ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 5| May 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

ATYAYIKA CHIKITSA IN THE SUSHRUTA SAMHITA: INTERPRETATION THROUGH APAVARGA TANTRAYUKTI

Dr. Shivaprakash Sunkad[1], Dr. Haroon Irshad[2]
[1]3rd Year Post Graduate Scholar, Department of Ayurveda Samhita and Siddhanta,
Sri Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara College of Ayurveda and Hospital, Thanniruhallla, Hassan, Karnataka, India.
[2]Professor, Department of Ayurveda Samhita and Siddhanta,
Sri Dharmasthala Manjunatheshwara college of Ayurveda and Hospital, Thanniruhallla, Hassan, Karnataka, India.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra27554
DOI No: 10.36713/epra27554

ABSTRACT
Atyayika conditions in the Sushruta Samhita represent acute, rapidly progressing, and life-threatening states that demand immediate medical intervention. While Ayurveda is guided by well-defined general principles (Utsarga), such as seasonal regimens, procedural indications, and therapeutic timing, these may not always be applicable in emergency situations. Apavarga Tantrayukti, defined as the exclusion of a specific case from a general rule, provides the methodological basis for such justified exceptions in critical conditions. This study analyzes various contexts of Atyayika Chikitsa and demonstrates how Apavarga Tantrayukti provides a logical framework for justified deviation from these general rules. Through a literary analytical approach, references from different sections of the text were systematically compiled and categorized into procedural, clinical, prognostic, chronological, and pharmacological domains. The findings reveal a consistent pattern wherein acute conditions necessitate overriding standard guidelines to prioritize Prana Rakshana (preservation of life). Examples such as Agnikarma, Siravyadha, Swedana, and management of conditions like Ashmari, Jvara, and traumatic injuries illustrate the practical application of this principle. The study highlights that such deviations are not arbitrary but are based on rational clinical judgment (Yukti), emphasizing flexibility within the Ayurvedic framework. Thus, Atyayika Chikitsa emerges as a structured and essential component of emergency care, reflecting both the depth and adaptability of classical Ayurvedic knowledge.

KEYWORDS: *Atyayika Chikitsa, Apavarga Tantrayukti, Sushruta Samhita*

INTRODUCTION

Background

The Sushruta Samhita is regarded as the foundational classical text for *Shalya Tantra* (surgery), presenting a highly systematic approach to the management of trauma, surgical conditions, and acute diseases. Unlike purely theoretical texts, it reflects a strong clinical orientation, where urgency, precision, and timely intervention are repeatedly emphasized. Throughout the text, many contexts highlight situations where delayed treatment can result in severe complications or even death, thereby understanding the importance of emergency management within the Ayurvedic framework.

Definition of Atyayika

The term *Atyayika* refers to conditions that are acute, rapidly progressing, and potentially life-threatening. These are often described as *Ashukari* (fast-acting) in nature, indicates rapid worsening of the patient's condition.[1] Such states may arise due to:

- Sudden aggravation of Doshas
- Traumatic or iatrogenic causes
- Obstructive or systemic pathological processes

In these situations, the normal phase of disease progression is accelerated, demanding immediate therapeutic intervention to prevent irreversible damage or mortality.

The Research Problem

Ayurveda is governed by well-established general principles (*Utsarga*), including:

- *Rutucharya* (seasonal regimen)
- *Kriyakala* (timing of treatment)
- Procedural and patient-related indications

These guidelines provide safe and effective treatment under normal conditions. However, in *Atyayika* conditions, strict adherence to these rules may delay intervention and impact on patient life. This creates a critical clinical challenge whether to follow established protocols or modify them in response to urgency.

Role of Apavarga Tantrayukti

To address this challenge, Sushruta implicitly applies *Apavarga Tantrayukti*, a methodological principle that allows exception to a general rule under specific circumstances.

Apavarga, defined as *"Abhivyāpya-apakarṣaṇam"* (exclusion of a particular case from a general category),[2] provides the logical basis for protocol deviation in emergency conditions. In the context of *Atyayika Chikitsa*, it enables the physician to:

- Override seasonal restrictions
- Modify procedural indications
- Administer therapies irrespective of standard contraindications

When the primary objective is Prana Rakshana (preservation of life), *Atyayika Chikitsa* can be understood as a practical

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 5| May 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

application of Apavarga Tantrayukti, where clinical urgency justifies deviation from established norms.

