ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AS A CATALYST FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT: AN ANALYTICAL PERSPECTIVE

Dr. Mohina
Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, CMG GCW Bhodia Khera

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra23700
DOI No: 10.36713/epra23700

ABSTRACT

Corporate social responsibility is the recently evolving concept where corporate build a voluntary relationship with society by undertaking investment in community service or stakeholder welfare with the purpose of enhancing reputation and goodwill and at the same time for avoiding legal constraints. A business benefits significantly from its investors, management, employees, suppliers, customers, and other stakeholders, as they form the essential support system that sustains its operations. These stakeholders serve as the backbone of the business. So it is the ethical responsibilities of the business to serve these stakeholders and to take care of their interest. It is the ethical responsibility of the business to undertake various activities voluntarily to the serve the society as a whole. This paper explores the corporate social responsibilities in India and its impact on sustainable development. It's throws life on historical context and legal framework governing CSR in India. It also explores the key drivers of CSR such as economic factors social factors and environmental factors. CSR strategies adopted by Indian corporations and its impact on social sustainable development goals; is also discussed in detail with the proceeding of the paper. Various case studies such as Tata group, Infosys and Wipro are discussed briefly to elaborate various activities undertaken by the big corporate houses to promote social responsibility. This paper also discussed the challenges faced in implementation of CSR followed by future prospects and policy recommendation. This study holds substantial importance in the current global and national discourse on sustainable development. As economic growth accelerates, the challenge of balancing profitability with social and environmental responsibility has become increasingly critical. Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) emerges as a strategic tool capable of bridging this gap by aligning business objectives with societal needs and ecological preservation.

KEYWORDS: *CSR, Ethical Business Practices, Stakeholder Engagement, Green Initiatives, Business Ethics*

INTRODUCTION

The significance of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) has grown steadily within the modern business landscape. Traditional economic theory suggests that businesses aim to maximize profits, but it's now recognized that they cannot operate in isolation from societal and environmental impacts. Companies must consider their influence on the societies and environments they impact. Developing nations in Asia, Africa, and Latin America, rich in resources, have experienced rapid industrial growth. Significant investments have been funneled into industrial projects, particularly in newly independent countries. CSR involves voluntary actions by the corporation aimed at taking social responsibility for the environment, community, and workplace, including initiatives that promote public welfare and support for charitable causes. For industries, CSR emphasizes community engagement and philanthropy, allowing companies to uphold their obligations to stakeholders and the environment through voluntary actions that are not driven by statutory mandates, with policies crafted to mirror corporate missions.

HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF CSR IN INDIA

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in India has developed alongside the country's economic growth and social changes. Recently, CSR has gained traction, supported by various stakeholders, including government entities and civil society. It has transitioned from a perception of charity to a crucial aspect of corporate relationships with shareholders and other stakeholders, focusing on issues like pollution and worker fairness. The Companies Act of 2013 introduced mandatory CSR provisions to enhance inclusive and sustainable growth, though debates about their enforcement persist. The evolution of CSR reflects changing cultural norms regarding corporate engagement. It requires understanding India's economic and political contexts over time, emphasizing businesses' roles in positively impacting communities. CSR's ethos dictates that public policy should create a framework for corporate accountability, addressing negative societal impacts. India's initial phase of CSR was characterized by the belief that business equated to charitable giving, which fostered a one-way flow of assistance from wealthier individuals to the less fortunate, often leading to social dependence. Parsi Industrialists like Jamsetji N. Tata and J.R.D. Tata exemplified this phase, investing their wealth in philanthropy. Their efforts included funding temples, schools, and hospitals, which, while noble, often clashed with cultural and legal expectations. Such initiatives typically lacked official recognition, resulting in legal challenges, particularly concerning the management of temple funds. More successful

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

charitable foundations sometimes led to disputes over control of funds, revealing governance issues. The core legal conflicts revolved around ensuring CSR funds were not used for personal gains.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK GOVERNING CSR IN INDIA

The Companies Act, 2013 is a pivotal legislation for corporate governance in India, aiming to modernize legal frameworks related to companies and restore stakeholder confidence. It introduces new provisions and significant amendments, imposing penalties such as imprisonment and fines for non-compliance. A notable reform is the establishment of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) obligations, mandating certain companies to form a CSR Committee and allocate two percent of their average net profits from the last three years to CSR activities. Non-compliance carries penalties including fines up to five crore rupees. While some nations have also mandated CSR spending, the Companies Act transitions CSR from a voluntary philanthropy model to a legal obligation. This shift, while viewed positively, raises questions about the actual benefits for Corporate India and society, as well as the effectiveness and enforcement of CSR policies. The debate continues whether CSR provisions represent 'hard law' or 'soft law' and the implications for emerging markets.

