ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 12| December 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

A STUDY ON INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS REGULATORY FRAMEWORK IN DIFFERENT COUNTRIES STOCK EXCHANGE

Dr. Cirappa I B[1], MS. Manasa B[2]
[1]*Professor, Department of Studies in Commerce, Davangere University, Davangere*
[2]*Research Scholar, Department of Studies in Commerce, Davangere University, Davangere*

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra25576
DOI No: 10.36713/epra25576

ABSTRACT
This descriptive research examines the IPO regulatory frameworks of five key countries: India (SEBI), China (CSRC), Japan (FSA), USA (SEC), and Saudi Arabia (CMA), focusing on regulatory authorities, approval processes, disclosure norms, and recent reforms. The study details SEBI's merit-disclosure hybrid with 2025 float reductions, CSRC's STAR tech waivers, FSA's Growth Market flexibility, SEC's disclosure-based S-1 efficiency, and CMA's SME fast-track. Comparative tables highlight process timelines (1-12 months), public floats (10-30%), and investor protections amid global IPO resurgence. Objectives cover regulatory bodies and frameworks; scope limits to these exchanges; limitations restrict to five countries. Findings reveal convergence toward tech accommodations, enhancing market access while addressing asymmetry.

KEY WORDS: *IPO regulatory framework, SEBI, CSRC, SEC, FSA, CMA*

INTRODUCTION
Initial public offerings (IPOs) constitute a cornerstone of corporate finance, enabling private companies to transition into public entities by accessing vast pools of equity capital from institutional and retail investors worldwide. This transformative process, however, is meticulously governed by country-specific regulatory frameworks designed to safeguard investor interests, ensure market integrity, and foster efficient capital formation. As of 2025, the global IPO landscape witnesses unprecedented resurgenceIndia securing fourth position with ₹1.26 lakh crore mobilized via 74 mainboard IPOs, Saudi Arabia leading GCC privatization drives, China's STAR Market accommodating tech innovators, Japan's Growth segment dominating Asian tech listings, and the USA's NYSE/Nasdaq maintaining liquidity supremacy amid JOBS Act efficiencies underscoring the pivotal role of these frameworks in channelling funds to high-growth sectors amid economic stabilization.

These regulations emerge from historical imperatives: the USA's SEC (established 1934 post-Great Depression via Securities Exchange Act) prioritizes disclosure to prevent fraud in the world's deepest market ($100 trillion annual equity trading); India's SEBI blends merit review with disclosure through ICDR Regulations (2018, 2025 reforms slashing mega-IPO floats to 2.5%, introducing e-IPO/ASBA T+3 listings); China's CSRC (State Council-directed, 19 departments/36 offices) enforces pre-approval merit scrutiny under Securities Law 2019 while waiving profitability for STAR tech firms via timely post-audit disclosures; Japan's FSA/JSDA (FIEA 2006) mandates comprehensive four-part securities reports (2-5 year audits, ESG integration) across restructured Prime/Standard/Growth markets (Mothers renamed 2022); and Saudi Arabia's CMA (independent under Capital Market Law 2003) streamlines Tadawul Main/Nomu via 14-day book-building (20% price range), cross/dual-listing for non-Saudis, and Sharia-compliant SME fast-tracks.

Divergent architectures reflect market maturity gradients: emerging economies (India/China/Saudi) emphasize substantive merit evaluationsSEBI's DRHP scrutiny (1-3 months, fast-track 21 days for governance-compliant firms), CSRC's 6 to 12-month profitability analysis (relaxed for STAR), CMA's preliminary non-Saudi assessmentsto mitigate asymmetry risks in volatile contexts; developed markets (USA/Japan) favor disclosure-led efficiency SEC's S-1 confidential submissions (3-6 months comments, FINRA underwriter reviews, PCAOB audits), FSA's safe-harbor pre-filing roadshowsleveraging sophisticated investors for speed. Public float mandates vary (India 25%/15% interim, China 25%/10% STAR, Japan 25%, USA market-driven, Saudi 30%/15% Nomu), alongside pricing mechanisms (book-building anchors at 40% India cap, auctions China, flexible Japan/USA/Saudi) and tech accommodations addressing R&D opacity.

