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#### Abstract

In the first article Mass Transfer Coefficients in an Absorber Reactor in the Unit Operations Laboratory (1) reference [4], it was developed by students of the Faculty of Chemistry of the National Autonomous University of Mexico, UNAM. Students now obtain the temperature profile along the column by posing and solving the differential equation of energy balance (heat) that is a function of the temperatures of the liquid with the position $T L=T L(z)$ and compare the results with experimental values that were obtained with thermocouples distributed every 22 cm throughout the top of the column. To solve the energy equation numerically, it is necessary to use the matter balance program developed in reference [4], to calculate the value of the individual coefficient of mass transfer on the liquid side kL and with this value obtain the absorption speed in the presence of a chemical reaction at the interface using successive iterations of calculation and thus numerically solving the temperature profile of the liquid vs. the position in the column. With this type of experimentation, the teaching by competences is reinforced, a necessary teaching that a chemical engineer must possess.


KEYWORDS: Balances of matter and energy in absorption with chemical reaction. $\mathrm{MEA}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$-air reaction system. Thermodynamic balance between phases in the presence of chemical reaction. Teaching by competencies.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Chemical engineering education today is based on so-called competencies. Professional competencies are those that are learned during the career and that include the specific knowledge of the same, as well as the professional skills and attitudes of the students. The UNAM Chemical Engineering Laboratory is one of the places where these skills can be developed and refined through the development of laboratory experimentations, since during these apprenticeships' students must use their knowledge and apply it, as well as develop your personal skills and attitudes. In this laboratory unit operations are studied among other disciplines. There chemical engineering students experiment in various equipment, one of which is the absorption tower. In it, students are asked to experimentally find the individual gas-transfer and liquid-side mass transfer coefficients in the presence of a chemical reaction and to compare the temperature profiles of the theoretical models and experimental temperature data throughout the column.

## 2.- THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

It was mentioned in the first article [4] the system (MEA-H2O / CO2-AIR) that has a reaction mechanism made up of consecutive reversible reactions, but when adding and canceling the partial terms they generate the following 2 nd order reaction and exothermic.

$$
\mathrm{CO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{NHCOO}^{-}+\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{NH}^{+}, \mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { MEA }=24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \quad \mathrm{k}_{2}:=10^{\left(10.99-\frac{2152}{\mathrm{~T}^{2} \mathrm{~K}}\right)}
$$

$=5595.854 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{gmol} \mathrm{s}$, see reference [6].
To study now the proposed model of heat as a function of liquid temperatures and the position TL = TL (z) within the column, the students of the Faculty of Chemistry used an absorption tower located in the Chemical Engineering Laboratory of the Faculty of Chemistry of the National Autonomous University of Mexico, UNAM. To do this, it was necessary to vary the experimental operating conditions to make observations and consider possible theoretical and practical simplifications.

## For example:

a) The reaction is fast enough to develop in the film of the liquid. This study is contemplated in the first article [4].
b) The reaction is exothermic and increases the internal temperature in the column with increasing liquid phase feed flow.
2.1 To propose the models of the balance of matter [4] and now that of energy (heat), use Fig. (1)


Fig. (1) Absorber Reactor
Assumptions:
(1) When using high mass flows of MEA / H2O, the reaction behaves like a pseudo-primer order with respect to solute $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{CO} 2),[4]$.
(2) The longitudinal speed of the liquid is constant, there is no radial or angular speed, [4].
(3) Radial mixing is perfect, there are no radial concentration gradients.
(4) Axial diffusion is negligible, compared to convective axial effects.
(5) The density of the system is practically constant.
(6) The molar volumetric concentration of the soluble gas in the liquid in the column $=0$, all CO 2 reacts in the liquid film.
(7) The partial pressures along the column have values very close to the values of equilibrium pressures at the interface.
(8) There are no radial or angular molar fluxes in the absorber-reactor, only longitudinal and temporary.
(9) Control of mass transfer resides in the film of the liquid phase.