The Companies Act, 2013 (Act No. 18 of 2013) received the President's approval on August 29, 2013, and was published in the Gazette of India on August 30, 2013, in two parts. One segment builds on previous proposals and\\ stakeholder input, addressing companies and corporate affairs, replacing the Companies Act, 1956. The second segment outlines the rules of the new Companies Law, featuring a 'comply-or-explain' model for corporate governance. This ensures compliance with best practices while prescribing penalties ranging from fifty thousand to twenty-five lakh rupees for violations. The introduction of CSR provisions in the Act is a significant step, encouraging companies to adopt social responsibility. CSR can enhance reputation and create new market opportunities. However, corporations must approach CSR inclusively, collaborating with government and industry, rather than viewing it solely as a compliance obligation. They should leverage this opportunity to positively impact their communities. A key challenge for companies is that the CSR Clause is unprecedented in India, leaving no existing implementation examples. The newly established CSR committees will need to create management systems and processes without established best practices. The effectiveness of the CSR Clause in increasing spending and shifting corporate focus from philanthropy to wealth redistribution is yet to be determined.

In the wake of corporate scandals, adopting CSR practices has become essential for businesses to rebuild trust and credibility. The modern capitalist goal of quick profits often overlooks ethics, leading to a perception of deception surrounding businesses and their leaders globally. High-profile scams have eroded public trust, prompting calls for regulatory action. In India, numerous corporate controversies fuel skepticism towards businesses, increasing perceived risks. Experts argue for a rehabilitation of corporate image, emphasizing that companies have societal responsibilities and should adhere to legal and moral obligations. Nonetheless, CSR in India mainly benefits larger firms, with skeptics claiming it diverts consumer attention from corporate misdeeds. Critics of mandatory CSR spending, including notable Indian industrialists, argue against the practicality of proposed regulations, asserting that true implementation may be unrealistic. They worry that extensive focus on current CSR initiatives may undermine effective execution. Additionally, the requirement of a 2 percent CSR spend is seen as unattractive due to challenges in practical application and the priority conflicts in other regulatory agencies. Nonetheless, social organizations continue advocating for accountability from businesses. Critics caution that an over-regulated industry may prioritize profit-maximization at the expense of genuine CSR efforts, and softer measures, like subsidized CSR marketing, might dilute the intended impact of corporate responsibility initiatives.

KEY DRIVERS OF CSR IN INDIA

CSR in India is shifting towards mandatory compliance, driven by severe social inequities and the need for transparent mechanisms. Businesses face a dilemma: should CSR be regulated or remain voluntary? Arguments for mandatory corporate spending on social initiatives are mounting, as CSR often reflects the darker side of capitalism, leading to unequal growth. Since April 1, 2014, CSR in India has transitioned from voluntary to obligatory, requiring companies to allocate a percentage of their net profits to social development activities within the country. Higher punitive measures are necessary to enforce compliance and address violations effectively. A responsible government is unlikely to relax this mandatory aspect of the Companies Act, viewing it as a vital step toward sustainable development. Corporations must redefine their roles, moving beyond mere participation in government-led initiatives to proactively addressing crucial social issues like education, healthcare, infrastructure, sanitation, women's empowerment, and livelihoods. While some companies actively engage in these efforts, others often respond only when compelled.

