EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal

Volume: 8| Issue: 9| September 2022|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2022: 8.205 || ISI Value: 1.188

AN ANTHROPOCENTRIC VIEW ON THE FORMATION OF NAMES

Doniyor Yuldashev

Doctor of science, Urgench State University

ANNOTATION

The form and meanings of the source of some simple turkic toponyms—are compared with the words from other relative and non-relative languages and its place in onomastic system was anthropocentrically elucidated.

KEY WORDS: onomastics, toponym, anthropocentrism, pattern of thought, nomination, nominee, levels forming.

Though before proper nouns, especially toponyms in Uzbek language bas not learned in the condition when the term anthropocentrism was applied, today's broadly applied principles and laws were paid a great attention in their analysis. In particular, Z. Dusimov attracted our attention to this task in its time and pointed out: "Principles of designation of Khorezm toponyms and nomination laws have special features as well". ¹

In toponymy it is written that first names were simple and the things were given the names which the things meant. For example, rivers and lakes were called with the words meaning "water" and mountains were called with the names meaning "mountain". In spite of these facts it is very important to discover historical characteristics of these words, (they were not formed at once, they were developed on the basis of special thinking process) and linguoculture of ethnic units of related and non-related languages. These generalities and specialities play a great role in marking thinking condition of nations.

For example, *daiza-*, *diza* in Aryan *daijha-*, *dijha-* in ancient Indian *dehi* "wall, embankment, hill, barrier"; on the basis of them *dheigho-s* "wall" was formed in Indo European languages, Greek *veixoç* "wall"; English *dike* "dam, obstacle" appeared. In Avesto language *daëza-*"a pile of soil, rock layer"; in ancient Persian *dio-a-* (in klinopis *didá-*) "fortress, stronghold" *daida-* "wall", "stronghold", in the language of mussels *dizaka-* habitant in a stronghold, kale (from Akkadian sources). In the language of mussels the suffix *-κa* made an adjective from the word *diza-* "stronghold". This suffix in the meaning of affiliation can be met with various forms in other Indo European languages.

In middle Persian diz (de), in Iranian texts dyz "stronghold, fortress"². So, this word was like $d\ddot{e}z$ in ancient

Persian, in modern Persian it is dez, diz "stronghold, fortress" (the word - diz, later in the form - giz can be often met in Central Asian and Iran toponyms – Хуррамдиз, Кўхандиз, Дарагиз). In Tajik language diz, dez mean "stronghold, castle, fortress" and they are among old words³. In Bokhtar language diz(z) "high mountain": in Parthian diz "stronghold", in Sogdian language $\hat{o}yz$, in moral writings dyz, in Christian texts in Sogdian language dyz "fortress, city". So, the formation of the component dus was firstly connected to with the phenomenon of soil. Later this phenomenon was spread towards the semes "fortress, castle". And it is necessary to say that the component "диз" exists in the structure of some words in Uzbek language word stock with the meaning "surrounded". The word *фupdasc* which has been considered as borrowed from Persian pari-daiza-, parä-daiza- means just "surrounded with a wall". Later this word was used with the meaning "garden". Therefore it was borrowed from Iranian into Greek in the form *napâôeiooc*, and then came to Russian like napadus ("paradise") from French. In the next stage of Avesta pairi-daëza- was used in the meanings "surrounded with wall"⁴. In ancient Persian *pari-daida-*,in classical Persian pälez, paliz "garden", in Tajik poliz "vegetables" (it was borrowed in this form into Uzbek too), in Sogdian pröyz, in Sogdian-Christian texts prdyz "garden", in Khwaresmian language *pröyzk*- "garden"⁵. So, we can say that the spreading area of this word is large.

The formation of the word \not __esop is also connected with the phenomenon soil and it was formed historically daiza- // diza- + $u\ddot{a}ra$ - "the wall of fortress", "wall". In old Persian dida-vara-, in middle Persian $dew\ddot{a}r$, in moral writings dyw'r in classical Persian $dev\ddot{a}r$, in the Dari language $d\acute{e}war$,

¹Дўсимов 3. Хоразм топонимлари. – Тошкент: Фан, 1985. – Б.6

² Расторгуева В.С., Эдельман Д.И. Этимологический словарь иранских языков. Том 3. – Москва: Восточная литература. 2003. – С.314.

