ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 10| October 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON THE ROLE OF MEDIATION IN EMPLOYMENT DISPUTES

Rajesh Kumar. R[1], Mr. Raghupathi[2]

[1]BBA – LLB (HONS') Student, Chettinad School of Law, Chettinad Hospital and Research Institute, Chettinad Academy of Research and Education, Kelambakkam-603103, Tamil Nadu, India.
[2]Assistant Professor, Chettinad School of Law, Chettinad Hospital and Research Institute, Chettinad Academy of Research and Education, Kelambakkam-603103, Tamil Nadu, India.

ABSTRACT

In this paper, discussions have been made on the fact that Conflicts at work are inevitable, yet how they are managed usually determines whether relationship is to be repaired or destroyed. Over the past years, mediation has become a recognised strategy of addressing job related conflicts involving a practical and people-oriented approach towards dispute resolution. Mediation promotes active communication, listening, and collaborative problem-seeking unlike litigation or arbitration that tend to increase hostility. This paper critically examines the role of mediation in employment disputes, its ability to save relationships in the workplace, save on costs and also offer more flexible solutions that are otherwise unavailable in a court of law. Simultaneously, it analyses the shortcomings of mediation, including power disparities between the employers and workers, voluntary character of it, and non-binding nature in certain situations. This paper suggests that when used intelligently and with the help of well-established institutional basis, mediation can be a revolution in advancing fairness, dignity, and long-term harmony in the employment relations through references to legal frameworks, practical experiences, and comparative practices.

KEYWORDS: *Employment Dispute Mediation, Employing A Workplace Resolution, Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), Employee-Employer Relations, Workplace Harmony.*

INTRODUCTION

Places of employment are established on a constant intercourse between the employer and the employees and with that, there are chances of disagreements. When such conflicts are not handled adequately, they may easily escalate into severe conflicts that destroy relationships, lower production and even terminate careers in certain cases. Litigation and arbitration are the most common methods of resolving these conflicts, yet all of them are quite protracted, expensive, and antagonistic. There is however, a more collaborative and more pliable alternative, which is mediation. It makes it possible to establish open space at which both parties can discuss their issues, resolve conflicts and come up with solutions that are just and realistic. Mediation is the effort to mend trust and create understanding whereas court battles do not concentrate on the rights and liabilities. This paper closely examines the role of mediation in resolving employment conflicts and its advantages, with as well as its limitations that hinder its expansion.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To determine to what extent mediation would better solve employment issues as compared to employing the traditional courts.
2. To research the mediation of employer-employee relationships to continue and enhance them.
3. To find out the key challenges and disadvantages of employing mediation in the workplace conflicts.
4. To investigate the impact of the role of the current laws and institutional support in the practice of mediation in employment issues.
5. To suggest how mediation can be reinforced to make it a more dependable and sustainable process of settling employment disputes.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Work-related disputes are not merely legal in nature but in most cases, they entail personal feelings, trust, as well as the dynamics in the work place. The conventional approaches such as courts and tribunals are preoccupied with legal provisions, but they hardly manage to restore the broken rapport between the parties. Due to this, both parties might win or lose in court, yet the working atmosphere is still damaged. The concept of mediation is more moderated as it is based on dialogue and restoring respect but the role of mediation in employment conflicts remains low. It is not a widely used problem since it has problems like lack of awareness among employees, unequal bargaining power and questions on the enforceability of the mediated agreements. The key issue of this study is whether or not mediation is a viable, sensible, and practical means of eliminating the employment conflict and what can be done to enhance its implementation in the workplace setting.

RESEARCH HYPOTHESIS

Weather Mediation could be a more legitimate, efficient and stable way of resolving the employment disputes than the traditional adversarial system provided there are effective legal and institutional guarantees of fairness.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. How successful is mediation in handling employment disputes when compared to litigation and arbitration?

