

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662



EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) Peer Reviewed Journal

## ENTRANTS PREDICTIVE ANALYSIS MODEL IN A PHILIPPINE STATE UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGE

## **Rolando Real Codilan**

Faculty, College of Computer Studies Eastern Samar State University Main Campus, Borongan City, Philippines

#### ABSTRACT

Data mining is a process of computing models or design in a large collection of data and is used to develop predictive models. This study aims to analyze the ESSU entrance examination result from 2011-2016 of data records which subsets of Language, Reading Comprehension, Math, and Science in relation to Bachelor of Science in Computer Science (BSCS) course, build prediction analysis model on ESSU Entrance Exam, and determine the percentage or the quantity that choose BSCS course. The purpose of this study was to help in decision making and create a Predictive Analysis Model. This study used orange software for the presentation of the ESSU entrant's predictive analysis model to determine the result outcomes.

**KEYWORDS** – *Predictive Analysis, Entrance Exam, Orange Software* 

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Predictive analytics has become a popular concept, it's been around for decades, more and more organizations are turning to predictive analytics to increase their bottom line. Predictive analytics uses a number of data mining, statistical algorithm and analytical techniques to bring together the management of current data to make predictions to identify the likelihood of future outcomes based on historical data.

Data Mining is the process of finding relationships and patterns within large sets of data to predict outcomes through data analysis Oliver D. Daitol et al (2012)<sup>2</sup>. Basically, Data Mining is mining useful information from a large set of data and using it in a more relevant manner.

Orange is an open-source software widely used the software in predicting a large set of data in data mining.

The College entrance exam is an institutionalized inclination test to measure the aggregate learning in

different aptitude zones Aldous et al (2015)<sup>1</sup>. Because of data analysis a lot of college and university institutions, even different organizations and individual engaged in predictive analysis, especially in terms of school data records analysis to measure the future outcome of data. Students at the main stakeholders of schools/universities their performance and graduation rate are inevitable to produce a better Innovator, Entrepreneur or Global Competitors. This study aimed to predict the student's entrance examination results in a test score analysis in every category whether it could affect the first or second choice course in Eastern Samar State University (ESSU) Borongan City Philippines.

### **Objectives of the Study**

This study aimed to design the ESSU Entrants Predictive Analysis Model that will;

1. Analyze ESSU entrance examination results from 2011-2016 of data records in test

score in.

1.1 Language, Reading Comprehension, Math and Science

1.2 In relation to BSCS first choice course
2. To build a predictive analysis model on ESSU's entrance exam results for the years to come.
II. METHODOLOGY
Data Collection

The data collected from the Testing and Admission Office in Eastern Samar State University was a summary report of entrance examination result for the last five years. The entrance examination result it was the only available data that this study collected.

 Table 1. Summary Report on the Number of Examinees for the last five years (2011-2016).

Academic Year	Total No. of Examinees	Total No. of passers	Total No. of probationary	No. of Retakes'/applicants that did not take the Entrance Test
2011-2012	1782	705	63	4
2012-2013	562	268	47	6
2013-2014	1184	451	92	43
2014-2015	1158	852	302	36
2015-2016	2392	2045	107	19
Total	7078	4321	611	108

The table above shows the total number of examinees was not equal to the actual number of

examinee gathered in individually records of students.

#### **Predictive Analysis Model**



# Figure 1 Predictive Analysis Modelo understand theData Analysis

The model above was built to understand the requirements, tested, and reworked as necessary until an acceptable prototype was achieved. This model was developed based on the currently known requirements best for predictive analysis modeling. The model aims to predict the file loaded that was the entrance examination record, store and viewed from the data table where data was stored.

#### The data gathered from the Admission and Testing Office in Eastern Samar State University (ESSU) was encoded manually into Spreadsheet and chooses to predict and to analyze, the Year, 1<sup>st</sup> Course, Total, Remark, Reading Comprehension, Math, Science. This study used Orange as data mining tool to predict and analyze the data gathered to show the possibly predict the total number of

students who will be enrolled this coming school year 2018-2019, especially in the BSCS Course,

through the percentage of each Category in language, reading comprehension, math, and science in every year; the distribution of category by year.

## **Research Design**

This study used analytical research for which the study used the facts or data information available, and to analyze the critical evaluation of the material.

