ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 3| March 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

# A STUDY ON THE RELIGIONS HINDUISM AND BUDDHISM

**Sagar Bagarti**
*Lecturer In History, Panchayat Degree College, Kantamal*
*AT/PO- Kantamal, Dist: Boudh, Pin: 762017, Odisha*

**ABSTRACT**
*Nowadays Hinduism and Buddhism are the main religions in our world. They are broadly practiced, and have endured for centuries. Both have resemblances and differences, as do all forms of the religion. Expectantly, in this article I will display you the source of the Hinduism and, also the Buddhism, their cultural assessments and differences.*
**KEYWORDS:** *Religion, Buddhism, Hinduism, Tirthankaras*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### Elementary Hinduism

Hinduism is the 3rd major religion in the world, ensuing Christianity and the religion Islam. Hinduism positions for the faith and the method of life of most persons who living in India. It is unidentified where Hinduism began and by whom. The trusts of the Hindu's are very dissimilar than that of Christian's. Hinduism is based on the faiths in things such as reawakening, dharma and in 3 main gods. Nobody knows where Hinduism began or who initiated it. Their oldest penned documents, the Vedas, were 1st copied onto the paper in the 1000 B.C., they had though survived verbally long before that the time. Hinduism is assumed to have initiated from the Vedas. The Hinduism have altered significantly since it was first performed, there are now distinct sects, also recognized as the denominations, and new views have developed.

There are still numerous things linked between the dissimilar sects of Hinduism, their basic trusts are what ties them composed. Hinduism religion teaches us that every living body, with animals, is filled with an "eternal soul". Hindus tell that the specific soul was the part of the "creator spirit", Brahma or the existence after in their own "Atman", unevenly soul. It is every soul's job and wishes ultimately to come back to the Brahma. It is not thinkable though for the reason that by a soul's sins, and impurities from this world, they are no longer clean and holy to return. Instead, the soul must befall pure before returning to lord Brahma, who is unconditionally pure. The route of becoming the pure is so difficult that no soul can become unadulterated in only one lifespan. The soul is required to live life after life up until it is pure sufficient to return to lord Brahma. In Hinduism, reaching the highest life is a course of eliminating the bodily interruptions from life, permitting one to sooner or later understand the Brahma nature within.

### Basic Beliefs of the Hinduism Religion

Hinduism teaches that the distinct soul of all the living bodies, as well as animals, was part of our creator spirit, Brahma. They trust that every souls job and wish is to finally return to lord Brahma. No soul can come back to Brahma, yet until befalling clean of all impurities and also sins of this world, they must be holy and clean to return to lord Brahma. The process of flattering pure adequate to return to lord Brahma is not a simple task; it takes in excess of one life to cleanse oneself. The soul is enforced to live life subsequently life until it is pure plenty to return to lord Brahma. The Hindus call these cycles of rebirth "samsara" or "Wheel of the Life". It is named moksha when a soul is ultimately cleansed and on the verge of go back to lord Brahma.

When a soul in conclusion returns to Brahma, it is there for a perpetuity of contentment and the ecstasy. There are sure things the one must track so as to be a Hindu, they must trust these things and also live by them. The 1st is a trust in karma which is the outcome of one's good and the bad deeds done in a lifespan. The 2nd is a trust in dharma and also Hindu traditions. They must trust in the 3 major Hindu gods: Brahma, Vishnu and Maheswar (Shiva). They must have a credence in reincarnation after the death. They must have the honour for this sacred Vedas. They must have a trust that if lived a spiritual life, the Wheel of Life can finish and reach moksha. Hindu's worship numerous gods, but they have faith in a single god, lord Brahma. The whole thing comes from lord Brahma, it does not signify who they worship, as eventually all worship goes to lord Brahma.

Lord Brahma does not penalize or prize those for their successes in life; each soul creates their personal rewards and the punishments because of karma. Karma rules what every soul will be in the subsequent lifespan, it is formed by the individuals good and bad deeds in apiece life; if a person had additional good deeds than the bad deeds, then they have decent karma, if they had added bad deeds than good, then they have evil karma. Dharma is the final balance of all the living things; it goes to all, with the universe. All soul is answerable for balancing their individual dharma, the ranges of dharma to balance are sacred, social and in the family. They must retain their potentials and continue loyal to the religious rituals, while too taking care of their family. If a soul is unstable, then it will disturb their karma. To some dharma is measured a tradition, duty and the custom, but to Hindu it is mystically above that.

