ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 5| May 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 ||SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

FASHION BRANDING AND LABOR INVISIBILITY IN THE GLOBAL FASHION INDUSTRY

Ms. Amisha Ekka[1], Mr. Rajeev Kumar[2]
[1]*Undergraduate Student B. Com (Hons.) with Research, Amity Business School, Amity University, Chhattisgarh*
[2]*Assistant Professor, Amity School of Fashion Technology, Amity University, Chhattisgarh*

ABSTRACT
This research paper examines the relationship between fashion branding and labor invisibility within the global fashion industry. Modern fashion brands such as Nike and H&M use advertising, celebrity endorsements, influencer culture, and social media marketing to construct powerful brand identities associated with lifestyle, aspiration, and consumer identity. While these branding strategies successfully attract consumers and strengthen emotional attachment to products, they often conceal the labor conditions and workers involved in garment production. The study explores how garment workers and retail employees remain largely invisible despite their significant contribution to the fashion supply chain. It further analyzes issues such as low wages, unsafe working conditions, job insecurity, labor exploitation, and the effects of globalization and fast fashion production systems. The paper also discusses ethical concerns related to sustainability, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), and environmental degradation caused by the fashion industry. By reviewing existing literature and examining global supply chains, the research highlights the gap between the glamorous public image of fashion brands and the hidden realities of labor practices. The study concludes that greater transparency, ethical labor standards, consumer awareness, and corporate accountability are necessary to create a more socially responsible and sustainable fashion industry.

KEYWORDS: *Fashion Branding, Labor Invisibility, Fast Fashion, Garment Workers, Ethical Fashion, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), Consumer Identity*

LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Fashion Branding as Cultural Production

Fashion branding scholars argue that brands are not created solely through advertising or logos. Instead, brands are socially produced through retail environments, worker performances, influencers, and consumers themselves (Pettinger, 2006; Moor, 2018).

2. Aesthetic Labor in Fashion Retail

One of the foundational studies on fashion branding and labor invisibility is by Lynne Pettinger. She explains that fashion retail workers are expected to "embody" the brand through their appearance, clothing style, body language, and personality. Workers become part of the brand image itself (Pettinger, 2004).

Pettinger argues that branding affects:

- who gets hired,
- how employees present themselves,
- and how workers perform emotional and aesthetic labor.

Employees are often required to purchase the brand's clothing, maintain fashionable appearances, and perform lifestyle identities aligned with the company's image. This labor is rarely recognized as actual work because it is framed as "personal style" or "passion for fashion" (Pettinger, 2004).

3. "Doing the Brand" and Relational Performance

Leanne Cutcher and Pamela Achtel extend the concept of aesthetic labor by introducing the idea of "situated relational performance." Their study shows that retail workers not only sell products but also continuously perform the brand identity through their bodies and interactions (Cutcher & Achtel, 2017). The study highlights:

- Workers' emotional attachment to brands,
- The hidden economic costs of purchasing branded clothing, and
- The pressure to maintain fashionable appearances.

4. Invisible Labor in Global Garment Supply Chains

Another major area of literature examines labour invisibility in garment manufacturing. Geert De Neve studies labour conditions in South Indian garment factories and argues that global fashion brands often conceal exploitative labour practices behind ethical branding and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) narratives (De Neve, 2014).

Key issues include:

- Subcontracting systems,
- Labor intermediaries,
- Precarious employment,
- And workers' exclusion from brand narratives.

5. Ethical Fashion and Sustainability Narratives

Recent literature examines how brands use sustainability and ethical branding to improve their corporate image while labour exploitation continues within supply chains (Joy et al., 2012; Bick, Halsey, & Ekenga, 2018). Systematic reviews of the textile industry argue that labour rights are frequently overshadowed by environmental branding strategies.

Researchers note that:

- Ethical branding can function as a form of reputation management,
- Workers' voices remain marginalized, and

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 5| May 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 ||SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

- Labour conditions are often hidden behind sustainability campaigns (Joy et al., 2012).

INTRODUCTION

Fashion branding refers to the process through which fashion companies create attractive and meaningful identities for their products. These identities are built through advertising, celebrity endorsements, social media marketing, collaborations, and carefully designed retail environments. Fashion brands do not simply sell clothes; they sell lifestyles, emotions, and social status. Brands such as Gucci, Zara, and Nike are associated with ideas of luxury, confidence, trendiness, and success. Through branding strategies, consumers are encouraged to emotionally connect with products and view them as symbols of identity and aspiration.