## **Dataset Used**

Data set was a collection of data gathered from 2011 to 2016 examinees result where every single attribute of the data represents a variable and each instance has its own description. This study conducted at Eastern Samar State University (ESSU) and gathered the data from the Admission and Testing Office. The study makes the Records data into a computerized form which include 1<sup>st</sup> course, remark, year, category by; language, reading comprehension, math, science, the total number of students from the year 2011-2016 into Excel file, then imported the data into the data mining tool's which was the Orange Open Source.

Er of a family	reat Nation	nd Paracias	Tels Perm	789	1	REDUCT	VEOFFORE	Monel	Encel			_				-	0-	8 U X
A of	Callet	10 14 1			When Terry		Gineral	-	50	100	195	Te 13	0.000	E Addes)	1 d#	44		
Ga Cepy			-	-					1820	Light.		Dates Take	Light .	a pate	1.1	Cont in		
Jenne .	fame # A.M.	- H		for the 1	Mandauron	19	8 . P. I	36.43	Formaliture	81.81.7684	- Tiper -	1211	Sidde La	20000	184-	Saled+		
Chieses		Fart		Magneter	4	- 14	- Number	- 4		Third		- 04	41 C		Lating.			
13		A LANGUAGE																
4.4	1	C.	0	F	1	ű.	- 14	110405	1 1	6	115K	I.M.	N	1 11-		1:0	128	164
I MEAN I	11000111	LANDOWER M	MING COMP.	WA1=	SCHEWER	TOTAL	THAT I											1.61
2 2013-2014	BS HERM	13	- 1	11	34	42	FAILED	2										
8 2013-2014	8830	34		*	12	37	FALLED											
4 2013-2014	RSES	12	13		11	42	FAILED											
1 2013-2014	8617	11.	¥.		13	41	FAILED											
8 2013-2014	ALS	9	8	- 11	31	39	TALLE											
7 2013-2014	2.58 ETD	19	4	- 5-	6	28	FAILED											
1 2013-2014	#5 AGRIELIUTURE	34	7		15	21	FALLED											
1 2013-2014	ES TOURSM	34	20		12	43	FAILED											
10 2013-2014	#EED	52	7	- 11	13	43	FAILED											
11 2013-2014	AUTOWOTIVE	11	5	10	1	34	FALLE											
12,2015-2034	85 ELEC. ENS/G	54.	. 9	÷	10	39	FAILED											
13 2013-2034	BS HERM.	12		- 16	10	66	FAUED											
14 2015-2014	IS ACCOUNTANCY	24	15	20	17	76	PASSED											
19 2013 2014	2-YEETD	11	8	1.	33	38	FAILED											
18 2013-2014	DS HIRRIN	22	1 <b>X</b>	13	11	42	FAILED											
17 2013-2014	858D	21	32	17	4	67	PA5580											
10 2013-2014	<b>BS NURSING</b>	26	11	- 19	18	66	PASSED											
19 2013-2014	8565	14	7	12	11	44	FALLED	18105										
20.2003-2004	85ED	22	32	25	3.8	80	PA35ED											
71 2013-2014	858A	19		18	15	54	PASSED											
22.2013-2014	2-19 ETD	1	. 5	11	3	52	FAILED											
23 2013-2014	2-19-ETD	33	5	7	13	30	FAILED											
26 2013-2014	BS CIVE ENGIG	20	7	37	11	57	PASSED											
25,2013-2014	BS HERM	23	34	10	10	64	PASSED											1.2
10 4 8 41 Share	I . Death Death	1.12							11	41			1.4				1000	12

Figure 2 Screenshot View of Students Entrance Record's Database

There are 7,157 rows of data from 2011-2016. The 7,157 rows represent the number of students who took the entrance examination in the past five years. For the school year 2011-2012, there were 1,792 rows of data, for 2012-2013 there were 572, for 2013-2014 there were 1,194, for 2014-2015 there were 1,187 rows of data and for the 2015-2016, there were 2,412 rows of data.

#### **Data Mining Tool**

Orange was used as the Predictive Analysis tool for experimentation and implementation. Orange was an open source data visualization machine learning and data mining toolkit. Predictive Analysis Model is done through the explorative data analysis and data visualization.