Objective

The present study aims:

- To identify and systematically compile contexts of *Atyayika Chikitsa* in the Sushruta Samhita
- To categorize these contexts into procedural, clinical, prognostic, chronological, and pharmacological domains
- To analyze how *Apavarga Tantrayukti* provides a scientific and logical framework for protocol deviation in emergency conditions

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

A literary study.

Source of Data

Primary data were obtained from the Sushruta Samhita, including: (Sutra Sthana, Nidana Sthana, Shareera Sthana, Chikitsa Sthana and Uttara Tantra).

Method of Collection

References were collected based on:

- Direct mention of *Atyayika* and synonyms such as *Ashu* and *Mahatyayika*

Analytical Framework

1. Identification of relevant contexts
2. Differentiation between:
    - *Utsarga* (general rule)
    - *Apavarga* (exception)
3. Categorization into 5 Domains mainly:
    - Procedural Exception
    - Clinical Exception
    - Prognostic Exception
    - Chronological Exception
    - Pharmacological Exception

RESULTS

The analysis of references from the Sushruta Samhita reveals that *Apavarga Tantrayukti* operates across multiple clinical domains. Each context demonstrates a structured deviation from general rules (*Utsarga*) in response to emergency (*Atyayika*) conditions.

1. Procedural Exceptions (Para-surgical Interventions)

1.1 Agnikarma[3]

Under normal circumstances (*Utsarga*), Agnikarma is contraindicated during *Sharad* and *Greeshma* due to the risk of excessive heat aggravation. Additionally, it is generally limited to superficial tissues such as skin and muscle.

However, in *Atyayika* conditions (*Apavarga*), these restrictions are lifted. The procedure may be performed even during contraindicated seasons, provided that appropriate countermeasures (*Pratyanika Vidhi*) are adopted to minimize complications. Furthermore, its application is extended to deeper and more sensitive structures like *Sira* (vessels), *Snayu* (tendons), and *Sandhi* (joints), which are otherwise approached with caution.

Interpretation: When the disease attains an emergency state, treatment must be given immediately, and usual procedural limits are carefully and logically extended.

1.2 Siravyadha[4]

Siravyadha (venesection) is generally contraindicated in certain physiological states such as pregnancy, extreme age, or debility (*Utsarga*), due to the risk of harm.

In contrast, in conditions like *Vishopasarga* (toxic states) and other *Atyayika* situations (*Apavarga*), Siravyadha becomes not only permissible but essential. When removal of vitiated blood or toxins becomes urgent, it is given priority even if the procedure carries some risk.

Interpretation: Saving life allows the use of normally restricted procedures.

1.3 Swedana[5]

Normally, *Swedana* (sudation therapy) is administered only after proper digestion and under controlled conditions (*Utsarga*), as improper use may aggravate Doshas.

However, in *Atyayika* conditions (*Apavarga*), *Swedana* may be administered even when classical prerequisites are not fulfilled. Its immediate application helps in relieving obstruction, dilating channels (*Srotas*), and facilitating rapid therapeutic action.

Interpretation: Therapeutic urgency overrides preparatory requirements when delay could worsen the condition.

2. Clinical Emergency Conditions (Atyayika Vyadhi)

2.1 Ashmari with Ruddhamutra[6]

Ashmari (urinary calculi) is typically a chronic condition (*Utsarga*). However, when it leads to *Ruddhamūtra* (urinary obstruction), the disease assumes an acute and rapidly deteriorating course. The presence of severe pain, swelling, and obstruction results in quick depletion of the patient (*Ashu kshapayati*), making it an *Atyayika* condition (*Apavarga*).

Interpretation: Chronic diseases can transform into emergencies based on complications, necessitating immediate intervention.

2.2 Jvara with Atyushna Gatra[7]

In this context, disturbed *Agni* leads to rapid and erratic movement of Doshas, resulting in fever with excessive body heat (*Atyushna Gatra*). The condition progresses quickly and disrupts systemic balance, indicating an acute pathological state.

Interpretation: Sudden internal derangement is recognized as an emergency requiring prompt correction.

2.3 Traumatic and Iatrogenic Injuries[8]

Injuries caused by friction, trauma, or improper procedures affecting regions such as *Netra* (eye), *Karṇa* (ear), *Guda* (anus), and *Marma* points are described.

Such injuries can lead to:

- Pain

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 5| May 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

- Bleeding
- Functional impairment

Immediate management involving Dosha correction and procedures like *Basti* is essential.
Interpretation: Early intervention prevents complications and preserves function in vital structures.

