ISSN (Online) : 2455 - 3662
SJIF Impact Factor :3.967

EPRA International Journal of

Multidisciplinary Research

Monthly Peer Reviewed & Indexed
International Online Journal

Volume: 3 Issue: 5 May 2017

***Published By :
EPRA Journals***

SJIF Impact Factor: 3.967 Volume: 3 | Issue: 5 | May 2017

EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) ISSN (Online): 2455-3662

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ROUTING PROTOCOLS FOR UNDER WATER WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS: A SURVEY

Mr.Addisalem [1]
[1]Director,
Academic,Research and Community,
Mizan Tepi University, Ethiopia

K.P.Porkodi[2]
[2]Lecturer,
Mizan-Tepi University, Ethiopia

I.Karthika[3]
[3]Assistant Professor,
Department of CSE,
M.Kumarasamy College of Engineering,

ABSTRACT

In a growing era of UWSN, we are in need of different applications for inspecting and collecting data for environmental studies and military surveillance. Underwater acoustic networks, similar to terrestrial networks, have different peculiar characteristics of low bandwidth, high latency, and limited energy low bandwidth node float mobility and high error probability. The major problem in underwater wireless sensor networks is finding an efficient route between source and destination and energy efficiency. This paper examines the recent routing techniques with its advantages and disadvantages with respect to Energy. The paper includes innovative research direction also.

KEYWORDS: *UWSN, Acoustic channels, Wireless Sensor Networks, Energy efficiency, Underwater communic*

INTRODUCTION

Underwater sensor networks organism make use of it most recent times in different areas of underwater research together with industrial research, structural monitoring, micro-habitat monitoring[1] etc. The topic is still in the beginning stage compared to its terrestrial counterpart basically due to the involvement of high cost and physical challenges involved in. Even then, UASN found place in many vital physical applications in the fields like oil and gas exploration [2], sensing of compound contamination and biological phenomena, seismic studies etc. This topic assumes great importance in modern times not only for scientific community but also for the governments; industries etc as it have found application in every underwater human activity.

To understand the basics of UASN, we can utilize many design principles and tools used in terrestrial sensor networks. However they are typically poles apart in some essential points. Most prominently radio is inappropriate for underwater sensors due to their inadequate broadcast capability [3]. This is when acoustic signals are being utilized for underwater communication which again poses many challenges [4] like high propagation delays, loss of connectivity in shadow zones, high rate of power absorption etc. Hence the requirement for specially designed routing protocols for UASN becomes predictable. Thus, intense research programmes are being undertaken for deceitful efficient protocols considering the single characteristics of underwater communication networks.

A. Applications of underwater acoustic sensor networks.

The applications for underwater acoustic sensor networks can be categorized as under.

- *Surveillance:* Underwater sensors and autonomous underwater vehicles can collectively used [5] for military and intelligence purposes in intrusion detection, surveillance and reconnaissance like detecting presence of submarines, underwater vehicles, mines and divers. It relatively delivers more accuracy than the conventional radar and sonar systems. For this, different types of sensors are utilized in combination.
- *Assisted Navigation*: Underwater Acoustic sensors are used in assisted navigation to locate and identify different underwater threats such as bathymetric surveys, shoals, submerged wrecks etc and rocks.
- *Ocean Sampling Networks*: Underwater acoustic sensors can be used for synoptic, cooperative adaptive sampling of ocean environment. The effectiveness of the UASN has improved by introducing the sophisticated robotic vehicles of advanced models.
- *Environmental monitoring*: In underwater surroundings the recent development in UASN and electronics can effectively be used.
  In order to monitor the impact of urbanization and industrialization on oceanic environment a large number of nodes can be deployed in vast area. Which reduces the risk and environmental sustainability and also can assist with real time data about bioavailability and mobility.
- *Preventing natural calamities*: Underwater sensor networks can effectively be utilized [30] for monitoring submarine seismic activities which in turn can forecast tsunami like disasters. And also helps to study the impact of underwater earthquakes.
- *Mineral and oil exploration*: To identify the presence of minerals and oil under the sea the underwater sensors are able to be used. It can also be used for monitoring biological phenomena like presence of phytoplankton in large numbers and also it can also used to detect chemical and oil leaks from commercial tankers.
- *Water quality monitoring*: Underwater sensors find place in analyzing water properties in dams, rivers, lakes ,oceans and underground water reserves. It enables the creation of database of water bodies and allows the constant monitoring [28] in locations of difficult access without physical human interference.

