SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.688| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 10 | Issue: 6 | June 2025 - Peer Reviewed Journal

ROLE OF SOCIAL CAPITAL IN COMMUNITY SAFETY

Tushar Kumar Goyal[1], Dr.Saswat Chandra Pujari[2]
[1]*Lecturer in Sociology (Contractual), U.G.D. College, Belgaon, Balangir, Odisha*
[2]*Assistant Professor, P.G. Department of Sociology, Sambalpur University, Jyoti Vihar, Burla, Odisha*

ABSTRACT

Social Capital is all about the connections, trust and shared rules between people that help them to stay and work together. These tools are much important for making communities safer. When people trust each other,they are more likely to work together and help eachother. This makes neighbourhood stronger and helps reduce crime. This research paper looks at what social capital actually is,how it helps people feel safe and actually be safe. It also looks at real life examples from different parts of the globe to show the working of social capital in different locations. The study uses numbers about crime and stories from Taiwan and Scandinavia to understand this better. By combining the numbers about crime rates and stories from communities;this paper provides a clearer picture of how social capital can improve safety. The outputs show that when communities have good relationships;they trust and help eachother. In results,they become safer and stronger. The paper also suggests that governments and leaders should focus on building social capital in ways that include everyone. By doing this we can make communities safer and more supportive centre for everyone.

KEYWORDS: *Social Capital, Community, Safety, Role, People*

INTRODUCTION

Safety in a community comes not only from police but also from how people relate to one another and trust local organisations. Social capital theory, developed by Coleman (1988) and Putnam (2000),show that strong social ties help communities stay safe. Coleman conceptualized social capital as the support and cooperation people get from their social networks.Putnam highlighted trust and involvement in community life are important for peace and order. Despite these insights, there remains a significant research gap in linking broad community-level social capital measures to individual-level safety outcomes, especially within marginalized urban populations.This study endeavors to fill this gap by investigating how social capital influences experiences of crime and disorder, thereby contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of community safety.

OBJECTIVES

The primary goals of this research paper are –

- To understand what social capital is while talking about Community Safety
- To analyse how social capital affect crime rates and how people feel safe.
- To discuss empirical evidences that connect social capital with community safety.
- To explore various policies which use social capital to prevent crime and make community life better.
- To identify various challenges and limitations for using social capital in different situations.

METHODOLOGY

This study uses qualitative data analysis techniques. It means it carefully reviews,compares and integrates results from various studies, theories and report about social capital and community safety.The goal of the study is to draw a bigger and clearer picture of how social capital affects community safety by studying the works of many previous researchers.

- Literature review -- The first step in this research was to do a systematic literature review. A comprehensive literature review was done from trusted sources like academic journals,books, government reports ; published between 1990 to 2024. The focus was on social capital,crime rates and community safety. Those studies were only included which were well-designed and easier to understand.
- Comparative Case Studies -- This research follow case studies from various countries like Netherlands,Taiwan and the United States of America to understand both universal patterns and differences of relationship between social capital and community safety.

SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.688| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 10 | Issue: 6 | June 2025 - Peer Reviewed Journal

- Data Synthesis and Tabulation – The quantitative data collected from literature were extracted and combined to show important patterns and results.Table-1 shows the summary of the main reasearch study.It shows how many people were in each study,how the research was conducted and what the main findings were.Table-2 compares the level of social capital and the rate of crime across different countries.

Table-1 (Summary of Key Empirical Studies on Social Capital and Crime)

Author and Year	Country	Sample Size	Methodologies	Findings
Sampson et.al (1997)	U.S.A.	8782	Quantitative	High social capital reduces crime rate.
Van Der Meer (2010)	Netherlands	1200	Mixed Methods	Social trust linked to lower rate of violence.
Chen & Chen (2015)	Taiwan	2500	Quantitative	Civic engagement linked to lower rate of property crime.