## **III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

File			- ¤ ×
Pile: PREDICTIVE	-DEFENSE. xlax		- 🔜 📖 🚛 🔂 Reload
Sheet Sheet	H.		
URLI			
Info /157 instance(a), 9 fa	ature(s), O meta attributer	x)	
bata has no target va	riable.		
Columne (Double click	to edit)		
Name	Type Ro	le Values	
VEAR	🕞 categorical fe	ture 2011-2012, 2012-2013, 2013-2014, 201	4-2015, 2015-2016
IST COURSE	Categorical tas	BRCS, 2-YR DR, 2-YR ELEC, TECH, ED, TECH, 2-YR TRADE TECH, ED, 2-YR 1	2-YR ELEC TRADE, 2-YR ETD, 2-YR TRADE ITD, ACS, AUTOMOTIVE, BA MASS COMM, BE
LANGUAGE	🚺 numeric 🛛 fei	ture	
4 READING OMP.	🔞 numeric 🛛 fer	iture	
MATH	🔞 numeric 🛛 fe/	iture	
SCIENCE	🔞 numeric fea	iture	
7 TOTAL	🚺 numeric 🛛 fea	ture	
REMARK	🖼 categorical fea	ture FAILED, PASSED	
VEARLY	🕞 categorical fea	iture BSCS	
note documentation	data cetti		Austr
owse opcomentation	uata sets		Appry

#### Figure 3 Screenshot View for the File Widget

Figure 3 represents the File widget used from Figure 1 that contains the following datasets discussed such as categorical for the language, reading comprehension, math, science, total, year, remark and 1st-course diagnosis. The main target of the

study is the 1<sup>st</sup> course. The results contain the test scores in Language, Reading Comprehension, Math and Science in relation to the 1st-course choice.

Cliffe Toble												- 5	8
26	-	ALL COMPANY	NO. O	Internet	STATISTIC COMP.	-			COMPANY.	10000	_	_	
7157 mittings	8	OI COMM	TEAM	INNOUNCE	KINDING COMP.	MALIN	SCRIME	- ROM	REMOVER	Teature 1			
# features (12.1% mang values)	12	15-mail	and a shirt		111	1	1940g		100 HO				
Occupe data with 26 raises (1.1%	1	ALCI	20-04	342	4.0	-10	12.0	314	/ WHILE	1			
money values	12	1925	2013-2014	112	1 114	1.0	11.0	413	- WILLO	1			
NO YES STORES	12	#511	2013-3014	117		A.I	15.0	413	FAILED	- C			
	12	ACS_	2013-2014	-97	A	110	11,0	- 393	FAILED	1			
Vended	E.F. 1	3 IN EDE	2013-2014	137	43	50	6.0	28.1	FAILES	<u>.</u>			
Shin variable labels (Fpreserv)	12.	85 AGRICULTURE	2813-3014	347	A	:00	10.0	313	FAILED	30			
Tisuda haret séas	194.1	AS YOUNGER	2013-3014	147	F 53	3.0	11.0	493	FANGED	t			
Color by industry classes	18.	8167	2513-2014	47	F. 73	11.0	10.0	413	FAILED	7			
The strange of the	10	AUTOMOTINE	2019-2014	117	A 53	10.0	8.0	343	S MILES	2.			
jeiezuo	11	EXCLUSION.	2213-2214	347	2	6.0	10.0	397	I WILED	11:			
C faint Mirture	u.	ESHRAM	2018-2014	\$27	A	16,0	10.0	467	J FAILED	1.			
2) weit office	11	ESACCOUNTA.	2013-2014	34/	A 158	- 25.0	17.0	167	E FILISED	¥1			
	14	1 YH ETB	2013-2014	117	A	8,0	15.0	187	FAKED	1			
	10	85HFRM	2013-3014	317	4 7.8	13,0	11,0	427	A. FAILED	10.5			
	10	1923	2013-3014	217	0 100	12.0	100	677	6 RUSSED	3			
	17	REPARTING.	219-334	25/	a 11.8	18.0	18.0	697	PLAND	1			
	11	ENG .	1011-3014	34/	4 T.J	12.0	15.0	447	A INCO	8503			
	11	8300	2015-2014	20/	5 17.8	12.0	18.0	807	A FRANKD	1			
	20	1924	2213-2214	29/	4	13.0	15.0	50	PRESER	18.1			
	11	LYREID	2018-2014	T	6 .50	110	9.0	127	A FAILED				
	10	a we em	2010-2214	11/	4 51	7.0	13.0	30/	A FARLED	2.7			
	n.	IS CARLENTE	2013-2014	20	e 18	17.0	13.0	57/	A DANGD	1			
	14	RELIEN	1013-3014	21	× 145	16.0	12.0	641	A REVOID	10			
	n.	T-WEETD	1012.35%	U	e 64	13.0	120	417	A DALLES				
	12	Tota and		18	4 63	110	150	441	A PAULT	10			
	10	STATUS AND	1011.1014	10	. 13	110	9.0	151	A BAR ET	4			
	1	EL COLLEGE				100	110		- Address	-[			
	17	Elen	2010-2014	114		12.0	130		/ INCLU				
	100	ESPERAN	2012-2214	11.0	A	12.5	12,0	303	/ FAILED	1			
	100	R21-Bank	213-2314	147	A	174	E.u	313	1 1005220	-6			
Restore Drightli Dider	1	SEC.	2943-2914	763	64	15.0	13.0	40	FALLED	1			
	14	1-WERB	2512-2014	107	A	14.0	HLO	432	FAILED	1.			
D. Walnut	- 18	2-18, ETD	-3513-2014	237	4 103	20	16.0	34	PASSED	1			
	124-	9197	A 393.8. 1971	187	A	187.	117		A. MILCOLT	T.;			

