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#### Abstract

The research project considered effects of capacity planning and control on customer satisfaction in selected banks in North Central States, Nigeria. Banks key elements of effective capacity factors such as Automatic Teller Machine (ATM), Bank Hall aesthetic and comfortability, and Process factor in terms of time it takes for service delivery were examined. The objective was to ascertain whether each of these elements has any influence on customer satisfaction. Primary source of data was employed for this study. Data for this study was collected with the aid of a structured questionnaire. Questionnaire was shared to 1,050 customers of selected banks. Data was analyzed through Grand Mean test. Findings revealed that ATM, Banking Hall and Process factor have significant influence on customer satisfaction. On the basis of these findings, the study recommends that Banks should provide ATMs that are of high quality so that the rate at which customers account are debited without dispensing cash would be reduced. More seats are to be added to the existing sitting arrangement since there is increase in the number of people that are making use of banks in their transactions. It is equally recommended that the average time customers spent in the banking hall to access service should be looked into. There should be switching of staff when necessary.
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### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

The take-off and the continued growth and expansion of a bank depend on the level of capacity available. Usually, type of activities engaged in, technology available and responsiveness to competitive trends are contributing factors that shape the progressiveness or otherwise of a bank's capacity structure. In some instances, capacity choices are made regularly as part of an ongoing process. The aim of the capacity planner is to plan so well that new capacity is
added just in time to meet the anticipated need but not so early that resources go unused for a long period (Fitzsimmons and . Fitzsimmons, 2001; Wisner and Stanley, 2008

### 1.2 STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Demand volatility presents problem for capacity planners. It tends to be higher for services than for goods, not only in timing of demand but also in the time required to service individual customers. The fact
that services cannot be stored means service system cannot turn to inventory to smooth demand requirements on the system the way the goodsproducing systems are able to do (Saloner et al., 2001; Wisner and Stanley, 2008; Jhingan and Stephen, 2009; and Madueme, 2009). Due to this, customers do spend their productive hours in banks awaiting services. Customer satisfaction in the banks in the North Central States, Nigeria remains largely unattended to, upon this backdrop; the study will provide both short and long term solution to time wasting while accessing services at the bank by customers.

### 1.3. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

i. What is the effect of ATM on customer satisfaction?
ii. What is the effect, if any, of aesthetic appeal of bank building and comfortability on customer satisfaction?
iii. What is the effect of process factor in terms of time taken on customer satisfaction?

### 1.4. AIMS, GENERAL AND SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The general objective of this study is effects of capacity planning and control on customer satisfaction in selected banks in north central states of Nigeria. The specific objectives are:

1. To find out the effects of Automatic Teller Machine (ATM) service on customer satisfaction.
2. To identify the effect of banking hall aesthetic and comfortability on customer satisfaction.
3. To determine whether the time taken for service delivery has significant effect or not on customer satisfaction.

### 1.5. RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

i. Ho ATM service has no significant effect on customer satisfaction.
ii. Ho Banking Hall aesthetic and comfortability does not significantly contribute to customer satisfaction.
iii. Ho Time Taken for service delivery has no significant influence on customer satisfaction.

## SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The indispensability of banking hall on customer satisfaction cannot be overemphasized in banks. Previous studies carried out on customer satisfaction include the following. Okoh, Agavwe, and Aghadiuno (2009) investigated imperatives of effective management of customers' relationship, marketing for
efficient operations in industrial marketing organizations. Amue (2006) examined relationship marketing as the development, growth, and maintenance of long-term, cost-effective exchange relationships with individual customers, suppliers, employees, and other partners for mutual benefit. Okoroafor (2010) examined the exact timing and magnitude of fixed asset expansion through maintenance of a close relationship with the customers. However, this study would bridge the gap by focusing on effective and efficient service delivery in banks through effects of capacity planning and control on customer satisfaction in selected banks in North Central States, Nigeria. This study would be of significance for some reasons. It will contribute to knowledge by filling a gap in the area of meeting service delivery effectively and efficiently in selected banks in North Central States, Nigeria. Banks would also be better directed on policy decisions with regards to requirements needed for efficient service delivery. Findings of this study can help stimulate government blueprint for capacity planning and control measures in banks. In addition, the study would enhance conceptual issues relating to capacity planning. Future researchers in similar or related fields would find the material of invaluable use. Finally, it will serve as a pointer to ginger new research efforts in areas not touched in this study.

