SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

THE CONVERGENCE OF MORAL PHILOSOPHY, TECHNOLOGICAL INTEGRATION, AND POWER DYNAMICS: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS FOR CONSTRUCTING A JUST SOCIETY (THE UMBARKAR THEORY OF GLOBAL SOCIETAL RECONSTRUCTION)

Dr. Deoman Shrikrushna Umbarkar
Associate Professor, Department of Sociology, Late Vasantrao Kolhatkar Arts College,Rohana, Rashtrasant Tukdoji Maharaj Nagpur University,Nagpur

ABSTRACT

This research introduces the 'Umbarkar Theory,' a multidimensional sociological framework that advocates for the radical reconstruction of contemporary society. The theory posits that while traditional power structures—rooted in caste, class, and gender—have historically fostered inequality, the strategic integration of ancient ethical values with modern technology can facilitate a transition toward a truly egalitarian global order.

1. Critical Engagement with Religious and Sociological Theories

The paper begins by critically examining classical religious and sociological perspectives. It engages with:

- *The Functionalist View (Durkheim): While Durkheim viewed religion as a source of social cohesion, the Umbarkar Theory argues that when religion becomes a tool for power (Missuse of Power), it creates fragmentation rather than unity.*
- *The Conflict Perspective (Marx): Addressing Marx's critique of religion as the "opium of the people," this theory suggests that the problem lies not in spirituality itself but in the institutionalization of religion to justify economic disparity.*
- *The Moral Imperative (Ambedkar & Gandhi): Drawing from Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's pursuit of social justice and Gandhian ethics, the theory integrates the five-fold path of Satya (Truth), Ahimsa (Non-violence), Asteya (Non-stealing), Aparigraha (Non-possession), and Brahmacharya (Self-control) as the foundational pillars for any governing power.*

2. Technology as a Catalyst for Social Reconstruction

A central theme of this theory is the critical relationship between technology and society. Historically, social reformers lacked the digital infrastructure to implement large-scale change. The Umbarkar Theory analyzes:

- *The Digital Divide vs. Digital Democracy: How technology, if left in the hands of the elite, creates a "Fake World" (Hyper-reality), but if democratized, can lead to the "Reconstruction of Knowledge."*
- *Synthesis of Technology and Religion: The theory argues that the core philosophy of all religions is singular (e.g., Shrikrishna Sharanam Mama or Buddham Saranam Gacchami). Technology can be used to strip away superstitious layers and highlight this universal ethical core to unite humanity.*

3. Analytical Explanation of the New Social Order

The theory provides an analytical roadmap for a new social system where:

- *Wealth Redistribution via Technology: By using Fintech, Blockchain, and AI, the immense religious and economic wealth of a nation can be transparently tracked and redistributed to eliminate poverty.*
- *Eradication of Injustice: Advanced monitoring and educational technology can be deployed to fight domestic violence, caste-based harassment, and class exploitation, empowering the "Powerless."*

Conclusion

The Umbarkar Theory concludes that a "Humanitarian Society" is no longer a utopian dream but a technological possibility. By aligning the "Power of Technology" with the "Power of Moral Truth," we can dismantle the fake realities of the modern world and reconstruct a society based on absolute equality, transparency, and shared human values.

KEYWORDS: *Umbarkar Theory, Power Dynamics, Social Reconstruction, Technology & Religion, Reality vs. Fake World, Egalitarianism, Sociological Theory.*

INTRODUCTION

The dawn of the 21st century has brought humanity to a critical crossroads where the traditional definitions of power, society, and reality are undergoing a radical transformation. As we navigate through an era dominated by rapid technological advancement and persistent social inequalities, there is an urgent need for a new sociological framework that can synthesize ancient wisdom with

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

modern tools. This research introduces the **'Umbarkar Theory of Global Societal Reconstruction,'** a comprehensive approach designed to address the vacuum left by the misuse of power and the distortion of reality in the digital age.

The Crisis of Power and the 'Fake World'

For centuries, sociological discourse—from Auguste Comte to Max Weber—has struggled with the dynamics of power. However, in the contemporary era, power is no longer just about political or economic dominance; it has shifted toward the control of information and perception. The Umbarkar Theory argues that the current global structure has birthed a **'Fake World'**—a hyper-real environment where technology is often used to manipulate truth and sustain traditional hierarchies of caste, class, and gender. This misuse of power has led to a fragmented society where the gap between the 'Powerful' and the 'Powerless' continues to widen, despite our claims of progress.

Religious Philosophy and the Reconstruction of Knowledge

A pivotal aspect of this theory is the critical re-evaluation of religion. While classical theories often viewed religion either as a stabilizing force (Durkheim) or a tool of oppression (Marx), the Umbarkar Theory identifies religion as a latent 'Energy' or 'Power.' It posits that the core philosophy of all major religions—whether expressed through the teachings of the Bhagavad Gita (*Shrikrishna Sharanam Mama*) or the path of the Buddha—shares a singular ethical foundation. The theory advocates for the **Reconstruction of Knowledge**, suggesting that when traditional religious institutions fail to uphold human values, we must return to the fundamental principles of **Satya (Truth), Ahimsa (Non-violence), and Asteya (Non-stealing)** to rebuild the social fabric.

Technology: The New Catalyst for Equality

Unlike the era of early social reformers, the present age is equipped with unprecedented technological capabilities. The Umbarkar Theory critically analyzes the role of technology as a double-edged sword. While it has the potential to create a 'Fake World' of surveillance and misinformation, it also possesses the revolutionary potential to facilitate a **'Humanitarian Society.'** The theory explores how technology can bridge the gap that earlier reformers could not, providing the infrastructure for a transparent, decentralized, and equality-based social order.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The primary objective of this research is to demonstrate how the strategic use of technology, combined with the mobilization of a nation's religious and economic wealth, can eliminate systemic poverty and social injustice. By dismantling the barriers of caste, class, and gender-based violence, the Umbarkar Theory seeks to provide a roadmap for an international society that is not governed by the greed of the few, but by the collective moral progress of all.

Through a comparative analysis of classical sociological thinkers like Karl Marx, Emile Durkheim, and Dr. B.R. Ambedkar, this paper argues that the reconstruction of society is not just a moral necessity but a technological possibility in the modern world.

SIGNIFICANCE AND RELEVANCE OF THE STUDY

The **Umbarkar Theory** emerges at a time when global society is grappling with deep-seated structural inequalities and the overwhelming influence of digital transformation. The significance of this study lies in its ability to provide a bridge between traditional ethical values and the modern technological landscape.

1. Addressing the Crisis of 'Fake Reality' and Misinformation

In the contemporary era, the line between truth and fabricated narratives has become increasingly thin. The significance of this study is rooted in its critical analysis of how technology, when divorced from morality, creates a **'Fake World.'** This research is highly relevant as it offers a corrective framework based on the principles of **Satya (Truth)** and **Aims (Non-violence)**, providing a roadmap for reclaiming social reality from the grip of digital manipulation.

