

EFFECT OF CHLORHEXIDINE MOUTHRINSE AFTER PLACEMENT OF DENTAL IMPLANTS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Elakiya S¹, Dinesh Dhamodhar², Prabu D³, Rajmohan M², Bharathwaj V V⁴, Sindhu R⁴, Gousalya V¹

¹Postgraduate student, Department of Public Health Dentistry, SRM dental college, Ramapuram, Chennai, India ²Reader, Department of Public Health Dentistry, SRM dental college, Ramapuram, Chennai, India ³Professor and Head of the Department, Department of Public Health Dentistry, SRM dental college, Ramapuram, Chennai, India

⁴Senior Lecturer, Department of Public Health Dentistry, SRM dental college, Ramapuram, Chennai, India

Corresponding Author: Prabu.D,Master of Dental Surgery, Professor and Head of the Department Department of Public Health Dentistry,SRM Dental college, Ramapuram, Chennai-600089, Tamilnadu, India

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to determine the effect of chlorhexidine mouth rinse after placement of dental implants. A systematic review was conducted based on a literature search in the databases PubMed, Cochrane library, Elsevier science direct, Wiley online library, grey literature, Ovid Medline using the search keywords (chlorhexidine mouth rinse) AND (placement) AND (dental implants). Randomised controlled trials investigating the effect of Chlorhexidine mouth rinse and further followed by Cochrane database bias assessment was done. Four randomised controlled trials were included, and after discussed, the result of the p-value is significant in chlorhexidine mouth rinse after placement of dental implants. The use of chlorhexidine mouth rinse will reduce dental plaque control for gingivitis after placement of a dental implant. Chlorhexidine mouth rinse influences wound healing at the placement of a dental implant. KEYWORDS: Chlorhexidine, dental implant, mouth rinse.

INTRODUCTION

For any dental implant placement procedures, after an oral surgical technique, maintain good oral hygiene (1). Dental plaque is an etiological factor for the development of periimplantitis. Wound healing after dental implant surgery may be negatively affected by the presence of dental plaque (2). In addition, after surgical interventions, oral biofilm by mechanical control is prohibited and therefore, it is achieved by using antimicrobial strategies (3).

Chlorhexidine plays a key role in the chemical control of dental plaque. It is a cationic bisbiguanide, and it has been used in medicine as a broad-spectrum antiseptic since 1953. Chlorhexidine mouth rinse binds to oral soft tissues allows the bacteria for up to 12 hours (4). Antimicrobial substances used as mechanical cleaning techniques, such as essential oils, metal salts and generally have been employed in oral biofilm (5). Chlorhexidine is proved to be an effective agent against dental plaque control. Chlorhexidine has antimicrobial activity against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, fungus, and viruses (6). Many articles suggested that professionally has mechanical plaque control for managing peri-implant mucositis (7). The dose-dependent effect, whereas Chlorhexidine, is bacteriostatic at low concentrations, and in higher concentrations, it has a bactericidal effect (8). However, Chlorhexidine is an antiplaque agent, and it is a Di cationic chlorophenyl biguanide. Chlorhexidine is a broad antimicrobial spectrum used in many studies for its effectiveness in plaque control (9). Chlorhexidine mouth rinse binds in the oral cavity and has an effect for 7 to 12 hours (10). A mouthwash volume of 15 ml Chlorhexidine mouth rinse concentration of 0.12% provides a dose of 18 mg, and a volume of 10 ml Chlorhexidine mouth rinse concentration of 0.20% provides a dose of 20 mg, so the concentration of 0.12% Chlorhexidine mouth rinse appears to be as same effective as 0.2% concentration of chlorhexidine mouth rinse (11).

Many studies conclude that is a statistically significant difference in the effect of dental plaque between a 0.12% and 0.2% concentration of Chlorhexidine mouth rinse. In contrast, there is no difference in reducing gingival inflammation between both concentrations of 0.12% and 0.2% (12). After dental implant surgery, the most prescribed mouth rinse is Chlorhexidine mouth rinse, and which is an antimicrobial



agent (13). This systematic review aimed to be determined effective of chlorhexidine mouth rinse after placement of a dental implant.

