



IMPACT OF LEADERSHIP ON ORGANISATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

Dr. S. Ramesha
Head External Communications,
Vignan,
Visakhapatnam

Dr. Haniefuddin Shaik
Director CMT,
Visakhapatnam

Dr. Shaik Shamshuddin
Assistant Professor,
GITAM University,
Visakhapatnam

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra3117>

ABSTRACT

The implication of this study is related to the impact of Compensation on HRM practices while HR is broadly understood to be an attractive option, its specific impact on performance and value that results not yet been well confirmed by research. HR function's program is similar to all programs and policies, in that learning comes after implementation; therefore, evaluating and reviewing with 360-degree feedback will ensure a more holistic view and systematic evaluation of the success of the outsourcing HR service. The ultimate aim of this study is to unearth the impact of outsourcing on Human resources practices in selected Public sectors of Visakhapatnam like Visakhapatnam Port Trust, Visakhapatnam Steel Plant, Bharat Heavy Plates Limited, Hindustan Ship Yard, Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited. Data was collected both from primary and secondary sources. The researcher has personally visited organisations and administered the questionnaire / schedule and collected first hand information through personal interviews. Secondary sources of the data were also used and they include records, reports, files and other published and unpublished materials of the organisations.

INTRODUCTION

One of the four most important factors of production is Human Resource. This Resource has some unique characteristics which separates it from other factors of production. Due to this, human resource occupies a special place in the business of any organization. Human Resource Management is the function performed in organizations that facilitate the most effective use of people (employees) to achieve organizational and individual goals. HRM involves designing management systems to ensure that human talent is used effectively and efficiently to accomplish organizational goals.

Outsourcing of Human Resources:

Human Resource outsourcing is considered as an important factor in today's business world. It is

constantly growing, especially in the global world where companies outsource not just within their country but across borders. Although there are numerous reasons to outsource, current wisdom dictates that the primary reason a company should look to outsource is to regain and improve focus on strategic business processes. Outsourcing allows a company to focus on broader business strategies while having the less strategic aspects of a business performed by an outside expert.

The organisation must be flexible enough to change, because outsourcing requires a culture shift, including the willingness to work with interdependencies and to take risks. While some costs, such as fixed internal resources, will be reduced or



avoided, some other costs such as communications and travel will increase. The organisation needs to be able to see these in the context of direct cost savings, and the costs which would have been necessary should outsourcing not have been chosen.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Quinn (1999) emphasized that the affect of outsourcing enables organizations to: (1) concentrate more power than anyone else on the capabilities that customers genuinely care about, (2) innovate constantly to stay ahead of competitors, (3) develop flexibilities to adapt to changing competitor pressures and opportunities, and (4) leverage organizational resources through the capabilities and investments of others. In a survey conducted by Miller (2006), 86 percent of respondents, including top executives, cited that introducing outsourcing into their organisations could increase their overall business outcomes in many areas. Chatterjee, S. R. (2007), opined India is being widely recognised as one of the most exciting emerging economies in the world. Besides becoming a global hub of outsourcing, Indian firms are spreading their wings globally through mergers and acquisitions. Akdemir, (2009). However, in the context of organisational outsourcing, communication can impact on attitudes and behaviours at work. Hence, it appears important for the organisation to communicate the outsourcing issues, including the reason to outsource, and the benefits of outsourcing to employees. light of outsourcing. K. Alef ., et.al., (2013), "The link between perceived human resource management practices, engagement and employee behaviour: a moderated mediation model", researcher contributes to understanding of the mediating and moderating processes through which human resource management (HRM) practices are linked with behavioural outcomes. Researcher developed and tested a moderated mediation model linking perceived HRM practices to organisational citizenship behaviour and turnover intentions. Sarosh Kuruvilla and Aruna Ranganathan (2010) in their research study titled "Globalisation and outsourcing: confronting new human resource challenges in India's business process outsourcing industry" argue that the rapid growth of the outsourcing industry has resulted in both high turnover and labour shortages and at the same time provided employment opportunities to a new group of employees: young upwardly mobile college graduates.

