SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

A STUDY ON THE IMPACT OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ON MANAGING EMPLOYEE RESISTANCE TO ORGANIZATIONAL CHANGE

Sathiya Rooba. S[1], Mr. T. Sivaganana Selvakumar[2]
[1]*II MBA*
[2]*Assistant Professor*
Sakthi Institute of Information and Management Studies
Pollachi, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu 642001

ABSTRACT

Organizational change has become essential in the modern business environment, especially in the Information Technology (IT) industry, due to rapid technological advancements, digital transformation, globalization, and automation. Employees often resist organizational change because of fear of job insecurity, increased workload, lack of communication, inadequate technical skills, and limited participation in decision-making. Such resistance negatively affects employee morale, productivity, and organizational performance. This study examines the impact of Human Resource Management (HRM) on managing employee resistance to organizational change in the IT industry. The research focuses on HRM practices such as communication, training and development, employee involvement, counselling, motivation, and organizational support in reducing resistance and improving employee adaptability. Both qualitative and quantitative research methods were used, and data were collected through structured questionnaires distributed among IT employees. Statistical tools such as percentage analysis, chi-square analysis, correlation, and regression analysis were applied for data interpretation. The findings reveal that fear of job loss, increased workload, lack of involvement, and insufficient technical skills are the major causes of employee resistance. The study concludes that effective HR practices significantly help organizations manage resistance, improve communication, enhance employee confidence, and achieve organizational sustainability and long-term success.

I.INTRODUCTION

Organizational change refers to the process through which organizations modify their structures, technologies, policies, strategies, or work processes to adapt to changing business environments. In today's competitive world, organizations frequently implement changes to improve efficiency, productivity, innovation, and market competitiveness. However, employees often resist organizational change due to fear of uncertainty, job insecurity, increased workload, lack of communication, and disruption of established routines.

Employee resistance to change is one of the most significant barriers to successful organizational transformation. Resistance may be expressed through reduced productivity, negative attitudes, low morale, lack of cooperation, absenteeism, and employee dissatisfaction. Therefore, organizations must effectively manage employee resistance to ensure the successful implementation of change initiatives.

Human Resource Management plays a critical role in managing employee resistance by creating supportive work environments, improving communication, involving employees in decision-making, and providing training and motivation. HR managers act as facilitators between management and employees, helping organizations implement change smoothly and effectively.

Effective HRM practices such as employee participation, counseling, leadership support, performance management, and training programs help employees understand the importance of organizational change and reduce fear and uncertainty. Proper communication strategies improve trust and transparency, while training programs help employees develop the necessary skills to adapt to new systems and processes.

Organizations that effectively manage employee resistance through HRM practices experience higher employee commitment, improved job satisfaction, increased productivity, and better organizational performance. Therefore, understanding the role of HRM in managing resistance to organizational change is essential for achieving organizational success and sustainability.

II.REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Kotter and Schlesinger (1979) in their article *"Choosing Strategies for Change"* explained the common reasons for employee resistance during organizational change. The study was based on Change Management Theory and focused on strategies such as communication, participation, facilitation, negotiation, and support. The objective was to identify effective methods for managing resistance and improving employee acceptance of change. The study was conceptual in nature and relied on theoretical and managerial analysis.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

Dent and Goldberg (1999) The study "Challenging Resistance to Change" examined the reasons behind employee resistance in organizations. The researchers concluded that employees do not resist change itself but resist uncertainty, loss of control, and fear of failure. HRM practices such as counseling, training, and employee involvement can reduce negative employee reactions.

Lines (2004) in the study *"Influence of Participation in Strategic Change"* examined the effect of employee participation on resistance and organizational commitment. The study was grounded in Participation Theory and Organizational Commitment Theory. The objective was to analyse whether employee involvement in decision-making reduces resistance and improves commitment toward organizational goals. The research used Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) and regression analysis for data interpretation.

Vakola and Nikolaou (2005) in their article *"Attitudes Towards Organizational Change"* analysed the relationship between employee stress, organizational commitment, and attitudes toward change. The study was based on Attitude Theory and Organizational Commitment Theory. The objective was to examine how psychological factors influence employee adaptation during organizational transformation. The study adopted a quantitative survey method using correlation and regression analysis.

Oreg (2006) in the study *"Personality, Context, and Resistance to Organizational Change"* examined how personality traits influence employee resistance behaviour. The research was based on Personality Theory and dispositional resistance to change. The objective was to identify the role of individual personality and contextual factors in predicting resistance levels. The study used quantitative research methods along with regression and correlation analysis.

Furxhi (2021) in the study *"Employee's Resistance and Organizational Change Factors"* examined the relationship between organizational change factors and employee resistance. The study focused on factors such as communication, leadership, organizational culture, and employee perception. The objective was to analyse the major determinants of resistance and suggest strategies for reducing negative reactions toward change. The study was conceptual in nature and emphasized the importance of effective HR and communication practices.

