ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 7| July 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

AN ANALYSIS OF THE RIGHTS OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES ACT,2016

Dr. Charu Chandra Vyas[1], Avinash Kumar Shukla[2]
[1]*Associate Professor, School of Legal Study, LNCT University Bhopal, M.P.*
[2]*Research Scholar, LNCT University Bhopal, M.P*

ABSTRACT
The Rights of Persons with Disabilities (RPwD) Act, 2016 marks a significant shift in India from a welfare-based to a rights-based approach for individuals with disabilities, aligning with the UNCRPD and expanding the definition of disability from 7 to 21 categories to enhance inclusivity. The main objective of the 2016 Act is to enable empowerment of persons with disabilities (PWD) through respect for inherent dignity and individual autonomy of PWDs. It guarantees rights to equality, non-discrimination, education, employment (with 4% reservation in government jobs and higher education), and accessibility, while also establishing institutional mechanisms like the Chief Commissioner, advisory boards, and special courts to ensure enforcement. Despite its progressive vision, the Act faces challenges such as low awareness, delayed state-level implementation, resource constraints, and inadequate infrastructure. However, landmark judicial decisions have reinforced key principles like reasonable accommodation and accessibility. Realizing the full potential of the Act requires strong collaboration among government, civil society, and the public to address gaps and build an inclusive society.
KEYWORDS: *RPwD, Rights, UNCRPD, Reservation*

INTRODUCTION

India's disability rights movement gained momentum from the 1970s, challenging the perception of disabled individuals as societal outcasts and liabilities. The 1980s marked a crucial shift from a welfare to a developmental model, recognizing disabled persons as active participants in societal progress. This decade saw the rise of NGOs and a growing focus on medical interventions to alleviate suffering. The United Nations' "Decade of Disabled Persons" (1982-1993) further propelled the discourse, leading to the establishment of the Rehabilitation

Council of India in 1986. The 1990s were transformative, with a burgeoning self-advocacy movement demanding human rights and comprehensive, rights-based legislation. This sustained advocacy culminated in the landmark Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995. This Act, a benchmark for the movement, focused on rights, covering aspects like early detection, education, employment, accessibility, and reserving 3% of government jobs for persons with disabilities.

The Rights of Persons with Disabilities (RPwD) Act, 2016 is a significant legislative reform that replaced the 1995 Act to align Indian disability law with the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD). The Act broadens the definition of disability from 7 to 21 recognized conditions, including intellectual, neurological, and blood disorders. It emphasizes a rights-based approach rather than a welfare model, focusing on dignity, autonomy, and full participation in society. Key provisions include reservation in education and employment (4% in government jobs and higher education), barrier-free access, legal recognition of guardianship, and the establishment of institutional mechanisms like advisory boards, special courts, and commissioners at central and state levels. However, implementation faces challenges such as lack of awareness, insufficient infrastructure, and limited resources. Overall, the RPwD Act, 2016 marks a progressive shift towards equality and inclusion but requires stronger enforcement to achieve its full impact.

Salient Features of the RPwD Act, 2016

According to Section 2(s) of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (RPwD) Act, 2016**,** a "person with disability" means: *"A person with long-term physical, mental, intellectual, or sensory impairment which, in interaction with barriers, hinders his full and effective participation in society equally with others."*

This definition reflects a social and rights-based approach to disability. It recognizes that disability is not just a medical condition but is also shaped by the interaction between the individual and societal/environmental barriers (like inaccessible buildings, prejudice, etc.).

As per Section 2(r) of the RPwD Act, "benchmark disability" is defined as *"A person with not less than forty percent (40%) of a specified disability, where specified disability has not been defined in measurable terms, and includes a person with disability where disability has been defined in measurable terms, as certified by the certifying authority."* In simpler terms, benchmark disability refers to a minimum threshold (usually 40% or more of a specified disability), as determined by medical assessment. It is important because:

- Individuals with benchmark disabilities are eligible for government schemes, benefits, and reservations in education, employment, and other areas.
- It acts as a criterion for accessing specific rights and entitlements under the Act.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 7| July 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

Expansion of Recognized Disabilities

The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 significantly expanded the list of recognized disabilities from the earlier 7 categories under the 1995 Act to 21 categories, making the legislation more inclusive and comprehensive.

