



A REVIEW OF TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENTS IN DISASTER VICTIM IDENTIFICATION

Alona Thomas, Aaron George

International Centre for Technological Innovations, Alappuzha, Kerala

ABSTRACT

Disaster Victim Identification (DVI) is a critical component of disaster management, requiring multidisciplinary efforts to accurately identify victims of mass fatality incidents. Despite significant technological advancements, challenges persist in efficiently and accurately identifying victims, particularly in complex disaster scenarios. This review examines the question: How have recent technological advancements in DVI addressed current challenges, and what are the future directions to enhance the efficiency and accuracy of DVI operations? By synthesizing recent innovations in DNA analysis, forensic odontology, fingerprint identification, and information management systems, this paper highlights how these advancements have improved DVI processes. The paper also explores potential future technologies, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, and discuss the importance of international collaboration and ethical considerations. The findings aim to inform experts in the field and guide future research and practice to overcome existing challenges in DVI.

KEYWORDS: *Disaster Victim Identification; DVI, DNA Analysis; Forensic Odontology; Fingerprint Identification.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Mass fatality incidents resulting from natural disasters, transportation accidents, or acts of terrorism present significant challenges in disaster management, particularly concerning the identification of victims. Accurate and timely Disaster Victim Identification (DVI) is essential not only for legal and operational reasons but also for humanitarian considerations, providing closure to bereaved families and aiding in the grieving process (Teixeira and Pinto, 2023). However, DVI operations often face numerous challenges, including resource limitations, degraded remains, and the urgent need for rapid identification to facilitate relief efforts.

Technological advancements have significantly improved DVI methodologies, enhancing the speed and accuracy of victim identification. Yet, the question remains: How have these recent technological advancements addressed current challenges, and what future directions can further enhance the efficiency and accuracy of DVI operations? This review critically examines this question, focusing on key components and methodologies, technological innovations, and potential future developments in DVI. By providing a comprehensive understanding of how these advancements contribute to overcoming current challenges, we aim to inform experts in the field and guide future research and practice.

2. ADDRESSING CHALLENGES THROUGH TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCEMENTS IN DVI

2.1 Enhancing DNA Analysis Techniques

DNA profiling has become an indispensable tool in DVI, particularly for identifying victims when other methods are insufficient due to the condition of remains. Traditional DNA profiling methods, however, are time-consuming and require well-equipped laboratory facilities, which may not be readily available in disaster scenarios. Recent advancements have focused on overcoming these limitations.

2.1.1 Rapid DNA Technologies

Rapid DNA technologies have revolutionized the field by significantly reducing the time required for DNA profiling. Automated rapid DNA systems, such as the ANDE 6C and RapidHIT ID, are capable of generating Short Tandem Repeat (STR) profiles within two hours, suitable for field deployment (Turingan et al., 2020; Kitayama et al., 2020). These portable systems have been validated for various tissue types, including muscle, blood, bone, and teeth, which are commonly encountered in disaster scenarios (Manzella et al., 2021).

The implementation of rapid DNA technologies directly addresses the challenge of delayed identification due to extended processing times. By enabling on-site DNA analysis, these systems facilitate timely victim identification, which is crucial for operational decision-making and providing closure to families. Furthermore, the automation and user-friendly interfaces of these systems reduce the need for specialized personnel, making them accessible in resource-limited settings.



2.1.2 Direct PCR Techniques

Another significant advancement in DNA analysis is the development of direct Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) techniques. Degraded samples and limited quantities of biological material are common challenges in DVI operations. Direct PCR methods eliminate the need for traditional DNA extraction and purification steps, reducing processing time and minimizing the risk of sample contamination (Habib et al., 2017).

Techniques such as the use of microFLOQ® Direct swabs allow for the collection and direct amplification of DNA without consuming the original sample, which is particularly important when sample quantity is limited (Watherston et al., 2021). These methods have proven successful with various sample types, including hair roots, muscle tissue, bone shavings, and even touch DNA from personal items.

By simplifying the DNA analysis workflow and enhancing the ability to obtain genetic profiles from challenging samples, direct PCR techniques address critical obstacles in DVI, improving the overall efficiency and success rate of victim identification.

2.2 Innovations in Forensic Odontology

Forensic odontology plays a vital role in DVI due to the durability of dental structures and the uniqueness of dental patterns. However, fragmented remains and the lack of antemortem records can complicate dental identification. Technological innovations have sought to overcome these challenges.

Digital imaging and three-dimensional (3D) modeling have enhanced the accuracy and efficiency of dental identification. Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) provides high-resolution, three-dimensional images of dental structures with reduced artifacts from metal dental restorations (Murphy et al., 2012). This technology allows for detailed examination of dental features even in cases where traditional radiography is limited.

