

# BURDEN OF TUBERCULOSIS IN INDIA: INFORMATION FROM NFHS-5

Anant Kumar Pandey<sup>1</sup>, Dr. H K Chaturvedi<sup>2</sup>, Dr Pooja Choraria<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar, Dept. of Statistics, IIS (Deemed to be University), Jaipur
& Bio-Statistician - ABVIMS; Dr RML Hospital, MoHFW, Govt. of India, New Delhi
<sup>2</sup>NIMS, ICMR, DHR, MoHFW, Govt. of India, New Delhi.
<sup>3</sup>Dept of Statistics, IIS (Deemed to be University), Jaipur, India.

#### Article DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.36713/epra15891</u> DOI No: 10.36713/epra15891

ABSTRACT

Tuberculosis (TB) is an infectious disease and is caused by germ (bacterium) called Mycobacterium Tuberculosis. Transmission of tuberculosis is mainly through air by inhalation of infected droplet nuclei, which are discharged in the air when a patient with untreated sputum positive tuberculosis (TB) coughs or sneezes. Other modifier of the risk of disease are age, with greater risk during infancy and adolescence, and gender, with young women more likely to develop disease soon after infection. This article reports a self-reported levels and socioeconomic patterns in the distribution of tuberculosis (TB) cases in India, based on information collected under the National Family Health Survey-Round 5 (NFHS-5, 2019–21). The prevalence of TB in various characteristics of households along with Rural-Urban break-up is studied and it is seen that the prevalence of TB is 1% at India level. The prevalence of TB is higher in Rural areas as compare to Urban areas. The percentage distribution of sample households by with TB patients are also influenced by other socio-economic characteristics.

KEYWORDS: Tuberculosis, NFHS-5, Households

## INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) is an infectious disease and is caused by (bacterium) called Mycobacterium Tuberculosis. germ Transmission of tuberculosis is mainly through air by inhalation of infected droplet nuclei, which are discharged in the air when a patient with untreated sputum positive tuberculosis (TB) coughs or sneezes (Grosset J. et. al., 2003). The length of exposure and the degree of ventilation of ambience environment also influence the probability of becoming infected with tuberculosis. The infection of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis is generally detected by a tuberculin test (Jilani TN. Et. al., 2024). The body's response to the active TB infection produces inflammation, which can eventually damage the lungs. Other modifier of the risk of disease are age, with greater risk during infancy and adolescence, and gender, with young women more likely to develop disease soon after infection (Peer V, Schwartz Net. al., 2023). Tuberculosis remains a worldwide public health problem (Zaman K. et.al., 2010) despite the fact that the causative organism was discovered more than 100 years ago and highly effective drugs and vaccine are available making tuberculosis a preventable and curable disease. Technologically advanced countries have achieved spectacular results in the advent of BCG or chemotherapy (Luca S et.al., 2013) and have been attributed to changes in the "non-specific" determinants of the disease such as improvements in the standard of living and the quality of life of the people coupled with the application of available technical knowledge and health resources.

TB causes an enormous socio- economic burden to India (Ananthakrishnan R. et.el 2012). TB primarily affects people in their most productive years of life. While two- thirds of the

cases are male, TB takes disproportionately larger toll among young females, with more than 50 per cent of female cases occurring before the age of 34 years. India is the highest tuberculosis (TB) burden country in the world having an estimated incidence of 26.9 lakh cases in 2019 as per World Health Organization (WHO). As per the TB India report 2020, there were around 24 lac notified TB cases registered in India.

As India has the highest burden of TB and continues to be one of high toll of disease and death in India (Bhargava A et al., 2020) but due to lack of limitations of existing quality of data from national Prevalence survey. NFHS is the largest - ever nationally representative household sample in India require effective studies on how TB is spread and awareness for early care for policy making for nation interest. As NFHS-4, NFHS-5 also has district-level estimates for several important indicators. NFHS-5 contents are similar to NFHS-4 and allow comparisons over time. However, NFHS-5 includes some new topics, such as preschool education, disability, access to a toilet death registration, bathing practices facility, during menstruation, and methods and reasons for abortion (NFHS -5 compendium of fact sheets). Recent data from NFHS -5 is reviewed and approved by ICF IRB. Protocol for NFHS-5 survey was also approved by the IIPS IRB (Institutional Review Board), the national coordinating agency for conducting the survey. All these ensures that the survey complies with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Regulations. NFHS-5, Indian version of the Demographic and Health Surveys conducted with the largest sample in the world, includes data on self- reported TB and might offer useful insights on the scale and distribution of TB, Care- seeking patters for people affected by TB and public awareness about



TB while building on similar prior research (Mazumdar S et.al., 2019).