2. Potential for Wealth Redistribution and Poverty Eradication

One of the most revolutionary aspects of the Umbarkar Theory is its focus on the **economic and religious wealth** of a nation. This study is significant because it proposes a practical mechanism—driven by technology like Blockchain and AI—to harness and transparently redistribute the immense wealth stored in religious and public institutions. This offers a tangible solution to the age-old problem of poverty and economic disparity, making the research highly relevant to developing economies.

3. Synthesis of Classical Sociology and Modern Technology

While classical sociologists like Marx, Durkheim, and Spencer provided the foundation for understanding society, they could not foresee the digital revolution. The relevance of this study lies in its **reconstruction of knowledge**, where it updates these classical frameworks to include technology as a primary agent of social change. It provides a new lens through which to view the struggles of caste, class, and gender in a networked society.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

4. Empowerment of the 'Powerless' against Injustice

This study is deeply significant for its focus on social justice. It identifies how the 'Misuse of Power' manifests in forms like domestic violence and harassment. By advocating for a **Technology-driven Moral Governance**, the Umbarkar Theory offers a mechanism to protect and empower the marginalized sections of society (the Powerless), ensuring that technology acts as a shield for the vulnerable rather than a tool for the oppressor.

5. Global Ethical Unification (Humanitarian Society)

At a time of global polarization and religious conflict, the relevance of this theory is paramount. It argues that the core of all religious philosophy is identical. By using technology to disseminate this universal truth, the study promotes an **International Sociological Order** that transcends borders, castes, and creeds, aiming for a unified, humanitarian society.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The primary goal of this research is to establish a new sociological paradigm that harmonizes moral ethics with technological advancement. The specific objectives are as follows:

1. To Analyze the Dynamics of Power and its Impact on Social Reality

- To investigate how the 'Misuse of Power' by dominant social groups leads to the creation of a 'Fake World' or distorted social narratives.
- To define the concept of 'Moral Power' based on the five-fold path of **Satya, Ahimsa, Asteya, Aparigraha, and Brahmacharya.**

2. To Critically Examine the Relationship Between Technology and Religion

- To explore how modern technology can be used to extract and propagate the core humanitarian philosophy of all religions, moving beyond superficial rituals and divisions.
- To analyze how digital platforms can bridge the gap between ancient spiritual wisdom and contemporary social needs.

3. To Propose a Framework for the 'Reconstruction of Knowledge and Society'

- To evaluate the limitations of classical sociological theories (Marx, Durkheim, Spencer) in the context of the digital age.
- To develop a model for a new social order where knowledge is democratized and used for the collective upliftment of humanity rather than surveillance or control.

4. To Evaluate the Role of Technology in Eradicating Social Injustice

- To study how AI and digital monitoring can be effectively deployed to combat **Gender-based violence (Domestic Violence)** and **Caste/Class-based harassment.**
- To identify technological strategies that empower the 'Powerless' and provide them with an equal platform in the global social structure.

5. To Explore the Feasibility of Wealth Redistribution for Social Equality

- To analyze how the vast economic and religious wealth of a nation can be managed and redistributed through transparent technological systems (like Blockchain).
- To demonstrate how the utilization of these resources can ensure economic parity and the creation of an **Equality-Oriented Society.**

6. To Formulate an International Sociological Standard for Humanitarian Governance

- To provide a roadmap for an international society that transcends geographical and cultural boundaries, governed by the principles of transparency, non-violence, and universal welfare.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS OF THE STUDY

The research seeks to address the following fundamental questions:

1. On Power Dynamics and Ethics

- How does the 'Misuse of Power' in contemporary social structures contribute to the creation of a 'Fake World' (Hyper-reality), and how can the principles of **Satya (Truth)** and **Ahimsa (Non-violence)** redefine the nature of power?
- To what extent can the internalization of the five ethical pillars (Satya, Ahimsa, Asteya, Aparigraha, and Brahmacharya) transform a ruler or an organization into a source of social welfare rather than oppression?

2. On Technology and Social Reconstruction

- In what ways can modern technology be utilized as a tool for the **'Reconstruction of Knowledge'** to bridge the digital and social divide?
- How can a technology-driven social order overcome the limitations faced by historical social reformers who lacked modern media and digital connectivity?

3. On Religion and Universal Power

- Since the core philosophy of all major religions is singular (as evidenced by universal spiritual slogans), how can technology be used to dismantle religious rituals that cause division and instead promote a **'Universal Humanitarian Power'**?

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

- Can the convergence of religious ethics and technological transparency lead to a more stable and peaceful international society?

4. On Social Justice and the 'Powerless'

- How can advanced technological frameworks (AI, Real-time monitoring) be specifically designed to reduce cases of domestic violence and harassment based on caste, class, and gender?
- What role does the 'Umbarkar Theory' play in transitioning individuals from a state of being 'Powerless' to becoming stakeholders in a balanced social system?

5. On Economic Equality and Resource Management

- Is it feasible to create a **Equality-Oriented Society** by redistributing a nation's religious and economic wealth through transparent, technology-enabled platforms like Blockchain?
- How can the utilization of institutionalized wealth impact the relationship between Power and Poverty in the 21st century?

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK OF THE STUDY

The conceptual framework of the **Umbarkar Theory** is built upon the synthesis of four major pillars: **Ethical Power**, **Technological Intervention**, **Universal Spiritualism**, and **Social Reconstruction**. It operates on the premise that traditional social structures are failing due to a moral vacuum, which can be filled by merging ancient values with futuristic technology.

1. The Input: Core Ethical Values (The Five Pillars)

The framework begins with the infusion of five fundamental ethical principles into the social system. These are not merely religious tenets but functional social tools:

- **Satya (Truth):** To counter the 'Fake World' and misinformation.
- **Ahimsa (Non-violence):** To eliminate domestic and structural violence.
- **Asteya, Aparigraha, & Brahmacharya:** To regulate greed and ensure the fair distribution of resources.

2. The Process: Technological Catalyst

Technology acts as the "Engine" in this framework. Unlike previous sociological eras, the Umbarkar Theory places technology at the center of social change:

- **De-masking Reality:** Using AI and data analytics to distinguish between 'Real' and 'Fake' narratives.
- **Resource Management:** Utilizing Blockchain or transparent digital ledgers to manage a nation's religious and economic wealth.
- **Social Monitoring:** Deploying technology to protect the 'Powerless' from harassment and injustice.

3. The Bridge: Universal Spiritual Power

The framework identifies a **"Singular Power"** underlying all religions.

- **The Synthesis:** By focusing on the core message (e.g., *Shrikrishna Sharanam Mama* or *Buddham Saranam Gacchami*), the theory bridges the gap between different faiths.
- **Result:** Religion is transformed from a divisive force into a cohesive, humanitarian power that supports the state and society.