COMMENTARY. - The changes in the z positions along the absorber-reactor of Fig. (1) are obtained by the following differential equation by solving for the z position, for this the partial pressures at the inlet and outlet of the column of known height $h$.
$\frac{d^{2} p_{C O 2}}{d z^{2}}=0$
border conditions
$\left.\begin{array}{l}p_{\mathrm{CO} 2}=p_{1 \mathrm{CO} 2} \text { to } z=0 \\ p_{\mathrm{CO} 2}=p_{2 \mathrm{CO} 2} \text { to } \quad z=h\end{array}\right\}$ solution $\rightarrow p_{\mathrm{CO} 2}=\left(\frac{p_{2 \mathrm{CO} 2}-p_{1 \mathrm{CO} 2}}{h}\right) z+p_{1 \mathrm{CO} 2}$
2.2- The following differential mass balance can be proposed for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the liquid phase in the absorber reactor [4]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left.D_{L} \varepsilon A_{T} \frac{\partial\left[A_{0}\right]}{\partial z}\right|_{Z}+\left.\varepsilon A_{T} L\left[A_{0}\right]\right|_{Z}+R_{A} a \Delta z A_{T}=-\left.D_{L} \varepsilon A_{T} \frac{\partial\left[A_{0}\right]}{\partial Z}\right|_{Z+\Delta Z}+ \\
& \left.\varepsilon A_{T} L\left[A_{0}\right]\right|_{Z+\Delta Z}+\varepsilon A_{T} \Delta_{Z} \frac{\partial\left[A_{0}\right]}{\partial t}-r \varepsilon A_{T} \Delta_{Z} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Dividing between the control volume ( $\varepsilon A_{T} \Delta z$ ) and taking limits equation (4) is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\Delta z \rightarrow 0}\left\{\left.D_{L} \frac{\partial\left[A_{0}\right]}{\partial z}\right|_{z+\Delta z}-\left.D_{L} \frac{\partial\left[A_{0}\right]}{\partial z}\right|_{z}-\frac{\left.L\left[A_{0}\right]\right|_{z+\Delta z}-\left.L\left[A_{0}\right]\right|_{z}}{\Delta z}\right\}=\frac{\partial\left[A_{0}\right]}{\partial t}-\frac{R_{A} a}{\varepsilon}-r \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there is no accumulation in the permanent regime, and disregarding the convective and diffusive terms of the absorbed CO 2 , compared to the reaction in the film, and if also the absorption speed with reaction is appreciably greater than the physical absorption speed, we obtain:
$L \frac{\partial\left[A_{o}\right]}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial \frac{\left[A_{0}\right]}{\partial t}}{\partial t}-\frac{R_{A} a}{\varepsilon}-r=D_{L} \frac{\partial^{2}\left[A_{0}\right]}{\partial z^{2}}$
convection accumulation Absortion raction diffusion
with reaction
$-\frac{R_{A} a}{\varepsilon}-r=0$
2.3.- The following differential mass balance can also be proposed for the monoethanolamine in the liquid phase in the absorber reactor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\varepsilon A_{T} L\left[B_{0}\right]\right|_{Z}=\left.\varepsilon A_{T} L\left[B_{0}\right]\right|_{Z+\Delta Z}-r \varepsilon A_{T} \Delta z \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing by the control volume ( $\varepsilon A_{T} \Delta z$ ) and taking limits to equation (6) is obtained
$\lim \left\{\frac{\left.L\left[B_{0}\right]\right|_{Z+\Delta Z}-\left.L\left[B_{0}\right]\right|_{Z}}{\Delta z}\right\}=r$
$\Delta z \rightarrow 0$
The differential balance for amine is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \frac{d\left[B_{0}\right]}{d z}=r \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By equating (5) and (7) we arrive at
$-\frac{R_{A} a}{\varepsilon}=L \frac{d\left[B_{0}\right]}{d z}$
$L d\left[B_{0}\right]=-\frac{R_{A} a}{\varepsilon} d z$
Integrating equation (8) from the top to the bottom of the column we obtain:
$h=-\frac{L \varepsilon}{a} \int_{B_{0} \text { top }}^{B_{0} \text { botom }} \frac{d\left[B_{0}\right]}{R_{A}} \quad$ Ó $\quad h=\frac{L \varepsilon}{a} \int_{B_{0} \text { bottom }}^{B_{0} \text { top }} \frac{d\left[B_{0}\right]}{R_{A}}$
2.4- Energy balance on the volume differential element located in some arbitrary distance z of Fig. (1), See reference [1].

| Rapid flow | Rapid flow $\quad$ Accumulated |
| :--- | :--- |
| of incoming $=$ | of exiting +energy <br> energy |
| energy |  |

If the total energy flux in z is defined as the sum of the diffusive and convective fluxes
$e_{z}=q_{z}+\rho_{L} C p_{L} v_{z}\left(T_{L}-T_{R}\right)$
Where is the reference temperature (for example, that of the environment)
$q_{z}=\frac{Q_{\mathrm{Z}}}{A_{T}}=-k_{\text {axial }} \frac{d T_{L}}{d z}$
The heat generated by the reaction is:
$\Phi_{H}=r\left(-\Delta H_{r}\right)$, where $\Delta H_{r}$ is the enthalpy change due to the reaction and $r$ is the reaction speed $=-$
$k_{A m}[\mathrm{Am}]\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]$
An equivalent form of this term is to use the diffusive absorption-reaction flux through the interface, where the speed of reaction in the liquid phase does not occur in the bulk of the liquid but in the interfacial region [5], since It is a fast reaction that depends on the diffusive absorption flux.
$\Phi_{H}=R_{A} a\left(\Delta H_{a b s}\right)$
Where the heat of absorption $\Delta H_{a b s}=\left(84.68-0.1135 \times T_{L}+0.0027 \times T_{L}^{2}\right)$ in [kjoules $\left./ \mathrm{gmol}\right]$ reported by Hanne M. Kvamsdal and Magne Hillestad [2], [3]. TL is the temperature of the liquid in the absorber reactor in $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ and $\boldsymbol{a}$ is the specific gas-liquid interface area $\left[\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right]$
The volumetric heat loss to the neighborhoods of the column is calculated as:
$Q_{V}=-h_{\text {walls }} a\left(T_{L}-T_{\text {amb }}\right)$
Where $h_{\text {walls }}=1000 \times 4.05 \times 10^{-3} \times\left(\frac{\rho_{g} V_{g}}{D_{\text {hyd }}}\right)^{0.5}\left(C p_{g}\right)^{0.33} \quad$ in $\left[\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}\right]$
$\rho_{g}=\left[k g / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right], \quad V_{g}=[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}], \quad D_{h y d}=[m], \quad C p_{g}=\left[j / \mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~K}\right]$
The foundations of this coefficient are found in the work of Hanne M. Kvamsdal and Magne Hillestad [2], [3], TL is the temperature of the liquid in the tower and $T_{a m b}$ is the ambient temperature.
Substituting the previous equations in the general energy balance described above, the following is obtained:
$\left.A_{T} q_{Z}\right|_{z}+\left.A_{T} \rho_{L} C p_{L} v_{z}\left(T_{L}-T_{R}\right)\right|_{z}=\left.A_{T} q_{Z}\right|_{z+\Delta z}+\left.A_{T} \rho_{L} C p_{L} v_{z}\left(T_{L}-T_{R}\right)\right|_{z+\Delta z}$
$+\Delta z A_{T} \rho_{L} C p_{L} \frac{d T_{L}}{d t}-\Delta z A_{T} \Theta_{H}-\Delta z A_{T} Q_{V}$
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Dividing equation (14) by the control volume $\left(A_{T} \Delta z\right)$ and taking the limit when $\Delta z \rightarrow 0$, the following differential equation is obtained:
$-k_{\text {axial }} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}}+\rho_{L} C p_{L} v_{z} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}+\rho_{L} C p_{L} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}-\Phi_{H}-Q_{V}=0$
diffusion $o$ convection accumulation generation energy
conducction
exchange
If in equation (15) the term axial conduction is neglected and if this reactor operates continuously in a stable state, then there is no accumulation of energy, it also operates as non-adiabatic and non-isothermal. The following model is obtained:
$-k_{\text {axial }} \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}}+\rho_{L} C p_{L} v_{z} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}+\rho_{L} C p_{L} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}-\Phi_{H}-Q_{V}=0$
diffusion $o$ convection acumulation generation energy
conducction
exchange
$\frac{d T}{d z}=\frac{\Phi_{H}+Q_{V}}{V_{Z} \rho_{L} C p_{L}}$
where $v_{z}=\frac{\text { volumeric flow }}{\text { cross flow area beetwen packages }}, \rho_{L}$ is the density of the liquid and $C p_{L}$ is the specific heat at constant pressure of the liquid.

