ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 10| October 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

mediation, so the reform is badly needed. The mediation comes with great benefits such as faster cases, less pressure on the court, less cost to the taxpayer and the state, as well as better relations between the tax authorities and the people. This strategy can also help to develop increased voluntary compliance and improve the confidence of citizens in the tax system.

The study is useful in giving insights into how legal, economic, and institutional changes can be improved by analysing international practices and evaluating their applicability in the Ukrainian context. Moreover, the adoption of mediation will put Ukraine in line with the overall European and international practices in dispute resolution. It discusses the various ways to settle such disputes through litigation, arbitration, and mediation, and how they foster cooperative or competitive relations.

The article contrasts them to determine the most optimal approaches in balancing the interests of the state and fairness that will benefit policymakers and scholars to incorporate alternative dispute resolution within the international tax law.

1.2 RESEARCH QUESTIONS

A. What are the key challenges in implementing mediation mechanisms in tax matters?
B. What legal and institutional reforms are needed to strengthen mediation in taxation in India?
C. How do taxpayers and tax authorities perceive the use of mediation in resolving tax disputes?

1.3 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

A. To explore the concept and legal framework of mediation in taxation matters in India.
B. To analyse the role of tax authorities, taxpayers, and mediators in the mediation process.
C. To identify the key challenges and limitations in implementing mediation in tax disputes.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Taxmann (2025) explained the Mediation in Taxation Matters: Emerging Possibilities. Mediation as an emerging alternative to traditional tax dispute resolution in India. It emphasises that the current system is often time-consuming, costly, and adversarial, leading to strained relationships between taxpayers and authorities. Mediation offers a collaborative, confidential, and efficient approach where a neutral third party helps both sides reach a mutually acceptable solution. The piece suggests that adopting mediation in tax matters can improve compliance, reduce litigation backlog, and promote trust in the tax system.

Bombay Chamber of Commerce and Industry (BCCI) (2025) highlights the growing backlog in India's tax dispute system, with over INR 29.8 lakh crores tied up in litigation. Existing mechanisms like the DRC and DRP have had limited success, prompting a call for mediation as a time-bound, cost-effective, and trust-building alternative. Drawing on international models from the UK, the US, and Australia, the Chamber proposes a pilot mediation program, especially for transfer pricing cases. Key features include independence, confidentiality, binding settlements, and quick resolution. While promising, challenges such as legal enforceability and case selection remain, signalling the need for further empirical and legal research.

Bhumesh Verma (2020) argues that while India's faceless tax assessments aim to improve transparency, they don't fully address taxpayer distrust or reduce litigation. They propose mediation as a complementary tool to resolve disputes early and efficiently. Drawing from international practices in the UK, US, and EU, the authors emphasise that mediation can reduce delays, promote compliance, and ease judicial burdens. Key concerns include mediator neutrality, legal enforceability, and integration into the existing tax framework.

Maia (2024) explores the potential of mediation to transform tax dispute resolution by fostering trust and open communication between taxpayers and tax authorities. Drawing on lessons from the V SIFRA seminar, she highlights mediation's effectiveness in reducing the burden of tax litigation and creating a safe environment for constructive dialogue. Maia emphasises the importance of mediators in bridging gaps between parties with differing attitudes, such as resistance or collaboration, and in translating complex tax language into clear, understandable terms. This approach not only facilitates empathy but also supports more informed decision-making and mutually acceptable solutions.

Natalia Quiñones, Ubaldo González de Frutos, and Edson Uribe (2025) examine various methods of international dispute resolution, including mediation, arbitration, and MAP. Although MAP is an important tool, its shortcomings, particularly in developing nations, lead the authors to discuss mediation as an option to this tool, which is flexible, cost-efficient, and dialogue-driven. The mediation is introduced as especially effective during the initial conflict stages to avoid its escalation. The report emphasises the importance of structural support, legalisation, and empowering capabilities to integrate mediation more broadly into the international tax system.

Carl Islam (2014) explores mediation as an effective alternative to litigation in resolving tax disputes in the UK. The guide highlights mediation's benefits, such as cost efficiency, confidentiality, and the potential to preserve professional relationships. It outlines the mediation process, emphasising the mediator's neutral role and the importance of collaboration between parties. Islam also discusses challenges like power imbalances and the need for specialised knowledge in tax law. Through practical examples, the guide advocates for wider use of mediation to achieve faster, mutually agreeable resolutions in tax conflicts.