### Elementary Buddhism

The term Buddhism is nowadays used to signify the education of the Buddha, a historical person who succeeded some 25 centuries back on the Indian subcontinent. This teaching has

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 3| March 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

been defined variously as the religion, a philosophy, a spiritual system, a socio-economic blue-print, and etc. Undoubtedly all these characteristics could be discerned in dissimilar parts of the Buddha's training, but this teaching is the aforementioned something over all these united. The name which Buddhists use to be the education is Dhamma or the Dharma. This term originates from a root term sense "to support", and implies the elementary law which "sustains" the universe. It is consequently occasionally translated merely as Law or the Norm. It carries some idea of the union that notifies the whole body of this Buddha's teaching. We shall implement the words dhamma and the Buddhism as substitutes. How could we tell the major facts of this explanation to Buddhist spiritual philosophy? Initial, it is pure that the Buddha skilled by the skilful means.

This is a vital philosophical knowledge central to mutually Mahayana and the Vajrayana Buddhism. The thought of skilful means: the capability to show up the mystical potentialities of dissimilar people, by the statements or activities which are familiar to their wants and altered to their capacity. The performances of celestial Buddhas and the Bodhisattvas in the Mahayana are frequently seen as means that, however finally untrue, from the comparative position deliver a focus for devoutness and are assumed to help practitioners, eventually leading them in the direction of rescue and enlightened sympathetic. The meditation exercise under conversation could thus be labelled in the context of skilful ways. It is a skilful means for connecting the mind's overall business by let it convert inattentive with the particulars of the conception. This draws it after the external world and its apprehensions, so as to it could peaceful down of its own concurrence. The colours and contented of the picturing make available interest but at the similar time lead to constancy. Frequently one is said to effort on the lower parts of this Buddha's form or on this lotus or throne if the attention is enthusiastic. If one then converts spiritually dull, one is heartened to attention on the higher parts of this Buddha's body, for instance on the face or amid the eyebrows. A 2nd point to message is that this bodily form of the Buddha is enormously vital, mainly as it narrates to the central Buddhist spiritual principle of the 3 bodies or kayas of this Buddha.

In Mahayana Buddhist spiritual philosophy the differences are amongst the Nirmanakaya, the ancient Buddha; the Sambhogakaya, which covers the total range of heavenly Buddhas and the Bodhisattvas; and lastly the Dharmakaya, which, on account of its complete and unformed nature, couldn't be conceptualised or certainly envisaged. The Dharmakaya is frequently called the illumination body, the national of Buddhahood himself: it is the kind of Mind, or blankness. These differences are often existing in terms of the chronological period: the Dharmakaya is opening less and limitless; the Sambhogakaya has an opening but is endless, while this Nirmanakaya is temporary. The Buddha Sakyamuni is realized to have owned all 3 bodies. These philosophical differences also have their specific personal bodily relates. These are the 3 centres or 'gates', each relating with one of the 3 bodies of this Buddha. The 1st is the head centre (among the eyebrows), this resembles to the Nirmanakaya or the physical body. The 2nd is this throat centre, which parallels to the Sambhogakaya and understated the energy flows and talking. The 3rd is the heart centre which resembles to this mind or the Dharmakaya. To the end of the practice dissimilar coloured lights originating from the 3 dissimilar sources and facets of the Buddha's body are imagined as merging with the similar three parts of these practitioner's body.

