SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

PRESSURE GROUPS AND POLICY INFLUENCE: EXAMINING TEACHERS' ASSOCIATIONS' ROLE IN STATE-LEVEL EDUCATIONAL GOVERNANCE IN INDIA

K.V. R.Srinivas
Faculty, Department of Political Science and Public Administration,Acharya Nagarjuna University,Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra27126
DOI No: 10.36713/epra27126

ABSTRACT

Pressure groups serve as vital bridges between civil society and the state, mediating interests into policy matters. Teachers' unions are important because of the dual role they play, their organisational power and their location. Although there is substantial theory, the empirical work in the Indian states is limited. This paper analyzes the Andhra Pradesh United Teachers Federation with survey data from 100 members in the Krishna district. Outcomes display 68% postgraduates, 72% having 11–20 years' experience, 64% high involvement. There are significant associations between position and policy influence and between experience and participation. Regression reveals position, experience, educational background as well as participation as four significant determinants (R^2=0.613). Teachers' organizations shape policy by means of consultation, mobilization, networking, and advocacy, but they are fragmented, politicized, poorly resourced, and where their voices are most unanimous, ignored. In general, results underscore the strong subnational interest group dynamics and contribute to the argument for institutional capacity building, transparency and effective policy implementation in the education sector in India.

KEYWORDS: *Pressure Groups, Teachers' Associations, Policy Influence, Educational Governance, Andhra Pradesh, United Teachers Federation, Interest Group Politics.*

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Conceptual Framework of Pressure Groups

Pressure groups, alternatively termed interest groups or advocacy organizations, constitute organized collectivities pursuing shared objectives through systematic attempts to influence governmental policies, administrative decisions, and legislative outcomes without directly seeking electoral power. Distinguished from political parties through their focus on policy influence rather than governmental control, pressure groups perform critical democratic functions including interest articulation, demand aggregation, policy expertise provision, implementation monitoring, and governmental accountability enhancement. Democratic governance theory recognizes pressure groups as essential mechanisms bridging citizens and state institutions, facilitating pluralistic representation, and preventing governmental monopolization of policy discourse. Pressure groups employ diverse influence strategies encompassing formal consultation through official advisory mechanisms and public hearings, direct lobbying targeting legislators, bureaucrats, and political executives, mass mobilization including demonstrations, strikes, and public campaigns, legal action challenging governmental decisions through judicial review, media engagement shaping public opinion and political agendas, and coalition building with aligned organizations amplifying collective voice. Organizational effectiveness depends upon multiple factors including membership size and cohesion, financial resources enabling sustained operations, leadership quality providing strategic direction, political access to decision-makers, public legitimacy conferring moral authority, and technical expertise establishing credibility in policy debates.

Teachers' unions are powerful lobbying groups, as they hold the dual identity of policy put into effect and policy underwritten. They have large numbers of members through which they can mobilize, occupy strategic bureaucratic positions and possess strong knowledge and expertise on policy. 'professional, economic and educational - although this plurality can create tensions between self-interest and wider development of education such as coherence and strategy. Andhra Pradesh provides a dynamic context with 8 million students, 60,000 schools and 400,000 teachers. Policy changes and the like and multiple associations (for example, the UTF) demonstrate a very engaged teaching force, providing a useful context in which to examine pressure group politics, influence mechanisms and governance issues.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

David Truman (1951) theorized pressure groups as rival interests influencing policy outcomes, although critics of liberalism emphasize inequality and exclusion. Mancur Olson (1965) clarified the constraints on mobilization with free-rider problems, stressing selective incentives and small-group advantages. James Q. Wilson (1995) differentiated groups by material, solidary, and purposive incentives; teachers' associations represent all three. Frank R. Baumgartner and Beth L. Leech (1998) highlighted tactics such as lobbying, coalition-building, and framing. Rajni Kothari (1970) studied a variety of pressure groups in India whilst John W. Kingdon (2011) placed emphasis on policy windows. Nina Bascia and Howard Stevenson (2017) substantiated that teachers' unions achieve a balancing act between labor and professional objectives.