2025 reforms signal convergence: SEBI's SME eligibility tightening (₹1 Cr EBITDA 2/3 years, no convertible securities except ESOPs, 24-hour RPT disclosures), CSRC's profitability guideline depth via peer comparisons, FSA's SPAC rules, SEC's climate disclosures aligning SPACs with traditional IPOs, and CMA's 49% foreign ownership proposals amid GCC boom (Saudi 70% Q1 proceeds). These evolutions respond to digital transformation, with e-platforms reducing physical applications and confidential pre-filings curbing speculation (e.g., India's Shiprocket/Groww). For MSMEs and high-tech issuersfacing expensed intangibles and uncertain cash flowsgrowth segments like NSE Emerge, STAR, Growth, Nasdaq Capital, and Nomu

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 12| December 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

offer relaxed norms (no profitability tests, lower floats), democratizing access amid bull markets.

Research Methodology

The descriptive research method is used for the study purpose. The study describes the IPO regulations framed in different countries stock exchanges by the authoritative bodies of the country. The phenomenon of the IPO guidelines is studied in the paper.

Objectives

a) To study about the different regulatory bodies with regard to IPO in USA, China, India, Japan and Saudi.
b) To understand the regulatory framework of IPO in USA, China, India, Japan and Saudi.

Scope of the Study

The study focuses on the understanding of the regulatory authorities and regulatory framework of the IPO in different countries.

Limitations

The study is restricted to five country stock exchanges regulatory framework. The five countries covered in the Study are INDIA, CHINA, JAPAN, USA and SAUDI.

DISCUSSIONS AND RESULTS

Regulatory Authorities and Core Principles

Each nation's framework reflects market maturity and priorities: investor safeguards in emerging exchanges versus efficiency in developed ones.

Key Regulators and Governing Frameworks

Country	Exchange(s)	Regulator	Core Law/Principles	Approval Type
India	BSE, NSE	SEBI	SEBI ICDR 2018 (2025 reforms)	Merit + Disclosure
China	SSE, SZSE, HKEX	CSRC	Securities Law 2019, STAR Rules	Merit (pre-approval)
Japan	Tokyo (TSE), Nagoya	FSA/JSDA	FIEA 2006, Growth Market Rules	Disclosure w/ Review
USA	NYSE, Nasdaq	SEC	Securities Act 1933, JOBS Act	Disclosure-based
Saudi Arabia	Tadawul (Main/Nomu)	CMA	Capital Market Law 2003 (2025 updates)	Merit + Fast-track

SEBI's 2025 reforms cut mega-IPO floats to 2.5%; CSRC's STAR eases tech listings; Tadawul's Nomu parallels Nasdaq Capital for SMEs.

USA

In USA the regulatory body for the capital market is U.S. Securities and Exchange commission which was found in the year 1934. It is an independent agency of the United States federal government. This was formed under Section 4 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The main mission of the exchange is "we work together to make a positive impact on the U.S. economy, our capital markets, and people's lives." [1]The exchange main aim is to protect the investors trust, to give truth and fairness with the federal securities laws. The exchanges were to hold the misconduct, wrongdoers and provide resources to help investors in evaluating their investment choices and to protect from the fraud. As US Capital market is the deepest, dynamic and most liquid in the world market. As the market overseas $100 trillion in securities trading on the US equity annually, they have innovative development and trends in the market through a controlled regulation. The SEC has an organisation structure consisting of Chairman, commissioners, division directors and staffs. The current chairman is Mr. Paul S. Atkins and there five commissioners who are appointed by the president of the United States with the advice and consent of the Senate. The term of the commissioners serves up to 18 months beyond the expiration of their terms.