Roelof Vos (2014) examines the use of mediation in the resolution of tax disputes in the Netherlands, where, increasingly since 2005, it has served as a means of alternative dispute resolution. Mediation offers the benefits of cost savings, faster resolution, and maintenance of good relations between taxpayers and tax administrations. The Dutch Tax Authorities filter cases for mediability and assign trained mediators to facilitate settlements. While mediation is effective in the

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 10| October 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

majority of cases, it is less suitable for criminal cases or where precedents are needed. Vos highlights that mediation can transform tax conflict resolution from being adversarial to an element of collaboration, but the application is still challenging.

Cabinet David Advocaten (2021) discusses the Belgian tax mediation system, established in 2007 to reduce court disputes between taxpayers and tax authorities. It explains how mediation provides a faster, less adversarial way to resolve tax conflicts, emphasising confidentiality and voluntary participation. The article also highlights challenges, such as the non-binding nature of mediation outcomes and potential conflicts of interest when the tax administration acts as both mediator and party. Overall, mediation is presented as an effective alternative to litigation in tax matters, helping improve taxpayer relations and reduce legal burdens.

Lana L. Arzumanova (2021) examines the emerging use of mediation in tax disputes in Russia following legal reforms in 2019 that allowed its application in public law. The article highlights the first successful mediation case in tax at the end of 2020, marking a shift in how disputes can be resolved. Arzumanova outlines both the benefits, such as reduced litigation and improved taxpayer relations and the challenges, including lack of experience, data, and institutional readiness. The study also compares Russia's approach with international practices, suggesting that mediation can become a valuable alternative if supported by legal and procedural clarity.

3. MEDIATION PROCESS IN TAX DISPUTES

Tax disputes mediation is a structured yet flexible alternative to litigation, where a third-party impartial facilitator assists the revenue authority and the taxpayer in coming to terms. The process typically involves the following significant steps:

a) Initiation of Mediation: Mediation is commenced where either the tax authority and taxpayer, or either of them, opts for mediation. It is usually commenced by way of writing, where the reason for the dispute and willingness to try an agreed solution are expressed**.**

b) Test of Suitability and Consent: Both parties will need to consent freely to mediation. Historically, the tax authority would determine whether the case was suitable for mediation, excluding, for instance, criminal cases or the determination of legal precedent.

c) Appointment of a Mediator: A formally trained, unbiased mediator is typically appointed, who also holds a certificate from an external professional body or is internal to the tax department's mediation team. The mediator will be confidential and impartial at all times.

d) Preparation Before Mediation: The mediator will go through case files and may arrange for initial meetings to clarify, establish ground rules, and establish expectations. Confidentiality agreements are typically signed at this point. In the event of success, a settlement agreement is prepared, normally with legally binding force, subject to jurisdiction.

g) Conclusion of the Process: In the event there is a settlement, then the dispute is resolved and the settlement is enforced. If not, parties may go to the court or use other avenues of formal appeals.

4. TYPICAL STAGES OF TAX MEDIATION

Tax mediation is a dispute resolution procedure employed to resolve disputes between taxpayers and tax authorities outside of court. Tax mediation is a voluntary, confidential, and non-binding procedure conducted by an impartial third party, or mediator, who enables both parties to communicate successfully and arrive at a mutually satisfactory agreement. Tax mediation is frequently faster, less formal, and cheaper than litigation. The process usually adheres to a formal set of steps, each one having been created to facilitate understanding, cooperation, and resolution of the tax conflict. The four common stages of tax mediation:

1. **Pre-Mediation Preparation:** The first step in the mediation process for tax disputes. It is activated when a tax dispute occurs, usually as a result of an audit, reassessment, or disagreement on a tax liability. In this phase, either the tax authority or the taxpayer can suggest mediation as opposed to litigation. Both sides have to consent voluntarily to go through mediation. Once they agree, a neutral and impartial mediator is selected, typically from an approved panel. This phase is concerned with establishing the basis for a joint and confidential solution process.