In this article, demographic and other characteristics of tuberculosis and non-tuberculosis households have been studied. A household has been defined as tuberculosis household if any of its members was suffering from tuberculosis at the time of NFHS -5 surveys, else the household is categorised as non- tuberculosis household. The analyses will give and insight as to whether there is any observed difference between the demographic and other characteristics of tuberculosis and non- tuberculosis households? The results have been presented for rural and urban areas for all India, geographic regions and social determinants of health provides a real opportunity to expand the current paradigm for TB control.

## METHODOLOGY

The present article studied the prevalence of TB at households' level. The distribution of TB at India level as well as among all states of India. The distributions have also been studied with the variables representing the socioeconomic status of households. The selected socioeconomic variables are places of residence, electricity, gender of head of family. Further the distribution of TB households obtained with toilet facility available in the household and uses of fuel for cooking. As earlier literatures suggested these are the important variables which are responsible for the availability of the TB cases in various household status (Singh SK et.al., 2018. Padmapriyadarsini, C et. al., 2016). The above written methodology regarding study the distribution of the TB was executed through NFHS-5 data. The National Family Health Survey (NFHS) is a series of surveys conducted in India to collect information on various health and demographic indicators. NFHS-5, like its predecessors, focused on collecting data related to fertility, mortality, maternal and child health, nutrition, family planning, and various other aspects of reproductive and child health. The survey was designed to cover a nationally representative sample of households, and the collected data has crucial for policymakers, researchers, and program implementers to assess the impact of existing health programs and formulate new strategies. The descriptive univariate analysis of data is performed first, later on a bivariate analysis along with chisquare test is executed to studied the relationship of TB prevalence with other socio-economic factor of households.

## RESULT

NFHS-5 field work for India was conducted in two phases – Phase 1 from 17th Jun 2019 to 30th Jan 2020 covering 17 states and 5 UTs and Phase 2 from 2nd Jan 2020 to 30th April 2021 covering 11 states and three UTs and gather information from 6 Lac, 36 thousand 699 household. It is evident from below Table 1 that the prevalence of the TB is higher in rural areas as compare to urban areas at all India level and difference is also Statistically significant. The most of the states of northern and central region of India showing the same pattern. Further, Manipur in north- east region has the same pattern like India but other states of north-east region showing that the prevalence of TB in urban areas are higher than the rural areas. The south Indian states are also showing that the prevalence of TB is higher in rural areas as compare to the urban areas. The full table for Table-1 is given in annexure-1.

S.No	States	Category	Number of Household	Prevalence of TB		P- Value
				Number of patients with TB	Prevalence (%)	
01	All India	Combined	636699	6457	1.0%	< 0.001
		Rural	476561	5187	1.1%	
		Urban	160138	1270	0.8%	
02	Jammu &	Combined	18086	129	0.7%	0.75
	Kashmir	Rural	14663	106	0.7%	
		Urban	3423	23	0.7%	
03	Himachal	Combined	10698	86	0.8%	0.80
	Pradesh	Rural	9784	78	0.8%	
		Urban	914	8	0.9%	
04	Punjab	Combined	18824	116	0.6%	0.66
		Rural	12690	76	0.6%	
		Urban	6134	40	0.7%	
05	Uttarakhand	Combined	12169	48	0.4%	0.53
		Rural	9811	37	0.4%	
		Urban	2358	11	0.5%	
06	Haryana	Combined	18229	93	0.5%	0.15
		Rural	12484	70	0.6%	
		Urban	5745	23	0.4%	
07	Rajasthan	Combined	31817	326	1.0%	0.002
	-	Rural	24994	279	1.1%	
		Urban	6823	47	0.7%	

Table-1 – Prevalence of TB in India amongst the households from different states.