## 3.- EXPERIMENTAL DATA AND CALCULATIONS

The equipment used for experimentation was as follows


The equipment has the following specifications:
Internal column diameter: 5.08 cm
Flow cross-sectional area (ATF): $14.66 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
Packed height: 106 cm
Construction material: Glass
Packaging: External diameter, internal diameter and length glass Raschig rings 0.703 cm 0.545 cm 0.854 cm
Chromatograph: Gow-Mac electrical conductivity
Fraction of hollows $\varepsilon=0.70$
$\mathrm{a}=0.839 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{t}}=7.169 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
Six thermocouples installed each through the column22 cm


Absorption tower brand PIGNAT in the Chemical Engineering Laboratory

Fig. (2) Absorber Reactor
3.1 In experimentation the students obtained the following results shown in the Table (1)

Table (1). Experimental Temperature Data
The experimental values of the temperatures were obtained by means of six thermocouples distributed every 22 cm throughout the column, these are interconnected to a MODEM located in the right corner of the equipment and then to the central computer of the Chemical Engineering Laboratory.

| Position z (cm) | Temperatures $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{~} / \mathbf{h}$ | $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{~} / \mathbf{h}$ | $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{/ h}$ |  |
| 0 | 24.1 | 24.2 | 24.2 |
| 22 | 28.1 | 28.5 | 29.0 |
| 44 | 31.2 | 32.0 | 32.4 |
| 66 | 33.2 | 33.7 | 35.2 |
| 88 | 34.4 | 34.6 | 38.0 |
| 110 | 34.4 | 36.4 | 38.9 |
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Table (1). Experimental Data of Flows and Concentrations
Feeding air temperature $=24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Temperature of the fed $\mathrm{CO} 2=23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hollow fraction $\varepsilon=0.70$. Inlet air density $=1.20410^{-3} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. Cross flow area between packages $=14.66 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Inlet $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ density $=$ $1.88510^{-3} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm} 3$ Density of the liquid mixture fed $1.004 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feed flow } \\ & (\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{h}) \end{aligned}$ | Concentration MEA <br> $\mathrm{N}=$ gmol $/$ Lsol |  | ConcentrationMEA$(\%$ in mass $)$$\%$ mass $=6.064(\mathrm{~N})+$0.0231(g MEA / g solution) |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Q}_{\text {air }} \\ \left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{h}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | entrance | exit | entrance | exit | 2.606 | 257.127 |
| 5 | 2.76 N | 1.28 N | 16.76 \% | 7.78 \% |  |  |
| 8 |  | 1.35 N |  | 8.20 \% |  |  |
| 10 |  | 1.70 N |  | 10.33 \% |  |  |

3.2 Evaluation of the terms of the heat balance of the Eq. (16) using the experimental data in Table (1).

$$
\frac{\partial T}{\partial z}=\frac{\Phi_{H}+Q_{V}}{v_{z} \rho_{L} C p_{L}}
$$

$$
\frac{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~cm}}=\frac{\frac{\mathrm{cal}}{\mathrm{~s} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}+\frac{\mathrm{cal}}{\mathrm{sm} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}}{\frac{\mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{~s}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{gmol}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{cal}}{\mathrm{gmol} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}}
$$

The speed of the liquid $v_{z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Z}}:=\frac{\mathrm{Le} \cdot \frac{1}{3600} \cdot \frac{1000}{1}}{\mathrm{ATF}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{~s}}=\frac{\frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~h}} \cdot \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{3600 \cdot \mathrm{~s}} \cdot \frac{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~L}}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{Z}}=0.095 \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