### 2.0. LITERATURE REVIEW

The initial take-off, as well as continued growth and expansion of an organization hinges on the level of capacity available. Usually, type of activities engaged in, technology available and responsiveness to competitive trends are contributing factors that shape the progressiveness or otherwise of an organization's capacity structure. This is buttressed by Stevenson (2002) that capacity is an upper limit or ceiling on the load that an operating unit can handle. The operating unit might be a plant, department, machine store or worker. This clearly indicates that capacity is the maximum amount of work that an organization is capable of completing in a given period of time. Therefore, there is a need to make capacity issues part of both long term and operating strategy. For example, a strategy of capacity expansion may be used to provide a presence in geographical locations before competitors gain access to a market (Chase, Aquilano, and Jacobs, 2007; Greasley, 2009; Patel and Nangle, 2008).

In some instances, capacity choices are made very infrequently; in others, they are made regularly, as part of an ongoing process. Generally, the factors that influence this frequency are the stability of demand, the rate of technological change in equipment and product
design, and competitive factors. In any case, management must review product and service choices periodically to ensure that the company makes capacity changes when they are needed for cost, competitive effectiveness, or other reasons. If a firm has excess capacity, or can quickly add capacity that may serve as a barrier to entry by other firms. In addition, capacity can affect delivery speed, which can be a competitive advantage (Stevenson,2002; Kasimoglu,2018; Saloner, Shepard, and Podolny, 2001 ; and Jhingan and Stephen, 2009).

A typical capacity concern of many enterprises is whether resources (labour, machine, etc.) will be in place to handle an increasing number of requests as the number of users or interactions increases. The aim of the capacity planner is to plan so well that new capacity is added just in time to meet the anticipated need but not so early that resources go unused for a long period. The successful capacity planner is one that makes the trade-offs between the present and the future that overall prove to be the most cost-efficient. The capacity planner, using business plans and forecasts, tries to imagine what the future needs will be.

A discrepancy between the capacity of an organization and the demands of its customers results in inefficiency, either in underutilized resources or in unfulfilled customers. The goal of capacity planning minimizes this discrepancy. Demand for an organization's capacity varies based on changes in production output, such as increasing or decreasing the production quantity of an existing product, or producing new products. Better utilization of existing capacity can be accomplished through improvements in overall equipment effectiveness (OEE). Capacity can be increased through introducing new techniques, equipment and materials, increasing the number of workers or machines, increasing the number of shifts, or acquiring additional production facilities (Uwanna, 2010). Knowing the significance capacity planning plays in giving sense of direction to capacity requirements, organizations should take it seriously.

### 3.0. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research design considered appropriate for this study was survey research. The population of this study comprised of all bank customers in the north central states of Nigeria.

Accidental (convenience) sampling was used for this study. Accidental sampling method was adopted because questionnaire was given to customers who were available at the bank and willing to fill on the days of sharing the questionnaire. Questionnaire was used to draw data from the respondents

Both descriptive and inferential statistics was used for data analysis.
Grand Mean was used to determine the effects of the independent variable on the dependent variable.

### 3.1. The Study Location

The study location is the north central states of Nigeria. It comprises the following states: Kogi, Kwara, Benue, Plateau, Nassarawa, Niger and Federal Capital Territory, Abuja.

### 4.1. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS ON ATM

Hypothesis 1 states that Automated Teller Machine service has no significant effect on customer satisfaction

1,050 questionnaires were served, 50 at each bank (First Bank, United Bank for Africa (UBA), and Polaris Bank) of each bank in all the North Central States, Nigeria and Abuja. 1, 001 questionnaire was retrieved from customers.