a) Economic Factors

Corporations must recognize their responsibility in ensuring the social legitimacy of their business practices. Corporate social responsibility (CSR) involves a corporation's obligation to the society it operates, increasingly important for multinational companies faced with diverse cultures and beliefs. Corporations need to adopt socially responsible practices, which include fair business conduct, equitable wealth distribution, community development, employee welfare, safety, and environmental stewardship. As we enter a new century, the disparity between needs and resources demands corporate action to address these imbalances. In a competitive market, fostering a culture that values mutual empowerment among individuals, corporations, and societies is vital. The survival of the corporate sector is questionable if it fails to support community well-being. New legislation mandates that companies with a net worth of Rs 500 crore, turnover of Rs 1,000 crore, and net profit of Rs 5 crore invest at least 2% of their profits into CSR activities. Failure to

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

comply holds the board accountable and requires explanations for underperformance. While large corporations have engaged in CSR through various channels, micro, small, and medium enterprises have lagged. However, the new law is expected to boost CSR participation among companies, leading to significant increases in corporate spending on social initiatives.

b) Social Factors

Corporate involvement in philanthropy has undergone a significant transformation. Previously seen as discretionary acts of charity, companies could choose their causes, often linked to perceived profits and goodwill, which painted a grim picture of corporate responsibility in India. However, the enactment of the Companies Act, 2013, effective from April 1, 2014, mandated corporate social responsibility (CSR). Firms having a net worth of ₹500 crore or more, an annual turnover of ₹1,000 crore or above, and a net profit of at least ₹5 crore must allocate a minimum of 2% of their profits toward CSR initiatives. This law represents a timely and crucial step, likely increasing corporate engagement in social issues. The 2% requirement encourages firms, even novice donors, to participate in philanthropy for various reasons. The compliance will be monitored by an expert body. Despite high GDP growth in urban areas, challenges persist in rural regions, highlighting the need for a partnership among corporations, NGOs, and the government to foster holistic development. For meaningful change, trust, transparency, and accountability are essential among these partners. Success in CSR should focus on preventing issues rather than just measuring outputs.

c) Environmental Factors

The study of corporate social responsibility (CSR) utilizes a precedence factor model focusing on environmental influences, including climate change, industrial waste, and polluted air and water. Climate change is the most significant factor, requiring careful attention from companies to improve CSR values. Companies closely tied to environmental factors should enhance their CSR initiatives accordingly. India faces unique vulnerabilities due to socio-economic conditions and limited resources, although this presents business opportunities and prospects for competitiveness through better adaptation and mitigation strategies. The draft paper emphasizes the necessity of environmental sustainability for enduring economic growth and proposes a vision for balanced, inclusive development that prioritizes the well-being of the poor while safeguarding the environment. Although media coverage on climate change and CSR initiatives is robust, Indian corporate tends to emphasize social issues rather than environmental aspects. However, heightened public discourse on pollution may encourage companies, especially those with significant environmental impacts, to publicize their mitigation efforts.

Table 1: Key Drivers of CSR in India

Driver Category	Key Factors	Highlights & Implications
Economic Factors	- Mandatory CSR compliance for companies with net worth ≥ ₹500 crore, turnover ≥ ₹1,000 crore, or net profit ≥ ₹5 crore. - At least 2% of average net profits must be spent on CSR activities. - Accountability for non-compliance placed on company boards. - Focus on fair business conduct, equitable wealth distribution, employee welfare, and environmental stewardship.	- Strengthens corporate legitimacy and public trust. - Encourages MSMEs to increase CSR involvement. - Promotes sustainable community development. - Aligns business survival with societal well-being.
Social Factors	- Shift from voluntary philanthropy to mandatory social responsibility under the Companies Act, 2013. - 2% profit allocation encourages even first-time corporate donors. - Monitoring by expert bodies ensures compliance. - Focus on rural development, healthcare, education, women's empowerment, and sanitation.	- Builds partnerships between corporations, NGOs, and government. - Emphasizes trust, transparency, and accountability. - Moves from output-based to preventive and impact-driven CSR models. - Addresses rural–urban development gaps.
Environmental Factors	- CSR linked to climate change mitigation, pollution control, and sustainable resource management. - India's socio-economic vulnerabilities create both risks and opportunities. - Climate change identified as the most pressing environmental factor for CSR action. - Encouragement for environmentally impactful industries to disclose mitigation measures.	- Enhances corporate environmental stewardship. - Positions environmental sustainability as essential for long-term economic growth. - Encourages competitive advantage through green innovation. - Potential to shift corporate CSR focus from purely social to integrated environmental strategies.