IPOs in the U.S. are primarily governed by the Securities Act and the Exchange Act, the former focusing on the registration of new securities and the latter on secondary market trading. In addition to the statutes themselves, the SEC promulgates rules and regulations that impose further obligations on registrants.The SEC, frequently referred to as the Commission, serves as the primary regulator in a U.S. IPO. Formed in response to the stock market crashes that precipitated the Great Depression, the SEC carries a three-fold mission to protect investors, maintain fair, orderly, and efficient markets, and facilitate capital formation. The SEC is separated into various divisions, with an aspiring public company primarily corresponding with the Division of Corporate Finance, which reviews and comments on registration statements filed by potential public companies. While the SEC serves as the U.S.'s primary securities regulator, depending on the nature of the company's business, other regulators, both state and federal, may have to be involved the IPO process. Public company accountants must be registered with the PCAOB. Established by SOX, the PCAOB sets independence obligations and audit standards for public company auditors. Additionally, the underwriters hired by a potential public company are subject to regulation by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"), a self-regulatory organisation ("SRO"), regulating broker-dealers in IPOs with a U.S. nexus. FINRA participation in an IPO starts 180 days before the first confidential submission and remains in effect until 60 days until after the effectiveness date of the offering. Following registration(or confidential submission) to the SEC, registrants must within three business days file certain information with FINRA for review; filers must also pay a filing fee to FINRA. The filing is minimally burdensome for registrants, with FINRA accepting the EDGAR accession number *in lieu* of a separate filing. FINRA reviews underwriter compensation to ensure that it is not "unfair or unreasonable". Generally, FINRA must deliver a "no objections" letter before the SEC can declare an IPO registration statement effective. A U.S. IPO is a complex process characterised by significant law and regulation, which requires time, effort, patience and a team of capable advisors for companies and selling shareholders to reach their goals and desired outcomes. An often-cited feature of these transactions is the legal risk for transaction participants. The depth of the U.S. market and the many advantages of being a public company listed on the NYSE or Nasdaq often far outweigh the

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 12| December 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

risks and potential pitfalls, making a U.S. IPO the pinnacle to which many companies, shareholders and investors aspire.

INDIA

The Securities and Exchange Board of India was constituted as a non-statutory body on April 12, 1988 through a resolution of the Government of India.

The Securities and Exchange Board of India was established as a statutory body in the year 1992 and the provisions of the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992 (15 of 1992) came into force on January 30, 1992. The current chairman of SEBI is Tuhin Kanta Pandey, the board of SEBI consists of 3 Whole-time members and 3 part-time members.

SEBI's primary duty under Section 11(1) of the SEBI Act is to protect investor interests in securities while promoting the development and regulation of the securities market through various measures. These include regulating stock exchanges and securities markets; registering and overseeing intermediaries like brokers, sub-brokers, merchant bankers, underwriters, depositories, mutual funds, and credit rating agencies; prohibiting fraudulent practices, insider trading, and unfair trade; promoting investor education and self-regulatory organizations; regulating takeovers and substantial acquisitions; and conducting research, inspections, audits, and information calls from banks or authorities. As a quasi-legislative body, SEBI drafts regulations and guidelines; quasi-judicially, it adjudicates disputes, imposes penalties, and orders disgorgement credited to the Investor Protection Fund; quasi-executively, it enforces compliance, approves exchange by-laws, inspects books, and mandates listings

SEBI's Regulatory Framework for IPOs

SEBI is the foremost regulator for IPOs in India and has put in place a legal framework to make sure that companies interested to go public comply with legal and regulatory requirements. SEBI's primary objective is protection of investors' interests and ensure transparency and fairness in the market.

Companies willing to go public in India must be compelled with various legal requirements under SEBI's regulatory framework. Some of these requirements include:

a) Before issuing or announcing a public offer, companies must file a draft red herring prospectus (DRHP) with SEBI.
b) In furtherance with the deliberate use of proceeds from the IPO, the DRHP shall provide detailed and in brief information about the company's business framework, possible risk factors and financial performances.
c) An independent auditor shall be appointed to audit company's financial statements and to comply with the rules and guidelines prescribed by the SEBI.
d) The company shall ensure to comply with the SEBI's guidelines on corporate governance, including the appointment of independent directors, audit committees, and disclosure of related party transactions.