However, behind the glamorous image presented by fashion brands lies a hidden reality of labor. Every garment, whether a hoodie, dress, or pair of sneakers, is produced through the hard work of factory workers, tailors, dyers, packers, and retail employees. Despite their essential role in the production process, these workers often remain invisible in brand advertisements and promotional campaigns. Instead, the public focus is placed on models, influencers, designers, and polished visual imagery. This phenomenon is commonly referred to as *labor invisibility*.

Labor invisibility highlights the contrast between the highly curated public image of fashion brands and the hidden labor conditions involved in producing and maintaining those brands. In many cases, garment workers operate in factories under difficult conditions, including long working hours, low wages, job insecurity, and limited labor rights. Yet their contributions are rarely acknowledged within branding narratives. Fashion companies often emphasize style, sustainability, and consumer experience while overlooking the people responsible for manufacturing the products.

The issue of labor invisibility is also connected to globalization and fast fashion production systems. Many global fashion brands outsource production to developing countries where labor costs are lower. This system allows companies to maximize profits while keeping production processes hidden from consumers. As a result, consumers are often unaware of the social and economic realities faced by garment workers.

In conclusion, fashion branding and labor invisibility examine the relationship between the glamorous public image of fashion brands and the hidden labor that supports the industry. While fashion branding focuses on selling products, lifestyles, and identities, the workers who produce these garments frequently remain unseen and unrecognized. Understanding this relationship is important for critically analyzing the ethical, social, and economic dimensions of the global fashion industry.

OBJECTIVES

The present study aims to examine the relationship between fashion branding and labor invisibility within the global fashion industry. The objectives of the research are as follows:

1. To understand how fashion brands construct and promote brand identity through advertising, social media, celebrity endorsements, and lifestyle marketing strategies. The study particularly focuses on brands such as H&M and Nike, which market not only clothing products but also emotions, aspirations, and personal identities.
2. To explore the concept of hidden labor in the fashion industry by examining how garment workers and retail employees remain largely invisible in advertisements, branding campaigns, and public brand narratives despite their significant contribution to production.
3. To analyze the gap between the glamorous public image presented by fashion brands and the actual working conditions experienced by factory workers, including issues such as low wages, long working hours, and job insecurity.
4. To examine the ethical concerns associated with labor practices in the fashion industry, including fair wages, workers' rights, workplace safety, and the treatment of laborers within global supply chains.
5. To encourage greater awareness and social responsibility among consumers and fashion brands regarding the human labor involved in the production of fashion goods and the importance of ethical and sustainable practices.

FASHION BRANDING AND CONSUMER IDENTITY

Fashion brands have mastered the art of emotional branding by selling lifestyles and aspirations rather than simply clothing products. Companies such as Nike associate their products with determination, athletic achievement, and personal success through campaigns like *"Just Do It."* Similarly, H&M promotes trendy and affordable fashion that enables consumers to feel stylish and socially connected.

Social media platforms, celebrity culture, and influencer marketing play a significant role in shaping consumer perceptions and encouraging frequent purchasing behavior. Through branding strategies, clothing becomes a symbol of identity, confidence, and social belonging. Consumers often develop emotional loyalty toward brands because they associate them with personal values, aspirations, and self-expression.

However, these carefully constructed brand images rarely acknowledge the realities of garment production or the workers involved in manufacturing the products. As a result, the labor behind fashion remains largely invisible, while the primary focus of branding continues to emphasize glamour, aspiration, and consumer desire.

Resource Link

- Nike Campaigns and Branding
- H&M Fashion Campaigns

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 5| May 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 ||SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188



Labor in the Fashion Industry

The global fashion industry depends heavily on garment workers in developing countries who produce clothing at low cost and high speed. Many workers face difficult working conditions, including long working hours, low wages, unsafe factory environments, and constant pressure to meet strict production deadlines. Women constitute a significant portion of the garment workforce and are often more vulnerable to discrimination, harassment, and labor exploitation.

The outsourcing practices adopted by major fashion brands enable companies to reduce production costs while distancing themselves from direct responsibility for factory conditions. As a result, workers remain largely invisible in advertisements, marketing campaigns, and brand narratives, despite their essential contribution to the industry.

The Rana Plaza collapse exposed the unsafe and exploitative conditions faced by garment workers and highlighted the human cost of fast fashion production. Although the disaster increased global awareness regarding labor rights and workplace safety, labor exploitation continues to persist across many international fashion supply chains.