MATERIALS AND METHOD STUDY DESIGN

A total of 830 articles were searched among those four articles are included in this study, and this systematic review was done using Chlorhexidine mouth rinse after placement of dental implants.

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Inclusion criteria:

Studies published in English
Articles on the effectiveness on Chlorhexidine mouth rinse
Full text articles

Exclusion criteria:

Only abstracts available
Unrelated articles
Animal studies
Invitro studies
Non-experimental study

SEARCH ENGINES

PubMed Cochrane library Elsevier science direct Wiley online library Grey literature Ovid Medline

After the search using the appropriate mesh terms, a total of 830 articles were found from the online databases. After duplicates removal of 650 articles were screened, and 30 full-text articles were available. Inclusion-exclusion criteria were applied, and finally, four related articles were selected for further assessment.

RESULTS

TABLE 1: shows the characteristics of the intervention in the included studies. In all above, the effectiveness of Chlorhexidine mouthwash after placement of dental implant was reviewed.

TABLE 2: shows an outcome and result of the effectiveness of Chlorhexidine mouthwash after placement of dental implants in the above-mentioned studies.

TABLE 3: shows the bias analysis of all the included studies. It is categorized as high-risk bias "-", low risk bias "++" and unclear "?".

DISCUSSION

Chlorhexidine mouth rinse is commonly prescribed mouth rinse after implant placement or implant surgery (3). This systematic review evaluates the effect of dental implant placement or implant surgery using the parameter of plaque index, gingival index or bleeding, wound healing, tooth staining or staining index and probing depth or bleeding on probing for comparing the other mouth rinse with the placebo. Four studies were included in this systematic review. The Chlorhexidine mouth rinse after implant placement or implant surgery represents a patient in reducing plaque control or oral biofilm for wound healing. Gartenmann et al. say chlorhexidine mouth rinse is a frequently used antiseptic agent for two weeks (13).

Genovesi et al. (14) 2014 reported that chlorhexidine mouth rinse with hyaluronic has a dose of 0.12% concentration of chlorhexidine with 0.1% concentration of hyaluronic, and only plain chlorhexidine mouth rinse has a dose of 0.12% concentration is used for the duration of 15 days. It is a randomized controlled trial showed that plaque index, gingival index, and staining index for the follow up period of 2 to 15 days. The result shows that the p-value is statistically significant in plaque index, gingival index, and staining index, and there is an effect of chlorhexidine mouth rinse after placement of a dental implant.

Laugisch et al. (15) 2015 reported that chlorhexidine mouth rinse with herbal extract has a dose of 0.05% concentration of chlorhexidine with herbal extract and only plain chlorhexidine mouth rinse has a dose of 0.1% concentration is used for the duration of 2 weeks. It is a randomized controlled trial showed that plaque index, tooth staining and early wound healing for the follow-up period of 1 to 2 weeks. The result shows that the p-value is statistically significant in plaque index and early wound healing, and in tooth staining, p-value (0.0467) is statistically significant, and there is an effect of chlorhexidine mouth rinse after placement of a dental implant.

Hamad Alzoman et al. (16) 2020 reported that 10 ml of distilled water, 10 ml of herbal oral mouth rinse, and chlorhexidine mouth rinse has a dose of 0.12% concentration used for the duration of twice daily for two weeks. It is a randomized controlled trial showed that plaque index, bleeding on probing and probing depth for the follow-up period of 3,6 and 12 weeks. The result shows that p-value (<0.01) is statistically significant in plaque index and bleeding on probing, and there is an effect of chlorhexidine mouth rinse after placement of a dental implant.

Bruna Sinjari et al. (17) 2018 reported that chlorhexidine gel with a dose of 0.20% concentration of chlorhexidine and the placebo gel is used for the duration of twice daily for seven days. It is a double-blind, randomized clinical study that showed that gingival index, plaque index, bleeding on probing for the follow-up period of 12 months. The result shows that p-value (p=0.05) is statistically significant in gingival index, plaque index, bleeding on probing that, and there is an effect of chlorhexidine mouth rinse after placement of a dental implant.