SEBI also analyses the DRHP filed by the company to ensure that DHRP complies with all legal and regulatory requirements prescribed by SEBI. SEBI has the authority to even ask for additional information or clarification from the company before approving the DRHP.

The legal requirements mandated by SEBI have had a considerable impact on the IPO market in India. There has been increase transparency in company's operations and financial changes which has increased investors' confidence to invest in the IPOs. As a result, the number of IPOs in India has increased significantly over the last few years.

Recent Developments in SEBI's Regulatory Framework for IPOs:

Regulatory framework of SEBI regarding IPOs is persistently evolving to keep up with the dynamic market and investor expectations. SEBI has introduced several reforms in recent years to ensure efficiency and transparency in the IPO process.

The introduction of the electronic Initial Public Offering (e-IPO) system is one of the remarkable developments in SEBI's regulatory framework. The e-IPO system is an online platform that allows investors to apply for shares in an IPO electronically, putting an end to the need for physical applications. It has made the IPO process more accessible and efficient, especially for retail investors.

Certain measures to lessen the time taken for companies to list their shares on the stock exchange after an IPO has also been introduced by SEBI. The time between the IPO closing date and the listing date has been reduced by SEBI from six days to three days. This has assisted companies to raise capital rapidly and made the IPO process more approachable and accessible for investors.

Several measures have been taken by SEBI to enhance the quality of the DRHP filed by companies. A fast-track approval process for companies that have a track record of good corporate governance and financial performance has also been introduced by SEBI. As compared to the usual 60-90 days, now the companies that qualify for the fast-track approval process can receive approval for their DRHP in just 21 days.

With the growing Indian economy, the IPO market will play an essential role in providing capital to companies, and SEBI's regulatory framework will continue to play a vital role to make sure that the market remains fair and transparent.

CHINA

China Securities Regulatory Commission (CSRC) is under direct administration of the State Council, with an executive management team consisting of one Chairman, four Vice Chairmen, and one Chief Inspector of the Discipline Inspection and Supervision Office.

The CSRC headquarters has 19 functional departments and a Department of Headquarters CPC-related Affairs (Department of Headquarters Discipline Inspection Commission), and has 36 regional offices in provinces, autonomous regions, municipalities directly under the central government and separately-planned cities, as well as two commissioner offices in Shanghai and Shenzhen respectively. The CSRC implements the policies, principles, decisions, and plans of the CPC Central

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 12| December 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

Committee on financial work. It upholds centralized and unified leadership of the CPC Central Committee on the financial work in performing its mandate.

On December 6, the CSRC adopted and released the Guideline on Main Financial and Operational Information Disclosure after the Audit Deadline for the Prospectuses and Financial Reports of Companies Seeking Initial Public Offering and Listing (hereinafter referred to as "Guideline on Timely Information Disclosure") and the Guideline on Profitability-related Information Disclosure in Prospectuses of Companies Seeking Initial Public Offering and Listing (hereinafter referred to as Guideline on Profitability-related Information Disclosure) in order to implement the requirements of the IPO system reform and further improve the quality of information disclosure by issuers. In order to urge issuers to timely disclose in their prospectuses major events arising after the audit deadline and reveal the latest corporate operational information to investors, the Guideline on Timely Information Disclosure stipulates that where the period between the audit deadline and the signature date of the prospectus exceeds four months, financial statements for the quarter in between shall be additionally provided and main financial information thereof shall be revealed. This type of financial information does not require auditing but shall be reviewed by accountants. In addition, main operational information after the audit deadline shall be provided with sufficient risk warning. the lack of well-targeted financial analysis in previous IPO prospectuses, the Guideline on Profitability-related Information Disclosure requires that issuers conduct analysis and disclosure of profitability information in an in-depth and targeted manner in relation to their industry, operating pattern and peer comparison. Meanwhile, in order to enhance the due diligence of intermediaries, the Guideline on Profitability-related Information Disclosure also guide and regulate intermediaries in fulfilling their due diligence obligations in reference to the experience of special financial inspections to ensure the quality of disclosure of relevant financial information. Truthful, accurate, complete and timely information disclosure provides major basis for the decision-making of investors, help promote the performance of the capital market's pricing function and is of great significance to the optimization of resource allocation. In the future, the CSRC will continue providing detailed requirements on issuers' information disclosure, enrich relevant system of rules, further enhance the information disclosure quality of IPO companies and promote the efficient and orderly operation of the capital market.