NEED FOR THE STUDY

In more recent times the need for organisations to compete not just locally but nationally and even globally has led to many organisations recognising the

importance of the effective use of the human resource. An approach which has been called human resource management acknowledges the contribution that people management makes to organisational effectiveness and requires the personnel function to be more integrated with the broader objectives of the organisation, adopting a proactive rather than reactive approach. HR practitioners face a tough challenge in anticipating the effect of internal and external changes, the change process occurs in business environments that are themselves changing, resulting in unpredictable outcomes.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To study and explore the reasons for Human Resource Policies.
- Examine how successful Human Resource Policies and Practices in Automobile Industry.
- Recent developments of HR Practices in selected Automobile industries of Gurgaon .
- To crystallize the impact of HR policies and practices for effective leadership and job performance

A. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The universe for the present study is derived from selected private sector undertakings located in Gurgaon. Since the undertakings chosen from various Automobile Industries, There are different categories of employees in the organization. These different categories are broadly classified into five categories namely workers other wise called as Associates, Lower Management Cadre, Middle Management Cadre, Senior Management Cadre and Top level Management. In the Managerial category there are different cadres, they are Junior Managers, Middle level managers and senior level managers. The researcher has included all the 252 employees here after called as respondents in the sample. The different item relating to both the dependent variables and the intervening variables are provided with alternatives basing on Likert pattern of five point scale. The five response categories together with the numerical values assigned to them for computation are! Strongly agree (2), agree (1), No Opinion (0), disagree (-1), strongly disagree (-2). The tabulations and the results for analysis were done with the help of SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 14, MINITAB version-14 and Microsoft Excel for Statistical measurements such as simple percentages, mean values etc., were used in the present study. Normal test (Z-test) is conducted to know the mean significance difference between the two categories of the respondents. ANOVA test was administered to know the average significant difference



between the opinion of the respondents belonging to different respondents.

i. Reliability Tests in Study:

Cronbach's alpha can be written as a function of the number of test items and the average inter-correlation among the items. Below, for conceptual purposes, the researcher show the formula for the standardized Cronbach's alpha:

$$\alpha = \frac{N \cdot \bar{c}}{\bar{v} + (N - 1) \cdot \bar{c}}$$

Here N is equal to the number of items, c-bar is the average inter-item covariance among the items and v-bar equals the average variance. The alpha coefficient for the 78 items is 0.860, suggesting that the items have highly relatively internal consistency.

ii. **Cadre wise Distribution of the Respondents**

CADRE	Frequency	Percent
Middle level Managers	202	80.1
Senior level Managers	50	19.9
Total	252	100.0

iii. **Department wise Distribution of the Respondents**

DEPARTMENT	Frequency	Percent
Administration	66	26.3
Marketing and Services	26	10.4
Logistics and Operations	44	17.6
Sales and Distribution	42	16.7
Vendor Management	25	10
Research and Development	49	19.4
Total	252	100.0

iv. **Reliability Tests**

Dimension Name	Alpha	Cases	Items
Lower Management	0.585	252	11
Middle Management	0.90	252	13
Senior Level management	0.494	252	6
Top Level management	0.62	252	30
Leadership	0.928	252	25
Decision Making	0.708	252	16
Motivation	0.875	252	7
Overall	0.860	252	78

B. LIMITATIONS

Since the important method used in the enquiry is the case study, it has all the limitations associated with it. The generalizations of the study cannot be expected to have universal application. Even when trying to apply to the organization of similar nature, these must be applied with caution. During the collection of

information, it was found that officials were rather hesitant and ambivalent in providing the required information and sometimes they were reluctant to discuss.



C. DATA ANALYSIS

i. Overall opinion of the respondents on HR Policies:

Opinion on HR Policies Style	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Well framed HR practices will increase the organisational effectiveness and performance.	11.1	24.6		42.5	21.8
Good direction and execution is required		23.4	6.7	69.8	
Levels of Job role and creation is very important	23.4	12.7		63.9	
Division of proper labour and job description with role clarity play a significant role in HR Management		67.1		32.9	
Leaders won't have exemptions		5.2		94.8	
Most people prefer directions rather than taking the lead and responsibility.	7.5	13.1	10.3	57.9	11.1

ii. Overall opinion of the respondents on Initiative Practices of HR:

Statements On Organization culture	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Forecasting of the problems is up to the mark?	26.6	54.4	17.9	1.2	
Quality of the product is up to the mark??	25	51.2	19.8	2.4	1.6
People do their work efficiently at up to the mark??	25	52.4	20.6	1.6	0.4
Morale of employees in the organization is up to the mark?		93.3	6.7		
People take effective measures to meet the anticipated problems in an Organisation?	25	50	23	1.2	0.8
people in your organization well informed about new developments?		43.3	53.2	2.4	1.2
Organization adapts new changes in Macro environment?		69.8	28.6	1.6	
Morale of managers in the organization is up to the mark?		93.3	6.7		
Impact of HR practices in growth of the organization?	6	94			
How well planned are the work assignments in your organization?		87.7	11.1	1.2	
How well are your systems and procedures geared to achieve company goals?		93.3	6.7		
How well qualified are people in your organization to handle the tasks assigned to them?	24.2	50.8	21	2	2
How good are the industrial relations in your organization?	25	75			
Decision making in HR Policies and Practices is up to the mark?	25.4	51.6	19.8	2	1.2
Quality of decisions is up to the mark?	24.6	52	20.2	2.4	0.8
Degree of effectiveness of decisions on important issues?	25	75			
Degree of acceptance of decisions made by HR in the organization	24.6	53.6	18.7	3.2	
Degree of delegation of authority by HR in the organization		93.3	6.7		
Degree to which superior and other managers interference in others work		69.8	28.6	1.6	