### Figure 4 Screenshot for Orange Data Table

Figure 4 represents the Data table widget used from Figure 1 which represents the dataset presented by a table. The dataset was placed according to their role. The first column which represented by the 1st-course dataset filled with gray color represented the target class and the rest of the data is represented as a feature. The figure represents all Data Table widget for different months for each year.



#### Figure 5 Screenshot for Orange Distribution

Figure 5 represents the Distribution widget used from Figure 1 which represents the distribution of five years data. The distribution represented by a graph with a different color which for each specific year.



#### Figure 6 Distribution of 1<sup>st</sup> Course by Language

Figure 6 represents the relationship of the score in language category to the choice of courses. Based on the past five year's data in language category there are 0.44 probabilities and 0.5 percent of the student

who took BS Computer Science as there course for these incoming school year.

Representation of Colors		
BS CIVIL ENG.	BS ELEM. EDUC.	BS BIO
BS COMP. ENG.	BS ELECTRICAL ENG.	BS ACCOUNTANCY
BSCS	BS POL. SCI.	BS AGRICULTURE
AUTOMOTIVE	BSED	BSBA
2-YR TRADE TECH.ED	BS CRIMINOLOGY	• BS ENVI.SCI.
ACS	BS FISHERIES	BS HRRM
BA IN MASS COMM	BS NURSING	BSBA
BST		





Figure 7 represents the relationship of the score in reading comprehension category to the choice of courses. Based on the past five year's data in reading comprehension, there are 0.68 probabilities and 0.13 percent of the student who took BS Computer

Science as there course for these incoming school year.



#### Figure 8 Distribution of 1<sup>st</sup> Course by Math

Figure 8 represents the relationship of the score in the math category to the choice of courses. Based on the past five year's data in math, there are 1.67 probabilities and 0.41 percent of the student who

took BS Computer Science as there course for these incoming school year.



#### Figure 9 Distribution of 1st Course by Science

Figure 9 represents the relationship of the score in the science category to the choice of courses. Based on the past five year's data in science, there are 0.5

probabilities and 0.5 percent of the student who took BS Computer Science as there course for these incoming school year



Figure 10 Screenshot View for 5 years of data

Figure 10 represents the classification tree viewer of the past five years of data. The tree viewer widget contains the result of entrance examination for the school year 2011-2016. The Tree Viewer widget used from Figure 1 that contains data by a year, ,language, reading comprehension, math, and science which is presented by a tree viewer.