JAPAN

In Japan, the primary authorities for IPO rules are the Financial Services Agency (FSA), which sets broad regulations, and the Japan Exchange Group (JPX) (which operates the Tokyo Stock Exchange (TSE)) for specific listing rules on its markets (Prime, Standard, Growth). The Securities and Exchange Surveillance Commission (SESC) oversees fair markets, while industry bodies like the Japan Securities Dealers Association (JSDA) handle self-regulation. The FSA works with the FIEA (Financial Instruments and Exchange Act) for disclosure, while the JPX sets criteria for management, governance, and business plans.

The Tokyo Stock Exchange has two types of listing market. The first listing market is a normal one and includes the Prime Market, the Standard Market and the Growth Market; these market segments are the result of the restructuring on 4 April 2022 of the former segments of the first listing market, which included the Main Market (First and Second Sections) of the Tokyo Stock Exchange, the JASDAQ Market and the Mothers Market. In 2024, 80 issuers were newly listed on the normal market. The second listing market is Tokyo Pro Market, which is operated by the Tokyo Stock Exchange. Only professional investors can invest in such Japanese stock exchanges. In 2024, 50 issuers were newly listed on the Tokyo Pro Market. The Financial Services Agency of Japan (FSA) and the stock exchanges are responsible for rulemaking. The FSA has the authority to establish its regulations and guidelines related to disclosure requirements under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan (Act No. 25 of 1948 (the FIEA)). Each stock exchange publishes certain rules and guidelines including the listing requirements and listing process, in accordance with which such stock exchange carries out listing examinations.

If an issuer violates any of the disclosure requirements under the FIEA, the FSA, the local financial bureaus of the Ministry of Finance of Japan and the Securities and Exchange Surveillance Commission of Japan have the authority to enforce the FIEA and the regulations thereunder. If the rules of a stock exchange are violated, such stock exchange has the authority to enforce its rules.

A securities registration statement comprises two main parts – a securities information section, in which the offering structure and the offered securities are described, and a corporate information section (including financial statements and audit reports). The form and substance of the securities registration statement are established by the Cabinet Office Ordinance on the Disclosure of Corporate Affairs, etc, of Companies (Ministry of Finance Ordinance No. 5 of 1973).

Domestic companies Registration

Japan's securities registration statement for domestic corporations under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act (FIEA) 2006 consists of four comprehensive parts designed to ensure full investor transparency. Part I details securities terms, offering timetable, underwriters, and pricing structure. Part II provides extensive company information including business overview, financial data, risk factors, balance sheet/cash flow analysis, corporate governance, R&D activities, management details, material contracts/facilities, and crucially, audited consolidated and non-consolidated financial statements for the most recent two fiscal years (with quarterly statements if applicable). Part III addresses special cases requiring five-year financial statements of subsidiaries linked to tracking stock dividends. Part IV discloses IPO-specific matters such as past equity transactions by interested parties, third-party allotments, and current shareholder status.

Foreign Companies

Japan's securities registration statement for foreign corporations under FIEA maintains a four-part structure similar to domestic issuers. Part I covers securities terms, offering

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 12| December 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

details, underwriters, and pricing. Part II details the issuer's business, financials, risks, governance, R&D, and crucially includes a summary of the home country's corporate legal system, plus 2-year audited consolidated financial statements (semi-annual if applicable). Part III mirrors Part II for any guarantor materially affecting investment decisions. Part IV requires 5-year financial statements unless 3-year audited versions are already in Parts II/III, ensuring comprehensive historical transparency for cross-border investors.