3. Prognostic Exceptions
3.1 Mahātyayika – Vātaja Prameha[9]
Prameha is generally considered manageable (*Yāpya*) in many cases (*Utsarga*). However, *Vātaja Prameha* is classified as *Mahātyayika* due to:

- Rapid progression
- Severe tissue depletion
- Poor therapeutic response

Thus, it is reclassified as a grave and often incurable condition (*Apavarga*).
Interpretation: Apavarga influences not only treatment but also prognosis and clinical exceptions.

4. Chronological and Therapeutic Timing Exceptions
4.1 Kriyakala[10]
Ayurveda emphasizes treatment according to *Doṣa Avasthā* and seasonal timing (*Utsarga*). However, the verse clearly states: *"Kriyākālaṃ na hāpayet"*—the therapeutic window must not be missed.
In *Atyayika* conditions (*Apavarga*), the urgency of the disease itself defines the appropriate time for intervention, rendering seasonal considerations secondary.
Interpretation: Timeliness becomes the most critical determinant of therapeutic success.

5. Pharmacological and Dietary Exceptions
5.1 Aushadha Sevana[11]
Drug selection is typically governed by seasonal compatibility (*Soumya* vs *Agneya*) (*Utsarga*). However, in emergencies (*Apavarga*), drugs are administered immediately, irrespective of seasonal considerations, to achieve rapid control of the disease.
Interpretation: Clinical necessity overrides theoretical pharmacological guidelines.

5.2 Rapid-acting Yogas[12]
Certain formulations are described as acting *Ashu* (rapidly), effectively:

- Cleansing wounds
- Reducing fever

These are particularly indicated in acute conditions where quick therapeutic action is required.
Interpretation: Preference is given to fast-acting formulations in emergencies.

5.3 Apatarpaṇa Nishedha Override[13]
Apatarpaṇa (depletion therapy) is generally contraindicated in vulnerable individuals such as pregnant women, children, and the debilitated (*Utsarga*).
However, in *Atyayika* conditions (*Apavarga*), these restrictions may be overridden if necessary to control the disease and preserve life.
Interpretation: Patient safety is focused more on survival than strictly following procedures.

DISCUSSION

Apavarga Tantrayukti, defined as *"Abhivyāpya-apakarṣaṇam"* (the exclusion of a specific case from a general rule), emerges from the present analysis as the central methodological principle underlying *Atyayika Chikitsa*. The examined references from the Sushruta Samhita consistently demonstrate that emergency conditions are treated as legitimate exceptions to otherwise well-established clinical norms (*Utsarga*). This does not indicate inconsistency within the Ayurvedic system; rather, it reflects a higher-order clinical reasoning wherein general rules are consciously set aside in the presence of life-threatening urgency. Thus, *Atyayika Chikitsa* can be understood as the practical application of *Apavarga Tantrayukti*, where deviation is not a violation of principles but an extension of them in critical contexts.

A clear and consistent pattern is observed across all domains analyzed in this study, beginning with the presence of a general rule (*Utsarga*), followed by the emergence of an acute and rapidly progressing condition (*Atyayika*), which necessitates a justified deviation (*Apavarga*) aimed at preserving life (*Prana Rakshana*). This structured transition is evident in procedural contexts such as *Agnikarma, Siravyadha*, and *Swedana;* in clinical conditions like Aśmarī, Jvara, and traumatic injuries; in prognostic considerations such as *Mahātyayika* Prameha; and in chronological and pharmacological domains including *Kriyākāla* and *Aushadha Sevana*. The uniformity of this pattern across diverse contexts indicates that *Apavarga* is not an isolated exception but a fundamental and system-wide principle governing emergency care in Ayurveda.

The study further highlights the clinical pragmatism advocated by Sushruta, wherein the physician is expected to exercise *Yukti* (rational judgment) rather than adhere rigidly to textual prescriptions. Decision-making in Atyayika conditions is guided by the severity of the disease, its rapidity of progression (*Ashukaritva*), and the immediate threat to life. The concept of *Pratyānīka Vidhi*—modification of standard procedures demonstrates that these deviations are carefully calibrated modifications intended to maximize therapeutic benefit while minimizing risk rather than being random. This reinforces that Apavarga represents a disciplined and rational flexibility within the clinical framework.