Summary of responses on indication of customer satisfaction with each of the statement describing the ATM usage are below.

### 4.1.1: Experience in ATM Usage in terms of Efficiency in Dispensing of Cash without Error

In terms of the experience in ATM usage in terms of efficiency in dispensing of cash without error, the results obtained are in Table 4.1.1.

Table 4.1.1: Summary of Response on Experience in ATM Usage in terms of Efficiency in Dispensing of Cash without Error

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 33 | 41 | 67 | 141 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 14.1 |
| Satisfactory | 186 | 135 | 138 | 459 | 45.9 | 45.9 | 60 |
| Just Satisfactory | 55 | 53 | 74 | 182 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 78.2 |
| Unsatisfactory | 48 | 82 | 25 | 155 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 93.7 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 7 | 25 | 32 | 64 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.1.1 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.1.2 shows that the calculated value of

Mean is 2.54 which is less than the bench mark (Average) which is 3.

Table 4.1.2: Result of Grand Mean Test on Experience in ATM Usage in terms of Efficiency in Dispensing of Cash without Error

|  | Result |
| :--- | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 2.54 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (2.54) is less than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected because the Grand Mean result is satisfactory. It does mean that customers are satisfied with their experience in ATM usage in terms of efficiency in cash withdrawal. This result supports the works of Fitzsimmons and Fitzsimmons (2001) and Saloner etal., (2001).
4.1.2.: Satisfaction in terms of the Time it Take to Correct ATM Error in Cash Withdrawal

In terms of the satisfaction of the time it takes to correct ATM error in cash withdrawal, the results obtained are in Table 4.1.3.

Table 4.1.3: Summary of Response on Satisfaction in terms of the Time it Take to Correct ATM Error in cash withdrawal

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 35 | 7 | 10 | 52 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| Satisfactory | 94 | 87 | 135 | 316 | 31.6 | 31.6 | 36.8 |
| Just Satisfactory | 99 | 106 | 109 | 314 | 31.4 | 31.4 | 68.2 |
| Unsatisfactory | 54 | 89 | 51 | 194 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 87.6 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 47 | 47 | 31 | 125 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

The result in Table 4.1.3 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.1 .4 shows that the calculated
value of Mean is 3.02 which is greater than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.1.4: Result of Grand Mean Test on Satisfaction in terms of the Time it Take to Correct ATM Error in cash withdrawal

|  | Result |
| :--- | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 3.02 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (3.02) is greater than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is accepted because the Grand Mean result is unsatisfactory. It does mean that customers are unsatisfied with the time it takes to correct ATM error in cash withdrawal when it occurred. This result supports the works of Chase et al., (2004) and Stevenson (2002).

### 4.1.3: Satisfaction on the Availability of Cash at the ATM

In terms of satisfaction on the availability of cash at the ATM, the results obtained are in Table 4.1.5.

Table 4.1.5: Summary of Response on Satisfaction on the Availability of Cash at the ATM

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 57 | 52 | 36 | 145 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.5 |
| Satisfactory | 115 | 101 | 149 | 365 | 36.5 | 36.5 | 51 |
| Just Satisfactory | 79 | 111 | 111 | 301 | 30.1 | 30.1 | 81.1 |
| Unsatisfactory | 67 | 50 | 19 | 136 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 94.7 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 11 | 22 | 21 | 54 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Source: Survey Data, 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.1 .5 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.1.6 shows that the calculated
value of Mean is 2.56 which is less than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.1.6: Result of Grand Mean Test on Satisfaction on the Availability of Cash at the ATM

|  | Result |
| :--- | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 2.56 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (2.56) is less than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected because the Grand Mean result is satisfactory. It does mean that customers are satisfied with availability of cash when the need to withdraw arises. This result supports the works of Jhigan and Stephen (2009) and Patel and Nangle (2008).