The FIEA prohibits an issuer from soliciting investors before filing a securities registration statement. This means that the publicity and contact with investors can be made only to the extent that such activities do not constitute 'solicitation'. The FSA's guidelines provide that any dissemination of information relating to an issuer of securities (excluding any information relating to a primary or secondary public offering of securities issued or to be issued by such issuer) made no later than one month before the filing date of the securities registration statement does not constitute 'solicitation', and pre-IPO roadshows are usually conducted on the basis of this safe-harbour rule.

After filing a securities registration statement, the issuer can solicit investors; however, in order to mitigate civil liabilities risk, it is normal practice for the information to be provided in the marketing process is limited to that included in the securities registration statement, the prospectus (the contents of which are generally identical to the securities registration statement) and the roadshow materials that are prepared, based on the information included in the securities registration statement.

SAUDI ARABIA

The primary authority responsible for making rules and regulations for Initial Public Offerings (IPOs) and listings in Saudi Arabia is the Capital Market Authority (CMA). The CMA is an independent government body that regulates and develops the Saudi capital market, issues the necessary rules and instructions, and oversees securities and dealing activities, including IPOs.

- The Saudi Capital Market Authority regulations permit both cross-listing and dual-listing of securities on the Saudi Exchange's Main Market, and the new guidelines now clarify the criteria and streamline the process for such listings.
- Neither the Saudi Capital Market Authority rules nor the new guidelines permit a primary listing of securities of non-Saudi companies on the Main Market, without such companies being previously listed on a recognized exchange (cross-listing) or as part of a concurrent offering and listing (dual-listing), or without such company reorganizing under a Saudi incorporated issuer.
- The guidelines introduce a preliminary assessment process to allow non-Saudi companies to obtain initial approval prior to preparing their listing applications.
- Non-Saudi companies must demonstrate their added value to the Saudi Exchange and the Saudi economy for them to list on the Main Market.
- The financial advisor of the issuer is permitted to – prior to obtaining Authority's approval of the IPO – present information about the issuer and its financial statements to a group of participating parties without mentioning issuer's name for the purpose of testing their participating entities' willingness to participate in case of a public offering of the issuers shares.
- The issuer and its financial advisor are permitted to – post the Authority's approval of the IPO – present information about the issuer and its financial statements to a specified group of authorized persons licensed to practice advising activity for the purpose of issuing researches and financial reports about the issuer prior to the commencement of the Book building.
- The financial advisor of the issuer is permitted – post the Authority's approval of the IPO – to offer the shares to the participating entities only to determine the price range within a reasonable period prior to the commencement of the Book building.
- The Book building period shall not exceed (14) fourteen calendar days.
- Price range (the difference between the lowest price and the highest price) shall be a ratio that does not exceed (20%) of the minimum price.
- The participating entities provide their bidding requests in the Book building by filling out the bid form.
- The participating entities are allowed to bid on prices outside the price range but not less than the specified minimum price in the price range, and shall not exceed (20%) of the maximum price in the price range.
- The participating entities shall comply with the Capital Market Law and its implementing regulations and any other investment conditions that regulate their business.
- The subscription price for each participating entity shall be the price submitted in the bid form or in the Appended bid form – as applicable – for each subscriber, provided that the offered price is in accordance with the tick size applied by the Saudi Stock Exchange (Tadawul).
- The percentage of shares specified for retail subscribers is set forth in the prospectus. The retail offer price shall comply with the following:
  a) The offer price is agreed upon between the issuer and the financial advisor provided that the price does not exceed the highest price fully covered by the participating entities is in compliance with clause (11) of General Provisions.
  b) The offering price must be in accordance with the tick size applied by the Saudi Stock Exchange (Tadawul).