Category	Number of Household	Prevalence of TB		P- Value
Type of Place of residence		Number of patients with TB	Prevalence (%)	
Combined	636699	6457	1.0%	< 0.001
Rural	476561	5187	1.1%	
Urban	160138	1270	0.8%	
Electricity				
No	22592	381	1.7%	< 0.001
Yes	614107	6076	1.0%	
Sex of head of household				
Male	527220	5538	1.1%	< 0.001
Female	109463	919	0.8%	
Transgender	16	0	0.0%	]

It is been found from the table no 2 that the prevalence of TB is lower amongst the household who has electricity connection as compare with those household who don't have electricity

connections. It also shows that the household those have female head having lower prevalence of TB. The difference is also statistically significant.

Table no3 Prevalence of TB	amongst the households with	various types of toilet facility.
Table no5 Trevalence of TD	amongst the nouseholus with	various types of tonet facility.

			Prevale		
S.No	Type of Toilet Facility	Number of Household	Number of patients with TB	Prevalence %	P-Value
01	Combined	636699	6457 (100%)	1.0%	
02	Flush to piped sewer system	46562 (7.3%)	369 (5.7%)	0.8%	
03	Flush to septic tank	285642 (44.9%)	2499 (38.7%)	0.9%	
04	Flush to pit latrine	87929 (13.8%)	912 (14.1%)	1.0%	
05	Flush to somewhere else	4959 (0.8%)	63 (1%)	1.3%	
06	Flush, don't know where	892 (0.1%)	7 (0.1%)	0.8%	
07	Ventilated improved pit latrine (VIP)	4085 (0.6%)	42 (0.7%)	1.0%	
08	Pit latrine with slab	41092 (6.5%)	465 (7.2%)	1.1%	< 0.001
09	Pit latrine without slab/ Open pit	9826 (1.5%)	129 (2%)	1.3%	
10	No facility/bush/field	115137 (18.1%)	1505 (23.3%)	1.3%	
11	Composting toilet	30650 (4.8%)	324 (5%)	1.1%	
12	Dry toilet	7986 (1.3%)	106 (1.6%)	1.3%	
13	Other	1938 (0.3%)	36 (0.6%)	1.9%	

The prevalence of TB is lower amongst the household who has flush to piped sewer toilet facility system as compare with those household who don't have facility of toilet or using bush/field for toilet facility system. In addition to this the households having facilities of LPG and electricity as a cooking fuel are lower prevalence of TB whereas those household using wood,

agriculture crop and animal dung as cooking fuel are having higher prevalence of TB. These results are statistically significant [ table 3&4].



	Table no4 Prevalence of T	B amongst the hous	seholds with various t	ypes of cooking fue	el.
S.No	Type of cooking fuel	Number of	Prevalen	P-Value	
		Household	Number of	Prevalence %	
			Patients with TB		
01	Combined	636699	6457	1.0%	
02	Electricity	7282	91	1.2%	
03	LPG	327347	2608	0.8%	
04	Biogas	2204	32	1.5%	
05	Kerosene	3051	35	1.1%	
06	Coal, lignite	4919	54	1.1%	< 0.001
07	Charcoal	5081	79	1.6%	< 0.001
08	Wood	246040	2955	1.2%	
09	Straw/shrubs/grass	5695	118	2.1%	
10	Agricultural crop	9836	164	1.7%	
11	Animal dung	23537	303	1.3%	
12	No food cooked in house	1075	7	0.7%	]
13	Other	632	11	1.7%	

# DISCUSSION

Tuberculosis is one of the major infectious diseases for developing countries and remains a major public health concern for a developing country like India. The respective study has been studied at all India level as well as states level which describes the socioeconomic status of households with TB prevalence focusing on variables which are places of residence, electricity, gender of head of family, toilet facility available in the household and uses of fuel for cooking. this article shows that the prevalence of tuberculosis (TB) is 1% which is supported by other articles published (Thiruvengadam K et.al., 2023; Bhargava A et.al., 2021).

It was also found that the percentage distribution of sample households with TB patients are influenced by other socioeconomic characteristics e.g. places of residence, electricity, gender of head of family, toilet facility available in the household and uses of fuel for cooking. The same finding also reported in previous literatures (Singh SK et.al., 2018; James R. Hargreaves et al., 2011; Pathak D et al., 2021; Thakur G et al., 2021). In a study it was reported that Metropolitan city Mumbai has the highest prevalence among the cities studied. Living standards, place of residence and absence of windows and electricity in the households are the factors associated with TB prevalence (Marimuthu P et al., 2016). In a south India based study it was concluded that TB is a major public health problem in urban area. Undernutrition, slum dwelling, indoor air pollution and alcohol intake are modifiable risk factors for TB disease (Dhanaraj B et al., 2015). There are many other studies argued the same (Muniyandi M et al., 2008; Elf JL et al., 2019; Bhargava A et al., 2014; Sailo CV et al., 2022).