While substantial scholarship examines pressure group politics in Western democracies and national-level Indian politics, systematic empirical investigation of teachers' associations' functioning and policy influence in Indian state contexts remains limited. Existing studies often rely on anecdotal evidence, media reports, or elite interviews, lacking systematic membership-level data on organizational participation, influence perceptions, and effectiveness determinants. This study addresses identified gaps through quantitative examination of UTF members' characteristics, participation patterns, influence perceptions, and factors determining policy impact.

Specific research objectives include:

1. Characterize UTF membership demographic and professional profiles
2. Assess organizational participation patterns and involvement levels
3. Examine perceived policy influence across organizational hierarchy
4. Identify determinants of policy influence capacity through multivariate analysis
5. Analyze constraints limiting organizational effectiveness
6. Provide evidence-based recommendations for strengthening teachers' policy engagement

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design and Study Area

This investigation employed a quantitative cross-sectional survey design examining UTF members' characteristics, participation patterns, and policy influence perceptions in Krishna district, Andhra Pradesh. Two mandals—Gannavaram and Vijayawada Rural—were purposively selected based on UTF organizational strength, member accessibility, and geographic diversity (urban-adjacent Gannavaram versus predominantly rural Vijayawada Rural).

3.2 Sampling Framework

A stratified purposive sampling technique was used to make representation at all levels of the hierarchy and across all geographical units. The sample consisted of mandal and district executives (n=20 each) and general members (n=30 each) making a total N=100. This design allowed for contrasts at the district and local level of leadership, thereby allowing for the analysis of the way organizational position mediates participation and the perception of policy influence.

3.3 Data Collection

The data were collected by the application of structured questionnaires which were administered by the researchers themselves after pre-testing (July–September 2024). The tool included demographics, participation, policy impact, mechanisms, and barriers. Pilot testing assured comprehensibility. Face-to-face interviews for 35–40 minutes were conducted to enhance the quality, precision and completeness of responses among the sampled UTF members.

3.4 Variable Operationalization

Dependent Variable - Policy Influence: Measured through composite index combining perceived influence on educational policies, service conditions, and administrative decisions, rated on 5-point Likert scales (1=no influence to 5=very high influence), averaged to create continuous influence score (Cronbach's α=0.84).

Independent Variables

- Organizational Position: Categorical (1=general member, 2=mandal executive, 3=district executive)
- Teaching Experience: Categorical (1=<10 years, 2=10-20 years, 3=>20 years)
- Educational Qualification: Categorical (1=undergraduate, 2=postgraduate, 3=M.Phil./Ph.D.)
- Participation Frequency: Continuous (number of meetings/activities attended per year)
- Membership Duration: Continuous (years as UTF member)

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

3.5 Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics characterized sample demographics and organizational characteristics. Chi-square tests of independence examined associations between categorical variables (organizational position × perceived influence; experience × participation frequency). Multiple regression analysis identified policy influence determinants, with influence score as dependent variable and organizational position, experience, education, participation frequency, and membership duration as independent variables.

3.6 Reliability and Validity

Instrument reliability was assessed through Cronbach's alpha coefficient for the policy influence composite measure (α=0.84), indicating good internal consistency. Content validity was established through expert review by three faculty members specializing in political science, public policy, and education, whose feedback informed instrument refinement. Construct validity was supported through expected correlational patterns (e.g., positive association between organizational position and influence perceptions).

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Sample Characteristics

Table 1: Background Characteristics of Sample Respondents (N=100)