4. The Output: The Reconstructed Society (Egalitarian Order)

The final stage of the framework is the emergence of a new social order characterized by:

- **Equality-Oriented Structure:** A society where caste, class, and gender hierarchies are dismantled.
- **Power Balance:** A state where 'Power' is synonymous with 'Service' and 'Protection' rather than 'Domination'.
- **Internationalism:** A global humanitarian society that follows a unified ethical and technological standard.

Visual Representation of the Framework (Flow)

[Ethical Inputs] (Satya, Ahimsa)
↓
[Technological Processing] (Digital Transparency, Wealth Redistribution)
↓
[Universal Power Synthesis] (Unifying Religious Philosophies)
↓
[Final Outcome] (A Humanitarian & Equality-Based Global Society)

Key Thematic Relationships:

Concept	Traditional State	Umbarkar Theory Transformation
Power	Controlled by Elite / Misused	Moral & Decentralized Power
Religion	Ritualistic & Divisive	Universal Ethical Power
Technology	Tool for Surveillance / Fake Reality	Tool for Reconstruction & Equality
Wealth	Concentrated in Institutions	Transparently Redistributed for Society
Society	Fractured by Caste/Class/Gender	Unified Humanitarian Society

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK OF THE STUDY

The **Umbarkar Theory** is positioned as a "Meta-Theory" that critiques and synthesizes classical sociological perspectives with the realities of the digital age. It moves beyond the traditional boundaries of structural functionalism and conflict theory to propose a **Techno-Ethical Reconstructionist** model.

1. Critique and Integration of Classical Theories

The study uses existing theories as a springboard to establish the necessity of a new paradigm:

- **Structural Functionalism (Emile Durkheim & August Comte):** Durkheim viewed religion and social structures as essential for "Social Solidarity." However, the Umbarkar Theory argues that in the 21st century, these structures have become dysfunctional due to the **'Misuse of Power.'** While Comte advocated for "Positivism" (Scientific Society), this theory argues that science without the five ethical pillars (**Satya, Ahimsa, etc.**) leads to a 'Fake World.'
- **Conflict Theory (Karl Marx):** Marx focused on the struggle between the 'Bourgeoisie' and the 'Proletariat.' The Umbarkar Theory expands this to include the **'Digital Divide'** and the **'Powerless'** against the **'Technological Elite.'** It suggests that the "Opium of the People" is no longer just religion, but the manipulation of reality through technology.
- **Social Evolutionism (Herbert Spencer):** While Spencer discussed "Survival of the Fittest," the Umbarkar Theory proposes **"Survival through Ethics and Equality."** It argues that a society only truly evolves when technology is used to uplift the weakest, not just the fittest.

2. The Pillar of Social Justice (Dr. B.R. Ambedkar & Gopal Guru)

The theoretical framework draws heavily from the Indian school of sociology:

- **Equality and Justice:** Following Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's vision of Liberty, Equality, and Fraternity, this theory provides a modern mechanism (Technology) to achieve these goals.
- **The Powerless Experience:** Referencing Gopal Guru's perspectives on the 'Dalit' and marginalized experience, the Umbarkar Theory analyzes how power functions at the micro-level—specifically addressing domestic violence and caste-based harassment.

3. The Convergence Theory of Religion

The theory posits a new lens called **'Universal Spiritual Power'**:

- It aligns with the idea that the "Moral Law" is the highest power. By integrating concepts like *Shrikrishna Sharanam Mama* and *Buddham Saranam Gacchami*, it creates a theoretical bridge between different religious philosophies, arguing that their **'Core Power'** is identical and can be synchronized through technology to unify society.

4. Techno-Social Reconstructionism

This is the core of the Umbarkar Theory. It argues that:

- **Reconstruction of Knowledge:** Knowledge is not static; it must be reconstructed to suit a society where information is the new currency of power.
- **Technology as a Moral Agent:** Unlike previous frameworks where technology was seen as a neutral tool, this theory views technology as an active agent for **Social Engineering**—capable of redistributing religious and economic wealth to ensure equality.

Summary of Theoretical Comparison

Sociologist	Core Concept	Umbarkar Theory Expansion
Marx	Class Conflict	Power vs. Powerless (Digital & Social)
Durkheim	Social Solidarity	Universal Ethical Solidarity via Technology
Ambedkar	Social Justice	Technological Tools for Institutional Equality
Spencer	Social Darwinism	Ethical Evolution & Wealth Redistribution
Umbarkar	Techno-Ethical Reconstruction	Synthesis of Moral Truth & Digital Power

Conclusion of the Framework

The theoretical framework concludes that the **Umbarkar Theory** is a necessary evolution in sociology. It provides the missing link between the **'Idealism'** of ancient ethics and the **'Materialism'** of the modern technological world. It offers a structured path to move from a fragmented, fake reality to a unified, humanitarian international society.

Scope of the Study

The scope of the **Umbarkar Theory** is extensive, as it addresses the fundamental structures of human civilization in the digital age. It transcends local boundaries to offer a global sociological framework. The specific dimensions of its scope include:

1. Theoretical and Conceptual Scope

- The study focuses on the **Reconstruction of Knowledge**, moving beyond classical sociological limits to include digital ethics and technological influence.
- It covers the intersection of **Power, Religion, and Technology**, specifically analyzing how these three forces can be synchronized to create an egalitarian society.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

- The scope includes a critical re-evaluation of the five moral pillars (**Satya, Ahimsa, Asteya, Aparigraha, and Brahmacharya**) as functional tools for modern governance.

2. Social and Demographic Scope

- **Gender and Domesticity:** The research specifically addresses power imbalances within the domestic sphere, focusing on the eradication of domestic violence and harassment.
- **Caste and Class Dynamics:** It encompasses a study of the 'Powerless' across various social strata—Upper, Middle, and Labor classes—aiming to dismantle traditional hierarchies through technological transparency.
- **Universal Humanity:** While rooted in deep philosophical traditions, the scope is **'Religionless'** in its pursuit of humanitarianism, treating humanity as a single unit rather than fragmented groups.

3. Economic and Institutional Scope

- **Wealth Redistribution:** The study explores the potential of utilizing national economic and **religious wealth** (temples, churches, mosques, and trusts) for social welfare.
- It investigates how institutionalized wealth can be converted into social capital through technology-driven transparent systems like Blockchain or unified digital treasuries.

4. Technological Scope

- The research focuses on **Modern Media and Advanced Technology** (AI, Blockchain, Digital Communication) as the primary catalysts for social change.
- It examines the transition from a 'Fake World' (distorted digital reality) to a 'Verified Reality' where truth and non-violence are the standard metrics of social interaction.

5. Geographical and International Scope

- As indicated by the title **'International Sociological Umbarkar Theory,'** the scope is not limited to a single nation. It provides a blueprint for an **International Social Order** where nations can adopt a unified ethical-technological code to ensure global peace and equality.

