ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

NAVIGATING THE DIGITAL LANDSCAPE FOR PERSONS WITH VISUAL IMPAIRMENT

H. Lalrinhlui[1], S. Parween[2]

[1]Ph.D. Research Scholar, Faculty of Disability Management and Special Education
Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu,
ORCID Id: 0009-0004-0705-8560

[2]Assistant Professor, Faculty of Disability Management and Special Education, Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra23906
DOI No: 10.36713/epra23906

ABSTRACT

In today's fast-paced digital world, digital literacy is becoming increasingly crucial for all individuals with visual impairment. By enabling individuals to interact with, comprehend, and access digital information, digital literacy fosters social participation and autonomy. People with visual impairment encounter inaccessible digital interfaces, limited access to assistive devices, and insufficient inclusive design on well-known digital platforms. This narrative review investigates the literature and research on personalized instructional strategies, inclusive digital practices, and assistive technologies. The findings indicate significant disparities in access and participation, underscoring the necessity of evidence-based training and equity-focused initiatives.

KEYWORDS: *Digital Landscape, Digital Literacy, Visual Impairment, Digital Divide, Empowerment*

INTRODUCTION

The transition from the Information Age to the Digital Age has redefined how we engage with knowledge and information. This shift has given rise to the concept of digital literacy, which extends beyond the mere use of computers to encompass a broader set of skills necessary to navigate the modern technological landscape. As Becker (2018) noted, digital literacy now functions as a gateway to education, employment, social inclusion, and independent living. Dobson and Willinsky (2009) further emphasize that the digitization of information, from printed materials to online platforms, has transformed how individuals learn, work, and communicate in the 21st century.

While digital technology offers a wealth of opportunities, it also brings forth substantial barriers for individuals with visual impairments. These barriers are not merely technological but deeply rooted in social structures, educational policies, and digital design standards that often fail to accommodate their unique needs. Persons with visual impairment constitute a heterogeneous group with varying degrees and types of functional vision loss, each requiring specific forms of support. As outlined by Venkatesan (2004), visual impairment may be physical, sensory, cognitive, psychological, or even invisible, highlighting the need for diverse and adaptable digital literacy solutions.

Despite the rapid expansion of digital tools and online resources, many people with visual impairment are systematically excluded from participating fully in the digital space. This exclusion is exacerbated by what researchers term the "digital divide", a term referring to disparities in access to and effective use of digital technologies. Chinner et al. (2017) argue that such disparities can have far-reaching consequences, leading to inequalities in education, employment, and social engagement. Inaccessible website designs, inadequate support for assistive technology, and a general lack of awareness about inclusive practices among developers all contribute to this persistent gap.

However, the solution lies not only in the development of better technology but also in the implementation of targeted and inclusive digital literacy initiatives. These should focus on equipping persons with visual impairment with the necessary skills and confidence to navigate digital environments independently. According to Harris et al. (1998), structured, supportive learning environments that emphasize task reduction, role modeling, and reinforcement can significantly enhance the digital capabilities of students with disabilities. Both educators and parents play a pivotal role in encouraging positive attitudes and fostering digital skill development among learners with visual impairments.

The urgency of bridging the digital divide has become even more pronounced in light of the increasing reliance on digital tools in all spheres of life, from virtual classrooms to telehealth platforms and e-governance services. Ensuring equitable digital access for persons with visual impairment is no longer a matter of optional inclusion; it is a matter of social justice. As Crammer (2021) rightly asserts, inclusive digital literacy is foundational for empowering individuals with visual impairments and enabling their full participation in a digitally driven world.

Thus, this review sets out to analyze the existing body of literature on digital literacy for persons with visual impairment,

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

to identify prevailing challenges, showcase promising practices, and recommend future directions. By centering the voices and experiences of persons with visual impairment, it aims to influence policy, design, and pedagogy in ways that foster a more inclusive digital future.

The Digital Divide: Structural Barriers to Access

Despite the technological strides made in recent decades, the gap between those who can fully engage with digital tools and those who cannot remains significant, especially for persons with visual impairment. This phenomenon, widely recognized as the "digital divide," is not merely a matter of unequal access to devices or internet connectivity; it also reflects disparities in digital literacy, skill acquisition, platform accessibility, and the availability of assistive technologies. For individuals with visual impairments, the consequences of this divide are far-reaching, affecting education, employment, healthcare access, and social participation.