B. Major challenges in designing of UASN

Severe researches are presently underway in developing suitable networking solutions for Underwater acoustic sensor networks. Even though there are many recently developed protocols for wireless sensor networks, the entirely different characterists [31] of underwater communication systems poses different challenges[6] which can be summarized as follows

- When compare to terrestrial network the propagation delay of underwater communication is 5 times higher and is also variable in nature.
- Owing to the reason of corrosion and fouling the underwater sensors face failure stages.
- Power supply to UWSN is very difficult because the battery power is limited and recharging is difficult. Again, it has higher power consumption requirements.
- Due to extreme characteristics [32,41] of underwater channel like shadow zones, temporary loss of connectivity is common accompanied by high bit error rates.
- Limited bandwidths are available for UWSN.
- Due to fading and multi-path underwater channels are severely impaired.
- High level of noise [33] from shipping activity and machinery noise are concern in UASNs.
- Availability of Underwater sensor devices in market is limited because they are very much expensive.

II. UNDERWATER NETWORK ENVIRONMENT

Underwater sensor networks is a composition of a group of sensor nodes anchored to the sea bed which are connected to other underwater gateways by acoustic links. UASN consists of underwater LANs called clusters or cells. These clusters consists of sensors and sinks where sensors are connected to sinks within each cluster. This connections may be direct paths or multiple hops.The signals shared at each sink within cluster is sent to surface stations through a vertical link. The surface station with the help of acoustic transceivers handles multiple parallel communication with the sinks deployed under the water. A sample network environment is shown in the figure 1.[7,46].

III. DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TERRESTRIAL AND UASN

Due to the major difference in the operational environments, there exists many basic differences[8] between terrestrial sensor networks and their underwater counterparts. They can be summarized as follows,



Figure 1. underwater network environment

- *Difference in deployment*: Since terrestrial sensor networks are deployed densely, the underwater deployment of sensors are sparse due to the involvement of high cost factor and difficulty in deployment itself.
- *Cost*: Due to more complex design and hardware protection requirements, the underwater sensors are much more costlier than terrestrial sensors.
- *Power requirement*: Underwater sensor networks require more power consumption [34] due to higher distance and usage of complex signal processing methods at the receivers to balance the impairments of the channel.
- *Storage*: Underwater sensor need to have more data storage capability as the channel may be intermittent.
- *Performance*: Performance [40] of ground based wireless sensor networks are better than underwater acoustic protocols.
- *Mobility*: Terrestrial networks use fixed sensor and underwater sensors are mobile [39,47].

IV.NETWORK LAYER CHALLENGES

The main objective [38] of the network layer is to allow end system, which has been connected to different networks, through the intermediate systems called router. While taking into consideration of the characteristics of the channel it finds the path from source to destination.

This includes energy of nodes, propagation delays etc. due to the peculiar underwater environment Routing protocols for underwater sensor networks face a number of difficulties it include energy of nodes, propagation delay etc. The Routing protocols can be divided in to three categories namely proactive, reactive and geographical[9,44]. proactive routing protocols are generally avoided owing to the reason of memory, energy and scalability issues. reactive protocols make them inappropriate for underwater sensor network because of their high latency, topology and asymmetrical links.. Geographical routing protocols [36] are promising for their scalability and localized signaling. But strict synchronization requirement of geographical routing protocols are difficult to obtain in underwater networks due to variable propagation delays. GPS used in terrestrial networks to estimate the geographical location cannot be used in underwater environment as the GPS radio receivers doesn't work [48] under water. Scope for further research is immense in this area.