The attention is on the refinement of the bodily basics, and this may be skilful by means of the sound and pictured light. The main matter is the idea that all can be observed as a form of the energy: not only condensed to forms of the energy, but also distorted from one type or the modality of the energy to alternative. Concepts of hardness are thus counter balanced with the ideas of alteration and variability. The attempt is constantly to move away from this fixity of this normal mind and its arrogance to the world. This is also imitated in the account of the conformation of this body. The Buddha is realized to be bodily current but not solid; to be of light and alike to the rainbow, to be glowing but not solid. Tibetans implement the term 'Rainbow Body' to represent enlightenment. This apprehension with this body of light is obviously existing in other spiritual traditions. The goal of this practice is a try to challenge our notions of an immovable and unbending self-identity, to display rather that it is variable, mutable and continually shifting. The notions of projection and conversion are central to this conversation: All facts are projections of mind. Mind the aforementioned does not exist and is unfilled in its being. Even though empty, it demonstrates everything deprived of obstruction. The 3rd philosophical, concern advanced experientially in the thought is the relationship among Samsara, the deficient world in which we discovery ourselves with all its anguish, and the Nirvana, the perfect state anywhere suffering has stopped to exist. In the Buddhist religious discerning this discussion turns around the relationship among the relative and the complete worlds. It is frequently spoken in the clear spiritual belief which says that Samsara and the Nirvana are one. The problematic gets up from our opinion of the situation, not from the condition itself. These thoughts relate strictly to a central feature of the Buddhism: that it integrates a monist sacred/philosophical form. As Conze has specified: 'If all is one and the similar, then also this Absolute will be equal with the Relation, the Unrestricted with this Conditioned, Nirvana with the Samsara'. Writers tangled in the Buddhist– Christian talk have been extremely conscious of this article of Buddhist faith, and commentators from both the sides of the dialogue have conversed the resemblance amid certain notions in Buddhism and the obstructed, monist side of the Christian theology and also the mystical experience, principally as stated in the thoughts of Meister Eckhart. In the form of contemplation under discussion, all the elements of the entity's lived skilled field (i.e. Samsara) are envisaged as being temporally changed by elements of the pure land, i.e. Nirvana. Usually, it is suggested that the conjuring up is carried out with these eyes open: The dharma is a technique that empowers us to go from the position of the ordinary being to the position of roused being.

Meticulously linked to the awareness of the inseparability of the relation and the absolute worlds is this central philosophical tenet in Mahayana Buddhism of this empty or the void nature of all the phenomena. This is strengthened in the last stage of

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 3| March 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

this meditation practice: Vajrayana thoughts are separated into two phases . . . The phase of formation... through which one emotionally creates the presence of the divinity. The phase of conclusion . . . during which one liquifies the appearance into the emptiness. The meditation exercise is a skilful way by which one may identify, or become a taste of the empty or inured nature of wholly phenomena; that every is nonentity in and by himself. As specified above, phenomena comprise such situations as the physical and also the mental illness.

## 2. COMPARISON AMONG THE HINDUISM AND BUDDHISM

As stated by S. Rahdhakrishnan, "Buddhism, in its source as a minimum is an offshoot of the Hinduism". In cooperation Hinduism and Buddhism created in the Indian subcontinent and also share a very extensive, but rather irregular and scratchy relationship, which in many behaviours is similar to that of Judaism and the Christianity. The Buddha was natural in the Hindu family, just as Christ was born in the Jewish family. A few people still contend that Buddhism was a branch of the Hinduism and the Buddha was a portion of the Hindu pantheon, an opinion which is not adequate to numerous Buddhists. It is though extensively recognized that Buddhism gained approval in India as it unconfined the people from the coercion of ritual and, the orthodoxy. The teachings of this Buddha twisted hope and goal for those who had else no hope of rescue and freedom of special in the society that was conquered by caste system, prevalence of the ritual form of the worship and the limited position of the advantaged classes which this Vedic religion supported as inviolable and irrefutable. Earlier period, over the 1500 years ago, Hindu tradition recognized the Buddha as a personification of Vishnu. Though, strong competition existed between together traditions in this subcontinent for a very elongated time. The supporters of Shiva and the Buddha could barely stand respectively other in the previous times.

There were examples of Buddhist maltreatment by the Hindu rulers, however a great popular tracked a policy of spiritual toleration. Sasank, a ruler from the Bengal and fashionable of Harshavardhana wrecked Buddhist memorials and burnt the pipal tree underneath which the Buddha grown enlightenment. Notwithstanding the fundamental changes among both the religions, the Hinduism and Buddhism predisposed individually other in several ways. The Buddhist concept of the non-injury and empathy to all living beings acquired bottomless roots in this Indian soil, though Mahayana Buddhism acquired signal from these traditional Indian approaches of religious worship. Buddhism prejudiced the progress and development of the Indian art and the architecture and donated richly to this practice of living and the meditation in reaching mindfulness and the higher positions of realization. The tantra of Hindu predisposed the source and evolution of this Vajrayana Buddhism.