2 Pridcham										- 0	- 36
Sele: 7157 retainos.		346	IST COURSE	VEAU	LANDUASE	INADINI COMP.	MATH	KIND	TOTAL	10149456	1.1
Ambles 1 Test-Combuter	1	0.11 - 8EED	SS HBBM	2013-2014	13.0	40	110	14,0	40	FALED	4.5
Bedres Obliged Dide	2	0.00 - 01.00	10.111	2010-2014	14.0	AD .	7.0	12.0	202	FALID	÷
	1	3.09 - 8010	MID	2013-2014	12.8	TLA	80	11.0	42.9	HALED	4.1
Shee	4	0.00 - 85 H88M	and the	2013-2014	11.0	7.0	10	-15.0	41.0	644.80	
E Predicted data	12	0.00 - 91 H992	×1	2013-3814	9.4	8.0	112	11.0	8.0	HALED	2
Tredicted possibilities for:		128-010	Soletin.	2010-3114	13.0	40	50	1.2	28.0	FAILED	4.1
2-98.08 #	T	0.10 - BS AGRICULTURE	EL AGRICULTURE	2010-2014	1400	7.0	4.0	10.0	21.8	FALID	1.
2-VRB.RC 3804-80	1	201-1610	WS TOLINGAR	2013-2014	14.0	168	4.0	11.0	45.0	RAILED	3.1
2-VR.ELEC TRADE	9	411 - SUD	3610	2013-3014	12.0	72	11.0	11.0	41.0	FAILED	1
2-VEETO	10	0.10 - MUTCHAOTIVE	ANTONIONE.	2013-2014	11.0	5.0	10.8	8.0	34.0	F44,E0	1
CONTRACTOR -	11	3.00 - #5 HR8M	HELE MARC	2013-2016	14.0	4.0	8.0	10.0	36.0	WILID	3.
E Dae destatement	17	0.00 - IES HRPSA	CE HINDA	2010-3014	12.0	8.0	18.2	10.0	-46.0	FAILED	3.1
Date lines	13	0.00 - #610	ARE ACCOUNTED	2013-2014	34.0	15.0	20.0	17.0	78.8	RASSED	3.1
The Links	14	9.00 - SEED	S AN KID	2013-3014	11.0	3.0	8.0	11.0	38.0	FALED	1
2) series as as as	12	LLM - BS HRRM	SIS HHIDA	2013-2014	11.0	10	0.4	11.4	450	E40.ED	1.1
lùqui	18	0.04 - 90.0	00100	2013-2014	21.0	120	11.0	18	610	PASED	1
Corgon data	17	0.00 - BEED	SS NURSEAU	2010-2014	26.0	110	11.0	18.0	48.0	<b>MASSED</b>	2.1
C Welking	18	2.02 - BEID	#968	2010-2014	14.0	7.0	11.0	11.0	96.0	FALID	855
T Protobilities	18	9.00 - 9610	1080	2013-2014	22.0	17.0	210	18.0	85.0	PASSED	9.1
	26	0.04 - BEED	A12-0	2012-2014	18.0	1	0.8	15.0	54.0	NUSSED	1
	23	0.00 - 5017	2-16.000	2013-2014	7.0	3.0	112	88	52.0	FALID	31. II
	22	0.00 85 RSHERES	DWEETD.	2013-2014	13.0	3.0	7.0	184	36.0	FALED	9
	25	0.00 - BETD	ESCHALENO'S	2013-2014	20.0	7.0	17.0	13.8	518	PASSED	1
	34	-9.04 IEEO	BE HARM	2013-2014	21.0	140	96.5	15.0	54.7	<b>MASSED</b>	
	15	D.CO - BT. HIBBN	2-48 FTD	2013-2014	12.6	4.0	18.4	17.0	410	WALED .	1
	28	9.62 -> 86ED	2-YEARD	2015-2014	(15.0)	ά.ΰ	112	11.0	44.0	FALED .	1
	27	0.10 - AUTOMOTINE	WE REAL MADE	2013-2014	30.0	3.0	11.0	48	80	FARED	1
	28	0.00 - 9610	224	2015-2014	11.0	5,0	10.8	11.0	31.0	DAILED	12.
		and minimal	Service a	MAR NEED	11.0	10	all a	749.6	- 94.6	Tax III.	14.5

## Figure 11 Prediction Analysis of 5 year's Data

Figure 11 represents the 5 years predicted probabilities for all courses in Eastern Samar State University(ESSU) for the incoming school year

2018-2019. There are 7,157 data instances in this figure.



#### Figure 12 Screenshot for Classification Tree Viewer in BSCS Course

Figure 12 represents the classification tree viewer. It indicates that of the 310 examinees who choose BSCS as their first choice 143 who passed and 167 failed from 2011-2016. The nodes indicate the total number of examinees and the category. The leaves

indicate who got the score of less than or equal or greater than the total score in every category, Language, Reading Comprehension, Math and Science.



## Figure 13 Graphical Distribution of 5 year's data

Figure 13 represents the graphical distribution of the past five years' data. The distribution represented by a graph with a different color for every year. The blue color is for the school year 2011-2012 in which

a lot of students choose BS Computer Science Course as their first choice. The yellow represents the school year 2015-2016 which has the second highest number of student who choose BSCS as their first choice. The green represent the school year 2013-2014 which has the third highest number of student who choose BSCS as their first choice. The orange represents the school year 2014-2015 which has the second to least number of students who

choose BSCS as their first choice. Lastly, the red color represents the school year 2012-2013 which has the least number of students who choose BSCS as their first Choice.