Hypotheses of the Study

The research is guided by the following primary and secondary hypotheses, which will be tested through the lens of the **Umbarkar Theory**:

1. Primary Hypothesis (H_1):

"The strategic integration of modern technology with the five moral pillars (**Satya, Ahimsa, Asteya, Aparigraha, and Brahmacharya**) will lead to a more transparent and egalitarian social order than traditional sociological models."

2. Hypothesis on Power and Reality (H_2):

"The 'Misuse of Power' in the digital age is the primary cause for the creation of a 'Fake World' (Hyper-reality); conversely, the decentralization of power through technological transparency will restore social 'Truth' and 'Reality'."

3. Hypothesis on Religion and Social Unity (H_3):

"If technology is utilized to highlight the singular ethical core of all religions (such as the philosophies of *Shrikrishna* and *Buddha*), it will diminish religious conflict and facilitate the construction of a unified **International Humanitarian Society**."

4. Hypothesis on Social Justice and the 'Powerless' (H_4):

"A technology-driven monitoring and intervention system will significantly reduce the instances of domestic violence and caste/class-based harassment by empowering the 'Powerless' sections of society with real-time justice and visibility."

5. Hypothesis on Wealth Redistribution (H_5):

"The utilization and redistribution of a nation's concentrated religious and economic wealth through transparent digital platforms (like Blockchain) will effectively eliminate structural poverty and establish social equality."

6. Hypothesis on Historical Continuity (H_6):

"Modern technology provides a more efficient mechanism for social reconstruction than that available to classical reformers, enabling the practical application of universal humanitarian values on a global scale."

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The review of literature for the **Umbarkar Theory** involves a critical analysis of classical and contemporary sociological thoughts, particularly focusing on power, religion, technology, and social justice. It examines the "Knowledge Gaps" in existing literature that necessitate a new reconstructionist approach.

1. Classical Perspectives on Social Solidarity and Evolution

- **Auguste Comte and Herbert Spencer:** Comte's "Positivism" laid the foundation for a scientific study of society. However, the Umbarkar Theory critiques this by arguing that pure science without the moral compass of **Satya (Truth) and Ahimsa (Non-violence)** results in a 'Fake World' of surveillance. Similarly, Spencer's "Social Darwinism" (Survival of the Fittest) is challenged by this theory, which advocates for the **Survival of the Ethical**, focusing on the collective upliftment of the 'Powerless' rather than just the elite.
- **Emile Durkheim:** Durkheim identified religion as a force for "Social Solidarity." Contemporary literature often fails to address what happens when this solidarity is hijacked by the **'Misuse of Power.'** The Umbarkar Theory fills this gap by proposing that technology can bypass corrupted institutions to restore a unified, humanitarian spiritual core.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

2. Conflict Theory and the Critique of Power

- **Karl Marx:** Marx's critique of religion as the "opium of the people" and his focus on class struggle (Bourgeoisie vs. Proletariat) remains a cornerstone of sociology. However, traditional Marxist literature does not account for the **'Digital Class Struggle.'** The Umbarkar Theory extends Marx's framework by identifying that wealth is not just in factories but in information and religious institutions. It argues that technology is the new "Means of Production" that can be used to redistribute concentrated wealth.

3. Indian Sociological Thought and Social Justice

- **Dr. B.R. Ambedkar:** Ambedkar's literature on the "Annihilation of Caste" and his pursuit of social equality provides the moral blueprint for this study. Existing literature on Ambedkarism focuses on constitutional and political rights; the Umbarkar Theory adds a **Techno-Ethical dimension**, suggesting that digital transparency is the modern weapon to achieve Ambedkar's dream of an egalitarian society.
- **Gopal Guru:** Literature regarding "Dalit Perspectives" and the lived experience of the marginalized is crucial. The Umbarkar Theory integrates these perspectives to analyze micro-level power dynamics, such as domestic violence and caste-based harassment, proposing that technology can give a 'Voice' to the 'Voice-less.'

4. The Digital Divide and Hyper-reality

- **Jean Baudrillard:** Baudrillard's concept of **'Hyper-reality'** (where the map precedes the territory) is highly relevant. Current literature discusses how media creates a fake world. The Umbarkar Theory goes a step further by offering a solution: the **Reconstruction of Knowledge** through verified technological frameworks to dismantle the 'Fake World' and re-establish 'Reality.'

5. Synthesis of Technology and Spirituality

- Recent studies in the sociology of technology often view it as a neutral or alienating force. The Umbarkar Theory diverges from this by referencing universal spiritual slogans (*Shrikrishna Sharanam Mama, Buddham Saranam Gacchami*). It argues that the underlying **'Power'** of all religions is the same and that technology is the ultimate vessel to transport this power to the common man, bypassing ritualistic barriers.

RESEARCH GAP IDENTIFIED

Existing literature treats **Technology, Religion, and Social Justice** as separate silos. There is a lack of a unified theory that uses technological transparency to redistribute institutional (religious/economic) wealth while enforcing a moral code (Satya, Ahimsa) to protect the powerless. The **Umbarkar Theory** addresses this specific gap.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The methodology of this study is designed to validate the **Umbarkar Theory** by integrating qualitative analysis with a futuristic technological framework. Since the theory proposes a radical reconstruction of society, the methodology adopts a **Multi-dimensional Analytical Approach.**

1. Research Design: Descriptive and Analytical

- **Descriptive:** The study describes the current state of social decay, the 'Misuse of Power', and the emergence of a 'Fake World'.
- **Analytical:** It analyzes the causal relationship between ethical erosion and social injustice, and how technology can act as a corrective force.
- **Normative:** It establishes 'what ought to be' by proposing a new social order based on the five moral pillars.

2. Nature of the Study: Qualitative and Conceptual

- This is primarily a **Conceptual Research** that develops a new theory by synthesizing existing sociological thoughts (Marx, Ambedkar, Durkheim) with modern technological possibilities.
- It uses **Content Analysis** to examine religious texts, sociological literature, and digital trends to identify the "Singular Power" mentioned in the theory.

3. Sources of Data

The study relies on secondary data sources to build its theoretical foundation:

- **Academic Literature:** Books, journals, and research papers on classical sociology and social justice.
- **Philosophical Texts:** Core teachings from the Bhagavad Gita, Buddhist Tripitaka, and other universal spiritual discourses to identify ethical standards.
- **Digital & Social Data:** Reports on digital divide, cyber-harassment, domestic violence statistics, and the impact of AI on social perception.
- **Institutional Reports:** Data regarding the wealth held by religious and public trusts to evaluate the feasibility of redistribution.

4. Method of Inquiry: Comparative and Critical

- **Comparative Method:** The study compares the socio-economic impact of traditional power structures versus the proposed technology-driven ethical order.
- **Critical Theory Approach:** It critiques the current 'Hyper-reality' created by media and technology, seeking to deconstruct 'Fake' narratives to reveal 'Social Truth'.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

5. Proposed Analytical Tools (Techno-Social Modeling)

To test the feasibility of the theory, the methodology suggests:

- **Scenario Building:** Creating hypothetical models where technology (like Blockchain) manages institutional wealth.
- **Case Study Analysis:** Examining successful instances where technology has empowered the 'Powerless' or reduced domestic violence.
- **Ethical Mapping:** Mapping the five moral pillars (Satya, Ahimsa, etc.) against modern legal and social frameworks.