**Resemblances**

Similarities between Hinduism and Buddhism.

1. Both the Hinduism and Buddhism high spot the illusive nature of this world and, role of the Karma in keeping all men bound to this biosphere and the cycle of the births and also deaths.
2. Rendering to the Buddha, wish is the root source of suffering and exclusion of the desire outcomes in the end of suffering. Some of these Hindu texts such as the Upansihads and the Bhagavad Gita reflect doing actions encouraged by wish and addon would lead to slavery and suffering and that execution actions short of craving the fruit of act would outcome in liberation.
3. Both convictions trust in the notion of karma, transmigration of the souls and the cycle of the births and also deaths for individually soul.
4. Both highlight compassion and non-violence to all the living beings.
5. Both be certain of in the being of numerous hells and spaces or higher and the lower worlds.
6. Both trust in the presence of gods or divinities on dissimilar planes.
7. Both believe in the sure mystical practices like concentration, meditation, cultivation of sure bhavas or the states of mind.
8. Both believe in the disinterestedness, renunciation of the worldly life as a prerequisite to enter to the divine life. Both consider want as the main cause of misery.
9. The Advaita way of life of Hinduism is nearer to Buddhism in numerous respects.
10. The Buddhism and Hinduism have their individual forms of Tantra.
11. Both initiated and progressed on this Indian soil. The initiator of Buddhism was a Hindu who converted the Buddha. Buddhism is the utmost gift of the India to mankind.

**Buddha's Arrogance in the direction of Hindus**

Prior to his illumination, the Buddha was carried up in an outmoded Hindu family. Before discovery his own path, he departed to Hindu gurus to get a reply to the problem of sorrow. He tracked the meditation methods and ascetic observes as set by the Hindu scriptures and tracked by the Hindu yogis of his time. It is supposed that after fetching the Buddha, he presented singular consideration to this higher caste Hindus particularly the Brahmins (priests) and the Kshatriyas (warriors). He pressed his followers to treat exclusively Brahmins with esteem and attention because of their mystical bent of mind and internal progress realized during their preceding births. It is supposed that certain groups of the Brahmins had free entree to the Buddha and that some of this Brahmin abstainers were known into the reclusive castigation short of being exposed to the rigors of experimentation which was then obligatory for all programs of people. The Buddha transformed many Brahmins to the Buddhism and considers their participation a sure emblem of development and fame of his fledgling drive. Much advanced, we find an alike echo of sentimentality in the writings of King Ashoka where he urged the people of his realm to display due esteem to the Brahmins.

**Differences**

Differences between Hinduism and Buddhism.

1. Hinduism is not initiated by any specific prophet. Buddhism was started by the Buddha.
2. Hinduism trusts in the usefulness and sovereignty of the Vedas. The Buddhist do not trust in the Vedas or for that substance at all Hindu scripture.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 3| March 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

3. Buddhism does not trust in the presence of souls additionally in the 1st cause, whom we usually call God. Hinduism trust in the life of Atman, that is the specific soul and Brahman, the Supreme Originator.
4. Hinduism receives the Buddha as a personification of Mahavishnu, one of the gods of this Hindu trinity. The Buddhist does not receive any Hindu god either as equal or greater to the Buddha.
5. The unique Buddhism as skilled by the Buddha is recognized as Theravada Buddhism or the Hinayana Buddhism. Supporters of this do not reverence imageries of the Buddha nor trust in the Bodhisattvas. The Mahayana sect ponders the Buddha as this Supreme Soul or the Uppermost Being, akin to this Brahman of Hinduism and respects him in this form of images and the icons.
6. The Buddhists reflect the world to be complete of sorrow and respect ending the sorrow as the main aim of the human lifespan. The Hindus contemplate that there are 4 main aims in lifespan which each being should track. They are dharma, artha, kama and moksha.
7. Hindus also trust in the 4 ashramas or phases in the life. This is not tracked in Buddhism. People can link the Direction any time contingent upon their divine readiness.
8. Buddhists establish themselves into a reclusive Order and the monastics live in the groups. Hinduism is essentially a religion of the specific.
9. Buddhism trusts in the thought of Bodhisattvas. Hinduism does not trust in it.
10. Buddhism allows the reality of some gods and the goddesses of Hindu pantheon, but stretches them a pretty subsidiary status.
11. Sanctuary in the Buddha, the Sangha and Dhamma are the 3 cardinal necessities on this eightfold. Hinduism proposals numerous choices to its supporters on the track of self-realization.
12. Though both the religions trust in the karma and rebirth, they vary in this manner in which they work and effect the existence of specific beings.