Open research issues at the network layer [10] are,

- Mechanisms have to be developed for delay-tolerant applications to manage loss of connectivity without provoking immediate retransmissions.
- Development of healthy routing algorithms is required with respect to the intermittent connectivity of acoustic channels. Due to fading and multipath, the quality of acoustic links is highly unpredictable.
- The delay variance of acoustic signals to propagate from one node to another heavily depends on the distance between two nodes. The delay variation in horizontal acoustic links are generally larger than in vertical links which is due to multipaths [37]. This necessitates the development of algorithms to provide strict or loose latency bounds for time critical applications [11].
- Credible simulation models and tools are required to be developed for accurate modeling to understand dynamics of data transmission at network layers.
- Protocols and algorithms are required to be developed to address connection failures, unforeseen mobility of nodes and battery depletion.
- Suitable algorithms for local route optimization needs to be designed [12] to address the consistent variations in the metrics describing the energy efficiency for the underwater channel.
- In case of geographical routing protocols development of efficient underwater location discovery techniques [42] are to be developed.
- Necessary integration mechanisms are to be developed to integrate AUVs in underwater to communicate between sensors and AUVs.

V. ROUTING PROTOCOLS FOR UASN

Designing an optimum routing protocol is the basic issue involved with any network. Formulating an efficient routing algorithm is an important issue related to network layer. Till recent times, most of the research works involving underwater sensor networks were limited to physical layers. But a substantial shift of interest towards research on network layers is taking place in recent times. Still the field of underwater sensor networking and routing protocols are in the incipient stage of research.

The major routing protocols proposed for UASN are discussed below:

A. Vector based forwarding (VBF)

In vector Based Forwarding [13], data packets are forwarded along redundant and interleaved paths from the source to sink. This helps in handling the problems of packet losses and node failures. Forwarding path is nominated by the routing vector from sender to target. All the nodes receiving the packet computes their positions by measuring its distance to the forwarder. It is assumed that every node already knows its location and each packet carries the location of all nodes involved. The forwarding path is virtually a routing pipe and the nodes inside this pipe are eligible for packet forwarding.

B. Hop-by-hop Vector based forwarding (HH-VBF)

In HH-VBF [14], virtual routing pipe concept is used. Each forwarder is defined by per hop virtual pipe. Based on its current location, every intermediate node makes decision about the pipe direction. The advantage is, HH-VB can find delivery path even if the number of nodes available in the forwarding path is very limited in number. Simulation results show that it has good packet delivery ratio and more signaling overhead in sparse areas than VBF. Simultaneously, it faces the problem of routing pipe radius threshold, affecting its performance.

C. Focused beam routing (FBR)

FBR protocol [15] for acoustic sensor networks are intended to avoid unnecessary flooding of broadcast queries. Overall expected throughput can significantly be reduced by overburdened networks due to uncertain location information of nodes. In FBR, every node in the network is expected to be aware of its location and every source node is aware of its destination. Locations of intermediate nodes are insignificant here and routes are established vivaciously during data transfer.

The concept of FBR is not free from drawbacks. Due to water movements, nodes can become sparse resulting in a situation that none of the node lie within the forwarding cone of angle. Secondly if some nodes are positioned outside the forwarding area, it is forced to retransmit the RTS eventually resulting in the increase in communication overhead. It will subsequently affect the data delivery in the sparse areas. Lower flexibility of network is also a drawback of FBR concept.

D. Reliable and energy balanced routing algorithm (REBAR)

It is a location based routing protocol [16]. An adaptive scheme is formulated by defining data propagation range to balance the energy consumption of the network. Geographic information is used by the nodes between the source and sink to transfer the data. Each node is assigned a unique ID and fixed range. REBAR is based on the following assumptions.

a) Every node knows its location and of the sink through multihop routing.
b) Sensed data *i* are sent to the sink at a specific rate.

The major disadvantage of REBAR is that the available simulation results focus only on delivery ratios and energy consumption with different node speeds. But end to end delays, variable according to different node movements, are not taken in to consideration.

E. Sector-based routing with destination location prediction (SBR-DLP)

It has been designed for routing a data packet in mobile UASN where both intermediate and destination nodes are mobile. It is assumed that each node knows its own location and pre planned movement of destination nodes. Forwarding of data packets are done in a hop by hop manner to avoid flooding. SBR-DLP [17] tries to achieve destination mobility by assuming that all pre planned movements are known to all nodes before the deployment. But the limitation of this concept is that, post launch position changes are impossible. Moreover, scheduled movements of destination nodes can be affected by underwater currents.