³Фарханги забони точики. Дар ду чилд. Чилди 1. – Москва, СЭ. 1969. – С. 369.

⁴Bartholomae Chr. Altiranisches Werterbuch. Strassburg, 1904. – P. 865.

Расторгуева В.С., Эдельман Д.И. Этимологический словарь иранских языков. Том 3. – Москва: Восточная литература. 2003. – С. 315.



EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal

Volume: 8| Issue: 9| September 2022|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2022: 8.205 || ISI Value: 1.188

in Tajik devor, devol (this kind of phonetic situation can be met in Uzbek either), in modern Persian divar, in Boxtel language divar, in Tot language duvar. This word divar, divör, davar, dawar, diwär, dwar means "door, exit" in new Sogdian language, in Khwaresmian language $\ddot{o}\beta r$, $\ddot{o}fr$ [<var]⁶. And it means "door" in Ossetian language with the form $dwar^7$.

The later meaning development of this word moved to the meanings of "address", "place". Thus its meaning "door" was formed. So, this word moved to the seme "door" from the meaning "a certain address (surrounded with wall)". The usage of "door" in the meaning "house" in some dialects of Uzbek language is not random, but it is the result of ancient thinking style. Thus duar- / duär- was used in the meaning "door, gate", and "place, house". In Aryan duar-, duâr-, dur-(plural form dvârau, dvarä "doors", in Latin fores "double door", Greece ûvpâ "door", in Old English duru, in Modern English door, German Tüz "door"; in Lthuanian durys, Latin durvis, in Praslav sources dvon "castle", in Russian $\partial eepb$ (plural $\partial eepu$), ∂eop "castle". In the language of Avesta dvar-1) "door, gate"; 2) "path through mountain"; in Old Persian duvarfa)- "gate, door". 9 Various forms and meanings of this word in Iranian languages are close to each other or similar: in classic Persian, Dari, and Tajik dar ("door"), in modern Persian dar, in Bokhtar der, in Tot där and etc. The event *du->b- happens in the North Western languages: in the language of Parphs bar {br} "door, gate", in Avram barä; in Huron bär; in Talish language, in Sangulujiy bar; in Surkhai bär¹⁰ and etc. her we observe one situation. The basis of the word балчик in ancient Turkic language in the meaning "mud" бал has general features with the above mentioned words from formal and semantical view points. Such kind of facts are the evidences of ancient generality of language and thinking among the Asian nations although they belong to different ethnic groups. The word балиқ in Old Turkic which expressed administrative-territorial division means "town" in the monument "Tunyukuk", Iranian and Turfan texts¹¹.

V.V. Bartold includes this word among the common words for all Turkic languages being based on the ancient writings. V.V. Radlov defined the meaning of this word in the form балық, палық as "town" and "fortress". 12

So, this word was written in the form балыг in the Ibn Muhanna's dictionary which is considered as one of the oldest Turkic dictionaries. 13 It is possible to identify from Makhmud Koshgari's writings that this word became old by the 10th and 11th centuries though it kept its meaning "city, fortress" in the toponym's structure. Makhmud Koshgari said about it like this: "the word балык meant "city" in the languages of Turks and Uygurs at the time of Jokhiliya". It is the evidence of that the largest cities of Uygurs were called as Бэш балық. He wrote that Uygurs had called some of their cities as Янги балық – new city¹⁴. The meaning "city" is the result later formal and semantical development of the word балық and Turcologists, including S.E. Malov wrote that this word is historically made from another word i.e. it appeared on the basis of the ancient Turkic root $\delta a \pi$ in the meaning "mud". 15 G. Doerfer proved that this word developed from the meaning "wall" towards the meanings "fortress, city". 16 In this feature the root ban can be met in the Old Turkic Balasagun toponym. And so it's not random that the word baneac in the Mongolian language means "city". The prove of E.V. Sevortyan that the structure of this word in Mongolian is formed from same root with the Turkic балық – балқ - балақа (city) shows that its formal and semantical roots are so ancient. We shouldn't miss the S.P. Tolstov's interpretation in historical and etymological analysis of this toponym.¹