CONCLUSION

The use of chlorhexidine mouth rinse will reduce dental plaque control for gingivitis after placement of a dental implant. Chlorhexidine mouth rinse influences wound healing at the placement of a dental implant. Therefore, it is significant in all the studies discussed above. This study proved that there is an effect of using a chlorhexidine mouth rinse after placement of dental implants.



LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

Many articles were excluded due to limited accessibility. The other sources should also be considered to get more relevant outcome. Only limited number of studies available and need further studies for research. SOURCE OF FUNDING: Nil

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: No

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: Nil

Figure 1: Flow diagram showing the number of studies identified, screened, assessed for eligibility, excluded, and included in the systematic





TABLE 1: CHARACTERISTICS OF THE INTERVENTIONS IN THE INCLUDED STUDIES

Author & Year	No. Of Patients	Duration	Dose Required	Intervention
Genovesi et al	40	15 days	0.12% CHX WITH	CHX WITH HYL
2015 (14)			0.1% HYL	
			0.12% CHX	
				CHX
Laugisch et al 2015	40	2 weeks	0.05% CHX WITH	CHX WITH
(15)			HERBAL	HERBAL
			EXTRACT	EXTRACT
			0.1% CHX	
				CHX
Hamad Alzoman et	48	Twice daily for 2	10 ml	DISTILLED
al 2020 (16)		weeks		WATER
			10 ml	HERBAL ORAL
				RINSE
				CHX
			10 ml of 0.12%	
			CHX	
Bruna Sinjari et al	32	Twice daily for 7	0.20%	CHX GEL
2018 (17)		days	NOT GIVEN	PLACEBO GEL

TABLE2: OUTCOME DATA AS REPORTED IN INCLUDED STUDIES

Author & Year	Year	Study Design	Follow Up	Outcome	Result
Genovesi et al	2015	randomized	2 and 15	The outcome	The result shows
2015 (14)		controlled clinical	days	shows plaque	p-value is
		trial		index, gingival	statistically
				index, and	significant in
				staining index	plaque index,
					gingival index,
					and staining
					index.
Laugisch et al	2015	randomized	1 and 2	The outcome	The result shows
2015 (15)		controlled clinical	weeks	shows plaque	p-value (>0.05) is
		trial		index, tooth	not statistically
				staining and	significant in
				early wound	plaque index and
				healing	early wound
					healing, and in
					tooth staining, p-
					value (0.0467) is
					statistically
	2020		2 6 1 1 2		significant.
Hamad	2020	randomized	3,6 and 12	The outcome	The result shows
Alzoman et al		controlled clinical	weeks	shows plaque	p-value (< 0.01) is
2020 (16)		trial		index, bleeding	statistically
				on probing and	significant in
				probing depth	plaque index and
					bleeding on
D C · · · ·	2010	1 11 11 1	10 11		probing.
Bruna Sinjari et	2018	double-blind	12 months	I he outcome	The result shows
al 2018 (17)		randomized clinical		shows gingival	p-value (p=0.05)
		study		index, plaque	is statistically
				index, bleeding	significant in
				on probing	gingival index,
					plaque index,
					bleeding on
					probing



TABLE 3: BIAS ANALYSIS OF INCLUDED STUDIES

Author Name	Year	Random Sequence Generation	Allocation Concealment	Selective Reporting	Incomplete Outcome Data	Blinding Of Outcome Assessment	Blinding Participants And Personals
Genovesi et al (14)	2015	-	-	-	++	?	++
Laugisch et al (15)	2015	-	-	-	-	-	++
Hamad Alzoman et al (16)	2020	-	-	-	++	-	-
Bruna Sinjari et al (17)	2018	-	-	-	-	?	++