Table 1: Expansion of Recognized Disabilities: From 7 to 21 Conditions (RPwD Act, 2016)

Disabilities under 1995 Act (7 Types)	Expanded Disabilities under 2016 Act (21 Types)
1. Blindness 2. Low Vision 3. Leprosy-cured 4. Hearing Impairment 5. Locomotor Disability 6. Mental Retardation 7. Mental Illness	1. Blindness 2. Low Vision 3. Leprosy Cured Persons 4. Hearing Impairment (Deaf and Hard of Hearing) 5. Locomotor Disability 6. Dwarfism 7. Intellectual Disability 8. Mental Illness 9. Autism Spectrum Disorder 10. Cerebral Palsy 11. Muscular Dystrophy 12. Chronic Neurological Conditions (e.g., Multiple Sclerosis, Parkinson's Disease) 13. Specific Learning Disabilities (e.g., Dyslexia) 14. Multiple Sclerosis 15. Speech and Language Disability 16. Thalassemia 17. Hemophilia 18. Sickle Cell Disease 19. Multiple Disabilities (combination of two or more of the above) 20. Acid Attack Victims 21. Parkinson's Disease

Source: *Author Composition*

Legal Rights and Entitlements under the RPwD Act 2016

The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 provides a comprehensive framework to safeguard the legal rights and entitlements of persons with disabilities in India. It guarantees equality and prohibits discrimination in employment, education, and access to public services. The Act emphasizes the right to accessibility, ensuring barrier-free physical environments, transportation, and information. It mandates free and inclusive education for children with disabilities up to 18 years and reserves at least 4% of government jobs for persons with benchmark disabilities. The law also secures social security benefits, including pensions and health care services, along with access to rehabilitation and assistive devices. Importantly, the Act affirms the legal capacity of persons with disabilities, supporting their autonomy and decision-making while protecting them from abuse and exploitation. It also promotes political participation by facilitating accessible voting and involvement in public life. To enforce these rights, the Act establishes special courts to handle violations and provides penalties and compensation to ensure accountability. Overall, the Act represents a significant shift toward an inclusive, rights-based approach that empowers persons with disabilities to live with dignity and equal opportunity.

Table 2: Rights of Persons with Disabilities under the RPwD Act, 2016

Rights	Description
Equality & Non-Discrimination	Protection from discrimination in all spheres
Accessibility	Barrier-free access to physical and digital environments
Education	Free and inclusive education till 18 years
Employment	Reservation and prohibition of discrimination in jobs
Social Security	Access to government schemes and pensions
Legal Capacity	Equal legal recognition and decision-making support
Health	Access to healthcare and rehabilitation
Political Participation	Accessible voting and political engagement
Privacy	Protection of personal data and confidentiality
Grievance Redressal	Special courts and penalties for violations

Source: *Author Composition*

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 7| July 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

Institutional Mechanisms and Authorities

The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 (RPwD Act) establishes several Institutional Mechanisms and Authorities to protect, promote, and monitor the rights of persons with disabilities in India. These institutions work at central, state, and district levels. Below is a structured breakdown:

Table: 3 Institutional Mechanisms and Authorities related to RPwD Act, 2016

Level	Authority / Institution	Key Functions
Central	**Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities (CCPD)**	- Monitor implementation of the Act at national level- Handle complaints- Advise Central Government
	Central Advisory Board on Disability	- Policy formulation- Review and coordination of disability-related issues
	National Fund for Persons with Disabilities	- Provide financial support for welfare and empowerment initiatives
State / UT	**State Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities**	- Monitor implementation at state level- Handle grievances and advise government
	State Advisory Board on Disability	- State-level planning, policy, and review
	State Fund for Persons with Disabilities	- Financial assistance for state-specific programs and schemes
District	**District Level Committee on Disability**	- Implementation of schemes- Awareness and coordination at the district level
	Local Authorities	- Ensure accessibility and rights protection in local bodies (e.g., municipalities)