### 4.1.4: Satisfaction on Supply of Power to the ATM

In terms of satisfaction on supply of power to the ATM, the results obtained are in Table 4.1.8

Table 4.1.7: Satisfaction on the Supply of Power to the ATM

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\%$ | Valid <br> $\%$ | Cumulative <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Very Satisfactory | 68 | 44 | 42 | 154 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 |
| Satisfactory | 167 | 96 | 142 | 405 | 40.5 | 40.5 | 55.9 |
| Just Satisfactory | 54 | 108 | 94 | 256 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 81.5 |
| Unsatisfactory | 40 | 88 | 29 | 157 | 15.7 | 15.7 | 97.2 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.1.8 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.1.9 shows that the calculated
value of Mean is 2.50 which is less than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.1.8: Result of Grand Mean Test on Satisfaction on the Supply of Power at the ATM

|  | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 2.50 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (2.56) is less than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected because the Grand Mean result is satisfactory. It does mean that customers are satisfied with the supply of power at the ATM. This result supports the works of Amue (2006) and Kasimoglu (2010).

### 4.1.4: Satisfaction on Supply of Power to the ATM

In terms of satisfaction on supply of power to the ATM, the results obtained are in Table 4.1.9.

Table 4.1.9: Satisfaction on the Time Spent at the ATM during Transactions

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 53 | 5 | 32 | 9 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 |
| Satisfactory | 137 | 114 | 132 | 383 | 38.3 | 38.3 | 47.3 |
| Just Satisfactory | 75 | 48 | 94 | 217 | 21.7 | 21.7 | 69 |
| Unsatisfactory | 39 | 127 | 46 | 212 | 21.2 | 21.2 | 90.2 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 25 | 42 | 32 | 99 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.1.9 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.1 .10 shows that the calculated
value of Mean is 2.85 which is less than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.1.10: Result of Grand Mean Test on Satisfaction on the time spent at the ATM during

| transactions |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Result |
| Missing | 1,001 |
| Mean | 0 |
| Source: Survey Data, 2020 | 2.85 |

Decision Rule: The Mean (2.85) is less than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected because the Grand Mean result is satisfactory. It does mean that customers are satisfied with the time spent at the ATM during transactions. This result supports the work of Uwanna (2010).

### 4.2. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS ON BANKING HALL

Hypothesis 2 states that Banking Hall aesthetic and comfortability does not significantly contribute to customer satisfaction.

1,050 questionnaires were served, 50 at each bank (First Bank, United Bank for Africa (UBA), and Polaris Bank) of each bank in all the North Central States, Nigeria and Abuja. 1, 001 questionnaire was retrieved from customers.

Summary of responses on indication of customer satisfaction with each of the statement describing the Banking Hall are below.

### 4.2.1: Level of Ventilation in the Banking Hall while Awaiting Service

In terms of the level of ventilation in the Banking Hall while awaiting service, the results obtained are in Table 4.2.1.

Table 4.2.1: Summary of Response on Level of Ventilation in the Banking Hall While Awaiting Service

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 50 | 38 | 12 | 100 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Satisfactory | 108 | 55 | 32 | 195 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 29.5 |
| Just Satisfactory | 150 | 220 | 208 | 577 | 57.7 | 57.7 | 87.2 |
| Unsatisfactory | 21 | 8 | 44 | 73 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 94.5 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 0 | 15 | 40 | 55 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.2 .1 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.2 .2 shows that the calculated value of

Mean is 2.79 which is less than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.2.2: Result of Grand Mean Test on Level of Ventilation in the Banking Hall While Awaiting Service

|  | Result |
| :--- | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 2.79 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (2.79) is less than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected because the Grand Mean result is satisfactory. It does mean that customers are satisfied with the level of ventilation in the banking hall while awaiting services. This result supports the work of Stevenson (2002).

### 4.2.2: Effectiveness of Air Conditioners in the Banking Hall

In terms of the effectiveness of air conditioners in the Banking Hall, the results obtained are in Table 4.2.3.