III.RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To identify the main causes of employee resistance to organizational change.
- To examine how managing employee resistance contributes to organizational sustainability.
- To examine the role of effective communication in reducing resistance to change.
- To determine whether employee acceptance of change influences job satisfaction and overall organizational performance

IV.SCOPE OF THE STUDY

1. The study focuses on the impact of Human Resource Management on managing employee resistance to organizational change in the IT industry.
2. The research mainly examines factors such as fear of job loss, increased workload, lack of technical skills, communication gaps, and lack of employee involvement that influence employee resistance.
3. The study analyses the effectiveness of HR practices such as communication, training and development, employee participation, counselling, and motivation in reducing resistance toward organizational change.
4. The research is based on both qualitative and quantitative methods using structured questionnaires distributed among employees working in the IT sector.
5. The study provides valuable insights for organizations and HR professionals in developing effective strategies to improve employee adaptability, organizational performance, and long-term sustainability.

V.RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESEARCH DESIGN

The study adopts a descriptive research design. Descriptive research is suitable for identifying and analysing employee opinions, attitudes, behaviours, and perceptions regarding organizational change and HRM practices. The design helps in understanding the causes of resistance and the effectiveness of HR strategies in managing organizational transformation.

AREA OF THE STUDY

The study is conducted among employees working in the Information Technology (IT) industry. The IT sector is selected because it frequently experiences organizational changes due to technological advancements, innovation, automation, and digital transformation.

POPULATION OF THE STUDY

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

The population of the study includes employees working in various IT companies. The respondents include employees from different departments, work levels, and employment categories.

SAMPLING TECHNIQUE

The study uses a simple random sampling method. Under this method, every employee in the population has an equal chance of being selected for the study. This technique helps in obtaining unbiased and representative data.

SAMPLE SIZE

The sample size of the study consists of 155 respondents working in the IT industry. The sample provides sufficient information to analyse employee resistance and the role of HRM practices during organizational change.

HYPOTHESIS OF THE STUDY

Null Hypothesis (H_0)

There is no significant relationship between Human Resource Management practices and employee resistance to organizational change.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1)

There is a significant relationship between Human Resource Management practices and employee resistance to organizational change.

METHODS OF DATA COLLECTION

PRIMARY DATA

Primary data were collected through structured questionnaires distributed among employees working in IT organizations. The questionnaire contained questions related to employee resistance, communication, training, job satisfaction, and HR strategies.

SECONDARY DATA

Secondary data were collected from journals, books, research articles, company reports, websites, and previous studies related to Human Resource Management and organizational change.

TOOLS FOR DATA ANALYSIS

PERCENTAGE ANALYSIS

Percentage analysis was used to simplify and interpret the collected data by converting responses into percentages. This method helps in understanding employee opinions, attitudes, and perceptions toward organizational change and HRM practices.

Percentage = (Number of Respondents / Total Number of Respondents) × 100

CHI-SQUARE TEST

The Chi-square test was used to examine the relationship between categorical variables related to employee resistance and HRM practices. It helps in identifying whether a significant association exists between variables.

The formula for the chi-square statistic in a chi-square test is: $=\Sigma (O - E)^2 / E$ Where:

-x is the chi-square statistic

-O is the observed frequency in each category

-E is the expected frequency in each category

CORRELATION ANALYSIS

Correlation analysis was used to measure the strength and direction of the relationship between variables such as communication, employee resistance, job satisfaction, and organizational sustainability.

REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Regression analysis was used to determine the impact of independent variables such as HRM practices and communication on dependent variables like employee resistance and job satisfaction.

VI.DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

HYPOTHESIS

H_0: There is no significant relationship between communication and employee resistance to organizational change.

H_1: There is a significant relationship between communication and employee resistance to organizational change.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

Chi-Square Tests	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	194.046[a]	156	0.021
Likelihood Ratio	147.453	156	0.675
Linear-by-Linear Association	0.459	1	0.498
N of Valid Cases	155		

Calculated χ^2 value: 194.046
Degrees of freedom (df): 156
Significance level (p-value): 0.021

INTERPRETATION

The Pearson Chi-Square value is **194.046** with a significance value (p-value) of **0.021**, which is less than 0.05. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis is accepted. This indicates that there is a significant association between the variables considered in the study. Hence, the study concludes that the variables are related and have an influence on each other.

CORRELATION ANALYSIS

		Value	Asymptotic Standard Error	Approximate T	Approximate Significance
Interval by Interval	Pearson' R	0.055	0.091	0.677	0.5
Ordinal by Ordinal	Spearman Correlation	-0.012	0.084	-0.152	0.879
N of Valid Cases		155			

HYPOTHESIS

- H_0: There is no significant relationship between effective communication and employee resistance to organizational change.
- H_1: There is a significant relationship between effective communication and employee resistance to organizational change.

INTERPRETATION

The correlation result shows a very weak relationship between the variables (r = 0.055) and the significance value (p = 0.500) is greater than 0.05. Therefore, there is no significant relationship between the variables. Hence, the null hypothesis is accepted and the alternative hypothesis is rejected.

REGRESSION ANALYSIS

HYPOTHESIS

- H_0: There is no significant impact of Employee Resistance on Effective Communication.
- H_1: There is a significant impact of Employee Resistance on Effective Communication.