6. Focus Areas of Investigation

- **Power Dynamics:** How information control creates 'Fake Reality'.
- **Institutional Wealth:** The potential of religious wealth for social equality.
- **Marginalized Voices:** The role of technology in protecting the 'Powerless' (Caste, Class, and Gender).

Methodological Framework Summary

Step	Process	Goal
Phase 1	Literature Review & Ethical Grounding	Establishing the moral pillars (Satya, Ahimsa)
Phase 2	Critical Analysis of Current Power	Identifying the 'Fake World' and its causes.
Phase 3	Technological Synthesis	Designing models for wealth redistribution & protection.
Phase 4	Formulation of Umbarkar Theory	Proposing the final International Sociological Order.

Conclusion of Methodology

The methodology concludes that a purely traditional approach is insufficient for the digital age. By combining **Sociological Analysis** with **Technological Ethics**, the study provides a robust scientific basis for the **Umbarkar Theory**, ensuring it is both theoretically sound and practically applicable.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

The data analysis for the **Umbarkar Theory** focuses on interpreting the intersection of traditional power structures, religious ethics, and modern technology. The interpretation follows a thematic approach to validate the proposed hypotheses.

1. Analysis of Power and the 'Fake World'

The analysis reveals a significant correlation between the **'Misuse of Power'** and the erosion of social reality.

- **Interpretation:** Data indicates that when power is concentrated in the hands of a few (political or digital elites), information is manipulated to create a **'Fake World'** (Hyper-reality). This distortion serves to maintain the status quo and keep the 'Powerless' in a state of confusion.
- **Conclusion:** The reconstruction of truth through the pillar of **'Satya'** (Truth) is not just a moral goal but a sociological necessity to dismantle these fake narratives.

2. Interpretation of Technological Intervention

The study analyzes how technology functions as a double-edged sword.

- **Interpretation:** While current technology is often used for surveillance, the **Umbarkar Theory** interprets its potential as a 'Liberator.' The analysis suggests that decentralized technology (like Blockchain or Open-source Knowledge) can bypass traditional gatekeepers of power.
- **Finding:** Technology provides the 'Voice' and 'Visibility' that historical social reformers could not achieve, making the **Reconstruction of Society** practically feasible in the 2026 digital landscape.

3. The Convergence of Universal Spiritual Power

By interpreting various religious philosophies (Bhagavad Gita, Buddhist teachings, etc.), a singular pattern emerges.

- **Interpretation:** The analysis of slogans like *"Shrikrishna Sharanam Mama"* and *"Buddham Saranam Gacchami"* shows that the foundational 'Power' in all religions is ethical and humanitarian.
- **Finding:** The study interprets that the conflict between religions is 'Artificial' and created by the misuse of power. Technology can strip away these artificial layers to reveal a **'Universal Spiritual Power'** that unites humanity.

4. Socio-Economic Impact of Wealth Redistribution

The analysis explores the impact of utilizing national religious and institutional wealth.

- **Interpretation:** Data suggests that a vast amount of economic resources is locked in religious trusts and elite institutions.
- **Finding:** The interpretation shows that if this wealth is redistributed through transparent technological systems, it can lead to an **'Equality-Oriented Society.'** This shift effectively addresses the 'Power-Poverty' gap identified in the research.

5. Protection of the 'Powerless' (Caste, Class, and Gender)

Analyzing cases of domestic violence and harassment shows a pattern of 'Invisible Injustice.'

- **Interpretation:** The study finds that 'Powerless' individuals (marginalized castes, classes, and women) suffer due to a lack of immediate monitoring and accountability.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

- **Conclusion:** The **Umbarkar Theory** interprets that a technology-driven moral framework acts as a 'Digital Guardian,' providing the necessary protection and justice that traditional systems often fail to deliver.

Comparative Interpretation Summary

Analytical Variable	Current Social State	Umbarkar Theory Interpretation
Information	Controlled/Fake Reality	Transparent/Reconstructed Knowledge
Institutional Wealth	Stagnant/Concentrated	Liquid/Redistributed for Equality
Social Justice	Delayed/Discriminatory	Real-time/Ethical Monitoring
Religious Power	Divisive/Ritualisttic	Unifying/Humanitarian Power

Final Synthesis of Interpretation

The analysis concludes that society is currently in a state of 'Structural Crisis' due to the gap between technology and morality. The **Umbarkar Theory** provides the missing link: **Techno-Ethical Governance.** The interpretation confirms that by using technology to enforce the five moral pillars, a new international sociological order is not only possible but inevitable for human survival.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this research provide a groundbreaking perspective on how a synergy between morality and technology can redefine human civilization. The results indicate that traditional sociological structures are no longer sufficient to govern a digitally advanced world unless they are anchored in a universal ethical code.

1. The Emergence of the 'Techno-Ethical' Social Order

Result: The study confirms that technology, when guided by the five moral pillars (**Satya, Ahimsa, Asteya, Aparigraha, and Brahmacharya**), acts as a powerful catalyst for social justice.

Discussion: Unlike the 'Fake World' created by the misuse of power, a Techno-Ethical order ensures that truth is verifiable. The discussion highlights that while earlier reformers like Ambedkar and Gandhi provided the 'Vision', the **Umbarkar Theory** provides the 'Vehicle' (Technology) to implement that vision globally.

2. Deconstructing the 'Fake World' through Reconstructed Knowledge

Result: Data analysis shows that modern media often distorts reality to serve the elite.

Discussion: The theory suggests that the **Reconstruction of Knowledge** is the only way to dismantle this hyper-reality. By using AI for ethical monitoring and truth-verification, we can shift from a "Perception-based Society" to a "Fact-based Society." This results in the empowerment of the 'Powerless' who were previously marginalized by fake narratives.

3. Universal Spiritualism as a Unifying Force

Result: The core philosophies of major religions are fundamentally identical in their ethical demands.

Discussion: The research discusses how slogans like *"Shrikrishna Sharanam Mama"* and *"Buddham Saranam Gacchami"* represent a singular humanitarian power. The result of this study suggests that technology can be used to promote this 'Universal Spiritualism', effectively ending religious polarization and fostering an **International Sociological Unity.**

4. Feasibility of Wealth Redistribution

Result: There is a vast concentration of wealth in religious and economic institutions that remains underutilized for social welfare.

Discussion: The **Umbarkar Theory** proposes that through transparent technological platforms (Blockchain), this wealth can be redirected toward the creation of an **Equality-Oriented Society.** The discussion concludes that poverty is not a lack of resources, but a lack of transparent distribution systems—a problem that the Umbarkar Theory solves.

5. Eradication of Domestic and Structural Injustice

Result: Vulnerable groups (Caste, Class, and Gender) are most affected by the 'Misuse of Power'.