Resource Links

- Clean Clothes Campaign Reports
- Rana Plaza Information





ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 5| May 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 ||SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

Ethical Issues in Fast Fashion

The fast fashion industry raises several ethical concerns related to labor rights, environmental sustainability, and corporate responsibility. Many garment workers receive wages that are insufficient to meet basic living standards despite working long hours in physically demanding environments. Unsafe buildings, poor ventilation, limited healthcare benefits, and workplace harassment remain common issues in many garment factories. In some cases, child labor and forced overtime have also been reported within global fashion supply chains.

In addition to labor-related concerns, fast fashion significantly contributes to environmental pollution through excessive textile waste, high water consumption, and the extensive use of harmful chemicals during production processes. The rapid production and disposal of inexpensive clothing have intensified environmental degradation and increased pressure on natural resources.

Ethical responsibility within the fashion industry should be shared among brands, governments, manufacturers, and consumers. Fashion companies must ensure fair wages, safe working conditions, and transparent supply chains. At the same time, consumers can contribute to ethical fashion practices by reducing overconsumption and supporting socially responsible brands that prioritize sustainability and workers' rights.

Resource Links

- Ethical Fashion Initiative
- United Nations Sustainable Fashion



Sustainability and Environmental Impact

The fast fashion industry has significant environmental consequences due to mass production, excessive consumption, and large-scale waste generation. Fashion production requires substantial amounts of water, energy, and chemicals, all of which contribute to environmental pollution and climate change. Textile factories frequently release untreated industrial waste into rivers and oceans, causing damage to ecosystems and negatively affecting nearby communities.

Synthetic fabrics such as polyester further contribute to environmental degradation through microplastic pollution. During washing and disposal, these materials release tiny plastic particles into water systems, which can harm marine life and enter the food chain. Additionally, the fast fashion model encourages consumers to purchase inexpensive clothing frequently and discard garments after short periods of use,

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 5| May 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 ||SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

resulting in enormous amounts of textile waste accumulating in landfills.

In response to increasing environmental concerns, many fashion brands have introduced sustainability initiatives, including recycling programs, the use of eco-friendly materials, and carbon emission reduction targets. However, critics argue that some companies use sustainability campaigns primarily as marketing strategies without implementing meaningful structural changes in production systems. This practice is often referred to as "greenwashing."

Sustainable fashion emphasizes reducing waste, improving product durability, encouraging responsible consumption, and developing environmentally responsible production processes. It also promotes greater transparency and accountability within the global fashion industry.

Resource Links

- United Nations Environment Programme Fashion Report
- Greenpeace Detox Campaign



Globalization and the Fashion Industry

Globalization has allowed fashion brands to expand production networks across multiple countries in order to reduce manufacturing costs and increase profits. Many global brands outsource production to developing countries where labor is cheaper, and regulations may be weaker. Countries such as Bangladesh, India, Vietnam, and Cambodia have become major centers for garment manufacturing due to their large labor force and low production costs. While globalization has created employment opportunities for millions of workers, it has also increased competition among factories, often resulting in lower wages and poor working conditions. Fashion companies benefit from global supply chains because they can produce large quantities of clothing quickly and cheaply for international markets. However, the complex nature of these supply chains makes it difficult to monitor labor conditions and ensure accountability. Critics argue that globalization has created unequal power relationships in which multinational brands gain significant profits while factory workers receive minimal economic benefits.

Resource Links

- World Trade Organization and Global Supply Chains
- International Labour Organization

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 5| May 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 ||SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188



Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in Fashion

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) refers to the obligation of companies to operate ethically while considering their social and environmental impacts. In the fashion industry, CSR includes fair labor practices, sustainable sourcing, environmental protection, and community development initiatives.

Many major fashion brands publish sustainability and CSR reports to demonstrate their commitment to ethical business practices. Companies often claim to improve worker safety, reduce carbon emissions, and promote diversity and inclusion within their supply chains. Brands such as Nike and H&M regularly release sustainability disclosures and corporate responsibility reports to highlight their environmental and social initiatives.

However, critics argue that some CSR initiatives have limited effectiveness because they focus more on enhancing public image than creating long-term structural change. Transparency remains a significant issue, as many fashion companies do not fully disclose factory locations, wage structures, or actual labor conditions within their supply chains.