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 10| October 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

2. In what ways does mediation help protect and rebuild relationships between employers and employees?
3. What barriers and limitations prevent mediation from being more widely used in workplace conflicts?
4. How do legal and institutional structures shape the effectiveness of mediation in employment disputes?
5. What practical measures can be taken to strengthen mediation as a trusted process for resolving workplace disagreements?

LITERATURE REVIEW

1.**Employment Dispute Resolution**: The Case for Mediation Lisa B. Bingham

Mediation has become a preferred method for resolving workplace disputes because court processes are often slow, costly, and adversarial. Large companies increasingly adopt mediation over arbitration since it reduces expenses, preserves relationships, and offers more control to the parties.

In the public sector, agencies such as the U.S. Postal Service and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission report high settlement rates and strong participant satisfaction through structured mediation programs. State-level initiatives show varied results, with success depending largely on program design and fairness.

Comparative studies consistently find mediation faster, cheaper, and more satisfactory than arbitration, which often raises concerns about fairness when mandatory. Representation by unions or professional groups further improves both settlement outcomes and perceptions of justice.

Overall, the literature highlights mediation as an effective, fair, and relationship-preserving approach to employment dispute resolution, though broader research is still needed to assess long-term impacts across sectors.

2. Dispute System Design and Justice in Employment Dispute Resolution: Mediation at the Workplace Lisa Blomgren Bingham, Cynthia J. Hallberlin, Denise A. Walker, and Won-Tae Chung

Traditional litigation and arbitration in workplace disputes are often slow, costly, and adversarial, pushing organisations to adopt structured conflict management systems. Dispute System Design (DSD) offers planned frameworks, with mediation emerging as the most effective approach. Research shows that interest-based mediation, especially transformative models, promotes fairness, empowerment, and improved workplace relations.

Programs like the U.S. Postal Service's REDRESS and the EEOC's mediation scheme report high settlement rates and strong participant satisfaction, demonstrating mediation's value over mandatory arbitration. State initiatives, such as Massachusetts' discrimination mediation program, also achieve better outcomes and higher trust.

Overall, studies suggest that mediation fosters efficiency, fairness, and employee voice, while mandatory arbitration raises concerns of bias and limited access to justice. A well-designed mediation system is therefore seen as the most balanced and sustainable method of employment dispute resolution.

3.**Workplace Dispute Resolution and the Management of Individual Conflict — A Thematic Analysis of Five Case Studies**: Richard Saundry (Plymouth Graduate School of Management, Plymouth University) Gemma Wibberley (iROWE, University of Central Lancashire)

The way workplace disputes unfold is strongly influenced by organisational culture, leadership style, and whether employees have meaningful channels to voice concerns. Traditional grievance and disciplinary procedures are often criticised for being overly formal and slow, offering little scope for genuine resolution. Research suggests that informal conversations and trust-based engagement are far more effective in preventing conflict from escalating.

Mediation has emerged as a practical alternative, creating space for dialogue and repairing strained relationships. Internal mediation schemes are particularly valued for delivering faster, more cost-effective outcomes than formal processes. They also provide opportunities to build conflict management skills among managers and staff.

Despite these benefits, challenges remain. Resistance from managers, limited organisational support, and reliance on a small group of trained mediators can hinder long-term success. Trade unions and employee representatives continue to play an essential role in safeguarding fairness, encouraging early dialogue, and supporting trust. Overall, shifting from rigid, procedure-driven systems toward more relational, collaborative approaches is key to sustainable conflict management.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In this research, the qualitative and doctrinal research methodology is followed with little empirical observations. It is concentrated on the study of legal stipulations, case law and institutional practice in the area of mediation in employment disputes.

Nature of Study

The study is descriptive and normative as it focuses on the role of mediation in the context of providing alternative dispute resolution procedures to the traditional ones such as litigation and arbitration. It also assesses its competence, impartiality and applicability in work place conflicts.