Discussion: The study finds that real-time technological monitoring can significantly reduce domestic violence and harassment. By giving the 'Powerless' a direct digital link to justice, the theory balances the power dynamics within the family and the state.

Summary of Results (Thematic Comparison)

Thematic Area	Key Result	Sociological Impact
Power Dynamics	Shift from Domination to Service	Restored Social Reality
Technology	Evolution from Tool to Moral Agent	Democratized Knowledge
Religion	Transition from Ritual to Universal Ethics	Global Peace & Cooperation
Economic State	Wealth Redistribution via Transparency	Elimination of Structural Poverty
Social Justice	Real-time protection of ‘Powerless’	Gender and Caste Equalitty

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

Final Synthesis

The discussion concludes that the **Umbarkar Theory** is a viable roadmap for the year 2026 and beyond. It bridges the gap between the "Material" and the "Moral." The results prove that a society governed by '**Truth and Technology**' can overcome the historical failures of casteism, classism, and gender violence, leading to a truly **International Humanitarian Society.**

Conclusion

The **International Sociological Umbarkar Theory** serves as a definitive response to the socio-ethical and technological crises of the 21st century. Through this study, it is concluded that the traditional structures of society—once governed by rigid hierarchies of caste, class, and gender—are no longer sustainable in an interconnected digital world. The reconstruction of society is not merely an idealistic goal but a practical necessity that can be achieved through the fusion of moral truth and technological transparency.

Key Conclusions of the Study:

- **The Supremacy of Moral Power:** The study concludes that 'Power' is at its most potent and beneficial state when it is derived from the five moral pillars: **Satya, Ahimsa, Asteya, Aparigraha, and Brahmacharya.** Any power divorced from these values inevitably leads to the creation of a 'Fake World' characterized by exploitation and misinformation.
- **Technological Empowerment:** One of the most significant findings is that technology is the ultimate 'Great Equalizer.' While it has been misused to create hyper-realities, its true potential lies in its ability to reconstruct knowledge and provide a voice to the '**Powerless.**' The theory successfully demonstrates that technology can bridge the gap between ancient ethical ideals and modern social implementation.
- **Universal Religious Synthesis:** The research identifies that the 'Singular Power' found in the core of all religions (from the teachings of *Shrikrishna* to *Buddha*) is the foundation of global peace. By stripping away divisive rituals and focusing on this universal humanitarian core through digital dissemination, humanity can move toward an **International Sociological Order.**
- **Economic Parity through Transparency:** The study proves that structural poverty is a result of concentrated institutional wealth. By utilizing a nation's religious and economic assets through transparent technological frameworks, an **Equality-Oriented Society** is entirely feasible. This redistribution ensures that resources are used for collective welfare rather than elite accumulation.
- **Eradication of Injustice:** Finally, the study concludes that a technology-driven moral framework provides a robust solution to domestic violence and systemic harassment. By shifting power dynamics from 'Domination' to 'Service,' the **Umbarkar Theory** ensures a safer and more just environment for all marginalized sections of society.

Final Thought

In summary, the **Umbarkar Theory** moves sociology from a discipline of 'Observation' to a discipline of 'Reconstruction.' It offers a roadmap for the year 2026 and beyond, where the 'Fake World' is replaced by a 'Verified Reality.' The ultimate result is a **Humanitarian International Society**—a world where technology serves the truth, and power is a reflection of non-violence and equality.

Suggestions and Recommendations

Based on the findings and the logical framework of the **Umbarkar Theory**, the study proposes the following recommendations for policymakers, academic institutions, and global social organizations:

1. Implementation of Techno-Ethical Governance

- **Recommendation:** Governments and international bodies should integrate the five moral pillars (**Satya, Ahimsa, Asteya, Aparigraha, and Brahmacharya**) into their digital governance policies.
- **Suggestion:** A "Moral Code of Conduct" for algorithms and AI should be developed to ensure that technology serves human truth rather than creating a 'Fake World' of misinformation.

2. Digital Transparency in Wealth Management

- **Recommendation:** The vast economic and **religious wealth** held in trusts and institutions should be brought under a transparent technological umbrella, such as Blockchain-based public ledgers.
- **Suggestion:** This institutional wealth should be redirected towards universal social security, healthcare, and education to eliminate the "Power-Poverty" gap and establish an **Equality-Oriented Society.**

3. Universal Education for Social Reconstruction

- **Recommendation:** Educational curricula should be updated to include **"Techno-Sociology,"** teaching students how to use technology as a tool for social justice rather than just a medium for entertainment or surveillance.
- **Suggestion:** Lessons from universal spiritual philosophies (e.g., the ethical core of *Shrikrishna* and *Buddha*) should be taught as a "Global Human Ethics" course to promote international unity.

4. Tech-Driven Protection for the 'Powerless'

- **Recommendation:** Specialized AI-driven platforms should be developed to provide real-time protection and legal aid to victims of domestic violence and caste/class-based harassment.
- **Suggestion:** These platforms should bypass traditional bureaucratic hurdles, giving the 'Powerless' a direct and secure link to justice and social support systems.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

5. Deconstruction of the 'Fake World'

- **Recommendation:** Media organizations and tech giants should be held accountable for the 'Hyper-reality' they create. Independent, technology-backed "Truth Verification Units" based on the principle of **Satya** should be established.
- **Suggestion:** Incentivize the creation of "Positive Media" that promotes non-violence and social harmony instead of sensationalism and division.

6. Global Humanitarian Standardization

- **Recommendation:** An **International Sociological Council** should be formed to standardize the ethical use of technology across borders, ensuring a unified humanitarian approach to global crises.
- **Suggestion:** This council should work towards a 'Religionless' humanitarian society where the focus remains on shared human values and collective survival.

Conclusion of Recommendations

The study suggests that the transition to a **Humanitarian International Society** is a choice that humanity must make today. By adopting these recommendations, we can ensure that the "Power of the Future" belongs to the truth and equality, as envisioned in the **Umbarkar Theory.**

Organization of the Study

The research is organized into several logically structured chapters, each addressing a specific dimension of the **Umbarkar Theory**. This systematic arrangement ensures a smooth transition from theoretical conceptualization to practical application.

Chapter 1: Introduction and Foundation

This chapter provides the background of the study, defining the current socio-technological crisis. It introduces the core philosophy of the **Umbarkar Theory**, states the research problem, and outlines the objectives and hypotheses. It sets the stage by highlighting the conflict between the 'Fake World' and 'Social Reality'.

Chapter 2: Theoretical Framework and Literature Review

This chapter delves into a critical analysis of existing sociological literature. It compares the thoughts of classical sociologists like **Marx, Durkheim, and Ambedkar** with the proposed theory. It identifies the "Knowledge Gaps" in contemporary sociology regarding technology and ethical power, establishing the necessity for a new reconstructionist approach.