Source: Author's Compilation

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

CSR STRATEGIES ADOPTED BY INDIAN CORPORATIONS

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is defined variably among scholars and concerns how firms impact stakeholders beyond shareholders. CSR encompasses initiatives for social good, including philanthropy, education, healthcare, environmental protection, and affordable housing. Companies can provide small loans to low-income entrepreneurs, supporting their businesses and helping to lift them out of poverty. Firms also leverage CSR as a strategic tool for competitive advantage; for example, telecom companies invest in rural outreach to boost income and revenues, while oil and energy firms enhance eco-friendly technologies to improve their public image. Historically, CSR was voluntary and philanthropic. However, with economic privatization, many firms focused on monopoly profits, often neglecting social costs. In recent decades, numerous global corporations faced scrutiny for labor exploitation, environmental pollution, and human rights violations, highlighting the growing need for socially responsible practices amid their pursuit of profit. CSR has been seen in this light by firms; thus, companies have vast discretionary power over the CSR activities they wish to adopt.

Philanthropic Initiatives

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) and sustainable development align under stakeholder theory, emphasizing the role of enterprises in economic and societal growth. It is commonly believed that CSR encompasses philanthropic efforts, seen as essential for fostering business ethics. However, philanthropy should not replace tax obligations and must benefit the private sector. The Indian Commerce Act advocated a balance between commercial ventures and humanity, yet in practice, they are often treated as opposites. Investment fluctuations in social programs reveal the random nature of corporate giving. Some industrialists make modest donations, feeling fulfilled while neglecting others. Public accountability is lacking, leading to self-serving philanthropic activities that seek public approval. Initiatives like funding cultural events often distract from monitoring corporate misconduct. Philanthropy frequently occurs when corporations are compelled by legislation or expert recommendations, undermining its genuine impact.

Community Engagement

Community engagement is an emerging perspective of corporate social responsibility (CSR) in the Indian context. Literature mentions an era of evolution of CSR from philanthropy to community development to mandatory. The panorama of CSR initiatives reflects a wide variety of projects in very diverse fields such as education, health care, rural infrastructure, livelihoods, social empowerment, etc. An analysis of the CSR activities suggests that a huge funds goes to the education sector, while the health sector initiatives are not in large numbers. There is a discernible trend that while CSR remains largely restricted to community development, it is getting more strategic and linked with business than philanthropy. Data on CSR reporting suggests that many companies are reporting their activities on official websites, annual reports, and sustainability reports. The Companies Act, 2013 has enhanced transparency and encouraged better disclosure practices. Schedule VII of the Act suggests that communities be the focal point. It is suggested that CSR needs to go beyond communities and the concept of philanthropy. Most seminal voluminous works on CSR narrate the Indian milieu. They, inter alia, acknowledge the sense of social responsibility and obligation of businessmen and the status of corporations in the Indian psyche.

Environmental Sustainability Practices

Organizations pursue environmental sustainability by informing stakeholders of necessary changes, motivating action for environmental goals, and assessing the impact on both economic and environmental performance, evident in the sustainability reports of Indian petrochemical firms. They must go beyond government agreements and regulations. Market pressure is a significant domestic driver for firm greening. Increased market integration fosters upward pressure on environmental behavior in developing countries. Economic integration through foreign investment and trade liberalization promotes greening by facilitating clean technology transfers. Transnational market dynamics and international regulations also drive this change. The Kyoto Protocol, effective since February 2005, imposes legally binding greenhouse gas emission limits on 37 industrialized nations, including India, and introduced the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM), allowing developing countries to sell emissions reduction credits. The flow of environmental norms across borders is crucial for firm greening. Indian firms must recognize climate change as a corporate strategy priority, adopt global best practices, and adapt them locally. Eco-certification improves environmental risk management at the farm level, while domestic policies bolster entrepreneurialism in environmental initiatives. Sustainability reports should clearly outline how these objectives will be achieved.