Source: *Author Composition*

Under the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016, special provisions have been made for effective implementation and protection of rights through additional institutional mechanisms. Special Courts are designated at the district level to specifically handle cases and offences committed against persons with disabilities, ensuring speedy justice and sensitive handling of such matters. The Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI) plays a crucial role in regulating and standardizing the training of rehabilitation professionals across the country, thereby ensuring quality services for persons with disabilities. Furthermore, the Act envisions the establishment of National and State Commissions for Rights of Persons with Disabilities as proposed bodies to provide broader oversight, promote rights, and ensure accountability through continuous monitoring and grievance redressal mechanisms, in line with evolving policy needs.

Evolution of Disability laws in India pre-2016

The evolution of disability laws in India prior to 2016 represents a gradual yet significant shift from a welfare-based approach to a rights-based framework. Below an overview of the development of disability legislation and policy in India before the landmark "Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016"**.**

I. Early Years: Limited Legal Recognition (Pre-1990s)

During the early years post-independence, India's approach to disability was largely charity and welfare-oriented**,** with no comprehensive legal framework to protect the rights of persons with disabilities (PwDs). The focus was primarily on medical rehabilitation, and individuals with disabilities were often viewed as passive recipients of state aid. Key Elements:

- Disability was treated more as a social welfare concern.
- Programs were limited to vocational training and rehabilitation services.
- Legal recognition and enforceable rights were minimal.

II. Constitutional Provisions

Although not disability-specific, the Constitution of India laid down fundamental rights and directive principles that implicitly supported the rights of PwDs:

- **Article 14** – Equality before the law.
- **Article 15** – Prohibition of discrimination.
- **Article 21** – Right to life and personal liberty.

Directive Principles encouraged the state to make provisions for public assistance in cases of disablement. However, these provisions lacked specific mandates regarding disability.

The Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995

The evolution of disability laws in India prior to 2016 reflects a gradual transition from a welfare-oriented approach to a rights-based legal framework. Initially, disability was largely addressed through social welfare schemes with limited legal recognition, and persons with disabilities (PwDs) were viewed as passive recipients of aid. Although the Constitution of India provided fundamental rights such as equality before the law (Article 14) and non- discrimination (Article 15), there were no specific legal provisions for PwDs. The turning point came with the enactment of the Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995, which was the first comprehensive legislation focused on providing equal opportunities in education, employment, and access to public services. However, it was limited to seven types of disabilities and lacked strong enforcement mechanisms. Complementary legislations such as the Rehabilitation Council of India Act, 1992 and the National Trust Act, 1999 aimed to regulate professional services and support individuals with intellectual disabilities, while the National Policy for Persons with Disabilities, 2006 emphasized

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 7| July 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

inclusion but remained non-binding. The ratification of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) in 2007 marked a critical moment, highlighting the inadequacies of existing laws and sparking advocacy for more inclusive and enforceable legislation. This growing awareness and international commitment laid the foundation for the enactment of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016, which replaced the 1995 Act and expanded protections to a broader range of disabilities within a stronger rights-based framework.

III. Other Relevant Laws and Policies (Pre-2016)

a) Rehabilitation Council of India Act, 1992

The Rehabilitation Council of India (RCI) Act, 1992 was enacted with the primary objective of regulating and standardizing the training of professionals working in the field of rehabilitation and special education for persons with disabilities. The Act established the Rehabilitation Council of India as a statutory body responsible for setting norms and guidelines for training courses, maintaining a Central Rehabilitation Register, and ensuring that only qualified professionals are permitted to provide services to persons with disabilities. This was crucial in ensuring the quality and effectiveness of rehabilitation services across the country. The Act also mandates the promotion of research and development in rehabilitation sciences, contributing to the professionalization of disability services. An important aspect of the Act is that it penalizes unqualified individuals who falsely claim to be rehabilitation professionals, thereby protecting the rights and dignity of persons with disabilities.