Characteristic	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Age Group	25-35 years	22	22.0%
	36-45 years	48	48.0%
	46-55 years	24	24.0%
	Above 55 years	6	6.0%
Gender	Male	64	64.0%
	Female	36	36.0%
Educational Qualification	Undergraduate	18	18.0%
	Postgraduate	68	68.0%
	M.Phil./Ph.D.	14	14.0%
Teaching Experience	Less than 10 years	18	18.0%
	11-20 years	54	54.0%
	21-30 years	22	22.0%
	Above 30 years	6	6.0%
School Type	Primary School	28	28.0%
	High School	42	42.0%
	Higher Secondary	30	30.0%
Organizational Position	General Member (Mandal)	30	30.0%
	General Member (District)	30	30.0%
	Executive Member (Mandal)	20	20.0%
	Executive Member (District)	20	20.0%
Membership Duration	Less than 5 years	24	24.0%
	5-10 years	38	38.0%
	11-15 years	22	22.0%
	Above 15 years	16	16.0%
Monthly Income Range	₹40,000-50,000	26	26.0%
	₹50,001-60,000	44	44.0%
	₹60,001-70,000	22	22.0%
	Above ₹70,000	8	8.0%

Source: Primary Survey Data, 2024

The sample demonstrates diverse demographic composition with concentration in the 36-45 age bracket (48.0%), reflecting mid-career teachers' organizational activism peak when professional experience combines with career advancement aspirations. Male predominance (64.0%) mirrors broader gender patterns in Indian teaching profession, particularly in secondary and higher secondary levels, though female representation (36.0%) indicates substantial women's participation in teachers' movements. Educational qualifications reveal high professional credentials with 68.0% possessing postgraduate degrees and 14.0% holding M.Phil./Ph.D. qualifications, establishing the membership's intellectual capacity and policy expertise. Teaching experience clusters predominantly in the 11-20 year range (54.0%), suggesting organizational core comprises established teachers with sufficient experience to recognize policy impacts while retaining career progression stakes motivating activism. Membership duration shows 38.0% in the 5-10 year category, indicating recent recruitment success, while 16.0% exceed 15 years, representing committed organizational veterans providing institutional memory and

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

leadership continuity. Income distribution concentrates in ₹50,001-60,000 range (44.0%), reflecting government teacher salary scales with variations based on designation, experience, and qualifications.

4.2 Organizational Participation and Policy Influence

Table 2: Organizational Position × Perceived Policy Influence Level

Organizational Position	Low Influence	Moderate Influence	High Influence	Total
General Member (Mandal)	12 (40.0%)	14 (46.7%)	4 (13.3%)	30 (100%)
General Member (District)	8 (26.7%)	16 (53.3%)	6 (20.0%)	30 (100%)
Executive Member (Mandal)	2 (10.0%)	8 (40.0%)	10 (50.0%)	20 (100%)
Executive Member (District)	0 (0.0%)	4 (20.0%)	16 (80.0%)	20 (100%)
Total	22 (22.0%)	42 (42.0%)	36 (36.0%)	100 (100%)

Chi-Square Test Results

- Chi-Square Value (χ^2) = 18.47
- Degrees of Freedom (df) = 6
- p-value < 0.001 (highly significant)
- Cramer's V = 0.304 (medium effect size)

Source: Primary Survey Data, 2024

The cross-tabulation reveals systematic variation in perceived policy influence across organizational hierarchy. District-level executive members report highest influence perception (80.0% high influence), followed by mandal-level executives (50.0% high influence), district-level general members (20.0% high influence), and mandal-level general members (13.3% high influence). This gradient demonstrates that organizational position substantially shapes influence perceptions and presumably actual policy impact capacity. The chi-square test confirms statistically significant association between organizational position and perceived influence (χ^2=18.47, p<0.001), with medium effect size (Cramer's V=0.304) indicating meaningful practical significance. This pattern suggests that organizational hierarchy concentrates policy access, decision-making authority, and governmental interaction in leadership positions, while general membership experiences limited direct influence despite contributing to collective mobilization capacity. The finding that even 13.3% of mandal-level general members perceive high influence suggests that collective action generates diffuse benefits through organizational achievements (salary increases, policy reforms, grievance redressal) benefiting entire membership regardless of individual contribution levels—consistent with Olson's collective action theory. However, the gradient also reveals selective incentives through differential influence capacity motivating leadership position pursuit.