According to Chiner et al. (2017), individuals with visual impairment are often excluded from digital spaces due to poor design choices that disregard accessibility guidelines. Many mainstream websites and apps do not support screen readers or offer alternatives for non-visual navigation. Images without alt-text, complex visual layouts, and interactive forms without keyboard compatibility are just a few examples of barriers. These challenges are compounded by the lack of standardized accessibility protocols across platforms and service providers.

Moreover, the cost of assistive technology presents another formidable hurdle. Tools such as braille displays, screen magnifiers, and refreshable braille devices are often prohibitively expensive, especially in low-income and rural settings. Financial constraints further widen the gap between those who can afford to adopt digital technologies and those who cannot. In addition, many educational institutions and employers are either unaware of or unprepared to implement accessible digital solutions, which restricts opportunities for persons with visual impairment to learn, work, and participate fully in society.

Compounding these material limitations are logistical and infrastructural challenges. As Scanlan (2022) observed, persons with disabilities, including those with visual impairment, face additional burdens when trying to access public internet facilities. Physical barriers, such as inaccessible transportation, a lack of trained support staff, or environments not designed for independent navigation, prevent equal participation. Meanwhile, people without disabilities typically enjoy seamless access to digital environments, reinforcing structural inequities.

Compaine (2001) contends that efforts to close the digital divide must go beyond simply providing internet access. True digital equity demands a multifaceted strategy that includes policy reform, inclusive design, affordable technology, and training programs tailored to the needs of persons with disabilities. Without such efforts, the promise of the digital age will remain unrealized for millions of individuals who are systemically excluded due to their visual impairments.

Historical Milestones in Technology and Accessibility for Persons with Disabilities

To fully understand the current challenges and opportunities in digital literacy for persons with visual impairment, it is essential to look at the historical development of assistive technologies and accessibility efforts. The journey toward digital inclusion has been long and complex, marked by key innovations and advocacy efforts that have shaped the landscape of access.

The story begins in the early 19th century when Italian inventor Pellegrino Turri created the first typewriter in 1808 for a visually impaired friend. This was one of the earliest known attempts to enhance written communication for persons with visual impairment. Fast forward to 1890, Herman Hollerith, who had a cognitive processing disability, devised a punch card system for data tabulation during the U.S. census, an invention that eventually led to the formation of IBM. His innovations were a stepping stone toward modern computing and data processing.

In the 1960s, assistive technologies began to evolve more rapidly. Tools such as screen readers and braille devices emerged, offering new modes of access for individuals with vision loss. Devices like the Optacon (Optical-to-Tactile Converter) and Telesensory products provided tactile representations of printed text, allowing blind users to interpret visual information through touch. These early breakthroughs laid the groundwork for more sophisticated solutions in later years.

During the 1970s, accessibility technologies diversified to accommodate people with different types of impairments. Adaptive input devices, including alternative keyboards, joysticks, and switch systems, enabled individuals with motor or mobility challenges to interact with computers. Although these devices were not always targeted specifically at persons with visual impairment, they signaled an increasing recognition of the need for inclusive technology design.

The 1980s marked a transformative period in digital accessibility. The rise of graphical user interfaces (GUIs) posed new challenges, but it also catalyzed the development of software like screen readers and speech recognition systems. The emergence of commercial screen readers, such as JAWS (Job Access With Speech), helped persons with visual impairment access Windows-based systems and the internet for the first time.

The passing of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) in 1990 was another landmark event. This legislation mandated equal access to public services and technologies, triggering a wave of improvements in accessible software, hardware, and infrastructure. The ADA's impact extended beyond U.S. borders, setting a precedent for other countries to adopt similar policies.

In the early 2000s, innovations in mobile technology brought about a new era of portable accessibility. Devices like smartphones and tablets, equipped with voice control, haptic feedback, and magnification features, enable users with visual impairment to perform everyday tasks independently. Touchscreen technology and gesture-based inputs were adapted

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

to work with accessibility software such as VoiceOver on iOS and TalkBack on Android.

The 2010s saw the proliferation of wearable technologies and immersive interfaces. Smartwatches, head-mounted displays, and devices using augmented and virtual reality opened new possibilities in education, rehabilitation, and navigation. These tools expanded the sensory experiences available to persons with visual impairment and improved their mobility and spatial awareness.

By the 2020s, attention turned toward universal design and AI-driven personalization. The integration of artificial intelligence into mainstream platforms enabled adaptive interfaces that respond to individual user needs. Smart assistants, real-time transcription, and personalized learning environments became more widespread, enhancing accessibility in educational and professional settings.