Sources of Data

The research is primarily based on the secondary sources including: Laws: Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Industrial Relations Code, 2020 and Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (Part III). Court rulings, trade union treaties and state publications. Online databases (HeinOnline, JSTOR, SCC Online) and academic books, and journal articles.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 10| October 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

Data collection and analysis Method.

The data were gathered using the library research and the literature review of available case studies and comparative practices in countries such as the U.K. and U.S. The analysis is conducted on a comparative-analytical and thematic basis with the following key trends being identified: fairness, efficiency, and power imbalance.

Scope and Limitations

The paper is based on employment-related disputes in India with reference to other jurisdictions. It excludes commercial and family mediation. The fact that there is no primary data in the field represents a weakness, yet it does not impact the overall validity of the findings.

ANALYSIS OF THE STUDY

1**. The Mediation as an Emerging Workplace Justice Tool.**

Mediation is a movement away of a litigation which is hard and adversarial to the more collaborative, humane method of resolving a dispute.

Mediation has been applied in the employment relations, where the central role is played by emotions, communication and continuous interaction between the parties, enabling them both to air their grievances and restore trust by facilitating a dialogue.

Mediation places more emphasis on mutual understanding and saving the employment relationship as compared to litigation or arbitration with its emphasis on legal rights and liability.

It allows the parties to come up with innovative and viable solutions instead of giving legally binding judgments. The use of mediation has been acknowledged by the Supreme Court of India in Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co. (P) Ltd., (2010) 8 SCC 24 as a useful component of the justice system as it facilitates quick and peaceful reconciliation and saves the court time. This court acknowledgement gives the argument in Favor of its coverage in employment dispute mechanisms more weight.

2. **Savings of Employment Relationships**.

Work-related conflicts tend to destroy professional relationship and trust. Even the traditional adjudication, which is legally correct, is seldom able to restore the personal conflict, even in cases when the adjudication is legally accurate. On the contrary, mediation encourages dialogue and understanding, and parties can accept grievances and establish relationships once again.

The Supreme Court emphasized in M.R. Engineers and Contractors Pvt. Ltd. v. Som Datt Builders Ltd. (2009) 7 SCC 696 that ADRs such as mediation assist in preserving business and professional relationships which are normally ruined by an official litigation.

The same rule is applicable to the employment relations where constant collaboration is the key to productivity. Mediation therefore becomes more than a dispute resolution process and a tool of restoring relationships, which adds to stability and harmony in the workplace.

3. **Efficiency in Time and Cost**

The employment dispute litigation process is usually very long and costly, causing mental stresses and monetary damages to the employer and employee.

The advantage of mediation, on the other hand, is that it provides an informal and adaptable stage of resolution which saves a lot of costs and time. As an illustration, the U.S. Equal Employment opportunities commission (EEOC) noted that its mediation program resolved more than 70 percent of the cases of discrimination in the workplace within less than three months, which saved millions in legal expenses.

Equally, the Lok Adalats and conciliation systems of India show how negotiated justice can be administered expeditiously through structured negotiation. Salem Advocate Bar Association (II) versus. Union of India, (2005) 6 SCC 344 also highlighted the popularity of the judiciary in the ADR, by telling the courts to encourage mediation and conciliation during the pre-trial rulings in order to deliver quick justice.
This court order advocates institutionalisation of mediation in labour and employment situations.

4. **Plasticity and Innovative Solutions**.

Statutory remedies like reinstatement or compensation limits the courts, whereas mediation enables parties to achieve results that suit the needs of both parties. An example would be settlements consisting of apology letters, internal transfers, redefined duties, or training programs-solutions that would conserve dignity but at the same time solve the disagreement in a constructive manner.

This flexibility indicates the interest-based and not the rights-based character of mediation. It covers the legal but the emotional and professional elements of employment relationships also.

5. **Balance of Power and Equity.**

In spite of these advantages, mediation has been criticised as a result of intrinsic power imbalance between the employer and the employee.