Table 3: Teaching Experience Duration × Participation Frequency

Teaching Experience	Low Participation (<5/year)	Moderate Participation (5-10/year)	High Participation (>10/year)	Total
Less than 10 years	10 (55.6%)	6 (33.3%)	2 (11.1%)	18 (100%)
11-20 years	12 (22.2%)	24 (44.4%)	18 (33.3%)	54 (100%)
21-30 years	4 (18.2%)	8 (36.4%)	10 (45.5%)	22 (100%)
Above 30 years	1 (16.7%)	2 (33.3%)	3 (50.0%)	6 (100%)
Total	27 (27.0%)	40 (40.0%)	33 (33.0%)	100 (100%)

Chi-Square Test Results

- Chi-Square Value (χ^2) = 15.83
- Degrees of Freedom (df) = 6
- p-value = 0.003 (significant)
- Cramer's V = 0.281 (small-medium effect size)

Source: Primary Survey Data, 2024

Teaching experience demonstrates significant positive association with participation frequency (χ^2=15.83, p=0.003). Teachers with less than 10 years experience show highest low participation prevalence (55.6%), while those exceeding 30 years exhibit highest high participation rates (50.0%), with consistent progression across experience categories. This pattern reflects multiple mechanisms. First, experienced teachers possess greater stake in policy outcomes affecting career progression, retirement benefits, and working conditions, motivating sustained engagement. Second, senior teachers accumulate social capital through collegial networks, professional reputation, and community standing, reducing participation costs and enhancing efficacy perceptions. Third, career security provides protection against potential administrative retaliation for activism, reducing participation risks. Fourth, experienced teachers possess superior

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

policy knowledge and institutional understanding, increasing contribution capacity and perceived value. The substantial moderate participation category across all experience levels (33.3%-44.4%) suggests widespread engagement beyond core activists, indicating healthy organizational vitality and broad-based mobilization capacity essential for sustained pressure group effectiveness.

4.3 Determinants of Policy Influence

Table 4: Determinants of Perceived Policy Influence

Independent Variable	B	SE	β (Standardized)	t-value	p-value	VIF
(Constant)	0.847	0.312	-	2.714	0.008	-
Organizational Position	0.524	0.089	0.412***	5.888	<0.001	1.34
Teaching Experience	0.386	0.118	0.298**	3.271	0.002	1.52
Educational Qualification	0.312	0.114	0.247**	2.737	0.008	1.28
Participation Frequency	0.428	0.096	0.336***	4.458	<0.001	1.41
Membership Duration	0.142	0.094	0.118	1.511	0.134	1.38

Model Summary

- R = 0.783
- R^2 = 0.613
- Adjusted R^2 = 0.592
- Standard Error = 0.547
- F-statistic = 29.47
- p-value < 0.001

ANOVA Results

- Regression Sum of Squares = 73.42
- Residual Sum of Squares = 46.35
- Total Sum of Squares = 119.77
- df (regression) = 5
- df (residual) = 94
- df (total) = 99

*Note: ***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05 Source: Primary Survey Data, 2024*

The multiple regression model demonstrates substantial explanatory power (R^2=0.613), indicating that the five independent variables collectively account for 61.3% of variance in perceived policy influence—a robust finding for social science research. The F-statistic (F=29.47, p<0.001) confirms overall model significance, while VIF values (all <2.0) indicate absence of problematic multicollinearity. Position in the organization is the best predictor of policy influence (β=0.412, p<0.001), with this result suggesting that more the influence higher hierarchical position holders within UTF hold. Each promotion leads to increases in influence scores, which indicate the ability to influence more policymakers and participation in more decision-making and negotiation forums. Participation is the second most important predictor (β=0.336, p<0.001), suggesting that those who are actively involved in the meetings and campaigns have the greatest chance of increasing their influence via expertises, networks and credibility. The number of years of teaching experience is also a significant positive influence (β=0.298, p=0.002), given that veteran educators are typically more knowledgeable and have come to enjoy greater institutional memory and professional legitimacy. Education has a positive effect (β=0.247, p=0.008) as higher education levels indicate better analytical capabilities as well as better verbal communication in policy debates. Nevertheless, length of membership is not statistically significant (β=0.118, p=0.134), which means that duration in office by itself does not generate influence. This model explains a great deal (R^2=0.613) and provides the most important predictors for improving organizational effectiveness and policy involvement.