Table-2 (CrossNationalcomparison of social capital and crime rate)

Country	Social Capital Index (0-100)	Violent crime rate (Per one lakh)	Property crime rate (Per one lakh)
U.S.A.	54	380	2200
Netherlands	78	120	1400
Taiwan	65	90	1100

Theoretical framework of Social Capital

- Definition and dimensions – Social Capital means the helpful things people get from their relationships with others. These relationships are built on mutual trust,help and following shared rules (*Putnam,2000 & Coleman,1998).* The social capital may divided into three groups;such as
  - Bonding Social Capital – Strong relationship with people who are like you,such As your family members and friends.
  - Bridging Social Capital – Establishing connections with people from different Groups.
  - Linking Social Capital – Connection or link between ordinary people and an important institution , organisation or authority; from where people can get resources and support (*Woolcock & Narayan, 2000*).
- Social capital and collective efficacy -Collective efficacy, as discussed by Sampson, Raudenbush, and Earls (1997), means that people in a community believe that by working together they can achieve common goals and keep their neighborhood safe and orderly. When collective efficacy is strong, it leads to more informal ways of keeping control in the community.

Social capital and Community Safety

- Informal Social Control – Social ties help people to look out for their neighborhood. When one neighbour care and judge other,the other stop bad behaviours and promotes good actions *(Bursik & Grasmick, 2002).*
- Mutual Trust and Help – Trust among community members reduce fear and promotes cooperation in crime prevention. Helping eachother builds strong support,by which communities can handle their problems better.(*Putnam,2000).*
- Bridging and Linking Social Capital – Bridging social capital means connecting persons of various groups. This helps everyone feel included and less alone, which can lower the chances of crime. Linking social capital is about building relationships with people in power, like the police or local government. These connections help communities work better with authorities to keep everyone safe (*Lo et al., 2022).*

SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.688| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 10 | Issue: 6 | June 2025 - Peer Reviewed Journal

Empirical Evidences

Many studies show that when people in a community follow social capital,help and trust each other; there is usually lesser crime. Following are few empirical evidences proving the above statement –

- The Netherlands – Towns and cities where people have more social connections and trust on eachother have lesser violence and crime rate.If social capital increases by a certain level,then the crime rate goes down by the same level (*ter Weel,2008).*

Municipality	Social Capital Index	Crime Rate per 1000
A	0.85	12.3
B	0.65	15.7
C	0.40	22.1
D	0.20	28.4

- Taiwan—When people in a neighbour trust and help eachother,they feel safer and happier.This is true even if there exists few problems in the society.When police work with the community,it strengthens social capital which in results make people feel protected (*Lo et all,2022).*

Relationship	Effect Size	Significance
Community Policing and Social Capital	0.31	P<0.001
Social capital and feeling of safety	0.22	P<0.001
Community Policing and feeling of safety	0.13	P<0.01
Indirect effects through Social Capital	0.07	P<0.01

- United States of America – In neighborhood where there is collective efficacy,there is lesser violence and crime; even in pooer and backward areas (*Sampson et al., 1997; Wickes et al., 2013).*

In short we can say that strong community ties and mutual trust help to make place better and safer.

Community and policy impact

- Community Policing – The act of common people and police working together is called as community policing. When community policing take place in a society,it helps everyone feel safe (*Lo et al2022).* Good community policing programs are clear about their work, pay attention to people's worries, and act fast to help.
- Neighborhood watch program – Such programs help people work together and keep an eye out for eachother.This teamwork helps in reducing crime (*Demos,2025).*
- Inclusive Social Policies – Policies which help to reduce isolation and help people feel less alone, encourage everyone to access education and Such policies can help to build trust and community more deeply.