REFERENCES

- 1. Lambert PM, Morris HF, Ochi S.1997. The influence of 0.12% chlorhexidine digluconate rinses on the incidence of infectious complications and implant success. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, 12(5): 25–30.
- Sanz M, Newman MG, Anderson L, Matoska W, Otomo Corgel J, Saltini C.1989. Clinical enhancement of postperiodontal surgical therapy by a 0.12% chlorhexidine gluconate mouthrinse. Journal of Periodontology, 60:570– 576
- Newman MG, Sanz M, Nachnani S, Saltini C, Anderson L.1989. Effect of 0.12%chlorhexidine on bacterial recolonization following periodontal surgery. Journal of Periodontology, 60:577–581
- 4. Lang NP, Lindhe J.2015. Clinical periodontology and implant dentistry. Blackwell Munksgaard, Oxford.
- 5. Stephen KW, Burchell CK, Huntington E, Baker AG, Russell JI, Creanor SL.1987. In vivo anticalculus effect of a dentifrice containing 0.5% zinc citrate trihydrate. Journal of Caries Research, 21: 380–384.
- 6. Wade WG, Addy M.1989. In vitro activity of a chlorhexidine containing mouthwash against subgingival bacteria. Journal of Periodontology, 60:521–525
- 7. Salvi GE, Ramseier CA.2015. Efficacy of patientadministered mechanical and/or chemical plaque control protocols in the management of peri-implant mucositis. A systematic review. Journal of Clinical Periodontology, 42(16): S187–201.
- 8. Fine DH (1988) Mouthrinses as adjuncts for plaque and gingivitis management. 1988. American Journal of Dentistry, pp:259–263
- 9. Van Leeuwen MPC, Slot DE, Van der Weijden GA.2011. Essential oils compared to chlorhexidine with respect to plaque and parameters of gingival inflammation: a systematic review. Journal of Periodontology, 82: 174– 194.
- Schiott CR, Loe H, Jensen SB, Kilian M, Davies RM, Glavind K.1970. The effect of chlorhexidine mouthrinses on the human oral flora. Journal of Periodontal Research, 5: 84–89.
- 11. Van Strydonck DAC, Timmerman MF, Van der Velden U, Van der Weijden GA.2005. Plaque inhibition of two commercially available chlorhexidine mouthrinses. Journal of Clinical Periodontology, 32: 305–309.
- 12. Berchier CE, Slot DE, Van der Weijden GA.2010. The efficacy of 0.12% chlorhexidine mouthrinse compared with

0.2% on plaque accumulation and periodontal parameters: a systematic review. Journal of Clinical Periodontology, 37: 829–839.

- 13. Gartenmann SJ et al.2016. Influence of different postinterventional maintenance concepts on periodontal outcomes: an evaluation of three systematic reviews. BMC Oral Health, 17:19
- 14. Genovesi A, Barone A, Toti P, Covani U.2017. The efficacy of 0.12% chlorhexidine versus 0.12% chlorhexidine plus hyaluronic acid mouthwash on healing of submerged single implant insertion areas: a short-term randomized controlled clinical trial. International Journal of Dental Hygiene, 15:65–72
- 15. Laugisch O, Ramseier CA, Salvi GE, Hagi TT, Bürgin W, Eick S, Sculean A.2016. Effects of two different postsurgical protocols including either 0.05% chlorhexidine herbal extract or 0.1% chlorhexidine on post-surgical plaque control, early wound healing and patient acceptance following standard periodontal surgery and implant placement. Journal of Clinical Oral Investigation, 20:2175–2183
- 16. Hamad Alzoman, Tariq Ghazi Alojaym, Sandeepa Nuchilakath Chalikkandy, Abid Mehmood, Fayza Rashed, Darshan Devang Divakar.2010. Comparison of an Herbaland a 0.12% Chlorhexidine-based Oral Rinse as Adjuncts to Nonsurgical Mechanical Debridement in the Management of Peri-implant Mucositis: A Randomised Controlled Trial. Oral health and preventive dentistry, 18(4): 645-652
- 17. Bruna Sinjari, Gianmaria D Addazio, Ilaria De Tullio, Tonino Traini, and SergioCaputi. 2018.Peri-Implant Bone Resorption during Healing Abutment Placement: The Effect of a 0.20% Chlorhexidine Gel vs. Placebo-A Randomized Double Blind Controlled Human Study. BioMed Research International, pp: 1-13