Table 4.2.3: Summary of Response on the Effectiveness of air conditioners in the Banking Hall

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 40 | 29 | 15 | 84 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.4 |
| Satisfactory | 136 | 69 | 27 | 232 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 31.6 |
| Just Satisfactory | 125 | 206 | 210 | 540 | 54.0 | 54.0 | 85.6 |
| Unsatisfactory | 28 | 32 | 51 | 111 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 96.7 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.2 .3 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.2 .4 shows that the calculated value of

Mean is 2.78 which is less than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.2.4: Result of Grand Mean Test on Effectiveness of Air Conditioners

|  | Result |
| :--- | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 2.78 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (2.78) is less than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected because the Grand Mean result is satisfactory. It does mean that customers are satisfied with the effectiveness of air conditioners in the banking hall while awaiting services. This result supports the work of Wisner and Stanley (2008).

### 4.2.3: Availability of Seat in the Banking Hall

In terms of the availability of seat in the Banking Hall, the results obtained are in Table 4.2.5.

Table 4.2.5: Summary of Response on the Availability of Seat in the Banking Hall

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 30 | 20 | 59 | 109 | 10.9 | 10.9 | 10.9 |
| Satisfactory | 67 | 36 | 116 | 219 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 32.8 |
| Just Satisfactory | 46 | 71 | 64 | 181 | 18.1 | 18.1 | 50.9 |
| Unsatisfactory | 85 | 92 | 59 | 236 | 23.6 | 23.6 | 74.5 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 101 | 117 | 38 | 256 | 25.6 | 25.6 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.2 .5 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.2 .6 shows that the calculated value of

Mean is 3.31 which is greater than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.2.6: Result of Grand Mean Test on Availability of Seat

|  | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 3.31 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (3.31) is greater than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is accepted because the Grand Mean result is Unsatisfactory. It does mean that customers are unsatisfied with the availability of seat when the need to seat arises in the banking hall while awaiting
services. This result supports the works of Chase et al.,(2004) and Patel and Nangle (2008).

### 4.2.4: The Neatness of the Banking Hall

In terms of the neatness of the Banking Hall, the results obtained are in Table 4.2.7.

Table 4.2.7: Neatness of the Banking Hall

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 65 | 49 | 25 | 139 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 |
| Satisfactory | 215 | 214 | 199 | 628 | 62.7 | 62.7 | 76.6 |
| Just Satisfactory | 34 | 48 | 64 | 146 | 14.6 | 14.6 | 91.2 |
| Unsatisfactory | 15 | 25 | 48 | 88 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 100.0 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.2 .7 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.2 .6 shows that the calculated value of

Mean is 2.18 which is less than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.2.8: Result of Grand Mean Test on Neatness of the Banking Hall

|  | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 2.18 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (2.18) is less than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected because the Grand Mean result is Satisfactory. It does mean that customers are satisfied with the neatness of the banking hall. This result supports the work of Okoh el al., (2009).

### 4.2.4: Gaining Access into the Banking Hall through Security Door

In terms of gaining access into the Banking Hall, the results obtained are in Table 4.2.9

Table 4.2.9: Gaining Access into the Banking Hall through Security Door

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 61 | 81 | 108 | 250 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 |
| Satisfactory | 124 | 146 | 158 | 428 | 42.8 | 42.8 | 67.8 |
| Just Satisfactory | 67 | 53 | 50 | 170 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 84.8 |
| Unsatisfactory | 52 | 44 | 20 | 116 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 96.4 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 25 | 12 | 0 | 37 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.2 .9 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.2 .10 shows that the calculated value of

Mean is 2.26 which is less than the bench mark (Average) which is 3.

Table 4.2.10: Result of Grand Mean Test on Gaining Access into the Banking Hall through Security

| Door |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Valid | Result |
| Missing | 1,001 |
| Mean | 0 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (2.26) is less than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected because the Grand Mean result is Satisfactory. It does mean that customers are satisfied with gaining access in to the banking hall. This result supports the work of Greasley (2009).

## 4. 3. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS ON PROCESS IN TERM OF TIME TAKEN

Hypothesis 3 states that Time Taken for service delivery has no significant influence on customer satisfaction.

1,050 questionnaires were served, 50 at each bank (First Bank, United Bank for Africa (UBA), and Polaris Bank) of each bank in all the North Central

States, Nigeria and Abuja. 1, 001 questionnaire was retrieved from customers.