As India is working on national strategic plan to eliminate TB by 2025 and it is seen from the result that the prevalence of TB in various characteristics of households with Rural-Urban break-up is (1%) at all India level where prevalence of TB is higher in Rural areas as compare to Urban areas. Further, it is also found that there is lower prevalence of TB amongst household having electricity connection and female as head of house. In addition to that it is also found about facility of toilet have lower prevalence of TB having flush to piped sewer system and higher prevalence of TB having Straw/shrubs/grass

as cooking fuel. Though there were various studies been done relating to same issue in past and having the same result but the current studies supports that the claimed pattern of TB is still persistent, however it is descriptive study and further more sophisticated analytical studies need to be performed for proving the claim results.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Ananthakrishnan R, Jeyaraj A, Palani G, Sathiyasekaran BW. Socioeconomic impact of TB on patients registered within RNTCP and their families in the year 2007 in Chennai, India. Lung India. 2012 Jul;29(3):221-6. doi: 10.4103/0970-2113.99103. PMID: 22919159; PMCID: PMC3424859.
- Bhargava A, Benedetti A, Oxlade O, Pai M, Menzies D. 2. Undernutrition and the incidence of tuberculosis in India: national and subnational estimates of the populationattributable fraction related to undernutrition. Natl Med J India. 2014 May-Jun;27(3):128-33. PMID: 25668081.
- 3. Bhargava A, Bhargava M, Juneja A. Social determinants of tuberculosis: context, framework, and the way forward to ending TB in India. Expert Rev Respir Med. 2021 Jul;15(7):867-883. doi: 10.1080/17476348.2021.1832469. Epub 2020 Oct 20. PMID: 33016808.
- 4. Chauhan A, Parmar M, Dash GC, Solanki H, Chauhan S, Sharma J, Sahoo KC, Mahapatra P, Rao R, Kumar R, Rade K, Pati S. The prevalence of tuberculosis infection in India: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Indian J Med Res. 2023 Feb-Mar;157(2&3):135-151. doi: 10.4103/ijmr.ijmr\_382\_23. PMID: 37202933; PMCID: PMC10319385.
- Dhanaraj B, Papanna MK, Adinarayanan S, Vedachalam C, 5. Sundaram V, Shanmugam S, Sekar G, Menon PA, Wares F, Swaminathan S. Prevalence and risk factors for adult pulmonary tuberculosis in a metropolitan city of South India. PLoS One. 2015 Apr 23;10(4):e0124260. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0124260. PMID: 25905900; PMCID: PMC4408069.
- Dr. Rahul Gupta, Dr. Balbir Singh, Dr. Pramod Shridhar, Dr. 6. Sukhjinder Pal Singh, Dr. Pushpinder Verma. Sociodemographic profile of multi-drug resistant TB patients in Solan, Hamirpur and Bilaspur Districts of Himachal Pradesh, India. Volume 09, Issue 07, 2022. European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine.



- Elf JL, Kinikar A, Khadse S, Mave V, Suryavanshi N, Gupte N, Kulkarni V, Patekar S, Raichur P, Paradkar M, Kulkarni V, Pradhan N, Breysse PN, Gupta A, Golub JE. The association of household fine particulate matter and kerosene with tuberculosis in women and children in Pune, India. Occup Environ Med. 2019 Jan;76(1):40-47. doi: 10.1136/oemed-2018-105122. Epub 2018 Sep 7. PMID: 30194271.
- 8. Grosset J. Mycobacterium tuberculosis in the extracellular compartment: an underestimated adversary. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2003 Mar;47(3):833-6. doi: 10.1128/AAC.47.3.833-836.2003. PMID: 12604509; PMCID: PMC149338.
- 9. James R. Hargreaves, Delia Boccia, Carlton A. Evans, Michelle Adato, Mark Petticrew, John D. H. Porter, James R. Hargreaves, Delia Boccia, Mark Petticrew, and John D. H. Porter are with the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom. Carlton A. Evans is with the Faculty of Science and Philosophy, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Peru. Michelle Adato is with the International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC. "The Social Determinants of Tuberculosis: From Evidence to Action", American Journal of Public Health 101, no. 4 (April 1, 2011): pp. 654-662.