The heat capacity of the constant pressure-fed liquid CPL

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { TLe }:=24 \quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& \text { TLe }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}:=\mathrm{TLe}+273.15 \\
& \mathrm{CP}_{\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}}:=\left(92.053-3.9953 \cdot 10^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{TLe}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}-2.1103 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot \mathrm{TLe}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}^{2}+5.3469 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot \mathrm{TLe}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}^{3}\right) \cdot 0.2369 \\
& \frac{\mathrm{cal}}{\mathrm{gmol} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=\frac{\text { Joule }}{\mathrm{gmol} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}} \cdot \frac{0.23901 \cdot \mathrm{cal}}{1 \cdot \text { Joule }} \\
& \mathrm{CP}_{\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}}=17.904 \frac{\mathrm{cal}}{\mathrm{gmol} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}
\end{aligned}
$$

TLe: $=24{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

TLe ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}:=$ TLe +273.15
$\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}}:=\left(92.053-3.995310^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{TLe}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}-2.110310^{-4} \cdot \mathrm{TLe}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}^{2}+5.346910^{-7} \cdot \mathrm{TLe}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}^{3}\right) \cdot 0.2369$
$\frac{\text { cal }}{\mathrm{gmol} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=\frac{\text { Joule }}{\mathrm{gmol} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}} \cdot \frac{0.23901 \mathrm{cal}}{1 \cdot \text { Joule }}$
$\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}}=17.904 \underset{\mathrm{gmol} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{cal}}$
$\mathrm{Cp}_{\text {MEA }}:=\left(23.11+1.2283 \mathrm{TLe} \mathrm{K}^{\circ}-3.121810^{-3} \cdot \mathrm{TLe}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}^{2}+3.071410^{-6} \cdot \mathrm{TLe}^{\circ} \mathrm{K}^{3}\right) \cdot 0.23901$
$\mathrm{Cp}_{\text {MEA }}=46.138$
cal
MEA $=46.138 \mathrm{gmol} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{CpL}:=\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{\text {MEA }} \cdot \times\right.$ MolarMEAE $\left.+\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}} \cdot(1-\mathrm{xMolarMEAE})\right]$
$\mathrm{CPL}=19.486 \frac{\mathrm{cal}}{\mathrm{gmol} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}$

The density of the fed liquid $\rho_{L}$
$\rho L:=\rho_{L} \cdot \frac{1}{\text { PM }_{\text {MEA. }} 2 \mathrm{O}}$
$\frac{\mathrm{gmol} \cdot \mathrm{mezcla}}{\mathrm{cm}^{3}}=\frac{\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{mezcla}}{\mathrm{cm}^{3}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{gmol} \cdot \mathrm{mezcla}}{\mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{mezcla}}$
$\rho L=0.049 \frac{\text { gmol mezcla }}{\text { cm }^{3} \text { mezcla }}$
Volumetric heat flow through the walls of the column Qv
$Q v=-h_{\text {walls }} \cdot a \cdot(T L-$ TAmb)
TL= Variable temperature along the function column (z)
$\mathrm{Tamb}=24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$a=0.839 \frac{\mathrm{~cm}^{2}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}}$
The heat transfer coefficient
$h_{\text {walls }}=1000 \times 4.05 \times 10^{-3} \times\left(\frac{\rho_{g} V_{g}}{D_{\text {hyd }}}\right)^{0.5}\left(C p_{g}\right)^{0.33}$
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Heating capacity at constant pressure of the inlet gas to the tower

Substituting
h walls $:=\left[1000 \cdot 4.05 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot\left(\frac{\mathrm{Pg} \cdot \mathrm{Vg}}{\text { Dhyd }}\right)^{0.5} \cdot\left(\mathrm{Cpg}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)^{0.33}\right] \cdot \frac{1}{(1000)} \cdot 239.006 \cdot \frac{1}{100^{2}}$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{cal}}{\mathrm{~s} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=\frac{\mathrm{W}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}} \cdot \frac{1 \mathrm{kw}}{1000 \mathrm{~W}} \cdot \frac{239.006 \cdot \frac{\mathrm{cal}}{\mathrm{~s}}}{1 \mathrm{kw}} \cdot\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{100 \mathrm{~cm}}\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\text {walls }}=0.0041 \frac{\mathrm{cal}}{\mathrm{~s} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}
$$

$$
a=0.839 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
$$

$$
\Phi_{H}=R_{A} a(-\Delta \mathrm{Hr}) \quad \text { Hanne M. Kvmsdal Correlation, page } 15 \text { is used }
$$

$$
\Delta H r=\Delta H \text { absorption Hanne } \mathrm{M} \text {. Kvmsdal Correlation, page } 14 \text { is used }
$$

$$
\Delta \text { Habs }=\left(84.68-0.1135 \cdot T+0.0027 \cdot T^{2}\right) \cdot 1000 \cdot 0.23901
$$

Heat generated by the reaction
$\frac{\mathrm{cal}}{\mathrm{gmol}}=\frac{\mathrm{kj}}{\mathrm{gmol}} \cdot \frac{1000 \mathrm{~J}}{1 \mathrm{kj}} \cdot \frac{0.23901 \mathrm{cal}}{1 \mathrm{~J}}$
$R_{A}:=-1 \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot z^{3}+3 \cdot 10^{-11} \cdot z^{2}+2 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot z+1 \cdot 10^{-6} \quad \frac{\mathrm{gmolCO} 2}{\mathrm{~s} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{2}}$
Relationship obtained with the Appendix A program

