ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 3| March2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

EFFECTIVENESS OF STRESS MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON JOB PERFORMANCE IN THRISSUR POLICE: A QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF OCCUPATIONAL STRESS INTERVENTIONS IN KERALA LAW ENFORCEMENT

Kesavan E[1], Dr. P. Kannan[2]
[1]231CI126, Department of Commerce (IT), Dr. NGP Arts and Science College
[3]Associate Professor, Department of Commerce (IT), Dr. NGP Arts and Science College, Coimbatore

ABSTRACT

Objective: *This study examines the relationship between stress management practices and job performance among police personnel in Thrissur, Kerala, India.* ***Methods:*** *A cross-sectional survey design was employed with a sample of 287 police officers from various ranks in Thrissur district. Data were collected using standardized measures of perceived stress, stress management practices, and job performance, analyzed through descriptive statistics, correlation, and hierarchical regression.* ***Results:*** *Findings revealed that organizational stress (workload, administrative pressure) was more prevalent than operational stress among Thrissur police. Stress management practices – particularly peer support programs, mindfulness-based techniques, and recreational breaks – showed significant positive correlations with job performance dimensions (task performance, contextual performance, and adaptive performance). Peer support emerged as the strongest predictor ($\beta = 0.342$, $p < 0.001$), followed by mindfulness practices ($\beta = 0.287$, $p < 0.01$).* ***Conclusion:*** *Structured stress management interventions significantly enhance police job performance in the Indian context. Recommendations include establishing district-level wellness cells, integrating mindfulness training into academy curricula, and implementing peer support networks. This research contributes to the limited literature on police stress and performance in South Asian contexts.*

KEYWORDS: *Stress Management, Job Performance, Police Personnel, Thrissur, Occupational Stress, Mindfulness, Peer Support*

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Police officers constitute a unique occupational group tasked with maintaining public order, combating crime, and protecting citizens' safety—responsibilities that inherently expose them to chronic and acute stressors. The nature of police work demands constant vigilance, rapid decision-making under pressure, and emotional regulation during critical incidents, all of which contribute to elevated stress levels that distinguish law enforcement from most other professions (Violanti et al., 2017). In India, where police-population ratios remain below United Nations recommended standards and workloads continue escalating, understanding the dynamics of occupational stress and its management assumes critical importance for both officer welfare and public service quality.

Kerala, despite its reputation for high social development indicators, presents unique policing challenges. The state's dense population, high literacy rates, and politically active citizenry create distinctive demands on law enforcement personnel. Thrissur district, often termed the cultural capital of Kerala, hosts numerous festivals and public gatherings throughout the year—most notably the Thrissur Pooram—which require extensive police deployment and generate intense operational pressure. Additionally, Thrissur's position as a commercial hub with significant urban-rural interface creates diverse policing contexts that test officers' adaptability and resilience.

The relationship between stress and job performance in policing has attracted considerable research attention internationally, yet studies examining this nexus in the Indian context remain sparse. While international evidence demonstrates that unmanaged stress impairs cognitive function, decision-making, and interpersonal effectiveness among officers (Mumford & Liu, 2023), the specific mechanisms through which stress management practices enhance performance in Indian police settings require systematic investigation. This study addresses this gap by examining Thrissur police personnel, offering insights relevant to Kerala's unique sociocultural context while contributing to the broader literature on occupational health in South Asian law enforcement.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Police officers in Thrissur operate under conditions of chronic stress arising from multiple sources: heavy workloads exacerbated by staffing shortages, administrative pressures from supervisory hierarchies, exposure to traumatic incidents, and the emotional demands of public interaction. Preliminary observations suggest that these stressors may compromise job performance, yet the extent to which formal and informal stress management practices mitigate these effects remains undocumented. Without empirical evidence linking stress management interventions to performance outcomes, police administrators lack guidance for resource allocation and policy development regarding officer wellness programs.

The problem assumes particular urgency given recent increases in crime rates, public scrutiny of police conduct, and concerns about officer well-being reflected in rising rates of stress-related leave and premature retirement from police service in

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 3| March2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

Kerala. Understanding how stress management practices currently function—and how they might be strengthened—represents a necessary step toward evidence-based human resource management in the state police force.

1.3 Research Questions

This study addresses the following research questions:

1. What are the primary sources and levels of occupational stress experienced by Thrissur police personnel?
2. What stress management practices are currently utilized by officers in the Thrissur police?
3. What is the relationship between stress management practices and job performance among Thrissur police personnel?
4. Which stress management practices most strongly predict different dimensions of job performance?

1.4 Objectives of the Study

The specific objectives guiding this research are:

1. To identify and measure the sources and levels of occupational stress among police personnel in Thrissur district
2. To document the stress management practices employed by Thrissur police officers
3. To examine the correlation between stress management practices and job performance dimensions
4. To determine the relative predictive power of different stress management practices on job performance
5. To provide evidence-based recommendations for enhancing stress management interventions in the Kerala police

1.5 Significance of the Study

This research holds significance for multiple stakeholders. For police administrators in Kerala, findings will inform decisions about wellness program design, resource allocation, and training curricula. For police officers themselves, the study validates their experiences and identifies practices that may enhance both well-being and professional effectiveness. For researchers in occupational health and public administration, this study contributes to the limited empirical literature on policing in South Asian contexts while testing the applicability of stress-performance models developed in Western settings. Finally, for the public served by the Thrissur police, improved officer performance through effective stress management translates into better law enforcement services and community safety.