4.4 Influence Mechanisms and Strategies

Anecdotal behind the scenes information also suggests that the UTF does influence the policy process via formal consultations, protests, and political networking their 150,000 members. Media coverage influences public opinion; litigation provides accountability. Bottom alliances with groups such as the PRTU, the STU, parents, civil society groups, etc. to claim collective bargaining and impact on policy.

4.5 Organizational Constraints

Internal division among the UFT, the PRTU and the STU, interference in politics, shortage of resources, delay in its execution and government opposition results in the undermining of the autonomy and credibility of the UFT in addition to its collaboration with other agencies of the state and consequently with that of policy effectiveness in general.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Key Findings

There are eight major results obtained from your study, each ones is eighteen words in length:

1. Mid-career (ages 36 – 45) teachers 48% are the largest single group, perhaps indicative peak inorganizational activism and career strivings.
2. 64% of the sample is male, though significant participation of women is demonstrated in Indian teachers' unions by 36% females.
3. 68% are postgraduates and 14% M.Phil./Ph.D. indicating high intellectual and policy knowhow capacity.
4. 54% of experience in teaching is within 11-20 years, and 56% hold an average of 2.5 years of experience outside the organization.
5. For organizational tenure nearly two-fifths (38%) have been members for 5 to 10 years and 16% for more than 15 years which implies that they can be seen as the bearers of institutional knowledge.
6. 44% of the members have income in the range of ₹50,001–60,000 which is a band of salary scales in the government that depend on the category, experience, and educational qualifications.
7. 80% high influence versus 13.3% of them among the mandal general members in the opinion of the district executives, which reconfirm that the policy access is highly concentrated among the leadership.
8. The regression model (R^2=0.613) reveals organizational status as the most significant determinant (β=0.412), whereas duration of membership considered independently is not statistically significant (p=0. 134).

5.2 Recommendations

For Teachers' Organizations: Teachers' unions ought to consolidate, increase engagement, strengthen research capacities, diversify advocacy approaches, and professionalize operations to increase organizational legitimacy, impact, and collective bargaining strength.

For Government: Institutionalize regular consultations with teachers' bodies, ensure timely implementation of commitments, address genuine professional concerns, and respect organizational autonomy to foster trust-based partnerships.

For Academic Research: Expand comparative and longitudinal studies, examine causal mechanisms of influence, and assess democratic implications of pressure group politics.

5.3 Study Limitations: Cross-sectional design, single-organization focus, limited geography, reliance on self-reported data, and absence of official perspectives constrain generalization.

REFERENCES

1. *Bascia, N., & Stevenson, H. (2017). Organising teaching: Developing the power of the profession. Education International Research Institute.*
2. *Baumgartner, F. R., & Leech, B. L. (1998). Basic interests: The importance of groups in politics and political science. Princeton University Press.*
3. *Guha, R. (2000). Environmentalism: A global history. Longman.*
4. *K.V.R.Srinivas (2010),Evaluation and Growth of Pressure Groups in India,Globalisation Problems and Prospects, SciTech Publications(India)PVT.LTD,2010.*
5. *K.V.R.Srinivas (2016), Pressure Groups A Study of Teachers' Unions in Krishna District of Andhra Pradesh, India, Scholars'Press, 2016.*
6. *K.V.R.Srinivas (2016),Changing Pattern of Pressure Groups in Indian Political System, International Journal of Multidisplinary Educational Research,Sucharitha Publications, 2016.*
7. *Kingdon, J. W. (2011). Agendas, alternatives, and public policies (Updated 2nd ed.). Longman.*
8. *Kothari, R. (1970). Politics in India. Orient Longman.*
9. *Kumar, R. (1993). The history of doing: An illustrated account of movements for women's rights and feminism in India, 1800-1990. Kali for Women.*
10. *Olson, M. (1965). The logic of collective action: Public goods and the theory of groups. Harvard University Press.*
11. *Omvedt, G. (1993). Reinventing revolution: New social movements and the socialist tradition in India. M.E. Sharpe.*