IMPACT OF CSR ON SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development consists of 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and 169 targets that aim to alleviate global and national poverty while promoting sustainable development. The SDGs arose from the challenges posed by economic hardship and environmental issues in poor countries concerning water, sanitation, food, habitat, and health. There is need to discuss contributions at national, local, and global levels, aiming to transcend nation-states to pursue a collective humanitarian cause. It highlights global networks facilitating collaboration among non-state actors, focusing on solidarity, empowerment for the underprivileged, and capacity building through sharing knowledge. This effort advocates for collaboration beyond mere interest-based agreements, showcasing the potential of sustained commitment from non-state actors towards a sustainable future. Historical contributions by these actors will be reviewed, along with recommendations for advancing CSR as a critical framework for achieving the SDGs and effective governance.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

Goal 1: No Poverty

India has around 431 million people living in absolute poverty, 353 million lack safe water, 638 million are without decent sanitation, and 65 million live without electricity. Over 61 million children under five are malnourished, with India hosting over 40% of the world's undernourished children. This pervasive poverty leads to dissatisfaction with elected officials, with political parties blaming each other for the situation. Freshwater resources are prioritized unevenly across sectors, contributing to societal unrest, which has put companies under scrutiny globally. In response, companies are redefining their roles but face criticism for corruption and deception. Companies must take social responsibility seriously by improving health and living standards rather than only engaging in philanthropy. These efforts must be organized and accountable, ensuring that they address operational inefficiencies. Managerial decisions should incorporate Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) principles, which go beyond mere charity, fostering mutual coexistence among stakeholders. Fairness emerges as an essential factor for sustainability, influencing how organizations can effectively navigate their social commitments.

Goal 2: Quality Education

The COVID-19 pandemic has led to rapid technological advancements, pushing educational institutions to adopt new teaching methods. The urgent transition to online learning, particularly in developing nations, raises concerns about education quality. A study in India utilized qualitative methods, including interviews with students and faculty, to evaluate online education quality. The findings revealed both positive aspects and numerous areas needing improvement, highlighting the need for enhanced government practices, marketing strategies, and infrastructure in educational institutions. The analysis addressed management practices in learning systems, technology use, and facilities related to privacy and distraction. It emphasized the importance of a feedback process to enhance the program's quality. Simultaneously, Tasmania faces substantial growth challenges over the next 20 years due to climate change, industry job losses, land degradation, rising sea levels, and demographic shifts related to an aging population. Although modest population growth may lower vulnerability, it does not guarantee complete security. A sustainable growth strategy could position Tasmania to effectively capitalize on growth opportunities while addressing demographic changes. This research advocates for Tasmania as a leading “eco-state” that prioritizes industry development, employment, sustainability, and long-term prospects. With well-crafted strategic agendas, Tasmania's post-COVID-19 recovery could lay the groundwork for more robust and equitable growth.

Goal 3: Gender Equality

The commitment aims for gender equality and empowerment for women and girls by 2030, building on MDG 3 targets. This includes equal educational and employment opportunities, and access to leadership in politics and the community. Key targets are eliminating violence against women and harmful practices like early marriage. However, defining and measuring gender equality is more complex than eradicating poverty. Inequality hampers productivity and creativity, impoverishing society. The diverse effects of inequality complicate the development of gender equality as a quick solution. Many initiatives overlook women's realities and needs. An analytical framework assesses if SDG 5 will achieve its goals, defining equality within three spheres: 1) access to resources and opportunities as “cumulative advantage,” 2) agency and outputs as “reflexive control,” and 3) agency outcomes as “outcomes and impacts.” Achieving global gender equality is crucial due to widespread inequalities. Women's empowerment is recognized as vital for economic growth, yet gender disparities negatively impact development. Women face more barriers to jobs worldwide, and with poverty increasingly feminized, alleviation strategies must center on women. Critics argue that economic approaches to female empowerment oversimplify the issue. Women often experience exclusion and violence, especially in developing nations. While education and job access are vital for empowerment, they fall short of overcoming the significant structural barriers women encounter.