The examples show that they are suitable to the phenomenon of the object of former simple toponyms in the meanings of city, fortress, Kent. The first examples of them developed on and on this way тупроқ —> қазиш (кент, кан, кад, када, кас, кат, хас), —> девор —> истехком. If we pay attention to the ancient appearance of the word waxap with this meaning from the root xshahr in Pakhlaviy shahr (property, region), shathr (world), to its form xshathya in Avesta and to the appearance of the Old Indian word kshatra (city) from the root xshi – governing, giving a decree, being powerfull, 18 we can see that this type of toponyms were formed in the later stages of historical and ideological development of humanity. So, inspite of the fact that the interpretation of proper nouns is the materials of different languages, the nominator – mankind is single. And we mustn't omit it from our attention. For example, if we observe the toponyms in which the components in the meaning οëκ took part, we can see that the words meaning "leg" are the emblem

⁶ Henning W.B. The Khwaresmian Language. Zeki Velidi Togan'a Armag'an. Istanbul, 1955. - P. 426, 432. 7 Абаев В.И. Историко-этимологический словарь осетинского языка. Т. І. - М. -Л., 1958. - С. 377-378. 8 Фасмер М. Этимологический словарь русского языка. Т. 1.- Москва: 1964. - С.487, 489.

⁹ Bartholomae Chr. Altiranisches Werterbuch. Strassburg, 1904. - P. 766.

¹⁰ Расторгуева В.С., Эдельман Д.И. Этимологический словарь иранских языков. Том 3. - Москва: Восточная литература. 2003. - С.500.

¹¹ Дадабаев X. Общественно-политическая и социальноэкономическая терминология тюркоязычных письменных памятниках XI-XIVвв. - Ташкент: Ёзувчи, 1991 - C.41.

¹² Радлов В.В. Опыт словаря тюркских наречий. IV. – СПб. 1911. - С.1496.

¹³ Мелиоранский П.М. Арабъ филологъ о монгольскомъ языкћ. - Санктпетербургъ: Типографія императорской академіи наукъ. Вак. Остр... 9 линія, № 12. 1903. – С.080. 14 Махмуд Қошғарий. Девону луғотит турк. Таржимон ва

нашрга тайёрловчи С. Муталлибов. ІІ жилд. – Тошкент: Уз ФАН, 1960.

¹⁵ Малов С.Е. Памятники древнетюркской письменности. - М.-Л., 1954. - C.368.

¹⁶ Doerfer G. Turkische und mongolische elemente im Neupersischen. Wiesdaben, 1963. Bd. 1: Mongolische elemente im Neupersischen Gerhard Doerfer; 1963. Bd. 2: -P.257.

Толстов С.П. Города гузов // СЭ. №3. – С.71–73.

¹⁸ Ўрозбоев А. Огахийнинг тарихий асарлари лексикаси. – Тошкент: Мухаррир. 2013. – **Б**.90.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662



EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal

Volume: 8| Issue: 9| September 2022|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2022: 8.205 || ISI Value: 1.188

the ground and earth in lots of languages in the world. For instance, in Latin *crus*- "leg", in Old Indian *hruse*- "ground", in Greece σκέλος – "leg", in Lydia language qela – "leg", in Indo European languages ped – "leg" and etc.

Therefore, the research of the words with the meanings "water, mountain" can give important facts in marking the development steps of toponyms.

According the above mentioned facts we can come to the conclusion that the toponyms which are considered the first ones in the formation of their process gain regular step by step development in its turn.

© 2022 EPRA IJMR | www.eprajournals.com | Journal DOI URL: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra2013

 $^{^{19}}$ Маковский М.М. «Картина мира» и миры образов (Лингвокультурологические этюды) // Вопросы языкознания. N26. 1992. - C.51.