b) National Trust Act, 1999

The National Trust for Welfare of Persons with Autism, Cerebral Palsy, Mental Retardation, and Multiple Disabilities Act, 1999**,** commonly known as the National Trust Act**,** was a landmark legislation aimed specifically at supporting individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities. Recognizing the lifelong challenges faced by such individuals and their families, the Act provides for legal guardianship, protection of rights, and creation of institutional and community-based support systems. The Act led to the formation of the National Trust**,** a statutory body under the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment, which implements programs like group homes, respite care, and early intervention centers. One of its core objectives is to enable independent living and inclusion of persons with disabilities within the community, rather than isolating them in institutions. It also empowers families by facilitating support services and promoting advocacy for the rights of persons with developmental disabilities.

c) National Policy for Persons with Disabilities, 2006

The National Policy for Persons with Disabilities**,** introduced in 2006, was a significant step toward a more inclusive and holistic approach to disability in India. While not a law, this policy provided a comprehensive vision for the empowerment and inclusion of persons with disabilities across all spheres of life. It emphasized access to education, employment, barrier-free infrastructure, social security, and community-based rehabilitation. The policy also acknowledged the need for early detection and intervention, special education, skill development, and mainstreaming of PwDs. Moreover, it underscored the importance of research, data collection, and awareness campaigns to eliminate stigma and discrimination. Although progressive in intent, the policy lacked legal enforceability, which limited its impact. However, it played a key role in shaping future legislation and policies, particularly in influencing the drafting of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016**.**

d) Push for Reform: UNCRPD and Its Impact

India became a signatory to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) in 2007**,** and ratified it the same year.

- This created a legal obligation to align national laws with international human rights standards.
- The existing laws (especially the 1995 Act) were deemed inadequate to meet UNCRPD's standards.
- Civil society and disability rights activists strongly pushed for reform and modernization of India's disability laws.

The period before 2016 saw India transition slowly from a medical and charity-based model to a social and rights-based model of disability. However, the legal framework was fragmented and lacked the robust enforcement needed to protect and promote the rights of PwDs. This paved the way for the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016, which expanded the definition of disability, increased the number of recognized disabilities, strengthened anti- discrimination provisions, and aimed for greater inclusion.

Need for replacing the Persons with Disabilities Act, 1995

The need for replacing the Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995 arose from several critical legal, social, and practical limitations that made the 1995 Act inadequate in addressing the evolving understanding of disability rights. Below are the main reasons why the 1995 Act was replaced:

1. Incompatibility with the UNCRPD (2006): - India ratified the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) in 2007, which required all member states to align their domestic laws with the Convention. The 1995 Act was not rights-based and failed to reflect the key principles of the UNCRPD, such as non-discrimination, full and effective participation, and accessibility, equality of opportunity, and respect for dignity and autonomy of persons with disabilities. Therefore, there was an urgent need for new legislation to fulfill India's international legal obligations.

2. Narrow Definition of Disability: - The 1995 Act recognized only seven types of disabilities (e.g., blindness, hearing impairment, locomotor disability, etc.), leaving out several other physical, intellectual, and psychosocial conditions. This exclusion denied many individuals legal recognition, benefits, and protection. In contrast, the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 expanded the list to 21 conditions, including autism, thalassemia, intellectual disability, and

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 7| July 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

mental illness.

3. Limited Rights and Weak Enforcement: - The 1995 Act focused more on welfare measures like reservations in jobs and education, but did not clearly define the legal rights of persons with disabilities or provide strong enforcement mechanisms. It lacked penalties for non-compliance and had no independent monitoring body. As a result, implementation was weak and inconsistent across states. The new Act introduced penal provisions and stronger grievance redressal mechanisms.

4. Inadequate Focus on Accessibility and Inclusion: - The 1995 law had minimal provisions regarding accessibility in the physical environment, public transport, communication, and information. It did not mandate time-bound goals or make accessibility a legal right. The 2016 Act made accessibility a key pillar, requiring all public buildings, transport systems, and services to be made accessible within a specified timeframe.

5. Ned for Modern, Holistic, and Inclusive Approach: - Over time, the understanding of disability shifted from a medical and charity-based model to a social and human rights-based model. The 1995 Act did not reflect this modern approach. There was a need for a law that ensured equality, empowerment, participation in decision-making, and protection from abuse, exploitation, and discrimination.