Each milestone represents a step toward greater inclusion, but they also reveal how innovation must be guided by inclusive thinking from the start. The history of technology shows that when accessibility is an afterthought, progress can be uneven and exclusionary. By learning from the past, we can build a more equitable digital future.

Digital Literacy for Persons with Visual Impairment: Concepts, Challenges, and Opportunities

In the digital era, literacy has transcended its traditional definition of reading and writing to encompass a broad range of technological competencies. Digital literacy refers to the ability to locate, interpret, evaluate, create, and communicate information using digital technologies. According to Gilster (1997), digital literacy encompasses a multifaceted skill set that includes web navigation, critical analysis of online content, secure digital behavior, and the creative use of digital tools. For persons with visual impairment, digital literacy holds particular significance as it enables autonomy, facilitates inclusion, and improves access to education, employment, and social participation.

However, digital literacy among persons with visual impairment must be understood within the framework of accessibility. Unlike their sighted peers, visually impaired individuals rely on specialized assistive technologies and adaptive strategies to access digital content. These include screen readers, braille displays, screen magnifiers, speech recognition software, and voice command tools. Although these tools empower individuals to participate more fully in the digital world, they are not always compatible with mainstream websites, apps, and educational platforms. Consequently, digital spaces often remain only partially accessible or entirely unusable for persons with visual impairment.

The challenges they face are multi-layered. First, technical barriers such as incompatible software and non-responsive digital interfaces limit access. Many websites still lack proper semantic structuring, alt-text for images, or logical tabbing order all of which are essential for screen reader navigation. Even when accessibility features are available, they may not be well-documented or easy to use without prior training. This presents a significant hurdle for individuals who are just beginning to develop their digital skills.

Second, economic barriers hinder access to essential assistive devices and training programs. Many screen readers, braille notetakers, and adaptive hardware come at a high cost, making them unaffordable for individuals in low-income settings or under-resourced educational institutions. Without financial support or subsidized access, persons with visual impairment may struggle to keep up with technological advancements, further deepening the digital divide.

Third, social and educational factors also impact digital literacy. Lack of exposure to digital tools during early education, insufficient teacher training, and limited curriculum integration all contribute to lower levels of digital competence among visually impaired students. According to Arslantas and Gul (2022), while many university students with visual impairments possess strong technical skills, they often struggle with social communication and content creation due to insufficient guidance and practice in those areas.

Moreover, prevailing societal attitudes may inadvertently reinforce dependency or understate the digital potential of persons with visual impairment. Misconceptions regarding their capabilities often result in exclusion from training opportunities or job placements that require digital proficiency. In this context, it becomes crucial to challenge stereotypes and advocate for inclusive education policies and public awareness initiatives.

Despite these obstacles, digital literacy represents a powerful tool for empowerment. As Caton and Chapman (2016) emphasize, tailored training programs that incorporate visual aids, interactive modules, and individualized learning approaches can significantly enhance learning outcomes for persons with visual impairment. These programs must be adapted to various levels of visual ability and incorporate flexible teaching strategies that combine auditory, tactile, and kinesthetic learning modalities.

Luft et al. (2009) also highlight the importance of equipping educators with the skills necessary to support digitally inclusive classrooms. Training for teachers should include the use of accessible communication tools such as captioned video conferencing, screen sharing with descriptive narration, and virtual learning environments that accommodate diverse user needs. When educators themselves are digitally literate and accessibility-aware, they can become powerful enablers of change in the lives of their students.

Digital literacy should also be viewed as a spectrum that ranges from basic operational skills to advanced creative and critical thinking abilities. For persons with visual impairment, functional digital literacy includes activities such as sending and receiving emails, browsing the internet safely, using digital calendars and reminders, accessing e-books or audio content, and creating documents with accessibility features. As users advance in their digital journey, they can explore content creation, data management, social networking, and advocacy through digital platforms.

Importantly, digital illiteracy or the lack of basic digital skills poses a particular threat to marginalized populations. Datta et al. (2018) note that persons with visual impairment who lack access to digital literacy training face greater risks of social

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

isolation, unemployment, and information poverty. This underscores the need for inclusive digital education that goes beyond technical instruction to foster confidence, self-advocacy, and critical media literacy.

In addition, digital literacy has the potential to strengthen the self-efficacy of persons with visual impairment. Mastering digital tools contributes to a sense of competence, independence, and control over one's environment. As these individuals gain confidence in their ability to access services, engage with peers, and advocate for their rights, they become better positioned to navigate societal structures that were previously inaccessible.