The redefining of safety is a significant conceptual change found in this analysis. Under normal conditions, safety is guaranteedbyfollowing established protocolsregarding patie ntsuitability, season, andprocedural indications. However, in Atyayika conditions, safety is reinterpreted in terms of survival outcome rather than procedural correctness. Interventions that are otherwise contraindicated—such as performing Agnikarma in unsuitable seasons, administering Swedana without prior preparation, or employing Apatarpaṇa in vulnerable individuals—become justified when the risk of non-intervention outweighs the risks associated with the procedure itself. Thus, the focus shifts from rule-based safety to outcome-based clinical judgment.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 5| May 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

The findings also emphasize the dynamic nature of disease processes as understood in Ayurveda. Conditions such as Ashmari, which are typically chronic, can assume an acute and life-threatening form in the presence of obstruction; similarly, disturbances of *Agni* in Jvara can rapidly escalate systemic imbalance, and Prameha may transform into a *Mahātyayika* state with grave prognosis. This variability necessitates a flexible therapeutic approach, which is effectively facilitated by the principle of Apavarga. It allows the physician to respond appropriately to changing clinical scenarios rather than being constrained by static classifications.

Furthermore, the principles elucidated in this study bear strong resemblance to modern concepts in emergency medicine, including triage systems, protocol deviation in critical care, and risk–benefit-based decision-making. In all such scenario's, established guidelines are modified in response to urgency, with the primary goal of preserving life. These parallel highlights the continued relevance and sophistication of the approach described in the Sushruta Samhita.

CONCLUSION

The present study demonstrates that *Apavarga Tantrayukti* serves as the fundamental logical framework for the management of *Atyayika* (emergency) conditions in the Sushruta Samhita. By permitting justified deviation from general rules (*Utsarga*), it enables timely and effective clinical intervention aimed at *Prana Rakshana* (preservation of life).

The analysis reveals that such exceptions are systematically applied across procedural, clinical, prognostic, chronological, and pharmacological domains, reflecting a structured and rational approach rather than arbitrary deviation. This highlights the dynamic and adaptable nature of Ayurvedic practice, particularly in acute and life-threatening situations.

Thus, *Atyayika Chikitsa* can be understood as a practical embodiment of *Apavarga Tantrayukti*, reinforcing that flexibility guided by clinical judgment (*Yukti*) is essential for effective emergency care.

REFERENCES

1. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya. Sutrasthana, Vranaprashna Adhyaya; Chapter 21. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2019.*
2. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita with Nibandha Sangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya and Nyayachandrikapanjika commentary of Sri Gayadasa. 1st ed. Uttaratantra, Tantrayukti Adhyaya; Chapter 65, verses 1–2. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2012. p. 814.*
3. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya. Sutrasthana, Agnikarmavidhi Adhyaya; Chapter 12, verses 5–7. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2019. p. 51.*
4. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya. Sharirasthana, Siravyadha Vidhi Shareera Adhyaya; Chapter 8, verse 5. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2019. p. 39.*
5. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya. Chikitsasthana, Swedavacharaneeya Chikitsa Adhyaya; Chapter 32, verses 25–29. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2019. p. 140.*
6. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya. Sutrasthana, Avaraneeya Adhyaya; Chapter 33, verse 12. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2019. p. 145.*
7. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya. Uttaratantra, Jwara Pratishedha Adhyaya; Chapter 39, verses 23–24. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2019. p. 672.*
8. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya. Chikitsasthana, Netra Basti Vyapad Chikitsa Adhyaya; Chapter 36, verses 6–9. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2019. p. 529.*
9. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya. Nidanasthana, Prameha Nidana; Chapter 6, verse 8. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2019. p. 290.*
10. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya. Sutrasthana, Aturopakramaneeya Adhyaya; Chapter 35, verse 21. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2019. p. 153.*
11. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya. Sutrasthana, Bhumipravibhaga Vigyaniya Adhyaya; Chapter 36, verse 5. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2019. p. 151.*
12. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya. Uttaratantra, Jwara Pratishedha Adhyaya; Chapter 39, verses 208–209. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2019. p. 688.*
13. *Acharya YT, editor. Sushruta Samhita of Sushruta with Nibandhasangraha commentary of Dalhanacharya. Chikitsasthana, Dwivraniya Chikitsa Adhyaya; Chapter 1, verse 13. Varanasi: Chaukhambha Surabharati Prakashana; 2019. p. 398.*