The Persons with Disabilities Act, 1995 was an important first step in Indian disability legislation, but it had become outdated and insufficient to address the complex and diverse needs of persons with disabilities. Replacing it with the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 was essential to bring Indian laws in line with global standards, recognize a broader spectrum of disabilities, and promote a more inclusive, accessible, and rights-based society.

India's commitment to the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD)

India's commitment to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) marks a significant step toward adopting a rights-based approach to disability. India became a signatory to the UNCRPD on 30th March 2007 and ratified it on 1st October 2007**,** thereby agreeing to uphold and implement the principles enshrined in the Convention. By ratifying the UNCRPD, India recognized that persons with disabilities are equal members of society entitled to the same rights, freedoms, and dignity as others. The Convention emphasizes full participation, inclusion, non-discrimination, accessibility, and respect for the inherent dignity and autonomy of persons with disabilities.

India's ratification created a legal and moral obligation to align its national laws and policies with the Convention. However, the existing domestic law at that time—The Persons with Disabilities Act, 1995—was insufficient to meet the comprehensive requirements of the UNCRPD. As a result, India undertook a process of legislative reform that led to the enactment of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016**,** which replaced the 1995 Act.

This new legislation expanded the definition of disability, introduced stronger rights protections, and emphasized accessibility, education, employment, social security, and political participation. India's commitment to the UNCRPD continues to guide its efforts in building an inclusive society where persons with disabilities are empowered and able to live with dignity and equality.

Implementation Challenges in RPwD Act 2016

The implementation of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (RPwD) Act, 2016 faces several significant challenges, despite its progressive and inclusive provisions. These challenges hinder the realization of the rights and entitlements promised under the Act. Below is an overview of key implementation challenges:

- **Lack of Awareness and Sensitization**: - Many stakeholders—including government officials, employers, educators, and the public—lack adequate awareness about the provisions of the Act. This results in limited enforcement and discrimination against persons with disabilities continuing unchecked.
- **Delayed and Incomplete Framing of Rules**: - Several states delayed the notification of state-specific rules under the Act, which affected uniform and timely implementation across the country.
- **Inadequate Institutional Mechanisms**: - Many states have not yet appointed State Commissioners or established Advisory Boards. Even when established, these bodies often lack proper staff, resources, or statutory authority to function effectively.
- **Poor Accessibility**: - Physical infrastructure, digital platforms, transportation systems, and public services often remain inaccessible, despite the accessibility mandates under the Act.
- **Limited Budget and Resource Allocation**: - Financial support for schemes and initiatives under the Act is often insufficient or inconsistently allocated, hampering implementation efforts at all levels.
- **Weak Monitoring and Accountability**: - There is a lack of robust monitoring systems to track compliance and progress. Mechanisms for grievance redressal are often slow or ineffective.
- **Challenges in Disability Certification**: - The process of obtaining disability certificates is bureaucratic, inconsistent across regions, and difficult for many to access, especially in rural areas.
- **Lack of Trained Professionals**: - There is a shortage of qualified special educators, rehabilitation professionals, and accessibility auditors, which affects service delivery and rights enforcement.
- **Low Employment Opportunities**: - Despite reservation provisions, persons with disabilities face discrimination in employment due to negative attitudes, lack of accommodations, and poor implementation of quota rules.

Landmark judgments interpreting provisions of the RPwD Act

Here are some landmark judgments that have interpreted and strengthened the provisions of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (RPwD) Act, 2016**.**

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 7| July 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