Summary of responses on indication of customer satisfaction with each of the statement describing the process in term of Time Taken are below.

### 4.3.1: Time Taken during Opening of an Account

The level of satisfaction in terms of time taken during opening of an account, the results obtained are in Table 4.3.1.

Table 4.3.1: Summary of Response on Level of Satisfaction in Terms of Time Taken during Opening of an Account

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 0 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Satisfactory | 38 | 61 | 192 | 291 | 29.1 | 29.1 | 32.6 |
| Just Satisfactory | 90 | 72 | 22 | 184 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 51 |
| Unsatisfactory | 58 | 89 | 54 | 201 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 71.1 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 143 | 114 | 33 | 290 | 29.0 | 29.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.3 .1 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.3 .2 shows that the calculated value of

Mean is 3.42 which is greater than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.3.2: Result of Grand Mean Test on Time Taken in Opening of an Account

|  | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 3.42 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (3.42) is greater than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is accepted because the Grand Mean result is Unsatisfactory. It does mean that customers were dissatisfied with the time taken to open accounts. This result supports the works of Okoroafor (2010) and Stevenson (2002).

### 4.3.2: Time Taken during Withdrawal at the Counter

The level of satisfaction in terms of time taken during withdrawal at the counter, the results obtained are in Table 4.3.3

Table 4.3.3: Summary of Response on Level of Satisfaction with the Time Taken during Withdrawal at the Counter

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
| Satisfactory | 51 | 45 | 110 | 206 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 23.4 |
| Just Satisfactory | 68 | 73 | 58 | 199 | 19.9 | 19.9 | 43.3 |
| Unsatisfactory | 92 | 83 | 66 | 241 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 67.4 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 118 | 135 | 74 | 327 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.3 .3 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.3.4 shows that the calculated value of

Mean is 3.63 which is greater than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.3.2: Result of Grand Mean Test on Time Taken during Withdrawal at the Counter

|  | Result |
| :--- | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 3.63 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (3.63) is greater than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is accepted because the Grand Mean result is Unsatisfactory. It does mean that customers were dissatisfied with the long time taken to withdraw at the counter. This result supports the works of Amue (2006) and Greasley (2009).

### 4.3.3: Time Taken for Request and Delivery of ATM Card

The level of satisfaction in terms of time taken for request and delivery of ATM card, the results obtained are in Table 4.3.5

Table 4.3.5: Summary of Response on Time Taken for Request and Delivery of ATM Card

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 0 | 0 | 40 | 40 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Satisfactory | 58 | 60 | 76 | 194 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 23.4 |
| Just Satisfactory | 75 | 116 | 180 | 371 | 37.1 | 37.1 | 60.5 |
| Unsatisfactory | 93 | 101 | 40 | 234 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 83.9 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 103 | 59 | 0 | 162 | 16.2 | 16.2 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.3 .5 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.3.6 shows that the calculated value of

Mean is 3.63 which is greater than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.3.6: Result of Grand Mean Test on Time Taken for Request and Delivery of ATM Card

|  | Result |
| :--- | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 3.28 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (3.28) is greater than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the
Null hypothesis is accepted because the Grand Mean result is Unsatisfactory. It does mean that customers were dissatisfied with the time taken for request and delivery of ATM Card. This result supports the works of Kasimoglu (2010) and Saloner et al., (2001).

### 4.3.4: Time Taken to Withdraw Cash at the ATM

The level of satisfaction in terms of time taken to withdraw cash at the ATM, the results obtained are in Table 4.3.7

Table 4.3.7: Summary of Response on Time Taken for Cash Withdrawal at the ATM

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Satisfactory | 52 | 66 | 34 | 154 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.4 |
| Just Satisfactory | 75 | 86 | 64 | 225 | 22.5 | 22.5 | 37.9 |
| Unsatisfactory | 95 | 97 | 110 | 302 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 68.1 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 107 | 85 | 128 | 320 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.3 .7 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.3 .8 shows that the calculated

Value of Mean is 3.79 which is greater than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.3.8: Result of Grand Mean Test on Time Taken for Cash Withdrawal at the ATM

|  | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 3.79 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (3.79) is greater than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is accepted because the Grand Mean result is Unsatisfactory. It does mean that customers were dissatisfied with the time taken for cash withdrawal at the ATM. This result supports the works of Amue (2006) and Okoroafor (2010).