F. Directional flooding-based routing (DFR)

Reliability, packet loss and dynamic conditions are the major challenges in UASN which results in retransmissions. This protocol enhances reliability by packet flooding technique[18]. The assumption is that all nodes knows about its own location, location of one hop neighbours and that of the final destination. Link quality is the foundation for deciding the forwarding nodes. This protocol rectifies the void problem by the selection of at least one node to transmit the data packet towards the sink. But void problem can still exist if the sending node cannot find a next hop closer to the sink as reverse transmission of data packet is impossible.

G. Location aware source routing (LASR)

In LASR [19], two techniques are adopted for handling high latency of acoustic channels, namely link quality metric and location awareness. Link quality metric assures better routes through the networks. All the network information including routes and topology information are passed on in the protocol header. Resultantly header size increases as the hop count between source and sink increases. This leads to overhead for acoustic communication with a narrow bandwidth.

H. Depth based routing (DBR)

Unlike the location based routing protocols, the DBR [20] requires only the depth information of sensor node. Depth sensors are used for this purpose. When a node wants to send a data packet, it senses own relative current position from the surface and place its value in the header and then broadcasts. The receiving node calculates its own depth position and compares this value with the value embeddedin the packet. If it is smaller, the packet is forwarded. Otherwise the packet will be discarded. The process is repeated until the packet reaches the destination. The main disadvantage of this protocol is that in sparse and high density areas, the performance is affected by packet loss and inefficient memory usage.

I. Pressure routing (HydroCast)

In order to overcome the limitations of geographic routing, Hydrocast [21]is proposed as an alternative as it doesn't require distributed localization. Like DBR, data packets are greedily forwarded towards a node with lowest pressure level of the surrounding nodes. Hydrocast is not affected by the problem of void regions.

High delivery ratios are also ensured in Hydrocast by the use of simultaneous reception among the neighbour nodes. But the problems like energy usage of pressure sensors and delivery of multiple copies of the same data due to opportunistic routing are still to be addressed.

J. Adaptive routing

In UWSN, unavailability of persistent route from source to destination is a common problem arising out of sparse deployment and node mobility. Hence, UWSNs are called intermittently Connected networks (ICN) or Delay Tolerant Network (DTN) [22,43] and usual routing techniques are unsuitable for them. Adaptive routing [23] is technique is introduced where it is assumed that all nodes know their 3rd position. Here routing decisions are dependent on the characteristics of each packets. Main disadvantage of this method is that, due to the complex nature of the protocol, energy consumption and end to end delays are common.

K. Distributed underwater clustering scheme (DUCS)

Main concern related to UWSNs is effective utilization of energy because continuous power supply is dependent on batteries having limited capacity [45]. This emphasized the requirement of an energy efficient routing protocol. DUCS [24] is designed as an adaptive self-organizing protocol and the network is divided into clusters of nodes having a cluster head. All other nodes except cluster head transmit data packet to cluster head node. Cluster heads process the signals and transmit it to the sink. This ensures high packet delivery ratio and reduces the network overhead. Major problem faced by DUCS is that the cluster structure can be affected by underwater currents which reduces the cluster life. Another serious drawback is that communication is possible only between cluster heads.

L.Distributed minimum-cost clustering protocol (MCCP)

In this routing technique, clusters are formed by

Table 1 Comparison of routing protocols in UASN

computing three major parameters, ie, total energy requirement, residual energy of cluster head and members and relative location of the cluster head and underwater sink. In MCCP [25], clusters are selected using a centralized approach. In this, all the sensor nodes are candidates for cluster head and cluster member. Each node constructs its neighbour set and uncovers neighbour set in order to form a cluster. Average cost of particular cluster is calculated and broadcasted among the all nodes within its two –hop range with its cluster head ID. The node with minimum cost becomes the cluster head and other nodes become members. This approach avoids formation of hot spots and balances the traffic load periodically. Major disadvantage of this approach is that nodes can leave and enter different clusters due to underwater currents affecting the cluster efficiency.