2. **Preparation Stage:** The Preparation Stage follows once both parties have agreed to enter mediation. During this phase, the taxpayer and the tax authority gather and organise all relevant documents, facts, and legal arguments related to the dispute. They may also submit written summaries of their positions to the mediator in advance. This stage often includes a preliminary meeting or communication with the mediator to clarify the issues, outline expectations, and confirm the rules and procedures of the mediation. A formal **Agreement to Mediate** is usually signed, setting the terms for confidentiality and the mediator's role. Proper preparation at this stage is essential for a focused and productive mediation session.
3. **Mediation Session (Negotiation & Dialogue):** The Mediation Session is the central phase of the tax mediation process, where both parties meet, often in a neutral setting under the guidance of the mediator. The session typically begins with opening statements from each party, where they outline their views and the key issues in dispute. The mediator then facilitates dialogue, helping the parties communicate openly, clarify misunderstandings, and explore possible solutions. During the session, the mediator may also hold private meetings (caucuses) with each party to better understand their interests and encourage compromise. The aim is to guide both sides toward a mutually acceptable resolution of the tax dispute. This stage relies heavily on good faith negotiation, openness to settlement, and a willingness to find common ground.

4. **Post-Mediation Stage:** The Post-Mediation Stage occurs after the mediation session concludes. If the parties reach an agreement, the terms are documented in writing—often in the form of a settlement agreement. Both the taxpayer

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 10| October 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

and the tax authority then take the necessary steps to implement the resolution, which may involve payment adjustments, revised tax assessments, or other agreed actions. If no agreement is reached, the mediation ends without resolution, and the dispute may proceed to formal processes such as administrative appeal or litigation. Regardless of the outcome, the mediation remains confidential, and nothing discussed during the session can be used as evidence in future proceedings. This stage marks either the successful conclusion of the dispute or the transition to further legal action.

5. THE ROLE OF TAX AUTHORITIES, TAXPAYERS AND MEDIATORS

The tax mediation process is one form of alternative dispute resolution (ADR) that is utilised to settle conflicts between taxpayers and tax authorities without having to pursue prolonged and expensive litigation. Each of the involved stakeholders here, such as tax authorities, taxpayers, and mediators, has its own special and crucial functions for this process to succeed.

1. Role of Tax Authorities: During the course of mediation, tax authorities are institutionally representative authorities responsible for upholding tax compliance within the parameters of fairness and due process demands. Their role is to facilitate the promotion of the government's case, facilitate relevant information, and negotiate within legal confines. Additionally, tax authorities have to balance maintaining fiscal integrity with being solution-oriented and flexible in approach in the mediation process. Their inclination to participate in effective dialogue often determines the direction of the mediation process.

2. Role of Taxpayers: Taxpayers approach mediation expecting that issues of tax assessment, penalty, or process will be resolved. They have the role of forthrightly bringing out their complaints, substantiating them with evidence, and joining in good faith to reach a consensus. Joining in good faith involves taxpayers being informed about their rights and obligations and openly approaching the process. By mediation, taxpayers can evade extensive litigation in courts, minimise the costs of compliance, and maintain their working relationship with the tax authorities.

3. Role of Mediators: Mediators are impartial third-party facilitators who promote negotiations and dialogue between the tax authority and the taxpayer. Their function is to provide neutrality, confidentiality, and closure to the process. Mediators facilitate the conversation, clear misconceptions, and prompt both parties to devise innovative solutions within the framework of tax law. Although mediators themselves do not decide cases, they rely on their conflict resolution, tax law, and negotiation skills to bring a fair and successful resolution.

Mediation is reliant on the collective co-operation of all three parties. The tax authorities need to be firm yet open, taxpayers need to be cooperative and knowledgeable, and mediators need to be facilitative and impartial. Conducted well, mediation promotes voluntary compliance, decreases litigation, and assists in establishing trust within the tax system.

6. BENEFITS AND IMPACT OF MEDIATION IN TAX DISPUTES

Mediation in tax disputes offers a quicker, cost-effective, and less adversarial way to resolve conflicts between taxpayers and tax authorities. It helps avoid lengthy court battles, promotes cooperation, and leads to fair solutions that benefit both parties while improving compliance and reducing overall burdens.

- **Faster Settlement:** Cases are resolved quickly than the regular court procedures.
- **Save on Costs**: Refund legal charges and administrative charges to both parties.
- **Maintenance of Relationships:** Fosters cooperation, which dampens animosity among taxpayers and tax authorities.
- **Contained Procedure:** Enables private negotiation with no public disclosure of confidential information.
- **Flexibility:** Solutions are adaptable to the unique requirements of both parties.
- **Enhanced Compliance:** Agreements reached in mediation tend to result in improved tax compliance.
- **Reduces Court Burden:** Relieves the tax court and tribunal workload by excluding cases from court.
- **Increases Trust**: Increases trust and coordination between tax agencies and taxpayers.

7. CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING THE MEDIATION MECHANISM IN TAX MATTERS

Although mediation is a promising tool for resolving tax disputes in an efficient and friendly manner, its application to tax disputes is also confronted by various challenges. These may come either from structural or practical problems in the tax administrations or from taxpayers' as well as tax authorities' attitudes and expectations. Overcoming these is crucial for mediation to be able to be useful in the tax dispute resolution process, giving all parties a fair, transparent, and accessible process. The challenges in applying the mediation mechanism in taxation issues:

- Insufficient awareness and knowledge of mediation among tax officials and taxpayers
- Resistance to change from conventional litigation or administrative procedures
- Perceived imbalance of power between tax authorities and taxpayers
- Confidentiality issues regarding disclosure of sensitive tax information
- Poor training and experience of mediators in dealing with complex tax matters
- Procedural and legal impediments within current tax laws and regulations
- Resistance to compromise due to stringent tax enforcement policies
- Resource limitations in terms of time, budget, and administrative support
- Securing willing participation and true willingness to mediate

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 10| October 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

- Difficulty in enforcing mediation agreements effectively upon resolution

8. CONCLUSION

Tax mediation is a Phenomenon in the settlement of tax disputes, offering an alternative to the long-standing, traditional, adversarial, and time-wasting legal process. With tax regimes growing more complex and disputes increasing, efficient, fair, and low-cost mechanisms of resolution are required. Through mediation, there is an opportunity for a confidential, concessionary, and interdependent space where taxpayers and tax authorities can negotiate mutually agreeable solutions, often with relationships sustained and voluntary compliance promoted.

This article has discussed the legal frameworks, processes, and benefits of mediation in taxation, as well as its limitations, such as an imbalance of power, lack of knowledge, and weak institutional support in some countries. Experiences in other countries highlight that where mediation is properly conceived and properly implemented, it leads to faster settlement of disputes, reduced burden on courts, and increased taxpayer satisfaction.

Despite such advantages, success for mediation depends heavily on mediators' impartiality, commitment of parties, and efficient procedural safeguards. Mediation has to acquire legitimacy in law, institutional competence, and public trust to become accepted in the mainstream of tax administration. Policymakers and tax authorities will have to make investments in programmes of awareness, training for mediators, and standardised procedures if their effectiveness is to be assured.

In conclusion, mediation has the potential to revolutionise tax dispute resolution into an even more human, efficient, and constructive exercise. If supported by accompanying legal and institutional environments, it can significantly enhance both taxpayers' trust and the general efficiency of the tax administration.

REFERENCES

1. *Taxmann. (2025, May 30). Opinion: Mediation in Taxation Matters – Emerging Possibilities.*
2. *Bombay Chamber of Commerce and Industry. (2025, May). Mediation – An alternate mechanism for minimising tax disputes in India.*
3. *Golovashevych, O., Bondarenko, I., Kotenko, A., Kucheryavenko, M., & Zaverukha, O. (2024). Mediation in the resolution of tax disputes: Advantages, application experience, prospects for implementation in Ukraine.*
4. *Verma, B., & Vidyarthi, A. (2020, October 4). Mediation of tax disputes to complement faceless assessments. SCC Times.*
5. *Inter-American Center of Tax Administrations. (2025). International tax dispute resolution: Reflections on Arbitration, Mutual Agreement Procedure, and Mediation. CIAT.*
6. *Islam, C. (2014, May). Mediation of tax disputes (Guide).*
7. *Noviansyah, S. (2023). The use of mediation as alternative tax dispute resolution. International Journal of Research and Review, 10(1), 133–143.*
8. *Inter-American Center of Tax Administrations. (2025). Dispute resolution for international tax: Reflections on arbitration, the mutual agreement procedure, and mediation. ISBN 978-9962-722-78-6.*
9. *Markham, M. (2018). Litigation, arbitration and mediation in international tax disputes: An assessment of whether this results in competitive or collaborative relations. Contemporary Asia Arbitration Journal, 11(2), 277–304.*
10. *Golovashevych, O., Bondarenko, I., Kotenko, A., Kucheryavenko, M., & Zaverukha, O. (2024). Mediation in the resolution of tax disputes: Advantages, application experience, prospects for implementation in Ukraine.*
11. *Lightman, G., & Cullen, F. (2016). Mediation in revenue cases (FC-1).*