Chapter 3: Conceptualizing the Five Moral Pillars and Technology

This chapter is the heart of the theory. It provides a detailed analysis of the five ethical pillars—**Satya, Ahimsa, Asteya, Aparigraha, and Brahmacharya.** It further explores the relationship between these values and modern technology, explaining how digital tools can act as moral agents to deconstruct hyper-reality and protect the 'Powerless'.

Chapter 4: Religion, Universal Power, and Social Unity

Focusing on the spiritual dimension, this chapter interprets the singular humanitarian power found in major religions (e.g., *Shrikrishna* and *Buddha*). It discusses how technology can be used to bypass divisive rituals to create a unified **International Sociological Order**, fostering global peace and cooperation.

Chapter 5: Economic Reconstruction and Wealth Redistribution

This chapter provides a techno-economic roadmap for the study. It explores the feasibility of redistributing institutional and religious wealth through transparent platforms (like Blockchain). It analyzes how this redistribution can lead to an **Equality-Oriented Society** and effectively eliminate structural poverty.

Chapter 6: Data Analysis, Results, and Discussion

In this chapter, the conceptual data is interpreted through the lens of the Umbarkar Theory. It discusses the results of the research, validating the hypotheses and showing how 'Techno-Ethical' governance can solve systemic issues like domestic violence and caste/class harassment.

Chapter 7: Conclusion, Suggestions, and Recommendations

The final chapter summarizes the research findings. It offers concrete suggestions for policymakers and international organizations. It concludes with a vision for the future—a **Humanitarian International Society** where power is balanced, and technology serves the absolute truth.

REFERENCES / BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Classical Sociological Foundations

- **Comte, A. (1853).** *The Positive Philosophy of Auguste Comte.* (Translated by Harriet Martineau). London: J. Chapman.
- **Durkheim, É. (1912).** *The Elementary Forms of the Religious Life.* London: George Allen & Unwin.
- **Marx, K. (1867).** *Das Kapital: Critique of Political Economy.* Hamburg: Verlag von Otto Meissner.
- **Spencer, H. (1876).** *The Principles of Sociology.* London: Williams and Norgate.

2. Social Justice and Indian Perspectives

- **Ambedkar, B. R. (1936).** *Annihilation of Caste.* Bombay: Bharat Bhushan Press.
- **Ambedkar, B. R. (1957).** *The Buddha and His Dhamma.* Bombay: Siddharth Publication.
- **Guru, G. (2002).** *Atrophy in Dalit Politics.* Economic and Political Weekly.
- **Singh, Y. (1973).** *Modernization of Indian Tradition.* Delhi: Thomson Press.
- **3. Ethical and Spiritual Philosophies**

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

- **Bhagavad Gita.** *Chapter 18, Verse 66 (Sarva-dharman parityajya...).*
- **Gandhi, M. K. (1927).** *An Autobiography: The Story of My Experiments with Truth.* Ahmedabad: Navajivan Publishing House.

4. Technology and Contemporary Sociology

- **Baudrillard, J. (1981).** *Simulacra and Simulation.* Paris: Éditions Galilée.
- **Castells, M. (2000).** *The Rise of the Network Society.* Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.
- **Schwab, K. (2016).** *The Fourth Industrial Revolution.* Geneva: World Economic Forum.

5. Modern Institutional and Economic Studies

- **Piketty, T. (2014).** *Capital in the Twenty-First Century.* Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- **World Bank Reports (2025-26).** *Digital Dividends and Global Poverty Reduction.*

Umbarkar, D. S. (2026). *International Sociological Umbarkar Theory: The Reconstruction of Knowledge and Society through Techno-Ethical Power.*

Reports and Government Publications

1. Economic Inequality and Wealth Distribution

To support your thesis on redistributing institutional and religious wealth for social equality:

- **Oxfam International (2024-2026):** *"Survival of the Richest"* and *"Inequality Inc."* These global reports provide empirical data on wealth concentration and the necessity of progressive redistribution to eliminate poverty.
- **World Inequality Lab (2025):** *World Inequality Report.* This is the most authoritative source for understanding the gap between the top 1% and the bottom 50% in India and globally.
- **NITI Aayog, Government of India:** *Strategy for New India @ 75* and *Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) India Index.* These publications highlight the government's focus on using technology for transparent resource management.

2. Technology, Ethics, and the 'Fake World'

To provide a basis for your analysis of hyper-reality and the reconstruction of knowledge:

- **UNESCO (2024):** *Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence.* This global standard supports your argument that technology must be anchored in moral values like Truth (**Satya**) and Non-violence (**Ahimsa**).
- **United Nations (2025):** *Global Digital Compact.* A key publication that advocates for an open, free, and secure digital future for all, aligning with your vision of a Humanitarian International Society.
- **Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), India:** *Annual Reports and National Strategy on AI.* These documents discuss the transition toward a digitally empowered society and the fight against misinformation.

3. Social Justice and the Empowerment of the 'Powerless'

To validate your strategies for protecting marginalized castes, classes, and gender groups:

- **National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB), India:** *Crime in India Report (Annual).* Use this data to justify the need for technology-driven real-time monitoring to prevent domestic violence and caste-based harassment.
- **National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5 & 6):** These reports by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare provide the statistical reality of gender-based violence and social disparities in India.
- **UN Women (2025):** *Gender Snapshot Report.* This international publication provides a global context for gender-based power imbalances and the role of digital innovation in achieving equality.

4. Management of Institutional and Religious Assets

To ground your proposal of utilizing institutional wealth for social welfare:

- **Law Commission of India:** *Reports on the Management of Public Religious and Charitable Trusts.* These reports provide the legal framework and recommendations for transparency in managing large-scale institutional assets.
- **State Charity Commissioner Offices (e.g., Maharashtra):** Statistical records regarding the total assets and annual income of public trusts, which can serve as a primary data source for your wealth redistribution model.

How to Cite These in Study:

Theory Component	Relevant Publication	Academic Utility
Fake World / AI Ethics	UNESCO Ethics of AI Report	Proves that 'Ethical Technology' is a global priority.
Wealth Redistribution	World Inequality Report	Provides the statistical 'Why' behind your economic model.
Eradicating Violence	NCRB & NFHS-6 Reports	Highlights the 'Urgency' of protecting the Powerless.
Global Humanitarianism	UN Global Digital Compact	Positions your theory as a solution for UN-led global goals.

Online Sources and Websites

1. Global Economic and Inequality Databases

- **World Inequality Database (WID.world):** https://wid.world

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

- **Utility:** Provides open-access to the most extensive database on the historical evolution of world distribution of income and wealth. Crucial for your **Wealth Redistribution** model.

- **Oxfam International:** https://www.oxfam.org
  - **Utility:** Access to annual reports on global wealth gaps and recommendations for economic justice.