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 12| December 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

IPO Process Comparison

Aspect	India	China	Japan	USA	Saudi Arabia
Filing Document	DRHP	Prospectus	Securities Report	S-1	Prospectus
Review Period	1-3 months	6-12 months	3-6 months	3-6 months	2-4 months
Public Float Min.	25% (15% interim)	25% (10% STAR)	25%	Market-driven	30% (15% Nomu)
Pricing Mechanism	Book-build/Anchor	Auction/Fixed	Book-build	Book-build	Book-build
Listing (T+ Days)	T+3 (ASBA)	T+1 (STAR)	T+2	T+2	T+2

Japan's Growth Market (Mothers renamed 2022) targets tech with relaxed profitability; Saudi CMA 2025 proposes 49% foreign ownership lift.

Disclosure and Investor Protection Norms

All mandate prospectuses, but depth varies: USA emphasizes forward-looking risks; China/Japan stress financial viability.

- India: RPT disclosures, 2025 anchor cap at 40%.
- China: CSRC profitability tests waived for STAR tech firms.
- Japan: FSA requires 3-year audits, ESG integration rising.
- USA: S-1/K disclosures; EGC confidential filings.
- Saudi Arabia: Sharia compliance, Nomu lighter audits for SMEs.

Key Disclosure Differences

Requirement	India	China	Japan	USA	Saudi
Profitability Test	Yes (relaxed)	STAR: No	Growth: No	No	Nomu: No
R&D/Intangibles	Detailed	Mandatory STAR	ESG-linked	Risk factors	Sector-specific
Anchor/Pre-IPO	40% cap	Limited	Flexible	None	QIB focus

Tech and Growth Firm Accommodations

Growth markets cater to high-tech: India's NSE Emerge, China's STAR, Japan's Growth, Nasdaq Capital, Tadawul Nomu.

- Relaxed norms: No profitability (China/Japan/Saudi), lower floats.
- 2025 trends: Japan leads Asia tech IPOs (61% growth market); Saudi privatization boom.

Recent Reforms (2023-2025)

Convergence toward flexibility: SEBI float cuts, CSRC registration shift, FSA SPAC rules, SEC climate disclosures, CMA foreign ownership easing.

Implications: USA suits globals; India/China/Japan favor domestic tech; Saudi attracts MENA capital. Harmonization aids cross-border listings.

Key IPO Laws and Securities Regulations in 2025

SEBI Guidelines for IPOs (India)

The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) manages all IPO activities within the Indian borders. All upcoming businesses desiring public operation must follow the IPO regulations set by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) which include:

- Minimum net tangible assets of INR 3 crore in the last three years.
- A company must achieve three profitable years prior to submitting an application for an IPO.
- Mandatory disclosure of risk factors and financials.
- A required period of time must pass before promoters can sell their shares because this stops them from manipulating the market.
- Enhanced compliance with corporate governance norms.

Businesses that break SEBI IPO regulations face both financial punishment from regulators and might get their public issuance denied by authorities.

SEC Regulations for IPOs (USA)

Companies seeking public offerings through Initial Public Offers need to comply with the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 passed by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in the U.S. Key SEC IPO regulations include:

- A company needs to file Form S-1 at the SEC to register its data.
- The organization needs to follow all regulations from the Sarbanes-Oxley Act to minimize corporate fraud.
- A prospective IPO company must observe the quiet period by refraining from product promotions during the waiting period.
- Compliance with financial reporting and audit requirements.
- Stronger focus on ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) disclosures.

Hong Kong and China IPO Laws

- The Securities and Futures Commission of Hong Kong requires all applicants to file their documents twice with both the Stock Exchange of Hong Kong and the SFC.
- The China Securities Regulatory Commission (CSRC) tightly controls new stock market entries to safeguard economic growth patterns in the Chinese market.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 11| Issue: 12| December 2025|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2025: 8.691 || ISI Value: 1.188

- Technological start-ups and biotechnological companies can benefit from new regulations which promote innovation-based listings.