https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2010.199505

- 10. Jilani TN, Avula A, Zafar Gondal A, et al. Active Tuberculosis. [Updated 2023 Jan 26]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK513246/
- Kashyap RS, Nayak AR, Husain AA, Shekhawat SD, Satav AR, Jain RK, Raje DV, Daginawala HF, Taori GM. Impact of socioeconomic status and living condition on latent tuberculosis diagnosis among the tribal population of Melghat: A cohort study. Lung India. 2016 Jul-Aug;33(4):372-80. doi: 10.4103/0970-2113.184868. PMID: 27578928; PMCID: PMC4948223.
- Luca S, Mihaescu T. History of BCG Vaccine. Maedica (Bucur). 2013 Mar;8(1):53-8. PMID: 24023600; PMCID: PMC3749764.
- 13. Mazumdar S, Satyanarayana S, Pai M. Self-reported tuberculosis in India: evidence from NFHS-4. BMJ Glob Health. 2019 May 22;4(3):e001371. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2018-001371. PMID: 31263580; PMCID: PMC6570983.
- 14. Marimuthu P. Tuberculosis prevalence and socio-economic differentials in the slums of four metropolitan cities of India. Indian J Tuberc. 2016 Jul;63(3):167-170. doi:10.1016/j.ijtb.2016.08.007.Epub 2016 Sep 21. PMID:27865238.
- Muniyandi M, Ramachandran R. Socioeconomic inequalities of tuberculosis in India. Expert OpinPharmacother. 2008 Jul;9(10):1623-8. doi: 10.1517/14656566.9.10.1623. PMID: 18570596.
- 16. National Family Health Survey 2019-21 (NFHS-5) for India, the fifth in the NFHS series. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Govt. of India.
- 17. Pathak D, Vasishtha G, Mohanty SK. Association of multidimensional poverty and tuberculosis in India. BMC Public Health. 2021 Nov 11;21(1):2065. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-12149-x. PMID: 34763696; PMCID: PMC8582202.
- Padmapriyadarsini, C.1,; Shobana, M.5; Lakshmi, M.4; Beena, T.2; Swaminathan, Soumya3,<sup>†</sup>. Undernutrition & tuberculosis in India: Situation analysis & the way forward. Indian Journal of Medical Research 144(1):p 11-20, July 2016. | DOI: 10.4103/0971-5916.193278.