### 4.3.5: Time Taken to Correct ATM Withdrawal Error

The level of satisfaction in terms of time taken to correct ATM withdraw error, the results obtained are in Table 4.3.9

Table 4.3.9: Summary of Response on Time taken to Correct Withdrawal Error

|  | Frequency <br> First Bank | Frequency <br> UBA | Frequency <br> Polaris Bank | Total | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Valid <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | Cumulative <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Satisfactory | 35 | 7 | 10 | 52 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| Satisfactory | 94 | 87 | 135 | 316 | 31.6 | 31.6 | 36.8 |
| Just Satisfactory | 99 | 106 | 109 | 314 | 31.4 | 31.4 | 68.2 |
| Unsatisfactory | 54 | 89 | 51 | 194 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 87.6 |
| Very Unsatisfactory | 47 | 47 | 31 | 125 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 100.0 |
| Total | 329 | 336 | 336 | 1,001 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Source Survey Data 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

The result in Table 4.3 .9 was tested with Grand Mean and Table 4.3 .10 shows that the calculated value of Mean is 4.11 which is greater than the bench mark (Average) which is 3 .

Table 4.3.10: Result of Grand Mean Test on Time Taken for Correction of ATM Withdrawal Error

|  | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| Valid | 1,001 |
| Missing | 0 |
| Mean | 4.11 |

Source: Survey Data, 2020

Decision Rule: The Mean (4.11) is greater than the cut or bench mark (3). Therefore, the null hypothesis is accepted because the Grand Mean result is Unsatisfactory. It does mean that customers were dissatisfied with the time it takes to correct ATM withdrawal error. This result supports the works of Chase et al., (2004) and Uwanna (2010).

### 4.4. Research Findings

On running a Grand Mean test on three Capacity Planning and Control factors (ATM, Banking Hall and Process in term of Time Taken) the results revealed are as follows.

On ATM, there was a significant relationship between ATMs efficiency in dispensing of cash without error and customer satisfaction. Timely ATMs
error correction in dispensing of cash has significant effect on customer satisfaction. Customers were satisfied when cash was available at the ATMs when withdrawal was made. There was a significant relationship between the supply of power to the ATMs and customer satisfaction. The time spent during withdrawal at the ATMs significantly affects customer satisfaction.

On Banking Hall, the study revealed that customers were satisfied with the level of ventilation in the banking hall while awaiting services. It was revealed that customers were satisfied with the effectiveness of air conditioners in the banking hall. The study revealed that customers were dissatisfied with sitting arrangement in the banks under study. It was also established that banking halls were in good
sanitary condition. This research unraveled the fact that the security doors of the selected banks are in good condition.

On the issue of Process in terms of time taken, customers were dissatisfied with the time it took to open accounts, withdrawal at the counter, and request and delivery of ATM cards. Customers were also grossly not satisfied with the time taken to withdraw cash at the ATM as well as the time it took to correct ATM withdrawal errors.

### 4.5. DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The major thrust of this study is to ascertain the "Effects of Capacity Planning and Control on Customer Satisfaction in Selected Banks in North Central States, Nigeria.

On running a Grand Mean test on ATM variables, it was found that customers were fairly satisfied on all the variables tested except one (time taken to correct ATM error in cash withdrawal). Findings revealed that bank equipment in terms of ATM is an influencing factor on customer's satisfaction ( see tables 4.1.2, 4.1.4, 4.1.6, 4.1.8, and 4.1.10). Fitzsimmons and Fitzsimmons (2001); Stevenson (2002); and Chase et al., (2004) note that equipment is an effective determinant of capacity hence ATMs. The functionality and availability of ATMs will determine the rate and number of customers that can be attended to at a time, hence, customer satisfaction.