Key Changes in IPO Laws 2025

Stricter Financial Disclosure Requirements

The IPO regulations 2025 make financial transparency their most significant adaptation among changes. Companies must now provide:

- More detailed balance sheets and cash flow statements
- Comprehensive risk assessment reports
- Executive compensation disclosures
- Related-party transaction records

A set of mandatory requirements has been implemented to protect investors from corporate fraud while providing complete material information prior to acquiring shares.

Digital and Blockchain-based Securities Regulation

With the development of tokenized securities and blockchain IPOs regulation, certain provisions have been set under the securities laws 2025. Key provisions include:

- Mandatory registration of digital asset offerings
- Securities laws audit as well as smart contract for the blockchain
- KYC (Know Your Customer) and AML (Anti-Money Laundering) compliance for crypto IPOs

These rules make sure that security through digital means can be regulated in the same way as that on the regular stock market.

Faster IPO Approval Process with Stronger Oversight

To continue attracting more firms to opt for IPOs, the regulators have eased the process of gaining approval. However, intensity and efficiency at the same time lead to higher post listing scrutiny, they are as follows:

- Real-time trading surveillance
- Mandatory quarterly compliance audits
- Strict penalties for misleading disclosures

Enhanced Investor Protection Mechanisms

The IPO laws 2025 contain several provisions that aim at protecting the interest of the retail investors;

- Cooling-off periods for high-risk IPOs
- Mandatory investor education programs
- Clearer warnings about speculative investments

These measures are meant to prevent manipulation of the market and in the interest of enhancing long term investment.

Mandatory ESG Compliance Reporting

In the new laws of securities, any enterprise is supposed to report on its ESG performance which refers to:

- Carbon footprint and sustainability initiatives
- Diversity and inclusion metrics
- Corporate governance practices

It also correlates with modern investors' tendencies and makes sure that the public corporations behave sustainably.

Challenges and Opportunities Under IPO Laws 2025

Challenges for Companies

- Due to the current advanced securities regulations, compliance has become even more elaborate and costly.
- Increased scrutiny on digital asset offerings
- Challenges in developing complex ESG reporting for small companies

Opportunities for Businesses and Investors

- Greater market confidence due to improved transparency
- Faster IPO approvals for well-prepared companies
- Stronger investor trust with enhanced investor protection measures

Global Impact of IPO Laws 2025

The companies will now be able to easily seek cross-border listings because recent amendments of the securities laws are in line with the international financial standards. Key benefits include:

- These are coordinated standards with the big markets of the world such as the United States, European Union and Asia.
- Easier compliance for multinational IPOs
- Increased foreign investment opportunities

CONCLUSION

The analysis reveals tailored IPO ecosystems: India's SEBI legislative/judicial/executive powers with e-IPO/T+3 listings and 40% anchor caps; China's CSRC timely disclosure guidelines waiving STAR profitability; Japan's FSA four-part securities reports emphasizing 3-5 year audits and Growth Market tech focus; USA's SEC-FINRA-PCAOB triad prioritizing S-1 risk factors and JOBS Act EGC confidential filings; Saudi CMA's book-building (14-day max, 20% range) and cross/dual-listing for non-Saudis via Tadawul. The IPO laws 2025 as a set of amendments brought the radical change of the securities legislation of Ukraine, focusing on the main principles of transparency, investor protection, and setup of an efficient market. All these are important to answer the research question: how publicity influences companies' compliance programs and investors' decisions? Request Body consolidation of compliance programs will help companies heading for the stock market while the new and clearer disclosures will help investors avoid risks.

To survive in the changing financial environment of the IPO regulations, it is prudent for the stakeholders to keep updated with the future changes in the regulations, consider opportunities that may be opened up and the risks relatively to them.

Emerging markets prioritize safeguards; developed emphasize speed. Implications: Cross-adoption (e.g., India's STAR emulation) aids HT firms; harmonization supports global listings. Future studies may assess reform impacts on under-pricing/capital raised, aligning with India's MSME digital goals.