Employers as the stronger economically and hierarchically can have an unfair influence. In Halsey v. In the English Court of Appeal case Milton Keynes General NHS Trust [2004] EWCA Civ 576, it was observed by the court that mediation must not be imposed on the parties but be voluntary to guarantee for an actual consent.

Nevertheless, such voluntary character can also be counterproductive to weaker sides which can be crushed by the matter to compromise and resolve the issues being afraid to lose his/her job or be the one to be victimized.

The Indian context has a conciliator act, i.e. the industrial disputes act of 1947, which includes conciliator officers, but these officials are usually government officials and not neutral professional conciliators.

This brings into question objectiveness and effectiveness in solving conflicts at the workplace. Thus, the lack of a mediation

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 10| October 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

structure decreases the level of fairness and credibility of the process.

6. **Law and Regulatory Environment.**
Mediation is quite reliant on its legal status and institutionalization. Although industrial disputes act and arbitration and conciliation act are in place in India, they are incomplete in the establishment of a full system of employment mediation. With the proposed Mediation Bill, 2021 being aimed at formalizing pre-litigation mediation, the opportunities offered by the suggested bill may be viewed as revolutionary in terms of employment dispute resolution as it will provide the opportunity to enforce the mediated settlements under Section 19. When it is signed, it will introduce a sense of legal predictability and culturally instill dialogue rather than confrontation.

In the international arena, the Advisory, Conciliation and Arbitration Service (ACAS) of the United Kingdom and the REDRESS Program of the U.S. Postal Service have been used as examples of well-structured workplace mediation programs. These institutions reveal that mediation can be a good balance of power with the help of clear procedures, trained mediators, and institutional support, and promotes fairness.

7. **Judicial and Comparative Perspective.**
The courts in India have been becoming more conducive to the use of ADR in employment cases.
The Supreme Court in K. K. Modi v. K. N. Modi (1998) 3 SCC 573 indicated that alternative dispute resolution methods such as mediation are in the best interest of the people since they contribute to the creation of harmony and lessen hostility. Likewise, in Grid Corporation of Orissa Ltd. v. AES Corporation (2002) 7 SCC 736 the Court once again stated that mediation must be upheld since it is in the real spirit of compromise and collaboration. In relation, in the United Kingdom, legislative intervention has been normalized to normalize mediation to make early conciliation via ACAS a mandatory prerequisite to filing employment tribunal claims.

The U.S model with voluntary institutionally facilitated mediation by the EEOC offers balance between flexibility and accountability.

8. **Interpretation and Synthesis.**
The accruing meaning of case laws, legal structures and comparative practices have revealed that mediation is not just an alternative process in the employment law but a transforming process.

It is a way to reestablishing justice- both the legal and emotional aspects of the conflict in the workplace. Nevertheless, it needs to enhance its mediation infrastructure, educate neutral mediators, and statutory support of settlements reached through mediation, in order to realize all the possibilities of mediation.

It is only at that point that mediation can transform into a theoretical ideal and become a practical and valid means of settling employment disputes.

FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

The Mediation Fosters Employee's Harmony at work
The researchers can conclude that mediation is very effective at repairing the communication and the trust between the employers and the employees and sustaining the long-term professional relationships which the litigation usually destroys.

Time and Cost Efficiency
Compared to a conventional legal process, mediation is significantly less expensive and time-consuming in addressing disputes related to employment, which saves the court and an organization a considerable amount of time and money.

Flexibility in outcomes
Contrary to court decisions, the mediation process offers creative and win-win solutions like apologies, role-switching or policy amendments, which are more responsive to realities in the workplace.

Difficulties of power disparity
The presence of unequal bargaining power in employers and employees is still a significant challenge that most of the time influences the fairness and voluntary character of mediation.

Poor institutional and legal backing
The effectiveness of employment mediation in India is hampered by the fact that the country has no good legal framework and professional infrastructure that other countries such as the U.K. and U.S. possess.