- Peer V, Schwartz N, Green MS. Gender differences in tuberculosis incidence rates-A pooled analysis of data from seven high-income countries by age group and time period. Front Public Health. 2023 Jan 10;10:997025. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.997025. Erratum in: Front Public Health. 2023 Feb 21;11:1157235. PMID: 36703824; PMCID: PMC9873377.
- 20. Rajeswari R, Balasubramanian R, Muniyandi M, Geetharamani S, Thresa X, Venkatesan P. Socio-economic impact of tuberculosis on patients and family in India. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 1999 Oct;3(10):869-77. PMID: 10524583.
- 21. Sailo CV, Tonsing MV, Sanga Z, Chhakchhuak Z, Kharkongor F, Fela V, Chhakchhuak L, Ralte L, Nemi L, Senthil Kumar N. Risk factors of tuberculosis in Mizoram: First report of the possible role of water source. Indian J Tuberc. 2022 Oct;69(4):675-681. doi: 10.1016/j.ijtb.2022.03.003. Epub 2022 Mar 12. PMID: 36460407.
- 22. Shetty N, Shemko M, Vaz M, D'Souza G. An epidemiological evaluation of risk factors for tuberculosis in South India: a matched case control study. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2006 Jan;10(1):80-6. PMID: 16466042
- Singh, S.K., Kashyap, G.C. & Puri, P. Potential effect of household environment on prevalence of tuberculosis in India: evidence from the recent round of a cross-sectional survey. BMC Pulm Med 18, 66 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-018-0627-3.
- 24. Sreeramareddy, C.T., Harsha Kumar, H.N. & Arokiasamy, J.T. Prevalence of self-reported tuberculosis, knowledge about tuberculosis transmission and its determinants among adults in India: results from a nation-wide cross-sectional household survey. BMC Infect Dis 13, 16 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-13-16
- Singh, S.K., Kashyap, G.C. & Puri, P. Potential effect of household environment on prevalence of tuberculosis in India: evidence from the recent round of a cross-sectional survey. BMC Pulm Med 18, 66 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-018-0627-3
- Thakur G, Thakur S, Thakur H. Status and challenges for tuberculosis control in India - Stakeholders' perspective. Indian J Tuberc. 2021 Jul;68(3):334-339. doi: 10.1016/j.ijtb.2020.10.001. Epub 2020 Oct 12. PMID: 34099198; PMCID: PMC7550054.
- Thiruvengadam K, Krishnan R, Muniyandi M. The Prevalence of Self-Reported Tuberculosis in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India: Evidence from the NFHS-IV and V. Trop Med Infect Dis. 2023 Oct 3;8(10):464. doi: 10.3390/tropicalmed8100464. PMID: 37888592; PMCID: PMC10611087.
- 28. World Health Organization (WHO) 2016. Preventing disease through healthy environments: a global assessment of the burden of disease from environmental risks.
- 29. Yasobant S, Bhavsar P, Kalpana P, Memon F, Trivedi P, Saxena D. Contributing Factors in the Tuberculosis Care Cascade in India: A Systematic Literature Review. Risk ManagHealthc Policy. 2021 Aug 10;14:3275-3286. doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S322143. PMID: 34408513; PMCID: PMC8364383.
- 30. Zaman K. Tuberculosis: a global health problem. J Health PopulNutr. 2010 Apr;28(2):111-3. doi: 10.3329/jhpn.v28i2.4879. PMID: 20411672; PMCID: PMC2980871.



ANNEXURE 1							
S.No	States	Category	Number of	Prevale	nce of TB	P- Value	
			Household	Number of	Prevalence (%)		
				patients with TB			
01	All India	Combined	636699	6457	1.0%	< 0.001	
		Rural	476561	5187	1.1%		
		Urban	160138	1270	0.8%		
02	Jammu &	Combined	18086	129	0.7%	0.75	
	Kashmir	Rural	14663	106	0.7%		
		Urban	3423	23	0.7%		
03	Himachal	Combined	10698	86	0.8%	0.80	
	Pradesh	Rural	9784	78	0.8%		
		Urban	914	8	0.9%		
04	Punjab	Combined	18824	116	0.6%	0.66	
		Rural	12690	76	0.6%		
		Urban	6134	40	0.7%		
05	Chandigarh	Combined	761	1	0.1%	0.86	
		Rural	21	0	0%		
		Urban	740	1	0.1%		
06	Uttarakhand	Combined	12169	48	0.4%	0.53	
		Rural	9811	37	0.4%		
		Urban	2358	11	0.5%		
07	Haryana	Combined	18229	93	0.5%	0.15	
		Rural	12484	70	0.6%		
		Urban	5745	23	0.4%		
08	Nct Of Delhi	Combined	9486	59	0.6%	0.41	
		Rural	306	3	1.0%		
		Urban	9180	56	0.6%		
09	Rajasthan	Combined	31817	326	1.0%	0.002	
		Rural	24994	279	1.1%	]	
		Urban	6823	47	0.7%		
10	Uttar Pradesh	Combined	70710	749	1.1%	0.006	
		Rural	56657	630	1.1%		
		Urban	14053	119	0.8%		
11	Bihar	Combined	35834	720	2.0%	0.036	
		Rural	32045	661	2.1%		
		Urban	3789	59	1.6%		
12	Sikkim	Combined	3516	78	2.2%	0.314	
		Rural	2916	68	2.3%		
		Urban	600	10	1.7%		
13	Arunachal	Combined	18268	332	1.8%	0.731	
	Pradesh	Rural	14554	262	1.8%	_	
		Urban	3714	70	1.9%		
14	Nagaland	Combined	10112	210	2.1%	0.449	
		Rural	7687	155	2.0%	_	
		Urban	2425	55	2.3%		
15	Manipur	Combined	7881	152	1.9%	0.101	
		Rural	5873	122	2.1%	4	
		Urban	2008	30	1.5%		
16	Mizoram	Combined	7257	180	2.5%	0.587	
		Rural	4175	100	2.4%	4	
		Urban	3082	80	2.6%		
17	Tripura	Combined	7209	88	1.2%	0.972	
		Rural	5827	71	1.2%	4	
10		Urban	1382	17	1.2%		
18	Meghalaya	Combined	10148	275	2.7%	0.192	
		Kural	8962	236	2.6%		