Challenges Involved

- Measurement issues – Social Capital is not something like weight or height that we can measure directly. Instead researchers use various clues to find it out But these clues are not perfect. They may contain few hidden difficulties or measure the required data inaccurately.
- Effects varying with places – Social Capital doesn't work uniformly everywhere.For example, in very close-knit communities, strong bonds between people might actually hide problems like domestic violence because victims fear speaking out (*Claridge, 2004).* Sometimes, too much "togetherness" can backfire.
- Doubtful effectiveness – Most ares with social capital have reported a drop in crime rate. But it is hard to say that social capital is solely credited for this drop. Various other factors like poverty, education, or even the streets decoration;might influence both social capital *and* crime rates, muddying the connection.

Recommendations

- Support and encourage social programs- Various programs thatpromote social should be encouraged. Such programs are- Help neighborhood programs,Watch group community events etc.
- Improving community policing – The fear of police from the mind of the people need to be ommited. Police should be encouraged to work closely with citizens and respond quickly to their needs.
- Include everyone – People from every section of the society must be included for boosting social capital. Focus should be more on including the participants from unpreviledged and backward communities.

SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.688| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 10 | Issue: 6 | June 2025 - Peer Reviewed Journal

- Check progress – Different measures and tools should be used to check the progress of social capital development programs. Change should be made if needed.

SUMMARY OF THE FINDINGS

This paper looks at how people working together and trusting each other can make neighborhoods safer. It shows that when neighbors know each other, help each other out, and have good relationships with local groups and the police, there tends to be less crime and people feel safer. This paper uses examples from different countries to show that things like community meetings and neighborhood watch programs help build these connections. Even though it can be hard to measure exactly how much trust or teamwork a community has, the research suggests that encouraging people to get involved and work together is a key way to keep communities safe and strong. The paper also suggests that local leaders and governments should support programs that bring people together and build trust between residents and Finally,we can say that social capital is the need of the hour. It is an essential weapon for promoting unity, diversity, cooperation, mutual trust and community safety. Without a strong social capital,no nation will be able to develop holistically. This paper finds that when people in a community know and trust each other, everyone feels safer and there tends to be less crime. Places where neighbors look out for one another and work together are better at handling problems.To sum up we can note that communities and leaders should put effort for helping people connect and work together, which in turn society will become safer and more welcoming for everyone.

REFERENCES

1. *Bursik, R. J., & Grasmick, H. G. (2002).* Neighborhoods and crime: The dimensions of effective community control. *Lexington Books.*
2. *Chen, M., & Chen, S. (2015). Civic engagement and property crime in Taiwan: The role of social capital.* Asian Journal of Criminology, 10*(2), 123–140.*
3. *Claridge, T. (2004). Social capital and natural resource management: An important role for social capital.University of Queensland.*
4. *Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital.* American Journal of Sociology, 94*(Supplement), S95–S120.*
5. *Demos. (2025). Neighborhood watch and community safety: A review of recent evidence.* Demos Policy Briefs.
6. *Lo, T. W., Liu, J., & Chen, X. (2022). Community policing, social capital, and public safety in Taiwan.* Policing: An International Journal, 45*(1), 112–130.*
7. *Putnam, R. D. (2000).* Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community.*Simon & Schuster.*
8. *Sampson, R. J., Raudenbush, S. W., & Earls, F. (1997). Neighborhoods and violent crime: A multilevel study of collective efficacy.* Science, 277*(5328), 918–924.*
9. *ter Weel, B. (2008). Social capital, institutions and communities.* Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization, 67*(3–4), 395–410.*
10. *Van Der Meer, T. W. G. (2010). In what we trust? A multi-level study into trust in parliament as an evaluation of state characteristics.* International Review of Administrative Sciences, 76*(3), 517–536.*
11. *Wickes, R., Hipp, J. R., Sargeant, E., & Homel, R. (2013). Collective efficacy as a task specific process:*Crime & Delinquency, 59*(6), 1081–1109.*
12. *Woolcock, M., & Narayan, D. (2000). Social capital: Implications for development theory, research, and policy.* The World Bank Research Observer, 15*(2), 225–249.*