To bridge the gap in digital literacy, training programs must be inclusive, affordable, and context-sensitive. Programs should begin early in a learner's education and evolve alongside their needs and the changing digital landscape. Instructional strategies must be differentiated to reflect varying levels of visual ability, language proficiency, and prior digital exposure. Furthermore, the inclusion of peer mentoring and community-based learning models can provide emotional support and motivation, especially for first-time learners.

Ultimately, fostering digital literacy for persons with visual impairment is not solely a technological or pedagogical issue, it is a human rights imperative. It involves ensuring that every individual has the tools, resources, and support to participate fully in the digital age, regardless of their physical abilities. By addressing the systemic barriers and building on inclusive practices, digital literacy can become a catalyst for empowerment, equity, and lifelong learning.

Methodology: Framework for Narrative Review

This narrative review employs a qualitative approach to synthesize existing literature related to digital literacy and its impact on persons with visual impairment. The aim is to understand the state of digital inclusion, identify critical barriers and opportunities, and propose meaningful interventions. The review integrates diverse scholarly sources, including journal articles, books, policy reports, and conference proceedings, to form a comprehensive understanding of the subject.

A rigorous selection process was followed to identify relevant materials. Online databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, PsycINFO, and PubMed were systematically searched using keywords including "digital literacy," "visual impairment," "assistive technology," "inclusive education," and "accessibility." A total of 196 publications were selected based on their relevance, originality, methodological robustness, and alignment with the core themes of the review. Only those written in English and published under recognized identifiers such as International Standard Serial Numbers (ISSN) and International Standard Book Numbers (ISBN) were considered. Non-scholarly content, such as newsletters, opinion pieces, unpublished dissertations, and non-peer-reviewed materials, was excluded to maintain academic rigor.

To ensure reliability in data collection and thematic coding, inter-observer validation was conducted. Two independent reviewers coded at least 25% of the sample using a predefined framework. The inter-rater reliability was high ($r = 0.94$), indicating consistent agreement in the interpretation of content. This approach minimized personal bias and enhanced the objectivity of the analysis.

Data analysis was carried out using thematic synthesis and supported by the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to ensure transparency and replicability. Cohen's effect size analysis was used, where applicable, to quantify the strength of the findings. SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) software facilitated statistical tests and data visualization. Results were categorized into harvest plots based on publication type, date of publication, methodological approach, and thematic focus.

Ethical considerations guided the research process. Efforts were made to include literature representing diverse geographic and cultural perspectives to avoid ethnocentric biases. Additionally, particular attention was paid to publications that addressed the intersectionality of disability, education, and technology, especially in underrepresented communities.

Findings: Summary of Key Insights

The review of literature reveals that while technological progress has expanded digital opportunities globally, the benefits are not being distributed equitably, especially for persons with visual impairment. Despite an increasing availability of assistive tools and a growing awareness of inclusive education, systemic and structural barriers continue to restrict digital participation for many in this population.

The most critical finding is that persons with visual impairment are disproportionately affected by the digital divide, which stems not only from physical inaccessibility and financial hardship but also from institutional inertia and a lack of inclusive design in mainstream technologies. Websites, mobile applications, educational portals, and workplace platforms often fail to comply with accessibility guidelines, making them inaccessible or only partially usable by individuals relying on screen readers or braille interfaces.

Training programs tailored specifically to the needs of persons with visual impairment have shown promise. Customized learning environments that emphasize hands-on practice, peer support, and modular content delivery tend to produce better learning outcomes. However, the literature suggests that such programs are few and often lack scalability. There is a pressing need to integrate these approaches into national education systems and teacher training frameworks.

Another consistent theme is the correlation between digital literacy and self-efficacy. As individuals acquire digital skills, their confidence, independence, and agency improve. This empowerment allows persons with visual impairment to not only access services and information but also participate meaningfully in academic, professional, and social spaces. In this way, digital literacy is more than a technical competence; it is a foundation for inclusion, equity, and self-determination.

Ethical Implications in the Digital Age

While the promotion of digital literacy for persons with visual impairment is widely endorsed, it also raises several ethical concerns that must be addressed to ensure safe, respectful, and inclusive implementation. One of the central concerns revolves around the affordability and availability of assistive technology.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

Many essential tools, such as advanced screen readers, braille displays, and audio navigation software, are expensive and unavailable in low-resource settings. This raises ethical questions about equity and fairness: who has access to digital inclusion, and who is left behind?