Additionally, the use of 3D scanners and printers enables the reconstruction of dental structures from postmortem remains, facilitating comparison with antemortem records (Nakamura and Kasahara, 2022). Custom software applications have been developed to analyze occlusal surfaces and dental features, aiding in the identification process (Hori et al., 2020).

These advancements address the challenges posed by fragmented remains and enhance the capacity for accurate dental identification, thereby contributing to the overall effectiveness of DVI operations.

2.3 Improvements in Fingerprint Identification

Fingerprint analysis is one of the primary methods of identification due to the uniqueness and permanence of friction ridge patterns. However, decomposition and damage to fingertips in disaster scenarios can reduce the viability of fingerprint analysis. Technological advancements have focused on improving fingerprint recovery and analysis under such challenging conditions.

Automated identification systems have enhanced the efficiency of fingerprint comparisons against large databases, reducing the time required for identification (Johnson, 2024). Moreover, the integration of mobile technology allows for the rapid capture and transmission of fingerprint images from the field. For instance, techniques combining simple fingerprint methods with smartphone photography and secure messaging applications have enabled rapid identification in difficult scenarios (Khoo et al., 2016).

These advancements increase the success rate of fingerprint identification in challenging conditions, providing a rapid and reliable method for victim identification and addressing a key challenge in DVI operations.

2.4 Information Management Systems

Efficient management of vast amounts of data is critical in DVI operations, where timely and accurate analysis of antemortem and postmortem data is essential. The complexity of DVI data, which includes DNA profiles, dental records, fingerprints, and other identifiers, necessitates robust information management systems.

Specialized software and centralized databases have been developed to facilitate the organization, comparison, and secure sharing of DVI data. Programs such as Bonaparte and WinID3® assist in managing DNA profiles and dental records, performing kinship analyses, and calculating likelihood ratios for potential matches (Rodríguez-Domínguez and Márquez-Ruiz, 2023; Slooten, 2011). National and international databases, including the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS), support the search for missing persons and DNA matching (Bradford et al., 2011).



The use of mobile applications enhances real-time data entry and communication among DVI team members, improving coordination and operational efficiency. By streamlining data management processes, these technological advancements directly address challenges related to data overload and the need for rapid information processing in DVI operations.

3. POTENTIAL FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN DVI TECHNOLOGY

Despite the significant advancements discussed, challenges remain in DVI operations, particularly concerning resource limitations, handling degraded remains, and integrating complex data. Future technological developments have the potential to further enhance the efficiency and accuracy of DVI.

3.1 Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Applications

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) offer promising applications in DVI. AI algorithms can improve image recognition, aiding in the identification of victims from postmortem photographs, even in cases where facial features are altered due to trauma (Michalski et al., 2024). In forensic odontology, AI can assist in the automated comparison of dental radiographs, reducing the manual workload and potentially increasing accuracy (Choi et al., 2022).

Moreover, ML algorithms can manage and analyze large, complex datasets, identifying patterns and potential matches that may be overlooked by human analysts (Teixeira and Pinto, 2023). By integrating AI and ML into DVI information systems, the efficiency of data processing and the accuracy of identifications could be significantly enhanced.

3.2 Portable and Cost-Effective Technologies

Developing portable and cost-effective technologies is essential for extending advanced DVI capabilities to resource-limited settings. This includes portable devices for DNA analysis and imaging that can be deployed directly at disaster sites (Gettings et al., 2024). Such technologies would reduce reliance on centralized laboratories and facilitate rapid identification, even in remote or resource-constrained environments.

Continued innovation in this area could make advanced DVI techniques more accessible globally, addressing disparities in disaster response capabilities and improving overall effectiveness.

3.3 Enhanced Data Integration and International Collaboration

Expanding and integrating international databases can facilitate data sharing and collaboration in DVI operations, which is particularly important in disasters involving victims from multiple countries (Alsalamah and Nuzzolese, 2020). Developing interoperable systems and standardized protocols would enhance cross-border identification efforts, enabling more efficient and accurate victim identification on a global scale.

Investing in infrastructure and policies that support international collaboration can help overcome logistical and operational challenges, ultimately improving the effectiveness of DVI operations worldwide.

4. IMPORTANCE OF INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

4.1 International Collaboration

Disasters often transcend national boundaries, involving victims from multiple countries and necessitating coordinated international efforts. Adherence to standardized protocols, such as INTERPOL's DVI Guide, ensures consistency in procedures and facilitates collaboration among different jurisdictions (Sweet, 2010). Successful multinational DVI operations, such as the response to the 2004 Southeast Asia Tsunami, have demonstrated the effectiveness of international collaboration in overcoming logistical challenges and enhancing identification efforts (Wright et al., 2018).

