ISSN (Online): 2455-7838
SJIF Impact Factor : 6.093

EPRA International Journal of

Research & Development

(IJRD)

Monthly Peer Reviewed & Indexed International Online Journal

Volume: 3, Issue:12, December 2018

Published By
EPRA Journals

SJIF Impact Factor: 6.093 Volume: 3 | Issue: 12 | December | 2018 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)

DELINEATION OF SEDIMENTARY FORMATIONS USING GROUND MAGNETIC STUDIES IN AND AROUND PANYAM, KURNOOL SUBBASIN, INDIA

P. Chandra Sekhara Reddy[1]
[1] Research Scholar, Department of Geophysics, Centre of Exploration Geophysics, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India

B.Veeraiah[2]
[2]Professor, Department of Geophysics, Centre of Exploration Geophysics Osmania University, Hyderabad, India.

N. Sridhar Goud[3]
[3]Research Scholar, Department of Geophysics, Centre of Exploration Geophysics Osmania University, Hyderabad, India.

ABSTRACT

Ground Magnetic studies were carried out in Panyam mandal to obtain the magnetic anomalies correspond to different geological formations. Along 11 profiles, 340 magnetic readings were measured. The filtering technique like, high pass filter is applied to remove the noise, which is due to shallow features and low pass filter is used to enhance the signal which is obtained from the deeper features. The Total Magnetic Intensity (TMI) and both filtered magnetic contour maps are clearly showing the anomaly difference in three different zones (shale, limestone and quartzites) of Panyam mandal. Limestones are showing low magnetic anomalies, shales are showing medium to high and over the quartzite high magnetic anomalies are observed when compared with lime stone formation.

KEYWORDS: *Panyam mandal, magnetic anomalies, geological boundaries, Nandyal shales, Limestone with shales, Panyam quartzites.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The magnetic method is the important technique among the other geophysical methods. It is inexpensive, rapid coverage of survey, the instrument also portable and needs less man power. The advantage of this method is, equally applicable on the land, air and water and can be used at inaccessible areas (Telford, 1990). The Earth behaves as huge magnet and its magnetic field surrounds it far in space. The magnetic intensity varies inversely as the square of the distance from the pole. Unit is Gauss. But in the field generally measure even small part of gauss, gamma or nano Tesla (nT). 1 Gamma =1/100,000 part of the gauss. (Dobrin, 1976).

In the present study the magnetic measurements are carried out to obtain the magnetic values correspond to different geological formations prevailing in this Panyam mandal, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh.

2. GEOLOGY

The Panyam mandal is located in the Kurnool subbasin. The stratigraphy of the Kurnool

group is shown in the Table1: which are Nandyal Shale, Koilakuntla Limestone, Paniam Quartzite, Owk Shale, Narji Limestone, Banganapalli Quartzites (King, 1872; Nagaraja Rao et al., 1987).

Table 1. Stratigraphy of the Kurnool Subbasin (after King, 1872; Nagaraja Rao et al., 1987)

KURNOOL GROUP *500+ m*	Nandyal Shale	
	Koilkuntala Limestone	
	Paniam Quartzite	
	Owk Shale	
	Narji Limestone	
	Banganapalli Quartzite	
~~ Unconformity ~~~~~~~~		
	Srisailam Formation	Pebbly grit, quartzite, heterolithic shale-sandstone

Geologically this Panyam region is surrounded by three different formations from south to north, which can be seen clearly in the Fig.1. The line separating the two formations considered as boundary line and it has drawn in the geology map as BL-1 and BL-2.



Figure1. Geological map of the Panyam mandal along boundary lines BL-1 and BL-2. (after GSI, 2005)

3. MAGNETIC STUDIES

Magnetic readings were obtained using Proton Precession Magnetometer along 11 profiles in the study area. There are 340 measurements with station interval of 200 m were carried out and covered about 80 km². Diurnal correction has been done for the magnetic readings.

I) Total Magnetic Intensity Contour Map

The observed magnetic intensity's are in the range from 42248 nT to 42500 nT. The Total magnetic Intensity contour map (Fig.2) is generated with contour interval of 20 nT and overlaid with magnetic profiles. The geological boundary lines BL-1 and BL-2 (based on Fig.1) were drawn on the Fig.2. Except at few areas, the total area shows high magnetic values below the BL-1, boundary line where the shale formation is exposed to the surface. Above the BL-2, the high values are identified over the quartzites and between BL-1 and BL-2, low to high values over the lime stone with shale formations are observed (Fig. 2).



Figure 2.Total Magnetic Intensity contour map of the Panyam mandal along with magnetic profiles.