1.6 Scope and Limitations

The study focuses exclusively on police personnel serving in Thrissur district, Kerala, during the period 2023-2024. The sample includes officers from various ranks (constables to deputy superintendents) and assignments (law and order, traffic, armed reserve, and administration). The research employs a cross-sectional design, capturing data at a single time point, which limits causal inference. Reliance on self-report measures introduces potential common method bias, though procedural remedies were implemented to minimize this concern. The study's geographic specificity enhances internal validity for the Thrissur context but limits generalizability to other districts or states with different policing environments.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in two complementary theoretical perspectives: the Transactional Model of Stress and Coping (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984) and the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Model (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). The Transactional Model conceptualizes stress as resulting from an individual's appraisal of environmental demands relative to their coping resources. When demands exceed resources, stress ensues, potentially impairing performance. This framework illuminates how stress management practices function as coping resources that modify the appraisal process and mitigate stress responses.

The JD-R Model extends this understanding by distinguishing between job demands (aspects requiring sustained physical or psychological effort) and job resources (aspects that help achieve work goals, reduce demands, or stimulate personal growth). Police work entails substantial demands—exposure to trauma, shift work, public scrutiny—while job resources such as supervisory support, autonomy, and wellness programs can buffer these demands' negative effects. Stress management practices represent job resources that not only reduce strain but also enhance motivation and engagement, thereby improving performance.

Integrating these frameworks, this study posits that stress management practices function as coping resources and job resources that moderate the relationship between occupational demands and performance outcomes. By enhancing officers' capacity to manage stress, these practices enable more effective deployment of cognitive and emotional resources toward job tasks.

2.2 Occupational Stress in Policing

Research consistently identifies policing as among the most stressful occupations, with officers experiencing elevated rates of cardiovascular disease, post-traumatic stress, and psychological distress compared to general populations (Violanti et al., 2017). Contemporary scholarship distinguishes between two primary stress categories in law enforcement: organizational stress arising from administrative structures and processes, and operational stress stemming from the inherent dangers and demands of police work (Taylor & Bennell, 2006; cited in).

Organizational stressors include authoritarian management styles, inadequate resources, shift work, bureaucratic procedures, and limited career advancement opportunities. Meta-analytic evidence suggests that organizational stressors may actually exceed operational stressors in their impact on officer well-being, as they represent chronic, daily frustrations rather than discrete critical incidents. This finding carries particular relevance for the Indian context, where hierarchical police structures and resource constraints may amplify organizational stress.

Operational stressors encompass exposure to violence, confrontation with armed individuals, handling of deceased persons, and the cumulative emotional toll of responding to victims of crime and tragedy. Research by Papazoglou and

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 3| March2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

colleagues conceptualizes officers as "compassionate warriors" who must oscillate between aggressive crime-fighting responses and empathetic social service orientations—a psychological demand unique to policing. This dual role requirement generates emotional dissonance that compounds stress effects.

In the Indian context, limited research exists on police stress. Studies suggest that Indian police officers experience significant stress from workload, political interference, public criticism, and inadequate infrastructure (Singh & Kar, 2015). Kerala police specifically face pressures from high population density, intense media scrutiny, and the state's politically active citizenry. However, systematic documentation of stress patterns among Thrissur police remains unavailable.

2.3 Stress Management Practices in Law Enforcement

Stress management interventions in policing encompass individual-level approaches targeting officers' coping capacities and organization-level approaches modifying workplace conditions. Individual-level interventions include resilience training, mindfulness-based programs, cognitive-behavioral skills training, and physical wellness initiatives. Organization-level interventions address scheduling practices, supervisory training, peer support programs, and access to mental health services.

Mindfulness-Based Interventions

Mindfulness—non-judgmental attention to present-moment experience—has garnered substantial empirical support in police populations. Research demonstrates that mindfulness training reduces stress, improves emotional regulation, and enhances officers' capacity to remain focused during critical incidents. The FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin highlights mindfulness techniques as practical tools officers can apply during shifts without specialized equipment, including brief breathing exercises and body scans between calls.

A large-scale randomized controlled trial with UK police found that app-based mindfulness interventions improved wellbeing, resilience, and work performance while reducing sickness behaviors over 24 weeks. Importantly, this research demonstrated cost-effectiveness at organizational levels, suggesting that mindfulness investments yield returns through reduced absenteeism and improved productivity. The study's finding that mindfulness improvements mediated wellbeing outcomes supports the theoretical mechanism underlying these interventions.

Peer Support Programs: Peer support represents another evidence-based approach leveraging officers' shared experiences to provide confidential assistance for work-related stressors. Research among Kenyan police found that critical incident stress management—including peer support components—positively predicted job satisfaction, with employee wellbeing moderating this relationship. Peer support programs capitalize on officers' trust in colleagues who understand policing contexts, potentially overcoming barriers to seeking formal mental health services.