Regression Statistics	
Multiple R	0.055
R Square	0.003
Adjusted R Square	-0.004
Standard Error	2.53
R Square change	0.003
F change	0.458
df1	1
df2	153
sig. F change	0.5

ANOVA					
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1	2.93	2.93	0.458	0.5
Residual	153	979.611	6.403		
Total	154	982.542			

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

	unstandardized B	Coefficients Standard Error	Standardized Coefficients Beta	t	sig
(constant)	14.505	1.311		11.068	0
resistant	0.047	0.07	0.055	0.677	0.5

INTERPRETATION

The regression results show that Employee Resistance has a very weak and non-significant impact on Communication ($\beta = 0.055$, $p = 0.500$). The model is not significant ($R^2 = 0.003$), meaning Resistance explains only a very small portion of Communication. Therefore, there is no significant relationship or impact between the variables, and the null hypothesis is accepted while the alternative hypothesis is rejected.

VII.FINDINGS

1. The majority of employees in the IT industry are young professionals with undergraduate or postgraduate qualifications.
2. Fear of job loss is one of the major causes of employee resistance toward organizational change.
3. Lack of technical skills and fear of increased workload also contribute significantly to employee resistance.
4. Most organizations have formal HR strategies to manage employee resistance during organizational transformation.
5. Effective communication helps employees understand organizational goals and reduces anxiety during change implementation.
6. Employee participation and feedback sessions improve employee confidence and acceptance of organizational changes.
7. HR interventions such as training, counselling, and communication support organizations in adapting to changing market conditions.
8. Employees who positively accept organizational change experience better job satisfaction and improved work performance.
9. Correlation and regression analysis indicate weak statistical relationships between communication, resistance, and job satisfaction.
10. Human Resource Management plays a vital role in improving employee adaptability and organizational sustainability.

VIII.SUGGESTIONS

Organizations should improve communication strategies to create awareness and reduce employee uncertainty during organizational changes.

1. HR departments should conduct regular training and development programs to improve employee technical skills and adaptability.
2. Management should involve employees in decision-making processes to reduce resistance and improve employee participation.
3. Organizations should provide counselling and emotional support to employees facing stress and anxiety during change implementation.
4. HR professionals should focus on building trust and transparency between employees and management.
5. Organizations should create reward and recognition systems to encourage employees who positively adapt to organizational change.
6. Employee feedback mechanisms should be strengthened to understand employee concerns and improve change management strategies.
7. Companies should ensure continuous learning opportunities to help employees adjust to technological advancements and new work systems.
8. Organizations should adopt flexible HR policies that support employee well-being and work-life balance during organizational transformation.
9. Management should regularly evaluate HR strategies and communication effectiveness to improve successful implementation of change initiatives.

IX.CONCLUSION

The study concludes that organizational change has become an unavoidable necessity in the Information Technology industry due to technological advancements, digitalization, globalization, and changing business environments. Although organizational transformation is essential for improving efficiency, productivity, and competitiveness, employees often resist change because of fear, uncertainty, lack of technical skills, increased workload, and inadequate communication.

The research findings clearly indicate that Human Resource Management plays a significant role in reducing employee resistance and supporting successful organizational transformation. Effective HR practices such as communication, employee involvement,

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

training and development, counselling, and motivational support help employees understand the importance of change and improve their willingness to adapt to new systems and organizational practices.

The study also reveals that communication is one of the most important factors influencing employee acceptance of change. Employees who receive proper guidance, feedback, and organizational support are more likely to cooperate positively during change initiatives. Furthermore, employee participation and transparent communication improve trust, morale, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment.

The analysis further highlights that organizations with strong HR strategies are better able to manage resistance and maintain organizational sustainability. HR interventions not only reduce fear and uncertainty among employees but also improve employee confidence, productivity, and long-term organizational performance.

Overall, the study emphasizes that successful organizational change depends largely on effective Human Resource Management practices. Organizations that focus on employee well-being, communication, participation, and continuous learning can successfully manage employee resistance and achieve sustainable growth in the competitive IT industry.

REFERENCES

1. *Kotter, J. P., & Schlesinger, L. A. (1979). Choosing Strategies for Change.*
2. *Armenakis, A. A., & Bedeian, A. G. (1999). Organizational Change: A Review of Theory and Research in the 1990s.*
3. *Dent, E. B., & Goldberg, S. G. (1999). Challenging Resistance to Change.*
4. *Pardo-del-Val, M., & Martínez-Fuentes, C. (2003). Resistance to Change: A Literature Review and Empirical Study.*
5. *Lines, R. (2004). Influence of Participation in Strategic Change.*
6. *Vakola, M., & Nikolaou, I. (2005). Attitudes Towards Organizational Change.*
7. *Oreg, S. (2006). Personality, Context, and Resistance to Organizational Change.*
8. *Ford, J. D., Ford, L. W., & D'Amelio, A. (2008). Resistance to Change: The Rest of the Story.*
9. *Bateh, J., Castaneda, M. E., & Farah, J. E. (2013). Employee Resistance to Organizational Change.*
10. *Furxhi, I. (2021). Employee Resistance and Organizational Change Factors.*