II) Filtering Technique

In the field, very often the shallow bodies create noise, which leads to difficult to identify the actual signals of target body from the deeper depths. To remove the noise and to pick up the signal the filtering technique is applied to the field data. The high pass filter is used to eliminate the noise, which are the anomalies of high frequencies or short wavelengths obtained from the shallow features at the time of data acquisition. The low pass filter is used to retain and emphasize the signal, which is the anomalies of low frequencies or long wavelengths obtained from the deeper structures (Cooper, 2004; Oruc et al., 2008). In this present study using Oasis Montaj software (Geosoft, 2010), generated power spectrum (Dean, 1958; Spector and Bhattacharyya, 1966) and calculated the cut-off frequency for both the filter techniques.

a) High pass Filtered Magnetic Contour Map

Using high pass filter with cut-off frequency 0.02701 cycles/m applied to magnetic data and generated contour image as shown in Fig.3. The filtered magnetic field is ranging from -20 nT to 30 nT. It is clearly seen in this map that the number of high and low magnetic anomalies with small closures, which itself indicates the noise. Geological boundary lines are shown in this map.



Figure 3. High pass filter magnetic anomaly contour map of the Panyam mandal.

a) Low Pass Filtered Magnetic Contour Map

The low pass filter, cut-off frequency 0.02701 cycles/m, is applied to magnetic data and generated contour image, with contour interval of 20 nT and shown in Fig. 4. The filtered magnetic field is ranging from 42264 nT to 42410 nT.

Same as in the Fig.2, in this Fig.4 also, low to high magnetic values are observed below the boundary line BL-1 and very high values can be seen above the BL-2. Between BL-1 and BL-2, low to high values are observed.



Figure 4. Low pass filter magnetic anomaly contour map of the Panyam mandal.

4. RESULTS

The results are observed among the total magnetic Intensity contour map (Fig.2) and low pass filtered magnetic contour map (Fig.4) and correlated with geology map (Fig.1).

1) High magnetic values ranges from 42310 nT to 42500 nT are observed below the boundary line BL-1. Based on geology map (Fig.1) in this area shales are present, and generally shales show medium to high magnetic values. It means these high values are due to shales. But, at few regions low magnetic values are observed due to highly weathered shales.
2) High magnetic values from 42330 nT to 42500 nT are noticed above the boundary line BL-2. In the geology map Fig.1, Quartzites present in these zones which generally show high magnetic value, but in the present case, high values are observed may be due to underlying Owk shales also.

3) Between BL-1 and BL-2, high and low magnetic values are observed because of limestone with shale out crops in this area.

5. CONCLUSIONS

From the observation of magnetic anomalies in the contour maps Fig.2 and Fig.4, it is clearly derived that the high magnetic anomalies over Nandyal shales which are present in the southeastern part, high magnetic values over Panyam quartzites and underlying Owk shales and very low magnetic over Koilakuntla limestones, in the study area. High pass filtered magnetic contour map (Fig.3) shows the response of shallow surface features and low pass filtered magnetic contour map (Fig.4) reflects the response of deeper features.

Acknowledgements

Authors are highly acknowledged the Professor & Head, Department of Geophysics, Centre of Exploration Geophysics, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India for providing the facilities during the research work and the first author is expressed his sincere gratitude to the UGC (New Delhi) for awarding UGC (RFSMS) fellowship.

REFERENCES

1) *Dean, W., 1958, Frequency analysis for gravity and magnetic interpretation: Geophysics, v. 23, p. 97-127.*
2) *Dobrin, M., 1976. Introduction to Geophysical Prospecting, Publ. by McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.*
3) *G.R.J. Cooper, D.R. Cowan, 2004. Filtering using variable order vertical derivatives, Computers & Geosciences 30 (2004) 455–459.*
4) *Geosoft, 2010. Geophysical Processing and Analysis module of Geosoft (GMsys and Oasis Montej).*
5) *GSI (2005). Geological Survey of India District Mineral resource map of Kurnool district. A.P.*
6) *King, W. (1872). The Cuddapah and Kurnool formations in Madras Presidency: Mem. Geol. Surv. Ind., V.8, Part 1, pp.1-346.*
7) *Nagaraja Rao, B.K, Rajurkar, S.T., Ramalingaswamy, G., and RavindaraBabu, B. (1987). Stratigraphy, structure and evolution of the Cuddapah basin: In B.P. Radhakrishna, (Ed.) Purana basins of Peninsular India, Memoir 6, Geol. Soc. India, Bangalore, pp.33-86.*
8) *Oruc B and Keskinsezer A., 2008. Structural setting of the Northeastern Biga Peninsula (turkey) from Tilt Derivatives of Gravity Gradient Tensors and Magnitude of Horizontal Gravity Components. Pure. Appl. Geophytes 165:pp. 1913-1927.*
9) *Spector, A., and Bhattacharyya, B. K., 1966, Energy density spectrum and autocorrelation function of anomalies due to simple magnetic models: Geophysical Prospecting, v. 14, p. 242-272.*
10) *Telford WM, Geldart LP, Sheriff RE; 1990, Applied geophysics, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2nd ed., 770.*