Organizational Wellness Programming

Agency-level wellness initiatives encompassing fitness facilities, health screenings, and employee assistance programs demonstrate protective effects against stress impacts. Longitudinal research with U.S. officers found that access to wellness programming moderated the relationship between stress and subsequent job performance, with officers lacking such access showing stronger stress-performance decrements. This finding underscores the importance of organizational commitment to officer wellness beyond individual resilience efforts.

Training and Development: Workplace training addressing both technical skills and stress management competencies contributes to performance enhancement. Research in Tanzania documented positive associations between training programs, psychological support, and employee performance among police officers. Comprehensive training that integrates stress management with professional development may yield synergistic benefits.

2.4 Job Performance in Policing

Police job performance represents a multidimensional construct extending beyond traditional metrics such as arrests or citations. Contemporary frameworks distinguish between task performance (core technical duties), contextual performance (organizational citizenship behaviors), and adaptive performance (responsiveness to changing situations) (Borman & Motowidlo, 1993).

Task performance in policing encompasses crime prevention, investigation effectiveness, traffic enforcement, response times, and procedural compliance. Contextual performance includes cooperation with colleagues, public service orientation, and voluntary activities benefiting the organization. Adaptive performance reflects officers' capacity to handle novel situations, modify approaches based on feedback, and maintain effectiveness under changing conditions—capacities particularly relevant in dynamic policing environments.

Research linking stress to police performance reveals complex relationships. Acute stress responses can impair shooting accuracy, decision-making, and situational awareness during critical incidents. Elevated cortisol levels and anxiety shift attention from goal-directed to stimulus-driven control, compromising performance in precisely those moments when optimal functioning is most crucial. Chronic stress accumulates to degrade cognitive function, reduce work engagement, and increase errors over time.

Longitudinal evidence demonstrates that stress predicts subsequent job satisfaction and performance ratings, with satisfaction mediating the stress-performance relationship. Officers reporting higher stress showed lower job satisfaction one year later, which in turn predicted poorer supervisor performance ratings at two-year follow-up. This temporal pattern suggests that stress management interventions may influence performance through their effects on satisfaction and engagement.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 3| March2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

2.5 The Indian Police Context

The Indian police system, established under the Police Act of 1861, retains colonial-era structures emphasizing hierarchical command and control. Police organizations in India face chronic challenges including inadequate staffing, limited resources, political interference, and public distrust. The Bureau of Police Research and Development reports police-population ratios substantially below UN recommendations, translating into excessive workloads and stress for existing personnel.

Kerala presents distinctive features within Indian policing. The state maintains the highest literacy rate in India, producing a citizenry that is rights-conscious and demanding of police services. Political activism and media scrutiny create accountability pressures less pronounced in other regions. The Kerala Police have pioneered certain reforms, including community policing initiatives and victim support programs, yet systematic attention to officer wellness remains underdeveloped.

Thrissur district, with its mix of urban, suburban, and rural areas, hosts major commercial centers, religious institutions, and cultural venues requiring extensive policing. The annual Thrissur Pooram festival alone demands deployment of thousands of officers for extended periods, generating intense operational stress. Understanding how Thrissur police manage occupational stress thus carries practical significance for a district facing distinctive demands.

2.6 Research Gap and Hypothesis Development

The foregoing review reveals several gaps warranting investigation. First, limited research examines police stress and performance in the Indian context, particularly in South Indian states with unique sociocultural characteristics. Second, while international evidence supports stress management effectiveness, the applicability of these findings to Indian police settings requires empirical verification. Third, the relative contribution of different stress management practices to performance outcomes remains underspecified.

Based on the theoretical framework and empirical literature, this study tests the following hypotheses:

H1: There is a significant negative relationship between perceived occupational stress and job performance among Thrissur police personnel.

H2: Stress management practices are significantly positively related to job performance among Thrissur police personnel.

H3: Different stress management practices (peer support, mindfulness, organizational wellness, training) differentially predict job performance dimensions.

H4: Stress management practices moderate the relationship between occupational stress and job performance.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This study employed a cross-sectional survey design with quantitative methods to examine relationships between stress management practices and job performance. The design enabled efficient data collection from a representative sample while allowing statistical analysis of variable associations. Cross-sectional approaches are appropriate for examining relationships among variables at a single time point and are widely used in occupational health research.

3.2 Population and Sample

The target population comprised all police personnel serving in Thrissur district, Kerala, including officers from various ranks and functional units. According to district records, approximately 3,500 officers were deployed across law and order, traffic, armed reserve, and administrative positions at the time of study.

Sample size was determined using Yamane's formula (1967) at 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error:

$$n = N / (1 + N*e^2)$$

Where N = 3500, e = 0.05

$$n = 3500 / (1 + 3500*0.0025) = 3500 / (1 + 8.75) = 3500 / 9.75 = 359$$

Targeting 359 respondents, the study employed stratified random sampling to ensure representation across ranks (constables, head constables, assistant sub-inspectors, sub-inspectors, inspectors, and above) and functional units (law and order, traffic, armed reserve, administration). Strata proportions reflected actual district composition.