International collaboration also fosters the sharing of expertise, resources, and technological advancements, contributing to the overall improvement of DVI practices globally.

4.2 Ethical and Psychological Considerations

DVI operations involve sensitive ethical issues and can have significant psychological impacts on both responders and the families of victims. Ethical considerations include respecting the rights of families in the collection and use of antemortem data, ensuring informed consent, and maintaining data privacy and confidentiality (Parker et al., 2013). Cultural sensitivity is also paramount, as practices related to death and mourning vary widely among different cultures.

The psychological impact on responders, who are often exposed to traumatic environments and stressful situations, necessitates the provision of mental health support and stress management strategies (Eitzen and Zimmermann, 2012). For families awaiting



identification results, clear communication and psychological assistance are essential to help them cope with uncertainty and grief (Shrestha and Patil, 2021).

Incorporating ethical guidelines and psychological support into DVI operations not only addresses the human aspect of disaster response but also contributes to the overall effectiveness and integrity of the identification process.

5. ONGOING CHALLENGES AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Resource Limitations

Resource constraints remain a significant challenge in implementing advanced DVI technologies, particularly in low-resource settings. Limited access to equipment, trained personnel, and infrastructure can hinder effective DVI operations (Shrestha et al., 2020). Addressing these limitations requires investment in capacity-building initiatives, including training programs to equip local teams with the necessary skills and technologies (Winskog et al., 2012).

Developing cost-effective and portable technologies, as discussed earlier, can also help mitigate resource limitations by making advanced tools more accessible.

5.2 Degraded and Fragmented Remains

The identification of victims from severely degraded or fragmented remains continues to pose technical challenges. Ongoing research into improving DNA extraction methods from challenging samples is crucial. This includes exploring novel preservation techniques, enhancing direct PCR methods, and developing more sensitive analytical technologies.

Investing in research focused on handling degraded samples will improve identification success rates in difficult scenarios, directly addressing one of the most persistent challenges in DVI.

5.3 Data Management and Integration

Efficient handling of complex and voluminous data is critical for successful DVI operations. Developing interoperable systems and protocols for data sharing is essential, particularly for international collaboration. Exploring AI and ML solutions for managing and analyzing complex datasets can enhance data processing efficiency and accuracy.

Standardizing data formats and adopting universal guidelines can facilitate smoother data integration and collaboration among different agencies and countries.

6. CONCLUSION

Technological advancements have significantly addressed many of the challenges in Disaster Victim Identification, improving the efficiency and accuracy of victim identification processes. Rapid DNA technologies, innovations in forensic odontology, and enhanced information management systems have transformed DVI operations, enabling more timely and reliable identifications. However, challenges persist, particularly in resource-limited settings and in handling severely degraded remains.

Future directions should focus on integrating artificial intelligence and machine learning to further enhance analytical capabilities, developing portable and cost-effective technologies to expand access, and enhancing international collaboration through standardized protocols and interoperable systems. Additionally, ethical considerations and psychological support must remain integral components of DVI operations to address the human aspects of disaster response. By focusing on these areas, the DVI community can continue to improve identification processes, providing timely closure for families and supporting effective disaster management efforts.

7. REFERENCE

1. Teixeira R.G.; Pinto A.L., (2023). *Disaster Victim Identification in the Context of Information Science. Ciencia da Informacao*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 186. <https://doi.org/10.18225/ci.inf.v52i2.7095>
2. Turingan, R.S., Brown, J., Kaplun, L., Smith, J., Watson, J., Boyd, D.A., Steadman, D.W. and Selden, R.F. (2020). *Identification of human remains using Rapid DNA analysis. International Journal of Legal Medicine*, 134(3), pp. 863-872. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00414-019-02186-y>
3. Kitayama, T., Fukagawa, T., Watahiki, H., Mita, Y., Fujii, K., Unuma, K., Sakurada, K., Uemura, K., Sekiguchi, K., and Mizuno, N. (2020). *Evaluation of Rapid DNA system for buccal swab and disaster victim identification samples. Legal Medicine*, 46, p. 101713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.legalmed.2020.101713>
4. Manzella, A.M., Carte, K.M., King, S.L. and Moreno, L.I., 2021. *Assessment of the ANDE 6C Rapid DNA system and investigative biochip for the processing of calcified and muscle tissue. Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 53, p.102526. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2021.102526>