Goal 4: Climate Action

Climate change poses a significant threat to India's economic sustainability and development. The interaction between climate, environment, human development, and the economy has shaped India for decades. With projections of climate change impacting human development, both direct and indirect effects on livelihoods are evident. Over the past twenty years, India has taken steps to adapt to and mitigate climate change risks through comprehensive policies, action plans, and institutional development. Key measures for sustainable development include enhancing agriculture and water management, drought-proofing the economy, coastal protection, urban adaptation planning, and disaster preparedness. Recognizing the importance of integrated sub-national planning; efforts focus on vulnerability assessments and disaster risk management, targeting eight drought-affected states for climate adaptation program support. Significant changes in agriculture and water resource management are also essential due to climate shifts. Methods for sustainable water supply, improved efficiency, and new water sources are necessary. Options to counteract adverse climate impacts on agriculture include adjusting cropping patterns, changing planting dates, using short-duration varieties, mixed cropping, and increased irrigation. Afforestation provides multiple benefits for sustainable development alongside required changes in forest management for adaptive capacity. The adaptation assessment methodology by MEF is discussed concerning its suitability in India. Building awareness and the active participation of diverse partners is crucial for community capacity-building. Engaging various stakeholders in capacity-building, planning, and executing vulnerability assessments is vital for rural development initiatives, ensuring tenure security, income generation, and effective disaster preparedness.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

Table 2: Impact of CSR on Sustainable Development Goals in India

SDG Goal	CSR Focus Areas	CSR Contributions / Impact in India
Goal 1: No Poverty	• Poverty alleviation programs. • Access to clean water, sanitation, electricity, and nutrition. • Improving health and living standards. • Fairness and stakeholder coexistence.	• Companies invest in rural development, clean water projects, and healthcare services. • CSR projects address operational inefficiencies in delivering basic amenities. • Corporate role redefined to go beyond philanthropy towards accountability and sustainable community support.
Goal 2: Quality Education	• Promoting digital learning and infrastructure development. • Improving online education quality post-COVID-19. • Supporting teacher training and curriculum improvement. • Access to education for marginalized groups.	• CSR funding supports e-learning platforms, school infrastructure, and scholarships. • Enhanced focus on rural and underprivileged students. • Partnerships with NGOs and ed-tech firms to improve learning outcomes and reduce dropout rates.
Goal 3: Gender Equality	• Women's empowerment and leadership opportunities. • Elimination of violence and harmful practices. • Equal access to education and employment. • Addressing structural barriers to women's inclusion.	• CSR initiatives fund skill development programs for women. • Support for women entrepreneurs through microfinance. • Campaigns against gender-based violence. • Job creation targeted at marginalized women to reduce feminization of poverty.
Goal 4: Climate Action	• Climate change adaptation and mitigation. • Sustainable agriculture and water management. • Disaster preparedness and resilience building. • Afforestation and biodiversity conservation.	• CSR supports renewable energy projects, afforestation drives, and water conservation programs. • Training farmers in sustainable agricultural practices. • Investment in community-based climate adaptation plans and urban resilience initiatives. • Partnerships with environmental NGOs to scale green solutions.

Source: Author's Compilation

CHALLENGES FACED IN IMPLEMENTING CSR

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) embodies ethical behaviour grounded in universal values like social justice and human rights, relevant across cultures. Here, CSR is specifically defined as a voluntary action by companies to meet environmental standards exceeding legal requirements. Analyzing the influence of culture and globalization on CSR, it is important to differentiate between national and business cultures. Two significant trans-national CSR frameworks exist: the European Framework and the Anglo-Saxon Framework, each shaping CSR creation and implementation. These frameworks, while not exhaustive, can influence the survival of firms in post-communist nations; the European Framework offers more favourable conditions than the Anglo-Saxon one. In India, the idea of mandatory CSR spending and reporting faces criticism from industrialists and economists who argue it undermines a vibrant market economy. This criticism is valid for two main reasons: first, the practical implementation of mandatory CSR is challenging even for highly educated young professionals; second, social pressure and voluntary norms prove to be more effective than obligatory regulations. Additionally, many international organizations advocate for "soft norms" or voluntary standards over strict legal obligations.