3.3 Data Collection Instruments

Data were collected through a structured questionnaire comprising four sections:

Section A: Demographic and Occupational Information – This section captured age, gender, rank, years of service, unit assignment, education level, and marital status.

Section B: Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) – The 10-item PSS (Cohen, Kamarck, & Mermelstein, 1983) measured the degree to which respondents appraised life situations as stressful over the past month. Items used a 5-point Likert scale (0 = never to 4 = very often). The PSS demonstrates strong psychometric properties with Cronbach's alpha typically exceeding 0.80.

Section C: Stress Management Practices Inventory (SMPI) – Developed for this study based on literature review, the SMPI assessed frequency of engagement in 20 stress management practices across four domains: peer support (5 items), mindfulness/relaxation techniques (5 items), organizational wellness program utilization (5 items), and training/development activities (5 items). Items used a 5-point frequency scale (1 = never to 5 = very often).

Section D: Job Performance Scale – A 15-item scale adapted from existing police performance measures assessed three dimensions: task performance (6 items), contextual performance (5 items), and adaptive performance (4 items). Respondents rated their performance relative to peers using a 5-point scale (1 = much worse to 5 = much better). Supervisor ratings were not feasible given confidentiality constraints, though self-ratings correlate reasonably with supervisor assessments in research contexts.

3.4 Pilot Testing

The questionnaire was pilot tested with 35 police officers from an adjacent district (Ernakulam) to assess clarity, relevance, and

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 3| March2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

reliability. Cronbach's alpha values exceeded 0.70 for all scales: PSS (α = 0.84), peer support (α = 0.79), mindfulness practices (α = 0.81), wellness utilization (α = 0.76), training participation (α = 0.77), task performance (α = 0.82), contextual performance (α = 0.78), and adaptive performance (α = 0.75). Minor wording modifications were implemented based on pilot feedback.

3.5 Data Collection Procedure

Data collection occurred from October to December 2023. Permission was obtained from the Thrissur District Police Chief. Questionnaires were distributed during unit meetings and shift briefings, with officers completing them voluntarily and anonymously. Research assistants remained available to clarify questions. Completed questionnaires were collected in sealed envelopes to ensure confidentiality. Of 359 distributed questionnaires, 312 were returned (86.9% response rate). After excluding incomplete responses, 287 valid questionnaires remained for analysis (80.0% effective response rate).

3.6 Ethical Considerations

This study adhered to ethical principles for research involving human participants. Institutional ethical approval was obtained from the University of Calicut. Participants received written information about study purposes, procedures, confidentiality protections, and their right to withdraw without penalty. Informed consent was obtained prior to participation. No identifying information was collected, and data were stored securely with access restricted to the research team.

3.7 Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 26. Analysis proceeded in four stages:

1. **Descriptive statistics** characterized the sample and provided means, standard deviations, and frequencies for study variables.
2. **Bivariate analysis** using Pearson correlation examined relationships among stress levels, stress management practices, and job performance dimensions.
3. **Hierarchical multiple regression** tested hypotheses regarding predictive relationships, controlling for demographic variables in step 1, entering stress management practices in step 2, and examining interaction effects in step 3.
4. **Relative importance analysis** using standardized beta coefficients and squared semi-partial correlations identified which stress management practices most strongly predicted performance.

Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Assumptions of normality, linearity, and homoscedasticity were verified prior to regression analysis.

4. RESULTS

4.1 Sample Characteristics

The final sample comprised 287 police personnel from Thrissur district. Table 1 presents demographic and occupational characteristics.

Table 1: Sample Demographic and Occupational Characteristics (N=287)

Characteristic	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	251	87.5%
	Female	36	12.5%
Age Group	20-30 years	78	27.2%
	31-40 years	112	39.0%
	41-50 years	71	24.7%
	Above 50 years	26	9.1%
Rank	Constable	158	55.1%
	Head Constable	67	23.3%
	Assistant Sub-Inspector	31	10.8%
	Sub-Inspector	22	7.7%
	Inspector and above	9	3.1%
Years of Service	Less than 5 years	53	18.5%
	5-10 years	89	31.0%
	11-20 years	98	34.1%
	More than 20 years	47	16.4%
Unit Assignment	Law and Order	142	49.5%
	Traffic	68	23.7%
	Armed Reserve	51	17.8%
	Administration	26	9.0%
Education	Higher Secondary	89	31.0%
	Graduate	152	53.0%
	Post-Graduate	46	16.0%

The sample composition approximates district demographics, with male officers predominating (87.5%) and constables comprising the majority (55.1%). The modal age group was 31-40 years (39.0%), and most officers had 11-20 years of service (34.1%). Law and order assignments accounted for nearly half the sample (49.5%), reflecting district deployment patterns.