Some Buddhist may contend that the Buddha that we recognize factually as born in the 6th century B.C. in this Indian subcontinent was nonetheless one in the line of various Buddhas that come first him and would track him. Such a trust may relish some authentication and approval in this hypothetical monarchy of rational monks, just as the Hindus trust in the existence and persistence of the sanatana dharma, complete endless cycles of formation and termination of worlds across a time frame of the millions of years. Though obtainable indication does not approve the theory that Buddhism occurred as a religion previous to the birth of this Buddha. In case of the Mahavira, the creator of Jainism, we have indication that he was the former in the lengthy line of the 24 Jain Tirthankaras, but in case of the Buddhism we don't have such approval.

Theoretically speaking, Hinduism is not the religion but an assembly of religious and factional movements that portion some fundamental and, in some respects, equal beliefs, regional differences, history, tradition and the practices unusual to this land and the times wherein they initiated and grown. In dissimilarity, Buddhism is a fixed and prearranged religion having a set of opinions and practices, usually identified as the Dhamma, based on this 4 Noble Truths and this Eightfold Path.

## 3. CONCLUSION

We can securely accomplish that in the first limited centuries subsequent the nirvana of this Buddha, Buddhism was an essential and noteworthy part of this complex spiritual character of this subcontinent that was advanced came to be documented as Hinduism by this outside world. Though next Buddhism crossed these limits of the Indian subcontinent and also went on to play the much superior role in the entire of Asia. In this process it settled a very multifaceted sectarian, theological and topographical diversity and ritual of its own to convert one of the most important and persuasive religions of this world. No wonder numerous people who are not acquainted with this antiquity of the Indian subcontinent fail to comprehend and notice the deep linking that occurred between Hinduism and Buddhism in the previous days and the important ways in which they enhanced each other.

## REFERENCES

1. *Khyentse Rinpoche (1988). The Wish-Fulfiling Jewel: The Practice of Guru Yoga According to the Longchen Nyingthig Tradition. Boston, MA: Shambhala.*
2. *Inagaki, H. (1994). The Three Pure Land Sutras: A Study and Translation from Chinese. Kyoto: Nagata Bunshodo.*
3. *Hinduism & Buddhism. (1996, November 15). In WriteWork.com. Retrieved 01:28, April 23, 2013, from http://www.writework.com/essay/hinduism-buddhism.*
4. *Johnston, W. (1979). Christian Zen. Dublin: Gill and Macmillan.*
5. *Mullen, K. (1994). Through a glass darkly: Western views of Buddhism. Journal of Beliefs and Values, 15(1), 15–18.*
6. *http://www.buddhismtoday.com.*
7. *Conze, E. (1988). A Short History of Buddhism. London: Unwin.*
8. *Govinda, A. (1969). Foundations of Tibetan Mysticism. London: Rider.*
9. *Singh, L.P. (1976). Tantra: Its Mystic and Scientific Basis. Delhi: Concept.*
10. *Dalai Lama (1975). The Buddhism of Tibet. New York: Snow Lion.*
11. *Jamgon Kongtrul (1992). Cloudless Sky: The Mahamudra Path of the Tibetan Kagyu School. London: Shambhala.*
12. *Johnston, W. (1995). Mystical Theology: The Science of Love. London: Harper Collins.*
13. *Bokar Rinpoche (1991). Chenrezig Lord of Love: Principles and Methods of Deity Meditation. San Francisco, CA: Clear Point.*