Takable	90	S Fall
YEAR     LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY     READING COMPREHENSION     MATHEMATICS	80-	• Passes
SCIENCE     TOTAL     KENANK	<b>7</b> 0	
Resture 1 REST CHOICE	10	
	2 50	
	en la constantina de la consta	
	30	Programmery WEMARK (BSCS)
Precision		FAULED: 87.0
2 Discussion consider two liters	20-	None as
	20	
Graup by		
(R senator +	-	
Show relative frequencies		83
		Pesture 1

#### Figure 14 Graphical Distribution of School Year (2011-2012)

Figure 14 represents the distribution of passed and failed in BSCS school year 2011-2012, out of 125

students who chose BSCS as their first choice 38 passed and 87 failed



## Figure 15 Graphical Distribution of School Year (2012-2013)

Figure 15 represenst the distribution of passed and failed in BSCS school year 2012-2013, out of 32

students who chose BSCS as their first choice 14 passed and18failed.

<ul> <li>Distributions</li> </ul>			- 0 ×
Variable		22	
YEAR     LANGUAGE     READING OMP.     MATH     G SCIENCE     TOTAL     REMARK     Feature 1     IST COURSE	Property	30 39 28 29 29 29 29 19 19	● FALED ● FASSED
Precision 2 Bin numeric variables into 3 bins Group by Remailer	9	8 6 4 2 0	Fréquency (REMARK+BSCS) FAILED, 11.0 PAISED: 14.0
Show relative frequencies			BSCS
Show probabilities: (Norw)	19		Feature 1

## Figure 16 Graphical Distribution of School Year (2013-2014)

Figure 16 represents the distribution of passed and failed in BSCS school year 2013-2014, out of 45 students who chose BSCS as their first choice 14

passed and 31 failed.



#### Figure 17 Graphical Distribution of School Year (2014-2015

Figure 17 represents the distribution of passed and failed in BSCS school year 2014-2015, out of 41 students who chose

BSCS as their first choice 25 passed and 16 failed.



### Figure 18 Graphical Distribution of School Year (2015-2016)

Figure 18 represents the distribution of passed and failed in BSCS school year 2015-2016, out of 67

students who chose BSCS as their first choice 52 passed and 15 failed.



#### Figure 19 Graphical Distribution of 5 Year's

Figure 19 was the graphical representation of the total number of examinees in the past five years, from 2011 to 2016 of data collection.





Figure 20 shows that the school year 2015-2016 has the highest result in the language category and

2012-2013 has the lowest result in the same category.



#### **Figure 21 Graphical Distributions in Reading Comprehension**

Figure 21 shows that the school year 2011-2012 has the highest result in the reading comprehension

category and school year 2012 -2013 has the lowest in the same category.



#### Figure 22 Graphical Distributions in Math

Figure 22 shows that the school year 2015-2016 has the highest result in the Math category and 2012-2013 got the lowest result in the same category.



#### **Figure 23 Graphical Analyses for Science**

Figure 23 shows that the school year 2014-2015 has the highest result in the Science category and

2012-2013 got the lowest result in the the same category.

