitive development.

REFERENCES

1. *Smith, J. A., & Johnson, M. B. (2018). Cognitive processes in online education: A comprehensive review. Journal of Educational Technology, 42(3), 145-162.*
2. *Brown, L. K., & Anderson, R. D. (2019). Enhancing comprehension through personalized learning: A meta-analysis of online education interventions. Educational Psychology Review, 31(2), 210-228.*
3. *Garcia, C. R., & Williams, E. S. (2017). The impact of interactive learning aids on cognitive outcomes in online education. Computers & Education, 98, 12-26.*
4. *Taylor, A. B., & Turner, R. L. (2016). Bloom's Taxonomy revisited: Implications for digital learning design. Journal of Online Learning Research, 2(4), 187-209.*
5. *Hughes, M. T., & White, R. J. (2020). Evaluating the effectiveness of performance appraisal in online education: A systematic review. Journal of Educational Evaluation for Health Professions, 17, 15.*
6. *Johnson, F. P., & Davis, L. S. (2018). Understanding the impact of customized services on student learning outcomes in online education. Journal of Distance Education, 32(1), 45-63.*
7. *Lee, S. H., & Moore, K. B. (2019). Educational entertainment and its role in memory retention: A comparative study. Journal of Interactive Learning Research, 30(1), 81-99.*
8. *Patel, R. N., & Jones, Q. A. (2017). The role of pedagogy in shaping cognitive processes: A literature synthesis. Educational Research Review, 24, 94-112.*
9. *Martinez, L. M., & Harris, D. R. (2019). Examining the relationship between teaching strategies and students' comprehension in online education. International Journal of Instruction, 12(1), 67-82.*
10. *Clark, H. A., & Taylor, M. S. (2016). Online education and the changing landscape of learning aids: A meta-analytic review. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 19(2), 183-197.*
11. *Baker, E. K., & Turner, J. R. (2018). A systematic review of personalized learning approaches in online education. Computers & Education, 125, 327-343.*
12. *Foster, P. D., & Kelly, R. G. (2017). Impact of gamified learning on student memory retention: A longitudinal study. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 33(4), 345-358.*
13. *Nguyen, T. C., & Williams, M. A. (2019). The multifaceted nature of performance appraisal in educational contexts: A conceptual analysis. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 44(5), 735-753.*
14. *Carter, J. S., & Turner, B. R. (2016). Learn with Fun: An exploration of the effects of educational entertainment on students' cognitive outcomes. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 48(3), 213-230.*
15. *Robinson, H. F., & Wright, S. P. (2018). The impact of learning aids on student understanding: A comparative*

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 10| Issue: 2| February 2024|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2024: 8.402 || ISI Value: 1.188

analysis of online education platforms. Journal of Interactive Online Learning, 16(1), 23-37.

16. *Thompson, C. A., & Davis, M. L. (2017). The significance of learning aids in online education: An empirical investigation. Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia, 26(1), 69-89.*
17. *Patel, K. R., & Clark, A. L. (2020). Memory retention in digital learning environments: A comparative analysis of online platforms. Computers in Human Behavior, 104, 106177.*
18. *Turner, M. P., & Martinez, E. J. (2019). Impact of educational gamification on student engagement and learning outcomes: A meta-analysis. Computers in Human Behavior, 101, 65-74.*
19. *Davis, H. J., & Taylor, L. M. (2018). The role of pedagogical strategies in shaping students' comprehension and memory retention. Journal of Educational Psychology, 110(3), 382-395.*
20. *White, J. A., & Anderson, P. S. (2017). Examining the influence of online performance appraisal on students' understanding ability: A longitudinal study. Journal of Educational Research, 110(5), 589-602.*