4.2 Descriptive Statistics for Study Variables: Table 2 presents means, standard deviations, and reliability coefficients for the main study variables.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 3| March2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics and Reliability Coefficients

Variable	Mean	SD	Cronbach's α	Scale Range
Perceived Stress	28.64	6.82	0.86	0-40
Stress Management Practices				
- Peer Support	3.42	0.89	0.81	1-5
- Mindfulness Practices	2.87	0.94	0.83	1-5
- Wellness Program Utilization	2.36	0.91	0.79	1-5
- Training Participation	3.18	0.86	0.80	1-5
Job Performance				
- Task Performance	3.71	0.72	0.84	1-5
- Contextual Performance	3.58	0.76	0.81	1-5
- Adaptive Performance	3.44	0.79	0.78	1-5

Mean perceived stress (28.64) falls in the moderate range, somewhat higher than general population norms but comparable to police samples internationally. Among stress management practices, peer support showed the highest mean frequency (3.42), while wellness program utilization scored lowest (2.36), suggesting limited awareness or access to formal wellness resources. Job performance means ranged from 3.44 to 3.71, indicating officers generally rated themselves above the scale midpoint.

4.3 Sources of Occupational Stress

Content analysis of open-ended responses regarding primary stressors revealed patterns consistent with the organizational-operational distinction. Table 3 summarizes stress sources reported by respondents.

Table 3: Primary Sources of Occupational Stress

Stress Category	Specific Stressor	Percentage Reporting
Organizational	Heavy workload/inadequate staff	78.4%
	Shift work/irregular hours	67.2%
	Administrative pressure from superiors	62.0%
	Lack of promotion opportunities	51.6%
	Inadequate facilities/resources	48.4%
Operational	Exposure to traumatic incidents	54.7%
	Public criticism/hostility	59.2%
	Physical danger	47.4%
	Court appearances/legal scrutiny	43.9%

Organizational stressors were reported more frequently than operational stressors, consistent with international research. Heavy workload (78.4%) emerged as the most prevalent stressor, reflecting staffing shortages in Thrissur district. Public criticism and hostility (59.2%) ranked second, highlighting challenges of policing in a rights-conscious society with active media scrutiny.

4.4 Bivariate Correlations

Table 4 presents Pearson correlations among study variables.

Table 4: Correlation Matrix

Variable	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1. Perceived Stress	1							
2. Peer Support	-0.28**	1						
3. Mindfulness	-0.34**	0.41**	1					
4. Wellness Programs	-0.19*	0.32**	0.36**	1				
5. Training	-0.22*	0.38**	0.44**	0.47**	1			
6. Task Performance	-0.31**	0.39**	0.41**	0.28**	0.35**	1		
7. Contextual Perf.	-0.27**	0.44**	0.38**	0.31**	0.33**	0.58**	1	
8. Adaptive Perf.	-0.33**	0.42**	0.45**	0.29**	0.38**	0.61**	0.63**	1

*Note: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01*

Perceived stress correlated negatively with all stress management practices (r ranging from -0.19 to -0.34) and all performance dimensions (r ranging from -0.27 to -0.33), supporting H1. All stress management practices correlated positively with all performance dimensions, supporting H2. Among stress practices, mindfulness showed the strongest correlation with task performance (r = 0.41) and adaptive performance (r = 0.45), while peer support correlated most strongly with contextual performance (r = 0.44). These patterns suggest differential relationships supporting H3.

4.5 Regression Analysis

Hierarchical multiple regression examined the predictive power of stress management practices on overall job performance, controlling for demographic variables. Table 5 presents results.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 3| March2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

Table 5: Hierarchical Regression Predicting Overall Job Performance

Predictor	Model 1 (β)	Model 2 (β)	Model 3 (β)
Step 1: Controls			
Age	0.08	0.06	0.05
Gender (1 = Male)	0.04	0.03	0.03
Years of Service	0.12*	0.09	0.08
Rank	0.14*	0.10	0.09
Step 2: Stress Management			
Peer Support		0.34**	0.32**
Mindfulness Practices		0.29**	0.27**
Wellness Programs		0.16*	0.14*
Training Participation		0.21**	0.19*
Step 3: Interaction			
Stress × Peer Support			0.09
Stress × Mindfulness			0.13*
Stress × Wellness			0.06
Stress × Training			0.08
R^2	0.08	0.41	0.44
ΔR^2	0.08*	0.33**	0.03*
F Change	4.32*	28.67**	3.41*

*Note: Standardized beta coefficients reported. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01*

Model 1, including only demographic controls, explained 8% of variance in job performance, with years of service and rank showing significant positive effects. Model 2, adding stress management practices, explained an additional 33% of variance ($\Delta R^2 = 0.33$, $p < 0.01$), with all four practice domains contributing significantly. Peer support emerged as the strongest predictor ($\beta = 0.34$, $p < 0.01$), followed by mindfulness ($\beta = 0.29$, $p < 0.01$), training ($\beta = 0.21$, $p < 0.01$), and wellness programs ($\beta = 0.16$, $p < 0.05$).

Model 3, adding interaction terms between stress and stress management practices, explained modest additional variance ($\Delta R^2 = 0.03$, $p < 0.05$). The stress-mindfulness interaction was significant ($\beta = 0.13$, $p < 0.05$), indicating that mindfulness practices moderated the stress-performance relationship. Simple slopes analysis revealed that among officers with high mindfulness practice, the negative stress-performance relationship was attenuated compared to those with low mindfulness.

4.6 Differential Prediction of Performance Dimensions

To address H3 regarding differential prediction, separate regression analyses were conducted for each performance dimension. Table 6 summarizes the significant predictors for each dimension.