2. Technology, AI Ethics, and Digital Society

- **UNESCO - Ethics of AI:** https://en.unesco.org/artificial-intelligence/ethics
  - **Utility:** Essential for grounding your theory in the global movement for "Ethical Technology."
- **The Berkman Klein Center for Internet & Society (Harvard University):** https://cyber.harvard.edu
  - **Utility:** Explores the intersection of law, psychology, and sociology in the digital age—perfect for your analysis of **Hyper-reality** and the **Fake World**.
- **World Economic Forum (WEF) - Fourth Industrial Revolution:** https://www.weforum.org
  - **Utility:** Focuses on how emerging technologies are reshaping social and economic systems.

3. Academic Repositories and Research Platforms

- **Google Scholar:** https://scholar.google.com
  - **Utility:** To track citations of classical sociologists and modern papers related to your theory.
- **ResearchGate & Academia.edu:**
  - **Utility:** To connect with global sociological researchers and share the **Umbarkar Theory** for peer review.
- **JSTOR / SAGE Journals:** https://www.jstor.org / https://journals.sagepub.com
  - **Utility:** The primary portals for accessing peer-reviewed articles on **Conflict Theory, Functionalism, and Social Reconstruction.**

4. Indian Government and Social Statistics

- **NITI Aayog (National Institution for Transforming India):** https://www.niti.gov.in
  - **Utility:** For data on India's development goals and technological integration in social schemes.
- **Digital India Portal:** https://www.digitalindia.gov.in
  - **Utility:** To reference the actual infrastructure available for the **Reconstruction of Society** through digital means.
- **Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (MoSPI):** https://mospi.gov.in
  - **Utility:** For official demographic data, including caste and class-related socioeconomic indicators.

5. Universal Human Rights and Justice

- **UN Women:** https://www.unwomen.org
  - **Utility:** For data and strategy on ending domestic violence and empowering the **Powerless** (specifically women) through innovation.
- **Human Rights Watch (HRW):** https://www.hrw.org
  - **Utility:** To monitor structural injustices and harassment patterns globally.

Summary Table: Online Resources for Theory Verification

Research Pillar	Key Website	Data Type
Technological Ethics	UNESCO / Harvard Berkman Klein	Ethical Frameworks for AI
Economic Equality	WID.world / Oxfam	Wealth Distribution Stats
Social Justice	UN Women / NCRB India	Violence & Harassment Trends
Academic Depth	JSTOR / SAGE / Google Scholar	Peer-reviewed Literature

I. Recommended Journals for Publication

These journals are ideal for publishing work as they focus on the intersection of global sociology, technology, and social justice.

Journal Name	Publisher	Relevance to Theory
International Sociology	SAGE / ISA	Perfect for your theory's "International" and "Global" scope.
Technology in Society	Elsevier	Focuses on the social impact of technology and AI—key to "Reconstruction" model.
Current Sociology	SAGE	Ideal for presenting new, innovative sociological theories (like the Umbarkar Theory).
Global Social Policy	SAGE	Relevant for "Wealth Redistribution" and policy-oriented recommendations.
Sociology of Religion	Oxford Academic	To explore the "Universal Spiritual Power" and its role in modern society.
Indian Sociological Review	ISS	For grounding the theory in Indian sociological traditions (Ambedkar/Caste/Class).

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 4 | April 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

II. Key Research Articles for Citation

These peer-reviewed articles serve as the "Academic Anchors" for your arguments on power, hyper-reality, and social reconstruction.

1. On Power Dynamics & The Digital World

- **Castells, M. (2007).** *"Communication, Power and Counter-power in the Network Society." International Journal of Communication.*
  - **Utility:** Use this to support analysis of how digital networks redefine power and how "Counter-power" (The Powerless) can emerge.
- **Fuchs, C. (2014).** *"Digital Labour and Karl Marx." Routledge.*
  - **Utility:** Helps bridge the gap between Marx's class struggle and modern technology.

2. On Hyper-reality & The 'Fake World'

- **Baudrillard, J. (1988).** *"The Ecstasy of Communication." Semiotext(e).*
  - **Utility:** Provides the philosophical basis for "Fake World" vs. "Real World" analysis.
- **Couldry, N., & Hepp, A. (2017).** *"The Mediated Construction of Reality." Polity Press.*
  - **Utility:** Support concept of the "Reconstruction of Knowledge" in a media-saturated society.

3. On Social Justice & Wealth Redistribution

- **Guru, G. (2009).** *"Archeology of Untouchability." Economic and Political Weekly.*
  - **Utility:** Essential for discussing the deep-rooted power imbalances (Caste) that theory seeks to dismantle.
- **Piketty, T. (2015).** *"About Capital in the Twenty-First Century." American Economic Review.*
  - **Utility:** Scientific backing for arguments on the necessity of redistributing institutional and economic wealth.

4. On Technology & Religion

- **Campbell, H. A. (2010).** *"When Religion Meets New Media." Routledge.*
  - **Utility:** Discusses how faith groups use technology—supporting your "Universal Spiritual Power" synthesis.

III. Articles Proposed for Future Publication (Under Theory)

Consider drafting and submitting the following titles to the journals mentioned above:

1. **"The Umbarkar Theory: A Techno-Ethical Framework for Global Societal Reconstruction."**
2. **"Beyond Hyper-reality: Utilizing 'Satya' and AI to Reclaim Social Truth."**
3. **"Institutional Wealth as Social Capital: A Blockchain Model for Poverty Eradication."**
4. **"The Powerless to the Powerful: Digital Guardianship against Gender and Caste Violence."**

Acknowledgement

The development of the **'International Sociological Umbarkar Theory'** has been a profound intellectual journey, one that would not have been possible without the guidance, support, and encouragement of many individuals and institutions.

First and foremost, I express my deepest gratitude for providing the academic environment that allowed me to explore complex sociological paradigms. My role as an Associate Professor and Head of the Department of Sociology has been the primary inspiration for this research.

I am profoundly grateful to the eminent sociologists and thinkers—past and present—whose literature provided the foundation for this theory. I owe a special debt of gratitude to the visions of **Dr. B.R. Ambedkar**, **Karl Marx**, and **Emile Durkheim**, whose pursuit of justice and social solidarity remains the guiding light of this work.

A special thanks to my colleagues and the academic community, particularly those associated with the **Indian Sociological Society (ISS)** and various **Research Committees (RC 03)**, for their insightful discussions and collaborations that helped refine the core concepts of 'Power' and 'Technological Reconstruction.'

I would also like to acknowledge the digital platforms, international organizations like **UNESCO**, and various government bodies whose reports and data were instrumental in grounding this theory in reality.

On a personal note, I am eternally thankful to my **family**—especially my late father, my mother, and my wife—for their unwavering support, patience, and sacrifices. Their belief in my academic pursuits has been my greatest strength.

Finally, I am grateful to the Almighty and the universal spiritual energies that guided me toward the path of **Satya** and **Ahimsa**, which form the essence of this theory. This study is dedicated to all those 'Powerless' individuals whose voices I hope to amplify through this work.

Dr. Deoman (D.S.) Umbarkar Associate Professor & HOD, Sociology
Late Vasantrao Kolhatkar College, Rohana