M. Temporary cluster based routing (TCBR)

In many of the existing routing protocols for UWSN, a general problem faced by the network is that the nodes around the sink more prone to energy depletion and their life span is short comparative to the other nodes. TCBR [26] is proposed to address this problem where multiple sinks are deployed on the water surface \to receive data packets. This ensures higher bandwidth and reduced propagation delays. Two different types of nodes are used in this protocol namely ordinary and courier nodes. Ordinary nodes are supposed to sense event happening and collect information which is forwarded to nearer courier node. Courier nodes transmits data packets to the surface sink. Here, 2 to 4 percentage of total nodes are used as courier nodes which enables equal energy consumption throughout the network. The major disadvantage of TCBR is that it is not suitable for time critical applications.

N. Location-based clustering algorithm for data gathering (LCAD)

A cluster based architecture is proposed for three dimensional UASN which can address the problem of rapid energy drains of sensor nodes around the sink. In this architecture, sensor nodes are deployed at fixed relative depths. Sensor nodes in each tier are deployed in clusters with multiple cluster heads. According to the node position, this algorithm select cluster head at each cluster. The maximum length of horizontal acoustic link is limited to 500m which are used for intra-cluster communication. Data packet collection from the cluster heads are done by AUVs. LCAD [27] performance depends on the position of cluster head inside the grid structure. Node movements are not considered here. Therefore this structure is less applicable for UASN.

Routing protocol	Category	Application
VBF HH-VBF FBR REBAR SBR-DLP DFR LASR	Location based routing	Energy-efficient UASN
DBR	Depth based routing	Dense network application
HydroCast	Pressure based routing	Dense network application
Adaptive	Adaptive routing	Underwater delay/disruption tolerant sensor network
DUCS MCCP TCBR LCAD	Cluster based routing	Energy-efficient UWSN

Advantages	Disadvantages
a) Energy efficient b) Robustness c) High success of data delivery	a) Low bandwidth b) High latency c) Delay efficiency, ,performance and reliability are low
a) Very high packet delivery ratio b) No need of full dimensional location information of nodes	a) Not energy efficient b) Batteries are stranger to recharge
a) Lower end-to-end delay b) Good performance and delivery ratio c) Delay efficient	a) Bandwidth and energy efficiencies are not good. b) Higher cost in packet transmission
a) Energy and BW efficient b) Reliable c) High delivery ratio	a) Not able to use water current movement b) Delivery efficiency is not good
a) High scalability and robustness b) Less load and energy consumption	a) Processing overhead is complex

VI. CONCLUSION

Underwater communication is assuming greater importance day by day due to its ever increasing application in industrial, commercial and defense fields [50]. Unmanned underwater explorations are necessitated by the environment like inhospitable surroundings, unpredictable underwater activities, high pressure conditions [49]. In this paper we have presented an overall view of the UASNs and different routing protocols used depending on the requirements, appropriateness and availability of resources. Development of optimum routing protocol which makes it reliable and efficient is regarded as the vital part in UASNs. We have tried to compare, analyze and classify different routing techniques on the basis of their advantages, disadvantages and applications. Due to the different qualities these routing techniques possess, it becomes difficult to propose a particular one for a particular situation. Eventually, this study is to provide an overview of the topic which is growing rapidly and steadily.