The Effects of CSR on Sustainable Development

A large body of literature considers the effects of corporate social responsibility (CSR) on sustainability, which appears in several versions. Generally, they all consider the three pillars of sustainability: economic, environmental, and social (or societal). When performing analyses of CSR responsible businesses, it is better to apply an extended notion of sustainability, which consists of the four pillars of sustainability: economic, environmental, social, and institutional, where institutional sustainability covers the moral and ethical standards of businesses. All the pillars of sustainability must inherit the protected space of their quality to be considered as providing sustainability; sustainability is lost if any of the four pillars infringes such space. Businesses may act responsibly in terms of the three pillars of sustainability, or they can act irresponsibly even on the three other pillars of it.

Importance of Corporate Social Responsibility in the Indian Context

In India, as the economy globalizes, there is heightened emphasis on ethical and socially responsible businesses. Understanding corporations' roles in nation-building is crucial. Government initiatives can be more impactful when

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

businesses adopt socially responsible practices, ensuring that generated wealth benefits the communities and ecosystems involved. Legal requirements are now driving CSR practices, yet the number of companies actively engaged remains insufficient for the country's needs.

Measurement of Impact

The accounting liability denotes any reporting exposure leading to earnings charges. Corporate social responsibility (CSR) has emerged as a vital issue for corporations aiming for long-term sustainability. CSR involves businesses adopting ethical, social, and environmental policies that align with their operations' impacts. It highlights that companies should pursue genuine CSR commitments rather than superficial actions. They must influence government regulations and ensure that CSR reporting is meaningful and transparent, allowing stakeholders to hold companies accountable. A bottom-up approach through stakeholder feedback is essential for relevant CSR commitments. Companies should prioritize serious CSR metrics and develop transparent reporting systems for stakeholders to assess the effectiveness of initiatives. Awareness of potential manipulations in CSR strategies is crucial. Historically, India has engaged with CSR concepts well before the 21st century, addressing poverty, hunger, and terrorism within its CSR initiatives.

Regulatory Compliance

To implement stringent CSR policies, compliance issues must be addressed. Solutions include reducing compliance costs or enhancing benefits through enforcement mechanisms. Many companies view CSR as a cost burden rather than an investment. A transparent governance structure and compliance reporting are crucial, involving audits with the government via statutory auditors. Both mandatory and voluntary compliance must coexist to encourage CSR activities. Examples of less burdensome compliance include CSR spending indications in Form B and disclosures in Corporate Governance reports. Companies not complying with these mandates should face significant penalties. CSR is a duty, not a societal debt. Companies can report CSR activities, but failing to file should not equate to default. NGOs in the CSR sector should also adhere to mandated compliance. Compliance measures for larger federations could involve lowering thresholds and implementing significant penalties. Additionally, micro and small companies, generally exempt from CSR scrutiny, must be included in regulatory frameworks with incentives such as local development grants. Most importantly, reinstating a sense of ownership is essential, reflecting the true spirit of CSR in common law and long-standing human values.

CONCLUSION

The rise of CSR issues has made it a prominent topic in business literature, alongside globalization and ethics. CSR generally involves ensuring the business holds a responsible place in society, with trust being central to successful operations. It encompasses aspects such as corruption, human rights, labour practices, and skills development, with priorities varying based on stakeholder expectations, geography, and industry. In India, where businesses claim a strong history of social involvement, there is increasing pressure for greater corporate social responsibility, drawing interest from academics and policymakers to reassess CSR's growth in the country.

By examining CSR through an analytical lens, this study provides deeper insights into how corporate initiatives can move beyond token philanthropy and evolve into long-term, impact-driven strategies that contribute meaningfully to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The findings can guide policymakers in refining CSR regulations, ensuring that corporate contributions are not only compliant with legal mandates but also address pressing developmental priorities such as poverty reduction, education, healthcare, gender equality, and climate action. For businesses, the study underscores the potential of CSR to enhance brand reputation, strengthen stakeholder relationships, and foster innovation through sustainable practices. For civil society, it offers an evidence-based understanding of how corporate resources can be mobilized effectively to create inclusive growth.