Table: 4 Landmark Judgments Interpreting the RPwD Act, 2016

Case	Court & Year	Key Interpretation / Outcome
Vikas Kumar v. UPSC & Ors.	Delhi High Court, 2021	Directed UPSC to provide reasonable accommodation and not mechanically reject candidates with benchmark disabilities. Reinforced the spirit of inclusive opportunity.
Javed Abidi v. Union of India	Supreme Court, 2018	Highlighted the inaccessibility of public transport for persons with disabilities; emphasized need for accessible infrastructure as mandated under the Act.
Anamika v. Union of India & Ors.	Delhi High Court, 2021	The court ruled in favor of a visually impaired candidate denied a teaching post, reaffirming the right to equal opportunity in public employment under Section 34 of the Act.
Rupsa Mallik v. State of Odisha	Orissa High Court, 2020	Held that denial of admission to a disabled student violated provisions of equal access to education under the Act.
Rajive Raturi v. Union of India	Supreme Court, 2017	Directed all government buildings and public infrastructure to be made accessible; underlined the enforceability of accessibility standards under the RPwD Act.
Dr. Vikash Kumar v. UPSC	Supreme Court, 2021	Reaffirmed the right of persons with disabilities to demand necessary accommodations such as scribes during examinations; expanded the definition of "reasonable accommodation."

Source: *Author Composition*

These judgments collectively underscore the judiciary's proactive role in interpreting the RPwD Act progressively, thereby strengthening accessibility, equality, and inclusion for persons with disabilities.

CONCLUSION

The Rights of Persons with Disabilities (RPwD) Act, 2016, represents a monumental stride in India's commitment to disability rights, transitioning from a welfare-oriented approach to a robust rights-based framework. This legislative reform, driven by India's ratification of the UNCRPD, significantly broadened the definition of disability to encompass 21 conditions, ensuring greater inclusivity. While the Act lays down comprehensive provisions for education, employment, accessibility, and social security, and establishes crucial institutional mechanisms like the Chief Commissioner for Persons with Disabilities and Special Courts, its full impact remains contingent on effective implementation. Challenges such as a pervasive lack of awareness, delayed rule notification by states, insufficient resource allocation, and inadequate infrastructure persist. However, the progressive interpretations by the judiciary through landmark judgments have consistently reinforced the Act's spirit, emphasizing reasonable accommodation, accessibility, and equal opportunities. To truly realize the vision of an inclusive and equitable society enshrined in the RPwD Act, 2016, concerted efforts are required from all stakeholders—government bodies, civil society, and the public—to overcome implementation hurdles, enhance awareness, and ensure stringent enforcement of its provisions. Only then can persons with disabilities fully exercise their rights and participate equally in all spheres of life.

REFERENCES

1. *Balakrishnan, A., Kulkarni, K., Moirangthem, S., Kumar, C. N., Math, S. B., & Murthy, P. (2019). The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act 2016: Mental health implications. Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine, 41(2), 119–125. https://doi.org/10.4103/IJPSYM.IJPSYM_541_18*
2. *Bose, A. (2021). A review of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act 2016. Rajiv Gandhi Institute for Contemporary Studies.*
3. *Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation. (2016). Disabled persons in India: A statistical profile. Government of India.*
4. *Johari, B. (n.d.). India's Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act 2016: An unfulfilled promise. Oxford Human Rights Hub. https://ohrh.law.ox.ac.uk/indias-rights-of-persons-with-disabilities-act-2016-an-unfulfilled-promise/*
5. *National Human Rights Commission (NHRC), MARG, Pandey, P., Azam, S., & Pandey, S. (2021). Disability rights (Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act & National Trust Act) and Mental Healthcare Act (Report No. 33516/2022/MCP). National Human Rights Commission, India.*
6. *Rani, B. (2018). The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 promoting inclusive education. International Journal of Advanced Research and Development, 3(2), 798–800.*
7. *Singh, A. (2021). A critical analysis of Rights of Persons with Disability in India and its comparison with USA and UK disability law. Rampur College of Law, M.J.P. Rohilkhand University.*
8. *Sharma, R., & Kumari, R. (2024). A critical analysis on the rights of disabled persons in India. International Journal of Legal Affairs and Exploration, 2(3). Narayan School of Law, Gopal Narayan Singh University.*
9. *Tungekar, B. (2021, July 2). Analysing Rights of Persons with Disability Act 2016. https://www.example.com*
10. *"The Gazette of India" (2016, December 28). Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 rules and amendments.*
11. *Suresh Bada Math, G. S. Gowada, et al. (2019). The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016: Challenges and opportunities. Indian Journal of Psychiatry.*
12. *Ahuja, K. (2024, October 10). The evolution and impact of the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016. Bhatt & Joshi Associates.*