349	1	Tes	1ST COURSE	FEMARE.	TEAR	LANGUAGE	READING DMP.	MATH	SCENCE	TOTAL	YEARLY	
Deter 7157 Instances Predictores 1	. 6634	1.57-1505	esci	TALLED	2010-2013	9.0		12.0	6.0	150	1555	
Teel: Classification	6615	0.67 8905	AG	RALED	2012-2013	18.9	43	7.0	5.0	26.0	7	
Restore Orignal Order	6638	0.80 - ACS	43	PASSED	2012-2013	22.4	13.0	14.5	15.0	55.0	1	
2	6637	0.00 - HEED	HID .	FALLED	2012-2013	12.0	10.0	15.0	11.0	48.0	10	
Shire The second second	6618	D.IT - ES RECTRICAL.	1222	FALLED	202-2013	22.0	M.	12.0	5.0	47.0	BSCS	
A subscript data	6628	0.50	6680	INLED	2012-2013	12.0	7.8	12.0	5.0	34.0	11	
Predicted probabilities for:	6640	0.05 -+ BEED	<b>BS AGRICULTURE</b>	RALLED	20/2-2013	17.0	63	9.0	16.0	48.0	T	
BSPOLISCI +	6641	DED - 2-WE BLECTRA	#S	FALLED	2012-3013	7,0	11	8.0	10.0	34.0	1	
8584	6642	0.05 - BEED	IS ACCOUNTE.	PASSED	2012-2013	22.9	6.5	3.0	14.0	\$1.0	7	
BSC5	1643	6.00 - ESEA	EIBA	FALLED	2012-2013	11.9	11	8.0	12.0	41.0	1	
805N *	6644	0.00 + 85 ACCOUNTA	REACCOUNTS.	FIRSSED	2012-2013	17.8	63	16.0	17.4	18.0	1	
These details the last	1645	0.00 -+ REED	BOWLDOE	PASSED	3012-2013	218	38	16.5	11.8	\$7.0	Ť.	
	(645	0.00 - 85ED	8580	PASSED	2012-2013	22.0	10.0	28.0	19.0	79.0	1	
Data Real	6647	DOS - ES HRIM	USIT	RALLED	2012-2013	110	33	13.0	12.0	350	17	
San Marset	6643	0.05 -+ EEED	858A	PASSED.	2012-2013	18.0	11	10.0	15.0	53.0	1	
Dutext	6645	0.00 -+ B5 ACCOUNTA	EL TOURSM	WILED.	2012-2013	14.0	13.0	7.0	15.0	49.0	1	
Chighed data	1010	D. TO + REED	1013	FALLED	2012-2013	11.0	10	13.0	11.8	45.0	89C5	
Reduces	0151	0.05 - BEED	BEED	ENSSED	2012-2013	16.0	10.0	8.0	16.0	50.0	1	
2 Probabilities	8417	0.06 - ES HIRRA	ACS	FACLED	3912-3013	253	43	14.0	4.0	42.0	1	
	1013	0.00 -+ BS CIVIL ENG'S	ELBA	FALLED	2012-2013	12.0	14.0	10.0	7.0	44.0	1	
	6654	0.00 - IS B FCTRCAL.	US ELECTRICAL.	PASSED	3012-2013	15.0	11.0	34.0	13.0	50.0	7	
	6655	0.00 - ES ACCOUNTA	REACCOUNTE.	FASSED.	2012-2013	29.0	19.0	24.0	22.9	94.0	12	
	6836	0.00 - BS CIVIL ENIPO	BEEA	RASSED	2012-2013	21.8	-12	13.0	18.0	62.0	1	
	6657	E-50 → #9C5	BC	FASSED	372-2011	24.0	15.0	Z1.0	18.3	75.0	8905	
	6658	0.12 -+ BEED	BEED	FAILED	2012-2013	18.0	48	13.0	12.0	47.0	1	
	6835	0.12 - MID	W/T	FALLED	30/2-2011	15.0	54	13.0	12.2	450	1	
	6860	D.DO ES HRAM	ELEA :	FALLED	2012-2013	19.0	61	11.0	10.5	45.0	1	
	6861	0.00 - BS CIVIL ENG'S	BS COMPLEMS O	PASSED	2002-2013	26.0	12.0	4.0	15.0	63.0	1	
	1714	0.00 0000		Feering.		44.0			19.0	210	aver	

## **Figure 24 Prediction Analysis in BSCS**

Figure 24 shows the prediction result of Class 2018-2019 BSCS Course. This study came up with this result based on the data from the school year 2011-2016. Based on the prediction there will be 108 incoming freshmen who will take BSCS Course as their first choice in School Year 2018-2019.

## **III. CONCLUSION**

The study reveals the possible result of the school year 2018-2019 prediction analysis for each course in Eastern Samar State University. Based on the study conducted ESSU entrant predictive analysis model was able to analyze five year's data that based on test score and categories (Language, Reading Comprehension, Math, Science) targeted only BSCS as the first choice course. The Predictive analysis model was built on orange an open source software using classification tree viewer and distribution widget was used as a tool for prediction. Because of the limited data collected the prediction shows that the result depends on the test score of each student choosing BSCS as their choice course.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Aldous Val D. Basco et al, (2015). "UPC Analysis: Predictive Analysis of the Examinees' Outcome in UPCAT for Rosales National
- 2. Oliver G. Daitol, Rosalie E. Cataquins, (2012). Entrance Exam Result and Performance in First Year Courses of Engineering Students: A Correlation Analysis