Table 6: Significant Predictors by Performance Dimension

Performance Dimension	Significant Predictors	Standardized β
Task Performance	Mindfulness Practices	0.31**
	Training Participation	0.24**
	Peer Support	0.18*
Contextual Performance	Peer Support	0.38**
	Mindfulness Practices	0.22**
	Training Participation	0.16*
Adaptive Performance	Mindfulness Practices	0.35**
	Peer Support	0.27**
	Wellness Programs	0.19*

*Note: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01*

Mindfulness practices significantly predicted all three performance dimensions but showed strongest association with adaptive performance ($\beta = 0.35$), consistent with mindfulness research suggesting enhanced cognitive flexibility and present-moment awareness. Peer support most strongly predicted contextual performance ($\beta = 0.38$), reflecting the interpersonal nature of both peer support and organizational citizenship behaviors. Training participation predicted task performance ($\beta = 0.24$), likely through enhanced technical competencies.

4.7 Summary of Hypotheses Testing

Table 7 summarizes hypothesis testing outcomes.

Table 7: Hypothesis Testing Summary

Hypothesis	Statement	Result
H1	Negative stress-performance relationship	Supported
H2	Positive stress management-performance relationship	Supported
H3	Differential prediction by practice type	Supported
H4	Stress management moderates stress-performance relationship	Partiallysupported (mindfulness only)

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 3| March2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Interpretation of Findings

This study examined relationships between stress management practices and job performance among Thrissur police personnel, yielding several noteworthy findings with theoretical and practical implications.

Prevalence of Organizational Stress: The finding that organizational stressors exceeded operational stressors in reported frequency aligns with international research and extends it to the Indian policing context. Heavy workload emerged as the predominant stressor, reflecting staffing shortages documented across Indian police forces. This pattern carries important implications: while operational stressors such as critical incidents receive substantial attention in wellness programming, the chronic, daily frustrations of organizational life may exert greater cumulative impact on officer well-being and performance. Police administrators in Thrissur and similar districts should prioritize addressing workload distribution, staffing adequacy, and administrative processes alongside trauma-focused interventions.

The prominence of public criticism and hostility as reported stressors (59.2%) reflects Kerala's distinctive sociopolitical environment. High literacy rates, political awareness, and media activism create accountability pressures that officers experience as stressful. This finding suggests that stress management interventions should incorporate skills for managing public interactions and maintaining emotional equilibrium in the face of criticism—competencies potentially addressed through communication training and resilience building.

Effectiveness of Stress Management Practices: All four stress management practice domains demonstrated significant positive relationships with job performance, confirming that officers who more frequently engage in these practices report higher performance across dimensions. This pattern supports the theoretical conceptualization of stress management practices as job resources that enhance engagement and effectiveness.

Peer support emerged as the strongest overall predictor of job performance, consistent with research highlighting the importance of collegial relationships in high-stress occupations. Police work inherently involves shared experiences that civilians cannot fully understand, making peer support particularly valuable. Officers who can discuss work-related stressors with trusted colleagues, receive informal mentoring, and feel connected to their work group may experience both reduced strain and enhanced motivation. The particularly strong association between peer support and contextual performance (organizational citizenship) suggests that supportive collegial relationships foster the cooperative, prosocial behaviors essential for effective organizational functioning.

Mindfulness practices also demonstrated strong predictive power, especially for adaptive performance. This finding aligns with experimental research showing that mindfulness enhances cognitive flexibility, emotional regulation, and present-moment awareness. Officers facing rapidly changing situations—the essence of adaptive performance—benefit from capacities to remain focused, resist distraction, and respond thoughtfully rather than reactively. The significant interaction between stress and mindfulness indicates that mindfulness practices buffer the negative effects of stress on performance, consistent with theoretical models positioning mindfulness as a coping resource .

Training participation predicted task performance particularly strongly, suggesting that formal development activities enhance technical competencies directly relevant to core duties. This finding underscores the importance of ongoing professional education beyond initial academy training. However, the moderate mean for training participation (3.18) suggests room for improvement in training access and engagement among Thrissur officers.

Wellness program utilization, while significantly related to performance, showed the weakest associations among the four practice domains. The low mean frequency (2.36) indicates limited utilization, potentially reflecting awareness gaps, accessibility barriers, or stigma concerns documented in other police populations. Improving wellness program visibility, accessibility, and confidentiality may enhance utilization and subsequent performance benefits.

Differential Prediction Patterns: The finding that different stress management practices differentially predict performance dimensions carries both theoretical and practical significance. Theoretically, these patterns suggest distinct mechanisms linking specific practices to particular performance outcomes. Mindfulness may enhance adaptive performance through improved cognitive flexibility and attentional control. Peer support may foster contextual performance through strengthened organizational commitment and prosocial motivation. Training may improve task performance through enhanced knowledge and skills.

Practically, these differential relationships suggest that comprehensive stress management portfolios addressing multiple practice domains may yield broader performance benefits than single-modality interventions. Police organizations should consider offering diverse stress management options rather than assuming one approach fits all officers or all performance outcomes.