The growing use of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning in digital tools further complicates the landscape. While AI-based applications like personalized learning environments or smart assistants can enhance user experience, they may also inadvertently marginalize users with visual impairment if not designed inclusively from the start. Moreover, reliance on opaque algorithms may result in outcomes that are difficult for users to interpret or contest, undermining trust and autonomy.

A related issue is the digital safety of persons with visual impairment. As vulnerable internet users, they may be more susceptible to risks such as identity theft, phishing, cyberbullying, and exploitation. Their reliance on third-party tools to interpret content or execute commands increases their exposure to surveillance and data breaches. Ethical literacy must therefore be a component of digital literacy training, equipping individuals to protect their digital identities and make informed decisions online.

Joamets and Chochia (2021) emphasize the need for inclusive legal frameworks that safeguard the digital rights of persons with disabilities. These include the rights to privacy, freedom of expression, data protection, and equal participation in digital economies. Legal consent mechanisms, for example, must be designed to accommodate various cognitive and sensory abilities to ensure that all users can engage with digital services ethically and independently.

Furthermore, diversity in digital content creation and platform governance is essential. Persons with disabilities should be involved not just as consumers but as contributors and decision-makers in the design and development of technology. Their lived experiences can inform more inclusive systems and challenge ableist assumptions embedded in digital infrastructures.

Finally, it is important to recognize that accessibility is not merely a technical issue but a human rights imperative. As stated by the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD), persons with disabilities must enjoy full access to information, communication, and technology on an equal basis with others. Upholding this right demands intentional efforts from governments, tech developers, educators, and civil society to dismantle the structural barriers that limit digital inclusion.

In conclusion, the ethical dimension of digital literacy for persons with visual impairment calls for a multi-pronged response, one that addresses affordability, safety, privacy, participation, and dignity. As digital environments become increasingly integral to modern life, ensuring their inclusivity is a matter not just of innovation but of justice.

Towards the Future Paths: Advancing Digital Literacy for Persons with Visual Impairment

Looking ahead, the future of digital literacy for persons with visual impairment offers immense promise, but realizing this potential requires planning, cross-sector collaboration, and an unwavering commitment to inclusion. The coming years will likely be defined by continued innovation in artificial intelligence (AI), adaptive technologies, and universal design principles. These trends can significantly improve digital access and empower persons with visual impairment to participate fully in all aspects of life.

One of the most transformative developments lies in the evolution of deep learning (DL) and intelligent systems that personalize the user experience. Interactive educational platforms powered by AI can be tailored to meet the learning preferences, pace, and needs of individual users. For persons with visual impairment, this could mean intelligent screen readers that adjust narration speed based on user comfort, or learning management systems that automatically reformat content for accessibility. As Anisimova (2020) suggests, equipping educators and learners with foundational knowledge in animation, game-based learning, programming basics, and networking will be essential to keep pace with future digital demands.

Liu et al. (2020) emphasize the need for new educational paradigms that seamlessly integrate assistive technologies into pedagogical models. The traditional one-size-fits-all approach is inadequate; instead, flexible, modular, and learner-centered strategies should be adopted. Adaptive learning platforms, those that adjust content delivery based on continuous feedback, hold particular promise for building confidence and competence among learners with visual impairment.

Advancements in assistive technology are expected to play a pivotal role in reducing barriers. Future innovations may include enhanced voice-controlled systems, AI-driven braille translation tools, wearable guidance devices, and even real-time object recognition software that allows users to interpret visual surroundings. Eye-tracking devices and gesture-based commands, already in early use, could become more refined, enabling more intuitive navigation of digital environments.

Moreover, inclusive design must become the standard rather than the exception. This involves embedding accessibility into the DNA of all digital products and services. Features like keyboard navigation, customizable text-to-speech settings, and tactile feedback should be baseline requirements, not optional add-ons. Development teams must adopt user-testing models that actively include persons with visual impairment to ensure that products meet real-world accessibility needs.

In tandem, policy reform and institutional support are needed to institutionalize accessibility. Governments, educational institutions, and corporate organizations must develop and enforce digital accessibility standards. Funding must be allocated for the procurement and maintenance of assistive technologies, training of educators, and curriculum development that includes digital literacy components relevant to students with disabilities.