Effective CSR requires companies to move beyond promotional campaigns and implement measurable improvements in wages, workplace safety, labor rights, and environmental practices throughout the production process. Greater accountability and transparency are essential for ensuring that CSR initiatives lead to meaningful and sustainable change within the global fashion industry.

Resource Links

- Nike Sustainability Reports
- H&M Sustainability Disclosure

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 5| May 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 ||SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188





CONCLUSION

The fashion industry demonstrates how branding, consumer culture, and global labor systems are deeply interconnected. Fashion brands such as H&M and Nike successfully market fashion as a symbol of lifestyle, identity, and aspiration. However, the workers responsible for producing these garments often remain invisible within brand narratives and public representation.

This research highlights several ethical concerns within the fast fashion industry, including labor exploitation, low wages, unsafe working conditions, environmental degradation, and the lack of transparency within global supply chains. Although many fashion companies promote sustainability and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives, significant gaps continue to exist between brand image and the realities faced by garment workers.

Addressing these issues requires greater accountability from fashion corporations, stronger labor protections and regulations from governments, and increased awareness among consumers regarding ethical consumption practices. Ethical fashion should extend beyond trends, branding, and profitability to include respect for workers' dignity, safety, labor rights, and environmental sustainability.

In conclusion, creating a more responsible fashion industry requires collective efforts from brands, policymakers, manufacturers, and consumers to ensure that the people behind fashion production are recognized, protected, and fairly treated.

REFERENCES

1. *Bick, R., Halsey, E., & Ekenga, C. C. (2018). The global environmental injustice of fast fashion. Environmental Health, 17(1), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-018-0433-7*
2. *Clean Clothes Campaign. (n.d.). Clean Clothes Campaign. https://cleanclothes.org/*
3. *Cutcher, L., & Achtel, P. (2017). Doing the brand: Aesthetic labour and situated relational performance in fashion retailing. Journal of Management Studies, 54(6), 892–918.*
4. *De Neve, G. (2014). Fordism, flexible specialization and CSR: How Indian garment workers critique neoliberal labour regimes. Ethnography, 15(2), 184–207.*
5. *Fashion Revolution. (n.d.). Fashion Revolution movement. https://www.fashionrevolution.org/*
6. *Joy, A., Sherry, J. F., Venkatesh, A., Wang, J., & Chan, R. (2012). Fast fashion, sustainability, and the ethical appeal of luxury brands. Fashion Theory, 16(3), 273–295. https://doi.org/10.2752/175174112X13340749707123*
7. *Moor, L. (2018). Branding. Polity Press.*
8. *Pettinger, L. (2004). Brand culture and branded workers: Service work and aesthetic labour in fashion retail. Consumption Markets & Culture, 7(2), 165–184. https://doi.org/10.1080/1025386042000246224*
9. *Springer. (n.d.). Open access articles on fashion sustainability and labor. SpringerOpen. https://www.springeropen.com/*
10. *Taylor & Francis. (2004). Brand culture and branded workers: Service work and aesthetic labour in fashion retail. Consumption Markets & Culture, 7(2), 165–184. https://doi.org/10.1080/1025386042000246224*
11. *ScienceDirect. (n.d.). Fast fashion and sustainability review articles. Elsevier. https://www.sciencedirect.com/*

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 5| May 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 ||SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

12. *Pinterest. (n.d.). Pinterest image post. https://pin.it/6wLTgXVjM*
13. *Pinterest. (n.d.). Pinterest image post. https://pin.it/4eIPoMnzQ*
14. *Pinterest. (n.d.). Pinterest image post. https://pin.it/53YnqyKbb*
15. *Pinterest. (n.d.). Pinterest image post. https://pin.it/5L6OCUr1a*
16. *Pinterest. (n.d.). Pinterest image post. https://pin.it/5WsjJldk*
17. *Pinterest. (n.d.). Pinterest image post. https://pin.it/1UncTtpBf*
18. *Pinterest. (n.d.). Pinterest image post. https://pin.it/2FguqjgRd*
19. *Pinterest. (n.d.). Pinterest image post. https://pin.it/2q2aGVkJ2*
20. *Pinterest. (n.d.). Pinterest image post. https://pin.it/2VKeQTetP*
21. *Pinterest. (n.d.). Pinterest image post. https://pin.it/3DqUA9HpY*
22. *Pinterest. (n.d.). Pinterest image post. https://pin.it/3mVaDS7Fo*
23. *Pinterest. (n.d.). Pinterest image post. https://pin.it/3Jq8cWsBm*