Subordinates involvement in making decisions?		93.3	6.7		
Overall decision making involvement in implementing the HR Policies and Practices?		69.8	28.6	1.6	
Decision-makers are aware of the deficiencies in the organization	25.4	52.4	18.3	2	2
Success rate of Management in removing the problems of the organization?		87.3	12.7		
Top management follow their own policies?	24.6	52.8	18.7	2.4	1.6
Optimum utilisation of Resources in organization (money, equipment, people, etc.) it has?		87.7	10.3	1.2	0.8
Average	12.25	69.87	16.18	1.20	0.50

iii. Overall opinion of the respondents on Problem solving:

Your opinion on decision making	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Problem solving with consultation of other employees in the Organisation.		75.4		24.6	
Decisions are generally taken after consultation with top level management cadre?	62.7	24.6	12.7		
Well aware of all important problems confronted at lower levels?	2.4	72.2	24.2	0.8	0.4
Technical and professional knowledge is considered in decision making process?	2	72.6	24.6	0.8	
Decisions made often on analysis with relevant data:	1.2	73	0.8	24.6	0.4
Adequate information is available for solving the problems?	62.7		37.3		
Problems will be solved by groups instead of individuals.	62.7		12.7		24.6
The influence an individual ability of problem solving depends on his skill and competence?		75.4		24.6	
Problem solvers are able to anticipate and assess Macro environmental trends?	17.1		54.8	24.6	3.6
Mostly Problem solvers depends on precedents?		24.6		75.4	
Problem solvers follow the marks set by Top management?	24.6	75.4			
Ground level reality is aware by Problem solvers in an organisation?		71.8	24.2	2	2
In case of sudden problems the technique PDCA-Plan Do Check and Act used to be followed?	25.8	52.4	18.3	2	1.6
Lower Management is interested to work with Top Management in Problem solving?	26.6	54.4	17.9	1.2	
Earlier decisions will be checked but not considered all the times?		75.4	24.6		
After solving the Problems arised will be circulated for inferences and feedback issues?	24.6			75.4	
Average	19.52	46.69	15.75	16	2.04



iv. Overall opinion of the respondents on Communication of HR Practices:

opinion on Organizational effectiveness	Strongly Agree	Agree	No Opinion	Disagree	Strongly disagree
All the employees in the Organisation will be communicated new challenges and issues related to HR Practices?		75.4		24.6	
Only after clear communication only Policies will be adopted and accepted by employees?	62.7	12.7	24.6		
Review and control of Practices will be done by senior management cadre at all levels?	19	61.9	14.7	3.6	0.8
In daily job practices it is considered effectively that practices should not effect the smooth flow of working environment?		75.4	24.6		
All the people will share information when it is required and asked by others?	11.1	75.4	6.7	6.7	
Problems will be solved with clear discussions by effective communication of participation?		75.4		24.6	
Adequate Management Information system is there?		75.4	24.6		
Average	13.26	64.52	13.6	8.50	0.11

v. Significant difference in the average opinion scores of respondents belongs to different Management Cadres:

Dimension	INCOME (In Rupees)	N	Mean	S.D	F-value	P-value	Decision
Leadership Style	Low level Managers	61	0.1656	0.2089	0.43	0.734	N.S
	Middle Level Managers	111	0.1955	0.1800			
	Senior Level Managers	44	0.1720	0.1971			
	Top Level Managers	36	0.1704	0.1640			
Organization culture	Low level Managers	61	0.8236	0.3950	2.69	0.047	S
	Middle Level Managers	111	0.9777	0.3277			
	Senior Level Managers	44	0.8964	0.3433			
	Top Level Managers	36	0.9489	0.3456			
Decision making	Low level Managers	61	0.5754	0.4203	1.44	0.232	N.S
	Middle Level Managers	111	0.6857	0.3695			
	Senior Level Managers	44	0.6584	0.3723			
	Top Level Managers	36	0.7178	0.3614			
Organization effectiveness	Low level Managers	61	0.6662	0.6120	1.33	0.266	N.S
	Middle Level Managers	111	0.8347	0.5489			
	Senior Level Managers	44	0.7750	0.6255			
	Top Level Managers	36	0.8606	0.5681			



vi. Significant difference in the average opinion scores of respondents belongs to different departments on all the dimensions:

Dimension	EXPERIENCE	n	Mean	S.D	F-value	P-value	Decision
Leadership style	Administration	96	0.1958	0.1925	1.52	0.196	N.S
	Marketing and Services	32	0.1542	0.2021			
	Logistics and Operations	49	0.1306	0.1947			
	Sales and Distribution	33	0.2101	0.1761			
	Vendor Management	42	0.2008	0.1583			
Organization culture	Administration	96	0.9546	0.3303	1.97	0.10	N.S
	Marketing and Services	32	0.9225	0.3322			
	Logistics and Operations	49	0.8	0.4236			
	Sales and Distribution	33	0.9830	0.33174			
	Vendor Management	42	0.9419	0.3320			
Decision Making	Administration	96	0.6604	0.3671	1.49	0.206	N.S
	Marketing and Services	32	0.6619	0.3842			
	Logistics and Operations	49	0.5884	0.4443			
	Sales and Distribution	33	0.6106	0.3739			
	Vendor Management	42	0.7729	0.3347			
Organization effectiveness	Administration	96	0.7765	0.6308	0.80	0.529	N.S
	Marketing and Services	32	0.8206	0.5346			
	Logistics and Operations	49	0.6837	0.5875			
	Sales and Distribution	33	0.8	0.5480			
	Vendor Management	42	0.8969	0.5218			

vii. Significant difference in the average opinion scores of respondents belongs to different experiences of employees on all the dimensions:

Dimension	AGE	n	Mean	S.D	F-value	P-value	Decision
Leadership Style	Less than 5 Years	22	0.1394	0.2193	1.01	0.390	N.S
	Less than 15 Years	67	0.1602	0.1947			
	Less than 25 years	59	0.1842	0.2023			
	Above 25 years	104	0.2003	0.1662			
Organization culture	Less than 5 Years	22	0.8491	0.4049	0.93	0.427	N.S
	Less than 15 Years	67	0.8848	0.3425			
	Less than 25 years	59	0.9668	0.3403			
	Above 25 years	104	0.9362	0.3574			
Decision making	Less than 5 Years	22	0.5836	0.3815	0.62	0.602	N.S
	Less than 15 Years	67	0.6393	0.3963			
	Less than 25 years	59	0.7046	0.3653			
	Above 25 years	104	0.6613	0.3860			
Organization effectiveness	Less than 5 Years	22	0.7855	0.6214	0.27	0.848	N.S
	Less than 15 Years	67	0.7433	0.6287			
	Less than 25 years	59	0.8369	0.5334			
	Above 25 years	104	0.7876	0.5755			



D. MAJOR FINDINGS

- Pearson correlation of HR Policies and Practices = 0.371, P-Value = 0.000, there is a significant relationship between the two dimensions Decision making and Leadership style
- Pearson correlation of Problem solving and Organization culture = 0.395, P-Value = 0.000, P-value divulges that the relationship between the dimensions leadership style and organization culture is significant at 0.05 level.
- Pearson correlation of communication and Organizational effectiveness = 0.375, P-Value = 0.000, the correlation coefficient r-value and P-value indicates that there is a significant correlation between leadership style and organizational effectiveness at 5% level of significance.
- Pearson correlation of various departmental effectiveness = -0.229, P-Value = 0.000, there is a significant correlation between autocratic leadership style and organizational effectiveness. Also the r-value suggests that there is a negative correlation between both the dimensions.
- It is indicated that Democratic Leadership Style is very much important factor which is contributing for the effectiveness of the organization.
- There is a significant correlation between autocratic leadership style and organizational effectiveness. Also the r-value suggests that there is a negative correlation between both the dimensions.
- It indicates the difference in between these age groups may be that the company is giving preference to the experience personnel at managerial levels.
- people are responsible for themselves and one else, thus the leader can not be blamed for or take credit for the work of his subordinates.
- There is no significant difference for the dimensions of leadership style, decision making and organizational effectiveness. However there is a significant difference in the opinion of the respondents from the four categories in terms of organization culture.
- With regard to experience wise responses on all dimensions there is no significant mean difference in opinion score between the experience groups with respect to the said dimensions, whereas the score on organization

culture is more when compared with the remaining dimensions.

- Autocratic style of leadership the results are negative which indicated that both the cadres are not in favour of autocratic style of leadership and it is not at all contributing for the effectiveness of the organization.