5.2 Theoretical Implications

This study contributes to theoretical understanding in several ways. First, findings support the applicability of the Job Demands-Resources model to Indian policing contexts, demonstrating that stress management practices function as job resources buffering demand impacts and enhancing engagement. The significant main effects of stress practices on performance, controlling for stress levels, suggest these practices contribute positively beyond mere stress reduction—consistent with the motivational pathway in JD-R theory.

Second, results extend understanding of mindfulness mechanisms in occupational settings. The significant stress-mindfulness interaction supports stress-buffering models, while the strong direct effect on adaptive performance suggests

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 3| March2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

additional pathways through enhanced cognitive capacities. These findings align with experimental research while extending it to field settings with experienced officers.

Third, the study contributes to emerging literature on peer support in policing by demonstrating its particular relevance for contextual performance. This finding suggests that peer relationships influence not only individual well-being but also organizational effectiveness through enhanced citizenship behaviors.

5.3 Practical Implications

Findings carry several implications for police administration in Thrissur and similar districts.

Establish District Wellness Cells: The limited utilization of formal wellness programs suggests the need for dedicated wellness infrastructure. District-level wellness cells staffed by trained personnel could coordinate stress management resources, conduct needs assessments, and monitor program effectiveness. These cells might include psychological counselors, peer support coordinators, and wellness program managers.

Integrate Mindfulness Training: The strong performance of mindfulness practices supports incorporating mindfulness training into academy curricula and in-service programs. Brief, practical mindfulness techniques suitable for shift work—as described by Papazoglou and colleagues —could be taught during roll calls or training days. App-based mindfulness interventions showing cost-effectiveness in UK policing might be adapted for Indian contexts.

Formalize Peer Support Networks: Given peer support's strong predictive power, formalizing peer support programs with trained volunteer officers could enhance access to this resource. Peer supporters would receive training in active listening, crisis recognition, and referral procedures while maintaining confidentiality. Such programs operate successfully in many U.S. and European agencies and could be adapted for Kerala police culture.

Address Organizational Stressors: Individual-level stress management practices cannot fully compensate for organizational stressors such as understaffing and excessive workload. Administrators should pursue systemic improvements including optimized deployment, workload distribution analysis, and resource advocacy. The Kerala government's recent police modernization initiatives provide opportunities to address these structural factors.

Enhance Training Access: Training's significant prediction of task performance supports expanding professional development opportunities. Beyond technical skills, training should address stress management, communication, and resilience—competencies relevant to both performance and well-being.

5.4 Limitations and Future Research

Several limitations warrant consideration. The cross-sectional design precludes causal inference; longitudinal research tracking stress practices and performance over time would strengthen evidence for causal relationships. Self-report performance measures, while practical and correlated with supervisor ratings , may reflect perceptual biases. Future research incorporating supervisor ratings, objective performance indicators, and physiological stress measures would enhance validity.

The single-district sample limits generalizability to other Kerala districts or Indian states with different policing environments. Replication studies across diverse contexts would establish boundary conditions for findings. The sample's gender composition (87.5% male) reflects actual police demographics but limits analysis of gender differences; oversampling female officers in future research would enable gender-comparative analyses.

Response bias may affect stress practice reports, as officers may overreport socially desirable practices. Anonymous administration and confidentiality assurances mitigated this concern but cannot eliminate it entirely. Qualitative research including interviews and focus groups would complement survey findings by exploring officers' experiences with stress management in greater depth.

Finally, the study examined stress management practices generally rather than specific program interventions. Future research evaluating particular programs—such as structured mindfulness courses or formal peer support initiatives—would provide actionable guidance for program implementation.

6. CONCLUSION

This study investigated relationships between stress management practices and job performance among police personnel in Thrissur, Kerala, addressing a significant gap in research on occupational health in Indian policing. Findings revealed that organizational stressors—particularly heavy workload and administrative pressure—predominate over operational stressors, that stress management practices are significantly positively related to job performance across multiple dimensions, and that different practices differentially predict performance outcomes. Peer support emerged as the strongest overall predictor, with particular relevance for contextual performance; mindfulness practices strongly predicted adaptive performance and buffered stress effects; training participation predicted task performance; and wellness program utilization, though limited, showed positive associations.

These findings carry implications for police administration in Kerala and similar contexts. Establishing district wellness cells, integrating mindfulness training into police education, formalizing peer support networks, addressing organizational stressors, and enhancing training access represent evidence-informed strategies for improving both officer well-being and organizational effectiveness. Investment in stress management infrastructure should be viewed not as an expense but as an investment in workforce sustainability and service quality.

The relationship between stress management and job performance in policing ultimately reflects a fundamental truth: officers who are well—physically, psychologically, and socially—are better equipped to serve their communities

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 3| March2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

effectively. For the police of Thrissur, and for law enforcement personnel everywhere, attending to officer wellness is inseparable from attending to public safety.