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{Cair}:=24 \quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& \text { Calculate } \quad \mathrm{CP}_{\mathrm{CO} 2}:=38.387 \frac{\text { joule }}{\text { gmol } \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \\
& \text { Calculate } \quad C P_{\text {AIR }}:=29.138 \quad \frac{\text { joule }}{\text { gmolAIRE } \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \\
& C P_{g}:=\left[{ }^{C P_{C O 2}} \cdot \mathrm{yMolarCO} 2 \mathrm{e}+\mathrm{CP}_{\mathrm{AIR}} \cdot(1-\mathrm{yMolarCO} 2 \mathrm{e})\right] \\
& \left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{PM}_{\text {Aire.CO2 }}} \cdot \mathrm{\rho}_{\mathrm{g}} \cdot 1000\right)\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{PM}_{\text {Aire.CO2 }}} \cdot \mathrm{\rho g} \cdot 1000\right) \\
& \frac{\text { Joules }}{\mathrm{m}^{3} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}}=\left(\frac{\text { joule }}{\text { gmolCO2 } \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \cdot \frac{\text { gmolCO2 }}{\text { gmolmezcla }}+\frac{\text { joule }}{\text { gmolAIRE } \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \cdot \frac{\text { gmolAIRE }}{\text { gmolmezcla }}\right) \cdot x \\
& \left(\frac{\text { gmolmezcla }}{\text { gmezcla }} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{kg} \cdot \text { mezcla }}{\mathrm{m}^{3} \cdot \text { mezcla }} \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{1 \mathrm{~kg}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{Cpg}=1261.403 \quad \frac{\text { Joules }}{\mathrm{m}^{3} \cdot{ }^{*} \mathrm{~K}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { are required in these units, see artic } \\
\text { from Hanne M. Kvmsdal, page } 15
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## 4.- RESULTS

4.1 The theoretical and experimental temperature profiles obtained by students

It was resolved Eq. (16) $\frac{d T}{d z}=\frac{\Phi_{H}+Q_{V}}{v_{z} \rho_{L} C p_{L}}$ numerically using the "Mathematica-Wolfram Research" program to find the functionality of the temperatures of the liquid phase within the column Vs. the position $z$ the entire length of the reactor-absorber for each experiment of 5,8 and $10 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{h}$ of fed MEA / H2O solution. The program with the theoretical results of the first experimentation is attached in Fig. (3).
$\Delta$ Habs $=\left(84.68-0.1135 * \mathrm{~T}[\mathrm{z}]+0.0027 * \mathrm{~T}[\mathrm{z}]^{2}\right) * 1000 * 0.23901$;
RA $=-1 * 10^{-12} * \mathrm{z}^{3}+3 * 10^{-11} * \mathrm{Z}^{2}+2 * 10^{-8} * \mathrm{z}+1 * 10^{-6}$;
$\mathrm{a}=0.839$;
hwall $=0.0041$;
Tamb $=24$;
$\mathrm{Qv}=-$ hwall $* \mathrm{a} *(\mathrm{~T}[\mathrm{z}]-\mathrm{Tamb})$;
$\mathrm{Vz}=0.095$;
$\rho \mathrm{L}=0.049$;
$\mathrm{CpL}=19.486$;
sol $=$ NDSolve $\left[\left\{\mathrm{T}^{\prime}[\mathrm{z}]==(\Delta\right.\right.$ Habs $\left.\left.* \mathrm{RA} * \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{Qv}) /(\mathrm{Vz*} \rho \mathrm{CL} * \mathrm{CpL}), \mathrm{T}[0]==\mathrm{Tamb}\right\}, \mathrm{T},\{\mathrm{z}, 0,110\}\right]$
Plot [ Evaluate [ T[z] /. \% ], \{ z, 0, 110 \}, AxesLabel -> \{ "Z [cm]", "T [ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ " \} ]
TableForm [ $\left\{\left\{\right.\right.$ "z" cm, $\left.\left.\mathrm{T}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right\}\right\}$, TableDepth $->2$ ]
Table [ $\{\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{T}[\mathrm{z}] /$. sol $\},\{\mathrm{z}, 0,110,10\}] / /$ TableForm
\{\{T->InterpolatingFunction $\}\}$


Fig. (3) Theoretical results of the model
In the Fig. (4) the graphical profiles of comparisons of the theoretical and experimental liquid temperatures are shown.
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| z (cm) | $\mathrm{TL}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 24.2 |  |
| 22 | 29 |  |
| 44 | 32.4 |  |
| 66 | 35.2 |  |
| 88 | 38 |  |
| 112 | 38.9 |  |
| 0 |  | 24 |
| 10 |  | 25.2421 |
| 20 |  | 26.6267 |
| 30 |  | 28.11 |
| 40 |  | 29.6552 |
| 50 |  | 31.2317 |
| 60 |  | 32.8133 |
| 70 |  | 34.3774 |
| 80 |  | 35.9043 |
| 90 |  | 37.3764 |
| 100 |  | 39 |
| 110 |  | 40.0929 |

Fig. (4) Comparison of theoretical and experimental curves of liquid temperatures in the column TL $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ Vs. position $\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{cm})$

## 5.- CONCLUSIONS

In this experimental study, the students of the Faculty of Chemistry obtained the temperature profiles as shown in Fig. (4), they compare the values in the steady state of temperatures Vs. position of both the model and the values experimental.

It can be seen that increasing the flow of the MEA / H2O $(5,8,10) \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{h}$ solution increases the temperatures of the phases within the column, this is because initially any element of the interfacial surface is exposed to the penetration of the solute for a time $t$, but by increasing the flows of liquid fed $L e$ residence times decrease $\tau=A T F \times h / L e$ and the surface elements are replaced faster and faster by fresh $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from the gaseous phase, causing an increase in the diffusive flux $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}$ at the interface and that as the amount of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the liquid phase increases, the reaction that is exothermic is It will be favored by the limiting reagent transferred, increasing the temperatures inside the column more and more.