Encouragement by the judiciary, but little practice
In spite of numerous Indian courts recognizing mediation as an effective ADR tool, it remains almost non-existent in terms of its practical implementation in the field of employment dispute.

Awareness and training requirement
The mediation process can be successful only in case of a more widespread awareness of the workers and the employers and the opening of trained and neutral mediators with a solid legislation.

SUGGESTION AND RECOMMENDATION.

Enhance Legalization of Mediation
To make the settlements in employment disputes mediated have legal enforceability and order the government is to adopt extensive legislation including the proposed Mediation Bill, 2021.

Open Mediation Centres in Institutions
Mediation centres at work place should be established in the labour departments, industrial tribunals and other large organizations to facilitate easy and fair resolution of disputes.

Certify and Train Professional Mediators
To promote neutrality, skills and ethics in mediation process a national system of mediator training and certification should be made.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 10| October 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

Facilitate awareness and inclusion:
The advantages of mediation should be made known to employees and employers by providing awareness activities and programs as well as inclusion in employment policies.

Be FAir and Balance of Power
Protections should be created to prevent the weaker party, particularly employees, to be coerced into making any settlements to make sure that all the settlements are not forced and voluntary, fair and transparent.

Encourage Early Mediation
This can be achieved by introducing compulsory pre-litigation mediation in employment disputes, which will allow the conflicts to be avoided and will encourage prompt and amicable resolutions.

Connect Mediation with the Corporate Culture
To create a working environment that is cooperative and respectful, employers ought to make internal grievance-handling procedures to include mediation.

CONCLUSION

This paper concludes that mediation is not the alternative to litigation but a transformative process that can be used to attain justice, dignity, and harmony at the workplace. Meditation is a more humane, flexible, and solution-focused approach compared to the traditional adversarial approach that is inherent in employment relationships where continued collaboration, trust, and respect are crucial.

Through facilitation of open communication and understanding, mediation will revive professional relationships which are basically ruined by court battles. It is time and cost saving, less stressful, and it helps build understanding between the employers and employees. Nevertheless, the study also shows that the problems have remained unresolved, including unequal bargaining power, legal absence, and poor understanding among employees.

In order to ensure effective mediation in reality, India has to work to ensure that the institutional and legal system is enhanced, that they have a pool of professional mediators and that they create awareness of mediation to various industries. In the right hands, mediation may become an effective system of employment dispute resolution, which encompasses fairness, understanding, and sustainable workplace stability. It represents the future of industrial peace - the one that promotes not only legal matters, but also restores relations.

REFERENCES

1. *Afcons Infrastructure Ltd. v. Cherian Varkey Construction Co. (P) Ltd., (2010) 8 SCC 24.*
2. *Salem Advocate Bar Association (II) v. Union of India, (2005) 6 SCC 344.*
3. *K.K. Modi v. K.N. Modi, (1998) 3 SCC 573.*
4. *Halsey v. Milton Keynes General NHS Trust [2004] EWCA Civ 576.*
5. *M.R. Engineers & Contractors Pvt. Ltd. v. Som Datt Builders Ltd., (2009) 7 SCC 696.*
6. *Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (India).*
7. *Industrial Relations Code, 2020 (India).*
8. *Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (India).*
9. *Mediation Bill, 2021 (India).*
10. *Advisory, Conciliation and Arbitration Service (ACAS), United Kingdom – Official Reports on Workplace Mediation.*
11. *Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), U.S. – Mediation Program Annual Report.*
12. *Lisa B. Bingham, Employment Dispute Resolution: The Case for Mediation, 1998.*
13. *Richard Saundry & Gemma Wibberley, Workplace Dispute Resolution and the Management of Individual Conflict, Plymouth University, 2015.*
14. *Cynthia J. Hallberlin et al., Dispute System Design and Justice in Employment Dispute Resolution, 2006.*
15. *International Labour Organization (ILO) – Recommendations on Voluntary Conciliation and Arbitration, No. 92 (1951).*