Banking Hall Grand Mean test revealed that customers were satisfied with all variables tested except one (the non availability of seats when there was a need to sit). Findings revealed that Banking Hall has great influence on customer satisfaction (see tables 4.2.2, 4.2.4, 4.2.6, 4.2.8 and 4.2.10). Wisner and Stanley (2008); and Okoh el at., (2009); submit that the aesthetic and comfortability of Banking Hall has gross impact on customer satisfaction.

On Process factor in terms of Time Taken, the Grand Mean test showed that all the variables were above bench mark. Customers were highly dissatisfied. Findings revealed that the time taken for service delivery affect customer satisfaction (See tables 4.3.2, 4.3.4, 4.3.6, 4.3.8, and 4.3.10). This supports the works of Amue (2006), Okoroafor (2010); Greaseley (2006); and Jhingan and Stephen (2009). The process of doing a work will determine the number of customers that would be attended to. When the process of doing a work is long and staff of the organization is unnecessarily rigid, the effectiveness of capacity will be affected. Staying long in the process before receiving service can negatively affect customer satisfaction.

## 5.0: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 5.1 Summary

This study investigated the Effects of Capacity Planning and Control on Customer Satisfaction in Selected Banks in North Central, Nigeria. The study used a structured questionnaire as data collection instrument and Grand Mean as the tool of data analysis. The key findings of the study are summarized below:

Findings revealed that availability and functionality of ATM have great influence on customer satisfaction. When cash was available for withdrawal and transactions were error free, customers were very satisfied.

Customers were also satisfied when Banking Hall was neat, functional air conditioners and ability to sit when there was a need.

Findings revealed that the time customers spent play a significantly influence on customer satisfaction. Customers were dissatisfied when the process of performing transactions was long and they stayed for a long time on the queue. However, when the process of performing transactions was short and they stayed for a minimal time on the queue, they were very satisfied.

### 5.2 Conclusions

In line with findings summarized above, conclusions can be drawn as follows:

ATMs significantly influence customer satisfaction. Customers expressed satisfaction when ATMs dispensed cash without error, promptly correct error when it occurred, when there is availability of cash at the ATMs, when limited time is spent at the ATMs during transactions and when there is constant supply of power to the ATMs.

Banking Hall significantly affects customer satisfaction. Customers appreciate the aesthetic nature of the banking hall, functional air conditioners, spending short time at the withdrawal point. However, they were dissatisfied with non availability of seat when there was a need to sit.

Evidence revealed from findings that the time it takes to perform a transaction has great influence on customer satisfaction. Customers were dissatisfied when they stayed long in the process of receiving services.

### 5.3 Recommendations

Based on the above summary and conclusions drawn, the following recommendations are hereby made:

Banks should provide ATMs that are of high quality so that the rate at which customers account are debited without dispensing cash would be reduced. Debiting of a customer account without dispensing
cash is made worst when the customer did not have money in other account which could be withdrawn to meet the customer immediate needs. ATMs that automatically correct errors should be installed. A situation where a customer has to fill form for correction, that should be done within a reasonable hours. Customer is dissatisfied when ATM error correction runs into weeks if not months. There should be availability of cash in ATMs provided as this will lead to prompt withdrawal hence customer satisfaction. Customers are dissatisfied when ATMs display temporary out of cash. Given the facts that banks are having more customers, more functional ATMs should be provided. This will ease long queue and reduce the long time spent at the ATM thereby increasing customer satisfaction.

More seats are to be added to the existing sitting arrangement since there is increase in the number of people that are making use of banks in their transactions. Though neat interior already exist, this feat can still be improved upon to enhance higher service delivery. The security doors should be upgraded even at the current functional state with emerging technology.

The average time customers spent in the banking hall to access service should be looked into. Customers spent long period of time in First Bank and UBA. There should be switching of staff when necessary. Unnecessary procedures should be avoided as this will reduce the time spent hence customer satisfaction.
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