Online communities and peer networks will also become vital pillars of support. Virtual forums, collaborative platforms, and social media groups can serve as hubs where persons with visual impairment share experiences, offer technical tips, and engage in advocacy. These digital spaces provide a sense of

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 8| August 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

belonging and empowerment, encouraging users to develop their skills in a supportive, judgment-free environment.

Global advocacy for digital inclusion will likely intensify. Organizations working in disability rights, education, and technology must join forces to raise awareness of the digital divide and influence global standards. As Buckingham (2015) notes, sustainable change occurs when digital inclusion is treated as a public responsibility and woven into national development goals and educational policies.

Looking toward the future, Sa et al. (2021) envision a digital landscape that is not only more accessible but also more equitable, creative, and collaborative. However, achieving this vision requires a holistic strategy that includes infrastructure, training, content diversity, user-friendly interfaces, and robust legal protections. Bridging the digital divide is not solely about technological innovation—it is about ensuring dignity, equity, and opportunity for all.

In sum, the path forward calls for a collective commitment to building digital environments where persons with visual impairment are not merely accommodated but fully empowered. This will involve continued research, user-centered innovation, accessible education, and proactive policymaking. If we succeed in these efforts, digital literacy can truly become a transformative tool, enabling persons with visual impairment not only to find their way through the digital world but to lead confidently within it.

Disclosure statement

The authors report there are no competing interests to declare

REFERENCES

1. *Ali, A., Raza, A. A., & Qazi, I. A. (2023). Validated digital literacy measures for populations with low levels of internet experience. Development Engineering, 8: 100107.*
2. *Alkali, Y. E., & Amichai-Hamburger, Y. (2004). Experiments in digital literacy. CyberPsychology & Behaviour, 7(4): 421 429.*
3. *Bawden, D. & Robinson, L. (2002). Promoting literacy in a digital age: approaches to training for information literacy, Learned Publishing, 15(4): 297–301,*
4. *Darvishy, A., Eröcal, D., & Manning, J. (2019). Delivering together for inclusive development: digital access to Information and knowledge for persons with disabilities. Paris, France: UNESCO Publishing.*
5. *Eshet, Y. (2004). Digital literacy: A conceptual framework for survival skills in the digital era. Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia, 13(1): 93-106.*
6. *Farias-Gaytan, S., Aguaded, I., & Ramirez-Montoya, M. S. (2022). Transformation and digital literacy: Systematic literature mapping. Education and Information Technologies, 27(2): 1417-1437.*
7. *Fernando, J. G., & Jain, S. K. (2022). Digital illiteracy of teachers and its impact on online learning. Technoarete Transactions on Applications of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) in Education, 1(3): 1-6.*
8. *Gahlot, A., & Gahlot, S. (2020). Changing the state of literacy in the Digital Age in India. EPiC Series in Education Science, 3: 98-107.*
9. *Hargittai, E. (2005). Survey measures of web-oriented digital literacy. Social Science Computer Review, 23(3): 371 379.*
10. *Hutton, R. S. (1997). Computers and the child with special learning needs: Salvation or sham? Computers in New Zealand, 9 (2): 19-23.*
11. *Ibraimkulov, A., Khalikova, K., Yerimbetova, A., & Gromaszek, K. (2022). Enhancement of digital literacy of students with disabilities. European Journal of Contemporary Education, 11(2); 388-407. Irfan, T., & Salam, M. T. (2020).*
12. *Kaarvan Crafts Foundation: Embracing digital literacy for women empowerment. Emerald Emerging Markets Case Studies, 10(4): 1-34.*
13. *Isin, E., & Ruppert, E. (2015). Being digital citizens. London: RLI.*
14. *Jaison, J. (2020). The impact of technology in 2020. XRDS: Crossroads, The ACM Magazine for Students, 27(2): 74 76.*
15. *Julien, H. (2018). Digital literacy in theory and practice. In Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, 4th Edition (pp. 2243-2252). IGI Global.*
16. *Kaeophanuek, S., Na-Songkhla, J., & Nilsook, P. (2018). How to enhance digital literacy skills among information sciences students. International Journal of Information and Education Technology, 8(4): 292-297.*
17. *Karagul, I. B., Seker, M., & Aykut, C. (2021). Investigating students' digital literacy levels during online education due to COVID-19 pandemic. Sustainability, 13(21): 11878.*
18. *Nawaz, A., & Kundi, G. M. (2010). Digital literacy: An analysis of the contemporary paradigms. Journal of Science and Technology Education Research, 1(2): 19-29.*