REFERENCES

1. *"A Study on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in India" examines the issues and challenges faced by CSR practices in India, published in South Eastern European Journal of Public Health, pp. 1788–1796, 2024, https://doi.org/10.70135/seejph.vi.29631.*
2. *"Corporate social responsibility for a sustainable inclusive growth in India" analyzes CSR's role in sustainable and inclusive growth, Journal of Management and Development Studies, 25(1): 39–58, 2013, http://dx.doi.org/10.3126/jmds.v25i1.249362.*
3. *"Corporate social responsibility: A critical appraisal" critically evaluates CSR practices in India, World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 17(2): 421–429, 2023, http://dx.doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2023.17.2.02532.*
4. *"Corporate Social Responsibility Practices In Indian Corporate World: A Study" investigates CSR practices among Indian corporates, Indian Journal of Applied Research, 1(3): 139–142, 2011, http://dx.doi.org/10.15373/2249555x/dec2011/472.*
5. *"Evolution of corporate social responsibility: two sets of explanation" explores explanations for the evolution of CSR in India, Social Responsibility Journal, 16(8): 1341–1356, 2019, http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/srj-04-2019-01352.*
6. *"Corporate social responsibility practices in MSMEs in India" studies CSR in Indian MSMEs, International Journal of Research in Finance and Management, 4(1): 34–37, 2021, http://dx.doi.org/10.33545/26175754.2021.v4.i1a.942.*
7. *"CSR@ 2%: A NEW MODEL OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY IN INDIA" discusses the new CSR model under Indian law, American Journal of Economics and Business Management, 1(3): 56–66, 2018, http://dx.doi.org/10.31150/ajebm.vol1.iss3.312.*
8. *"Corporate Social Responsibility As a Business Strategy (With Special Reference to India)" examines CSR as a business strategy, Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education, 15(9): 77–80, 2018, http://dx.doi.org/10.29070/15/579202.*
9. *"A Study on Comparison of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Practices of Top Valuable Companies in India" compares CSR practices among India's top companies,*

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts, 13(4): c267, April 2025, https://www.ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT2504273.pdf3.

10. *"UNDERSTANDING AND COMMUNICATING THE CONCEPT OF CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY – A STUDY OF 23 INDIAN COMPANIES IN EASTERN INDIA" analyzes CSR communication in Indian firms, International Journal of Research -GRANTHAALAYAH, 4(6): 46 criteria, 2016, https://doi.org/10.29121/granthaalayah.v4.i6.2016.2635 4.*
11. *"Corporate Social Responsibility in India: An Overview" reviews the evolution and current status of CSR in India, The International Lawyer (SMU Law Review), 43(4): 1515–1538, https://scholar.smu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=13 17&context=til5.*
12. *"Corporate Social Responsibility in India: The Need of the Hour" discusses ethical issues and compliance in Indian companies, SSRN Electronic Journal, 2010, https://ssrn.com/abstract=20880396.*
13. *"Corporate Social Responsibility Practices in India: A Study of Top 500 Companies" explores CSR practices among top Indian companies, Global Business and Management Research, 2(1): 41–56, 2010, http://www.gbmrjournal.com/pdf/Gautam%20&%20Si ngh,%202010.pdf7.*
14. *Atkinson, A. B. (2015). Inequality: What can be done? Harvard University Press.*
15. *Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research and practice. International Journal of Management Reviews, 12(1), 85–105. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2009.00275.x*
16. *Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work. The Journal of Finance, 25(2), 383–417. https://doi.org/10.2307/2325486*
17. *Few, S. (2009). Now you see it: Simple visualization techniques for quantitative analysis. Analytics Press.*
18. *Morozov, E. (2013). To save everything, click here: The folly of technological solutionism. PublicAffairs.*
19. *Pasquale, F. (2015). The black box society: The secret algorithms that control money and information. Harvard University Press.*
20. *Piketty, T. (2014). Capital in the twenty-first century. Harvard University Press.*
21. *Sachs, J. D. (2005). The end of poverty: Economic possibilities for our time. Penguin Books.*
22. *Stiglitz, J. E. (2012). The price of inequality: How today's divided society endangers our future. W. W. Norton & Company.*
23. *Zarsky, T. Z. (2013). Transparent predictions. University of Illinois Law Review, 2013(4), 1503–1570.*