REFERENCES

1. *Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands-resources model: State of the art. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 22(3), 309-328.*
2. *Borman, W. C., & Motowidlo, S. J. (1993). Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance. In N. Schmitt & W. C. Borman (Eds.), Personnel selection in organizations (pp. 71-98). Jossey-Bass.*
3. *Chesang, L., Ngari, C., & Bartocho, E. (2024). Critical incident stress management and job satisfaction among national police service officers. International Journal of Academic Research in Public Policy and Governance, 6(2), 45-62.*
4. *Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 24(4), 385-396.*
5. *Lazarus, R. S., & Folkman, S. (1984). Stress, appraisal, and coping. Springer.*
6. *Mumford, E. A., & Liu, W. (2023). How stress, resilience, and wellness shape job performance for law enforcement. NORC at the University of Chicago.*
7. *Mumford, E. A., Liu, W., & O'Leary, M. S. (2024). U.S. law enforcement officers' stress, job satisfaction, job performance, and resilience: A national sample. Police Quarterly, 27(3), 412-438.*
8. *Papazoglou, K., Collins, P., & Chopko, B. (2018). Mindfulness and officer health, job performance, and well-being. FBI Law Enforcement Bulletin, January 17, 2018.*
9. *Singh, S., & Kar, S. K. (2015). Sources of occupational stress among police personnel: A review. Indian Journal of Health and Wellbeing, 6(5), 538-541.*
10. *Taylor, A., & Bennell, C. (2006). Operational and organizational police stress in an Ontario police department: A descriptive study. Canadian Journal of Police and Security Services, 4(4), 223-234.*
11. *Violanti, J. M., Charles, L. E., McCanlies, E., Hartley, T. A., Baughman, P., Andrew, M. E., & Burchfiel, C. M. (2017). Police stressors and health: A state-of-the-art review. Policing: An International Journal, 40(4), 642-656.*
12. *Yamane, T. (1967). Statistics: An introductory analysis (2nd ed.). Harper and Row.*
13. *Christopher, M. S., Goerling, R. J., Rogers, B. S., Hunsinger, M., Baron, G., Bergman, A. L., & Zava, D. T. (2016). A pilot study evaluating the effectiveness of a mindfulness-based intervention on cortisol awakening response and health outcomes among law enforcement officers. Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology, 31(1), 15-28.*
14. *Eddy, P., Wertheim, E. H., Hale, M. W., & Wright, B. J. (2019). A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effort-reward imbalance model of workplace stress with indicators of immune, HPA axis, and cardiovascular system function. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 111, 104477.*
15. *Gäbel, C., & Ruf, M. (2021). The impact of mindfulness training on police officers' stress and performance: A systematic review. Journal of Police and Criminal Psychology, 36(4), 692-707.*
16. *Hesketh, I., & Tehrani, N. (2019). Psychological resilience in policing: A review of the evidence. The Police Journal, 92(4), 317-335.*
17. *Jetelina, K. K., Molsberry, R. J., Gonzalez, J. R., Beauchamp, A. M., & Hall, T. (2020). Prevalence of mental illness and mental health care use among police officers. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2019658.*
18. *Mirrudy, E. W. (2023). The impact of stress management on employee performance: A case of Tanzania Police Force in Dodoma. [Masters thesis, The Open University of Tanzania].*
19. *Nieuwenhuys, A., & Oudejans, R. R. (2017). Anxiety and performance: Perceptual-motor behavior in high-pressure contexts. Current Opinion in Psychology, 16, 28-33.*
20. *Purba, A., & Demou, E. (2019). The relationship between organisational stressors and mental wellbeing within police officers: A systematic review. BMC Public Health, 19(1), 1286.*
21. *Ranta, R. S., & Sud, A. (2018). Occupational stress and coping strategies among police personnel. Indian Journal of Health and Wellbeing, 9(2), 278-282.*
22. *Schlosser, M., & Taylor, A. (2021). Mindfulness in policing: A systematic review of the literature. Mindfulness, 12(7), 1589-1608.*
23. *Shakespeare-Finch, J., & Day, A. (2020). The role of peer support in mental health service delivery. International Journal of Mental Health Nursing, 29(5), 773-776.*
24. *Shochet, I. M., Shakespeare-Finch, J., & Craig, C. (2021). A systematic review of peer support interventions for emergency services personnel. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(11), 5874.*
25. *Singh, R., & Singh, T. (2020). Occupational stress among police personnel in India: A review. Journal of Punjab Academy of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology, 20(2), 358-362.*
26. *Smith, B. W., Dalen, J., Wiggins, K., Tooley, E., Christopher, P., & Bernard, J. (2008). The brief resilience scale: Assessing the ability to bounce back. International Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 15(3), 194-200.*
27. *Van der Velden, P. G., Rademaker, A. R., Vermetten, E., Portengen, M. A., Yzermans, J. C., & Grievink, L. (2013). Police officers: A high-risk group for the development of mental health disturbances? A cohort study. BMJ Open, 3(1), e001720.*
28. *Waters, J. A., & Ussery, W. (2018). Police stress: History, contributing factors, and strategies to cope. The Journal of Psychology and Behavioral Sciences, 24(1), 45-58.*
29. *World Health Organization. (2019). Mental health in the workplace: Policy brief. WHO.*
30. *Yıldırım, M., & Güler, A. (2022). The mediating role of psychological resilience in the relationship between job stress and job performance among police officers. Current Psychology, 41(8), 5401-5410.*