ISSN (Online): 2455-3662

**EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal** Volume: 10| Issue: 2| February 2024|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2024: 8.402 || ISI Value: 1.188

S.No	States	Category	Number of Prevalence of TB			P- Value
	States	Curregory	Household	Number of patients with TB	Prevalence (%)	
		Urban	1186	39	1.2%	
19	Assam	Combined	30119	369	1.2%	0.022
		Rural	26131	335	1.3%	-
		Urban	3988	34	0.9%	
20	West Bengal	Combined	18187	185	1.0%	< 0.001
		Rural	12745	153	1.2%	-
		Urban	5442	32	0.6%	-
21	Iharkhand	Combined	22863	221	1.0%	0.001
21	Jildi Kildild	Rural	18562	198	1.0%	0.001
		Urban	4301	23	0.5%	-
22	Odisha	Combined	26467	220	0.8%	0 184
22	Ouisila	Rural	20407	196	0.8%	0.104
		Urban	3707	24	0.9%	-
22	Chhattiagarh	Combined	24550	120	0.0%	0.221
23	Cilliattisgaili	Dural	24330	139	0.0%	0.221
		Kulai	4519	20	0.0%	_
24	M - 11	Combined	4318	20	0.4%	0.652
24	Madhya	Combined	43552	231	0.5%	0.653
	Pradesh	Rural	34548	186	0.5%	_
		Urban	9004	45	0.5%	0.000
25	Gujarat	Combined	29368	266	0.9%	0.006
		Rural	20136	203	1.0%	_
		Urban	9232	63	0.7%	
26	Dadra &	Combined	2676	10	0.4%	0.625
	Nagar Haveli	Rural	1134	5	0.4%	
	And Daman & Diu	Urban	1542	5	0.3%	
27	Maharashtra	Combined	31643	189	0.6%	0.244
		Rural	21349	135	0.6%	
		Urban	10294	54	0.5%	
28	Andhra	Combined	11346	94	0.8%	0.138
	Pradesh	Rural	8154	74	0.9%	
		Urban	3192	20	0.6%	
29	Karnataka	Combined	26574	213	0.8%	0.098
		Rural	18847	162	0.9%	
		Urban	7727	51	0.7%	-
30	Goa	Combined	1856	18	1.0%	0.967
		Rural	713	7	1.0%	
		Urban	1143	11	1.0%	
31	Lakshadweep	Combined	921	7	0.8%	0.164
	1	Rural	197	3	1.5%	
		Urban	724	4	0.6%	
32	Kerala	Combined	12330	192	1.6%	0.702
02		Rural	7283	116	1.6%	
		Urban	5047	76	1.5%	-
33	Tamil Nadu	Combined	27929	159	0.6%	0.246
55	Tahihi Nada	Rural	16123	99	0.6%	0.240
		Urban	11806	5) 60	0.0%	_
21	Puducham	Combined	2520	10	0.5%	0.00
54	1 uuuunerry	Durol	700	17	0.3%	0.00
		Turbar	700	13	1.9%	-
25	And	Combined	2820	0	0.2%	0.057
33	Anuaman &	Combined	2024	51	1.2%	0.05/
	INICODAR	Kural	2097	29	1.4%	4
26	Islands	Urban	527	2	0.4%	0.045
36	Telangana	Combined	27351	226	0.8%	0.065
		Rural	20191	179	0.9%	



ISSN (Online): 2455-3662 EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal Volume: 10| Issue: 2| February 2024|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2024: 8.402 || ISI Value: 1.188

S.No	States	Category	Number of	Prevale	P- Value	
			Household	Number of patients with TB	Prevalence (%)	
		Urban	7160	47	0.7%	
37	Ladakh	Combined	1818	26	1.4%	0.693
		Rural	1410	21	1.5%	
		Urban	408	5	1.2%	