5. Habib, M., Pierre-Noel, A., Fogt, F., Budimlija, Z., and Prinz, M. (2017). Direct amplification of biological evidence and DVI samples using the Qiagen Investigator 24plex GO! Kit. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series*, 6, pp. e208–e210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigss.2017.09.079>
6. Watherston, J., Bruce, D., Ward, J., Gahan, M.E., and McNevin, D. (2019). Automating direct-to-PCR for disaster victim identification. *Australian Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 51(sup1), pp. S39–S43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00450618.2019.1569145>
7. Murphy, M., Drage, N., Carabott, R., & Adams, C. (2012). Accuracy and reliability of cone beam computed tomography of the jaws for comparative forensic identification: A preliminary study. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 57(4), 964-968. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1556-4029.2012.02076.x>
8. Nakamura, Y., Kasahara, N., & Hashimoto, M. (2022). New method of dental personal identification of disaster victims using oral scanner. *Bulletin of Tokyo Dental College*, 63(2), 67-73. <https://doi.org/10.2209/tdcpublication.2021-0035>
9. Hori, M., Hori, T., Ohno, Y., Tsuruta, S., Iwase, H., & Kawai, T. (2020). A novel identification method using perceptual degree of concordance of occlusal surfaces calculated by a Python program. *Forensic Science International*, 313, 110358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2020.110358>
10. Johnson, B. T. (2024). Advances in postmortem fingerprinting: Applications in disaster victim identification. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 69(5), 1681–1689. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.15513>
11. Khoo, L. S., Hasmi, A. H., Mahmood, M. S., & Vanezis, P. (2016). Underwater DVI: Simple fingerprint technique for positive identification. *Forensic Science International*, 266, e4–e9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2016.08.019>
12. Rodríguez-Domínguez, C., Márquez-Ruiz, A. B., Garrido, J. L., Benghazi, K., González-Herrera, L., & Valenzuela, A. (2023). Technical note: A mobile collaborative workspace to assist forensic experts in disaster victim identification scenarios. *Forensic Science International*, 344, 111601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2023.111601>
13. Slooten, K., 2011. Validation of DNA-based identification software by computation of pedigree likelihood ratios. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 5(4), pp.308-315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2010.06.005>
14. Bradford, L., Heal, J., Anderson, J., Faragher, N., Duval, K. and Lalonde, S. (2011). Disaster victim investigation recommendations from two simulated mass disaster scenarios utilized for user acceptance testing CODIS 6.0. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 5(4), pp. 291-296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2010.05.005>
15. Michalski, D., Malec, C., Clothier, E. and Bessed, R. (2024). Facial recognition for disaster victim identification. *Forensic Science International*, 361, p. 112108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2024.112108>
16. Choi, H.-R., Siadari, T. S., Kim, J.-E., Huh, K.-H., Yi, W.-J., Lee, S.-S., & Heo, M.-S. (2022). Automatic detection of teeth and dental treatment patterns on dental panoramic radiographs using deep neural networks. *Forensic Sciences Research*, 7(3), 456–466. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20961790.2022.2034714>
17. Gettings, K.B., Tillmar, A., Sturk-Andreaggi, K. and Marshall, C. (2024). Review of SNP assays for disaster victim identification: Cost, time, and performance information for decision-makers. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 69(5), pp. 1546-1557. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1556-4029.15585>
18. Alsalamah, S. and Nuzzolese, E. (2020). Promising blockchain technology applications and use case designs for the identification of multinational victims of mass disasters. *Frontiers in Blockchain*, 3, pp. 34. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2020.00034>
19. Sweet, D. O. C. (2010). INTERPOL DVI best-practice standards – An overview. *Forensic Science International*, 201(1), 18–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2010.02.031>
20. Wright, K., Mundorff, A., Chaseling, J., Maguire, C., & Crane, D. I. (2018). Identifying child victims of the South-East Asia Tsunami in Thailand. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*, 27(4), 447–455. <https://doi.org/10.1108/DPM-02-2018-0044>
21. Parker, L. S., London, A. J., & Aronson, J. D. (2013). Incidental findings in the use of DNA to identify human remains: An ethical assessment. *Forensic Science International: Genetics*, 7(2), 221-229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsigen.2012.10.002>
22. Eitzen, D., & Zimmermann, A. (2012). Setting up an off-site emergency mortuary facility (EMF) to deal with a DVI incident: Disaster victim management (DVM). *Forensic Science, Medicine, and Pathology*, 8(2), 189-193. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12024-011-9310-1>
23. Shrestha, R. and Patil, A., 2021. Dignified management of the dead in disasters. *Journal of Forensic Medicine Science and Law*, 30(1), pp.51-53.
24. Shrestha, R., Krishan, K. and Kanchan, T. (2020). Medico-legal encounters of 2015 Nepal earthquake – Path traversed and the road ahead. *Forensic Science International*, 313, p. 110339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forsciint.2020.110339>
25. Winskog, C. (2012). DVI missions in the Caribbean – the practical aspects of disaster victim identification. *Forensic Science, Medicine, and Pathology*, 8(2), pp. 109-113. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12024-011-9273-2>