## 6.- NOMENCLATURA

$\left[\mathrm{A}_{0}\right]=\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ concentration in the liquid phase bulk: gmol $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{L}$ solution
$\mathbf{a}=$ Effective interfacial area per unit of volume packed: $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ Area of total packing surface per unit volume packed: $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{T}}=$ Cross area of the column: $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$
ATF $=$ Cross-sectional area of flow between packaging: $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\left[A^{*}\right]=\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ interfacial concentration in the film model: gmol $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{L}$ solution
$[\mathrm{Bo}]=$ MEA concentration in bulk within the liquid phase: gmol MEA/L solution
BPS = Amina free without reacting at the selected point in the column: gmol MEA/L solution
$\mathrm{C}^{*}=$ Concentración del equilibrio termodinámico: gmol MEA $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ solution
$\mathbf{C p}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ Specific heat at constant gas pressure: joules $/ \mathrm{m}^{3}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$; Note. - These are the units required by the correlation (13). [2]
$\mathrm{Cp}_{\mathrm{L}}=$ Specific heat at constant pressure from the liquid mixture: cal $/ \mathrm{gmol}$ mezcla ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ diffusion coefficient in the liquid phase: $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$
$D_{\text {hyd }}=$ Hydraulic column diameter: cm
E = Enhancement factor
E1 = Enhancement factor formula parameter
$\mathbf{E i}=$ Enhancement factor formula parameter assessed at the interface

GPS $=$ Mass speed at the selected point: gmol mixture $/ \mathrm{s} \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
G's = Mass feed air speed: gmol aire $/ \mathrm{s} \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{CO} 2}=$ Mass feeded $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ speed: gmol $\mathrm{CO} 2 / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$\mathbf{h}=$ Absorption-reaction column height: cm
$\boldsymbol{h}$ walls = Interfacial heat transfer film coefficient on the walls of the column: watts $/ \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}$
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{a}=$ Individual and temporal volumetric coefficient on the gas phase side: $\mathrm{gmolCO}_{2} / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~atm}$
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{a}=$ Individual and temporal volumetric coefficient on the liquid phase side: $1 / \mathrm{s}$
$\boldsymbol{L e}=$ Flow of the liquid flow fed: $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{L}=$ Mass liquid speed: $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ solution $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s}$
$\mathbf{m}=$ Thermodynamic equilibrium ratio: $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{gmol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$
Pop $=$ Operating pressure in Mexico City: $(0.771)$ atm
$\mathbf{P M}=$ Molecular mass: $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{gmol} \mathrm{A}$
$\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{CO} 2}=$ Partial $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure: atm
p* = Thermodynamic equilibrium pressure: atm
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{CO} 2}=$ Carbon dioxide flow: $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{Q}_{\text {AIR }}=$ Airflow: $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathbf{Q v}_{\mathbf{v}}=$ Volumetric heat to column neighborhoods: $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\mathbf{r}=$ Rapid reaction: $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{gmol}\right)^{-1+n} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ Rapid absorption with chemical reaction through the interface: gmol A/s cm ${ }^{2}$
$\mathbf{t}=$ Operating time: s
Tamb $=$ Environmental temperature: ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{TL}=$ Temperature of the liquid in the column: ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{g}}=$ Speed of the gas mixture in the column: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{Z}}=$ Speed of liquid mixing in the columna: $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{X}_{\text {Molar MEA }}=$ Molar fraction of MEA: gmol MEA / gmol mezcla líquida
$\mathrm{W}_{\text {MEA }}=$ MEA mass fraction: g MEA /g mezcla
$\mathbf{Z}=$ Arbitrary position in the absorption column: cm
$\mathbf{z}=$ Stochiometric reaction factor
$\Delta H_{r}=$ Change of enthalpy due to reaction: cal/gmol
$\Delta H_{a b s}=$ Change of enthalpy due to absorption with chemical reaction: cal/gmol
$\Phi_{H}=$ Volumetric heat generated by the reaction: $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\varepsilon=$ fraction of gaps between the absorption column packaging
$\rho_{G}=$ Density of the gas phase: $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\rho_{L}=$ Liquid mixture density: gmol/ $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$
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## APPENDIX A

To determine quantitatively $\Phi_{H}=R_{A} a\left(\Delta H_{a b s}\right)$ it is necessary to calculate the ratio of the diffusive absorption flux with chemical reaction as a function of the z position along the tower and the individual
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coefficient of mass transfer on the liquid side kL. For this, students used the experimental information from Table (1) and the next program used in reference [4].
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When the above program equates the height $\mathbf{h}$ of the mass balance model with the height of the absorption column, students complete the program and report the values of the individual liquid-side mass transfer coefficient kL and the fluxes of mass transfer in the presence of chemical reaction at the $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}$ interface to different positions z along the column.