E. Suggestions:

- Most of the respondents opined that periodical performance reviews are not being conducted in a regular manner, hence it is suggested that the concerned management of the organization can take necessary steps in order to conduct performance reviews regularly.
- It is suggested that the management should take necessary steps to make the culture of the organization much better.
- It is also suggested that frequent training programs for managers shall be conducted to equip themselves with latest techniques in order to withstand themselves during the tough competition in the present globalize scenario.
- It is suggested that the management should take necessary steps to advise such managers to be Democratic.

F. CONCLUSION

The business management environment has become severe in recent years and that organizational development strategies often need transforming. Thus, a business is more eager than ever for those who have the transformational leadership being able to change organizational strategy and culture and being able to enable the organization to be more adaptable to external environmental requirements. It is certain that a business also expect these that have the charismatic leadership and the visionary leadership of being self-confident and competent for expressing visions. Furthermore, subordinates will be inspired with more potential by such leadership styles and make more mental and physical efforts for organizations. Therefore, an organization can start from adjusting the leadership style if wanting to promote the organizational performance. Leadership is a dynamic and flowing process involving the interplay of the situations, the followers, and the goals with the behaviour of the leader

**REFERENCES**

1. Jago, A. G. (1982). "Leadership: perspectives in theory and research." *Management Science*; Providence. March, pp.315-337.
2. Manz, C. C., & Sims, H. P., Jr. (2001). *The new Super Leadership: Leading others to lead themselves*. San Francisco: Berrett-Koehler.
3. Glenn R. Smith, Brian H. Kleiner, (1987) "Differences in Corporate Cultures and their Relationship to Organisational Effectiveness", *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, Vol. 8 Iss: 5, pp.10 – 12
4. David L. Turnipseed, (1988) "An Integrated, Interactive Model Of Organisational Climate, Culture And Effectiveness", *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, Vol. 9 Iss: 5, pp.17 – 21
5. Fisher and Edward (1988) "Consideration and initiating structure and their Relationship with leadership effectiveness: A meta analysis", best study Proceedings, *Academy of Management Journal*. 24-25.
6. Chelladurai, P. (1987). "Multidimensionality and multiple perspectives of organizational effectiveness". *Journal of Sport Management*, 1(1), 37-47.
7. Ayma, Roya; Kreicker, "Issues in the Assessment of managerial and Leadership" Noel A.; Masztal, Jaci Jarrett (1994) *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, Vol 46(1), 64-77.
8. French, John R.P Jr. and Bertram Raven(1959), "The bases of Social power", in *studies of social power*, ed, Dorwin Cartwright, Ann Arbor: university of Michigan Press, 150-167.
9. Cameron, K.S., Whetton, D.A., 1981, *Perceptions of organizational effectiveness over organizational life cycles*, *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 26(4) 525-44.
10. Goodman, P.S and Pennings, J.M. *New perspectives in organizational effectiveness*. San Francisco, Jossey-Bass, 36-41.
11. Price, J.L. (1972). *The Study of Organisational Effectiveness*, *Sociological Quarterly*, 13(3) 3-15.
12. Molnar, J.H., Rogers, D.C., 1976, *Organisational effectiveness: an empirical comparison of the goal and system resource approaches*, *Sociological Quarterly*, 17 401-13.
13. Elenkov DS (2002). *Effects of leadership on organizational performance in Russian companies*. *J. Bus. Res.*, 55(6): 467-480.
14. Campbell JP (1977). *On the natural of organizational effectiveness*, In Goodman PS , Pennings JM (Eds.), *New Perspectives on Organizational Effectiveness*, Jossey-Bass, San Francisco, CA., 2:13-55
15. Howell JM, Frost PJ (1989). *A laboratory study of charismatic leadership*. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 43(2): 243-269.
16. Warriner, C.K., 1965, *The problem of organizational purpose*, *Sociological Quarterly*, Spring, 139- 65.
17. Bardach, E., 1977, *The implementation game: what happens after a Bill becomes law*, Cambridge, Mass, MIT Press.
18. Kahn, R.L., 1977, *Organisational effectiveness: an overview*, Goodman, P.S. & Pennings, J.M. *New Perspectives on Organisational Effectiveness*. San Francisco, Jossey-Bass, 235-48.
19. Warner, K.W., 1967, *Problems in measuring goals of voluntary associations*, *Journal of Adult Education*, 19 3-14. Cameron, K.S., 1981c, *Domains of organizational effectiveness in colleges and universities*, *Academy of Management Journal*, 24(1) 25-47.