REFERENCES

1) Ocean Engineering at Florida Atlantic University,Available online at :http//www.oe.fau.edu/research/ams.html
2) X.Yang, K.G.Ong , W.R.Dreschel, K.Zeng, C.S.Mungle and C.A.Grimes, Design of a wireless sensor network for long-term, monitoring of an aqueous environment, pp 455-472,Sensors 2 Vol.11,2002
3) J.H.Cui,J.Kong .M.Gerla and S.Zhou,Challenges : Building scalable mobile underwater wireless sensor networks for aquatic applications, IEEE Network, Special issue on wireless sensor networking,pp. 12-18,2006
4) Akyildiz IF, Pompili D, Melodia T. Underwater acoustic sensor networks: research challenges. Ad Hoc Networks 2005;3(3):257–79.
5) Akyildiz IF, Pompili D, Melodia T. *State-of-the-art in protocol research for underwater acoustic sensor networks.* In: Proceedings of the 1st ACM international workshop on underwater networks. ACM: Los Angeles (CA, USA); 2006.
6) Ayaz M, Abdullah A. *Underwater wireless sensor networks: routing issues and future challenges.* In: Proceedings of the 7th international conference on advances in mobile computing and multimedia. ACM: Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia); 2009.
7) Manjula R.B, Sunilkumar S.Manvi,*Issues in UASNs*,International Journal of Computer and Electrical Engineering,Vol 3,No.1 February,2011
8) Mohd.Ehmer khan , Farmeena khan , *An Empirical study of UASN and Terrestrial network,* IJCSI International journal of Computer Science issues, Vol.9,Issue 1,No.1,January 2012
9) Pompili D. *Efficient communication protocols for underwater acoustic sensor networks.* School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology; 2007.
10) Heidemann J, *et al. Research challenges and applications for underwater sensornetworking.* In: Proceedings of the IEEE wireless communications and networking conference, WCNC; 2006.
11) Quazi A, Konrad *W. Underwater acoustic communications. Commun Mag*, IEEE 1982;20(2):24–30.
12) Ovaliadis K, N.S.a.V.K. *Energy efficiency in underwater sensor networks: a research review*. J Eng Sci Technol Rev 2010;3(1):151–6.
13) Xie P, Cui J-H, Lao L. VBF: *vector-based forwarding protocol for underwater sensor networks*.Networking 2006. Networking technologies, services, and protocols;
14) performance of computer and communication networks; mobile and wireless communications systems. Berlin/Heidelberg: Springer; 2006a. p.1216–1221
15) Nikolaou N, et al. *Improving the robustness of location-based routing for underwater sensor networks.* In: Proceedings of the OCEANS.– Europe; 2007.
16) Jornet JM, Stojanovic M, Zorzi M. *Focused beam routing protocol for underwater acoustic networks.* In: Proceedings of the third ACM international workshop on Underwater Networks. San Francisco (California, USA): ACM; 2008.
17) Jinming C, Xiaobing W, Guihai C. *REBAR: a reliable and energy balanced routing algorithm for UWSNs*. In: Proceedings of the seventh international conference on grid and cooperative computing, GCC '08; 2008.
18) Chirdchoo, N, Wee-Seng S, Kee Chaing C. *Sector-based routing with destination location prediction for underwater mobile networks.* In: Proceedings of the international conference on advanced information networking and applications workshops, WAINA '09; 2009.
19) Daeyoup H, Dongkyun K. DFR: *directional flooding-based routing protocol for underwater sensor networks.* In: Proceedings of the OCEANS; 2008.
20) Carlson EA, Beau jean PP, An E. *Location-aware routing protocol for underwateracoustic networks.* In: Proceedings of the OCEANS; 2006.
21) Yan H, Shi ZJ, Cui J-H. *DBR: depth-based routing for underwater sensor networks.* In: Proceedings of the 7th international IFIP-TC6 networking conference on adhoc and sensor networks, wireless networks, next generation internet. Singapore: Springer-Verlag; 2008.
22) Uichin L, et al. *Pressure routing for underwater sensor networks.* In: Proceedings of the IEEE, INFOCOM; 2010.
23) Zheng G, et al. *Adaptive routing in underwater delay/disruption tolerant sensor networks*. In: Proceedings of the fifth annual conference on wireless on demand network systems and services, WONS; 2008.
24) Domingo MC, Prior R. A distributed clustering scheme for underwater wireless sensor networks. in personal, indoor and mobile radio communications. In: Proceedings of the IEEE 18th International Symposium on PIMRC; 2007.
25) Pu W, Cheng L, Jun Z. *Distributed minimum-cost clustering protocol for underwater sensor networks (UWSNs).* In: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on communications, ICC '07; 2007.
26) Ayaz M, Abdullah A, Low Tang J. *Temporary cluster based routing for Underwater Wireless Sensor Networks.* In: Proceedings of the International Symposium in Information Technology (ITSim); 2010.
27) Chitre M, et al. *Underwater acoustic communications and networking: recent advances and future challenges.* In: Proceedings of the OCEANS; 2008.
28) Basagni S, et al. *Choosing the packet size in multi-hop underwater networks*.In: Proceedings of the IEEE, OCEANS. Sydney; 2010