| $\mathbf{5 ~ L / h}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{y L}=\mathbf{4 8 . 7} \mathbf{c m} / \mathbf{h}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{z}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 21.16 \\ 42.321 \\ 63.679 \\ 84.84 \\ 106\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}=\left(\begin{array}{l}1.347 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.744 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.053 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.233 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.203 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.828 \times 10^{-6}\end{array}\right)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{cm} \quad$$\mathrm{gmolCO}_{2} / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}:=-1 \cdot 10^{-12} \cdot \mathrm{z}^{3}+3 \cdot 10^{-11} \cdot \mathrm{z}^{2}+2 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \mathrm{z}+1 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c}\hline \mathbf{8 ~ L / h} & \mathrm{z}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 21.16 \\ 42.321 \\ 63.679 \\ 84.84 \\ 106\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}=\binom{1.247 \times 10^{-6}}{\hline} \\ \hline 2.769 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.2 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.519 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.714 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.758 \times 10^{-6}\end{array}\right)$
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| $\mathbf{k L}=\mathbf{4 0 . 8}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{c m} / \mathbf{h}$ | cm | $\mathrm{gmolCO}_{2} / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}:=-7 \cdot 10^{-14} \cdot \mathrm{z}^{3}-1 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot \mathrm{z}^{2}+2 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \mathrm{z}+1 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ L / h}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{y L = 6 6 . 8} \mathbf{c m} / \mathbf{h}$ |  |
|  | $\mathrm{z}=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 21.16 \\ 42.321 \\ 63.679 \\ 84.84 \\ 106\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}=\left(\begin{array}{l}1.069 \times 10^{-6} \\ 1.792 \times 10^{-6} \\ 2.447 \times 10^{-6} \\ 3.022 \times 10^{-6} \\ 3.494 \times 10^{-6} \\ 3.847 \times 10^{-6}\end{array}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{cm} \quad$$\mathrm{gmolCO}_{2} / \mathrm{s} \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ <br> $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}:=9 \cdot 10^{-14} \cdot \mathrm{z}^{3}-1 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot \mathrm{z}^{2}+2 \cdot 10^{-8} \cdot \mathrm{z}+1 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |  |

## APENDICE B

B. 1 Thermodynamic equilibrium data for the system (monoethanolamine/water) - (carbon dioxide / air), in the presence of chemical reaction, reports it Donal L. Katz [5].

| $\mathrm{T}=37.77{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.53994 \\ \hline 0.56128 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 34.728 | 0.64304 | 276.72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X^{*} \quad \mathrm{gmol} \mathrm{CO} 2$ | $p^{*}=m m \mathrm{Hg}$ |  | 56.058 | 0.64934 | 294.67 |
|  |  | 0.57409 |  | 0.65562 |  |
| gmol MEA |  | 0.58262 | 85.535 | 0.66383 | 379.47 |
| 0 | 0 | 0.58902 | 103.50 | 0.66587 | 397.4 |
| 0.05 | 0 | 0.59756 | 126.37 | 0.67212 | 425.12 |
| 0.10 | 0 | 0.60183 | 142.7 | 0.67408 | 457.72 |
| 0.15 | 0 | 0.61037 | 162.31 | 0.68032 | 488.71 |
| 0.20 | 0 | 0.61677 | 180.27 | 0.68447 | 509.91 |
| 0.25 | 0 | 0.62424 | 200.05 | 0.6865 | 531.1 |
| 0.30 | 0 | 0.62843 | 214.74 | 0.690 | 555.56 |
| 0.35 | 0 | 0.63261 | 231.05 | 0.69687 | 576.54 |
| 0.4 | 0 | 0.63676 | 253.88 |  |  |
| 0.43908 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.46951 | 3.2785 |  |  |  |  |
| 0.49885 | 9.9449 |  |  |  |  |
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Fig. 16-36. Equilibrium pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from 15 wt \% monoethanolamine solution. (Kohl, 16-45; Mason and Dodge, 16-52. Courfesy AIChE.)

Fig. (5)
The students of the Chemical Engineering Laboratory of the Faculty of Chemistry used the experimental temperature data from the Experimental Data Table (1) and selected the curve at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in Fig. (5) to obtain the equilibrium relationship for this experimentation. This requires changing the units of the coordinate axes according to the following diagram in Fig. (6).


Fig. (6)

As the solution reported by Donal L. Katz [5]. it is $15 \%$ by mass, 0.15 g MEA / g solution the students took a base of 100 g solution.
For the monoetanolamina
gmol $M E A=100 \frac{15}{100} \frac{1}{61.084}$
gmol MEA $=g$ solution $\left(\frac{g \text { MEA }}{g \text { solution }}\right) \frac{\mathrm{gmol} \mathrm{MEA}}{g \text { MEA }}$
gmol $M E A=0.246$
b) For the water
gmol water $=100 \frac{85}{100} \frac{1}{18}$
gmol water $=4.722$
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Fig. (7)

Calculations
$x$ Molar mea $=0.0494 \frac{\text { gmol mea }}{\text { gmol solution }}$
$P M$ solution $=x$ Molar mea $\times P M$ mea $+(1-x$ Molar mea $) \times P M$ water
PM solution $=20.13 \frac{g \text { solution }}{\text { gmol solution }}$
$\rho$ Molar Solution $=\rho$ solution $\times \frac{1}{P M \text { solution }}$
$\rho M o l a r$ Solution $=0.05 \frac{\text { gmol solution }}{\mathrm{cm}^{3} \text { solution }}=\frac{\text { gmol water }}{\mathrm{cm}^{3} \text { water }}$
Changes in the abscisas


Changes in the ordinates
$\mathrm{p}^{*} \mathrm{~atm}=\mathrm{p}^{*} \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg} \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}}$

Table of Results (1) shows the unit changes for the ordinates and the abscissa

| $\mathrm{T}=37.77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  | $\mathrm{T}=37.77{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X^{*}=\frac{g m o l ~ C O_{2}}{g m o l ~ M E A}$ | $p^{*}=m m H g$ | $c^{*}=\frac{\text { gmolCO }_{2}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3} \text { solución }}$ | $p^{*}=\mathrm{atm}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.05 | 0 | 0.00013 | 0 |
| 0.10 | 0 | 0.00026 | 0 |
| 0.15 | 0 | 0.00039 | 0 |
| 0.20 | 0 | 0.00052 | 0 |
| 0.25 | 0 | 0.00065 | 0 |
| 0.30 | 0 | 0.00078 | 0 |
| 0.35 | 0 | 0.00091 | 0 |

EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal Volume: 6 | Issue: 5 | May 2020 || Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor: 7.032||ISI Value: 1.188

| 0.4 | 0 | 0.00104 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.43908 | 0 | 0.00114 | 0 |
| 0.46951 | 3.2785 | 0.00122 | 0.00431 |
| 0.49885 | 9.9449 | 0.0013 | 0.01309 |
| 0.51433 | 18.255 | 0.00134 | 0.02402 |
| 0.525 | 24.847 | 0.00137 | 0.03269 |
| 0.53994 | 34.728 | 0.0014 | 0.04569 |
| 0.56128 | 56.058 | 0.00146 | 0.07376 |
| 0.57409 | 70.811 | 0.00149 | 0.09317 |
| 0.58262 | 85.535 | 0.00152 | 0.11255 |
| 0.58902 | 103.50 | 0.00153 | 0.13618 |
| 0.59756 | 126.37 | 0.00155 | 0.16628 |
| 0.60183 | 142.7 | 0.00157 | 0.18776 |
| 0.61037 | 162.31 | 0.0016 | 0.21357 |
| 0.61677 | 180.27 | 0.00162 | 0.2372 |
| 0.62424 | 200.05 | 0.00163 | 0.26322 |
| 0.62843 | 214.74 | 0.00165 | 0.28255 |
| 0.63261 | 231.05 | 0.00166 | 0.30401 |
| 0.63676 | 253.88 | 0.00167 | 0.33405 |
| 0.64304 | 276.72 | 0.00169 | 0.36411 |
| 0.64934 | 294.67 | 0.00171 | 0.38772 |
| 0.65562 | 317.51 | 0.00173 | 0.41778 |
| 0.66383 | 379.47 | 0.00173 | 0.4993 |
| 0.66587 | 397.4 | 0.00175 | 0.52289 |
| 0.67212 | 425.12 | 0.00175 | 0.55937 |
| 0.67408 | 457.72 | 0.00177 | 0.60226 |
| 0.68032 | 488.71 | 0.00178 | 0.67304 |
| 0.68447 | 509.91 | 0.00179 | 0.69882 |
| 0.6865 | 531.1 | 0.00179 | 0.731 |
| 0.690 | 555.56 | 0.00181 |  |
| 0.69687 | 576.54 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

B. 2 Cálculo de la equilibrium relationships $\mathbf{m}\left[\mathrm{atm} /\left(\mathrm{gmol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{sol}\right)\right]$

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{h}=106 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \mathrm{Pop}=0.771 \mathrm{~atm} \\ & \mathrm{P} 1=0.0643 \mathrm{~atm} \\ & \mathrm{P} 2=0.023 \mathrm{~atm} \\ & \mathrm{Pop} \equiv \mathrm{pCO} 2 \mathrm{~atm} \end{aligned}$ | Molar fractions were calculated in the tower $\mathrm{y}_{\text {top }}=0.02998$ and $\mathrm{y}_{\text {bottom }}=$ 0.0834 using Table (1) of Experimental Data, and were divided into five spaces, with these values the partial $\mathrm{pCO}_{2}$ pressures were calculated $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{y} \text { TopCO2 }=0.02998 \\ & \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{CO} 2}:=\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { Top p2 }=0.023 \mathrm{~atm} \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} 0.0298 \\ 0.0405 \\ 0.0512 \\ 0.062 \\ 0.0727 \\ 0.0834 \end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{CO} 2} \cdot \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{op}}:=\mathrm{pCO} 2 \end{array} \quad \mathrm{pCO2}=\left(\begin{array}{c} 0.023 \\ 0.0312 \\ 0.0395 \\ 0.0478 \\ 0.0561 \\ 0.0643 \end{array}\right)\right. \\ & \text { ybottomCO2 }=0.0834 \\ & \text { Appliying Eq. (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |

EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal Volume: 6 | Issue: 5 | May 2020 || Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor: 7.032||ISI Value: 1.188

|  | $P_{0 p}=p 1+\frac{p 2-p 1}{h} \cdot Z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $Z=\frac{h \cdot(p C O 2-p 1)}{p 2-p 1}$ | $Z=\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 21.16 \\ 42.321 \\ 63.679 \\ 84.84 \\ 106\end{array}\right) \mathrm{cm}$ |

The students of the Chemical Engine
Laboratory found that the potential m
adjusts the curvilinear data of the equilibri

| $c^{*}=\frac{\text { gmolCO }_{2}}{\text { cm }^{3} \text { solución }}$ | $p^{*}=\mathrm{atm}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.00122 | 0.00431 |
| . | . |
| . | . |
| 0.00181 | 0.75861 |

In the potential model they substituted the values of the partial pressures

Finally, the students represented these values as in Fig. (8) with ordinates ( $\mathrm{pCO} 2=\mathrm{p} *$ ) vs. abscissa C * obtaining a practically linear profile from which the equilibrium relations $m$ were calculated for the three experiments as shown in the Results Table (2).

Linear model : $y=m x+b$


Fig. (8)
Results Table (2)

| Flow of <br> feeding <br> $(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{h})$ | $\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Equilibrium relationships } \mathbf{m} \\ {[\mathrm{atm} /(\mathrm{gmol} \mathrm{CO}}\end{array} \mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{sol}\right)\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: |