29) Basagni S, et al. *Optimizing network performance through packet fragmentation in multi-hop underwater communications*. In: Proceedings of the IEEE, OCEANS.Sydney; 2010.
30) Bin Z, Sukhatme GS, Requicha AA. *Adaptive sampling for marine microorganism monitoring*. In: Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS 2004); 2004.
31) Ayaz M, Abdullah A, Faye I. *Hop-by-hop reliable data deliveries for underwater wireless sensor networks*. In: Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference on Broadband, Wireless Computing, Communication and Applications(BWCCA); 2009.
32) Domingo MC, Prior R. *Energy analysis of routing protocols for underwater wireless sensor networks*. Comput Commun 2008;31(6):1227–1238.
33) Domingo MC, Prior R. *A distributed clustering scheme for underwater wireless sensor networks.* in personal, indoor and mobile radio communications. In: Proceedings of the IEEE 18th International Symposium on PIMRC; 2007.
34) Peng X, et al. *Efficient vector-based forwarding for underwater sensor networks.* Hindawi Publishing Corporation; 2010. doi:10.1155/2010/195910.
35) Erol M, Oktug S. *A localization and routing framework for mobile underwater sensor networks*. In: Proceedings of the IEEE INFOCOM workshops; 2008.
36) Ethem M, Sozer MS, Proakis John G. *Underwater acoustic networks*. IEEE J Oceanic Eng 2000;25(1).
37) Harris AR, Zorzi M. On *the design of energy-efficient routing protocols in underwater networks*. In: Proceedings of the 4th annual IEEE communications society conference on sensor, mesh and ad hoc communications and networks, SECON '07; 2007.
38) Heinzelman WB, Chandrakasan AP, Balakrishnan H. *An application-specific protocol architecture for wireless microsensor networks.* Wireless Communication 2002;1(4):660–70
39) Jain E, Qilian L. *Sensor placement and lifetime of wireless sensor networks: theory and performance analysis.* In: Proceedings of the IEEE global telecommunications conference, GLOBECOM '05; 2005.
40) Jiang Z. *Underwater acoustic networks—issues and solutions.* Int J Intell ControlSyst 2008:152–6113 2008:152–61.
41) Jiejun K, et al. *Building underwater ad-hoc networks and sensor networks for large scale real-time aquatic applications*. In: Proceedings of the IEEE military communications conference, MILCOM; 2005.
42) Johnson DB, Maltz DA, Broch J. DSR: *the dynamic source routing protocol for multihop wireless ad hoc networks.* Ad hocnetworking.Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc; 2001. p. 139–72
43) Jun-Hong C, et al. *The challenges of building mobile underwater wirelessnetworks for aquatic applications.* Network, IEEE 2006;20(3):12–8.
44) Kai Chen YZ, He Jianhua. *A localization scheme for underwater wireless sensor networks.* Int J Adv Sci Technol 2009;4.
45) Liu G, Li Z. *Depth-based multi-hop routing protocol for underwater sensor network*. In: Proceedings of the 2nd international conference on industrial mechatronics and automation (ICIMA); 2010.
46) Lysanov LBaY. *Fundamentals of ocean acoustics*, vol. 8. New York: Springer Series;1982.
47) Nicolaou N, et al. *Improving the robustness of location-based routing for underwater sensor networks.* In: Proceedings of the OCEANS.– Europe; 2007.
48) Anupama KR, Sasidharan A, Vadlamani S. *A location-based clustering algorithm for data gathering in 3D underwater wireless sensor networks*. In: Proceedings ofthe International Symposium on Telecommunications, IST; 2008.
49) Pompili D, Melodia T, Akyildiz IF. *Routing algorithms for delay-insensitive and delay-sensitive applications in underwater sensor networks.* In: Proceedings of the 12th annual international conference on mobile computing and networking. Los Angeles (CA, USA): ACM; 2006.
50) Proakis JG, et al. *Shallow water acoustic networks.* Commun Mag, IEEE2001;39(11):114–9.