SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

NARRATING THE STATE: LITERARY MEMORY, BUREAUCRATIC FAILURE, AND PUBLIC POLICY CRISIS IN POSTCOLONIAL NIGERIA

Clement Oshogwe Mamudu[1], **Austin Oghomwen Omogiade**[2]
[1]*Department of English and Literary Studies, Igbinedion University, Okada, Nigeria*
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-2359-2194
[2]*Department of Political Science and Public Administration, Igbinedion University, Okada, Nigeria*
ORCID ID: 0009-0004-5794-3752

ABSTRACT
This work examines the relationship between Nigerian literary production and the structural dysfunctions of the postcolonial state, arguing that the literary text functions simultaneously as counter-archive, critical ethnography, and policy discourse in contexts where official bureaucratic memory is perpetually attenuated or actively destroyed. Drawing on the fiction, memoir, and prose of Chinua Achebe, Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie, Ken Saro-Wiwa, Helon Habila, and Elnathan John, and situating these within the theoretical frameworks of Achille Mbembe, Frantz Fanon, James Scott, and Ann Laura Stoler, the essay advances three interlocking arguments. It argues, first, that the Nigerian literary imagination constitutes what this essay terms a shadow archive, that is, one that preserves what the official record destroys or declines to produce. It argues, secondly, that bureaucratic failure in Nigeria is not incidental but is structurally encoded in the very colonial administrative logics that the postcolonial state inherited and never dismantled. Thirdly, it argues that Nigerian writers, from the First Republic to the present, have engaged in a form of policy thinking from below that the formal apparatus of governance has been constitutionally unable to process. The paper ultimately contends that in a state where institutional memory is perpetually foreclosed, literature performs the double duty of bearing witness and of theorising the conditions of its own necessity.

KEYWORDS *Postcolonial Nigeria, Literary memory, Bureaucratic failure, Shadow archive, Public policy*

INTRODUCTION

In *On the Postcolony* (2001), Achille Mbembe describes the postcolonial African state as a site of compulsive self-invention, one in which the performance of authority substitutes for the exercise of it. The state in Mbembe's formulation is not simply weak or corrupt but constitutively theatrical, sustained by what he terms the "aesthetics of vulgarity" (108), a mode of power that operates through spectacle, excess, and the deliberate erasure of accountability. Nigeria, with its cyclical coups, its oil boom squandered on infrastructural phantasms, its electoral cycles that simulate democracy while entrenching the very prebendal networks they claim to dissolve, stands as one of the most compelling empirical instantiations of Mbembe's theoretical architecture. It is within this context that one must situate the extraordinary density of the Nigerian literary tradition, a tradition that has persistently taken the state, its institutions, its failures, and its silences as its central object of interrogation.

The question this essay pursues is at once archival, hermeneutic, and political. It asks what work Nigerian literature does in the absence of a functioning institutional memory, and whether that work constitutes a form of policy discourse in its own right. Ann Laura Stoler, in *Along the Archival Grain* (2009), argues that colonial archives should be read not merely as repositories of historical evidence but as "epistemological experiments" (20) through which power manages what can be known, by whom, and under what conditions. The postcolonial archive in Nigeria compounds this problem considerably. Colonial administrative records were frequently destroyed at independence or removed to metropolitan repositories in London; subsequent military administrations produced documentation that was either classified, falsified, or lost in the administrative chaos of successive coups; the civilian democratic periods that punctuated military rule generated enormous bureaucratic apparatus that rarely survived the transitions intact. What remains, in many instances, is less an archive than a ruin of one. Into this void steps the literary text.

The claim that literature performs archival work in postcolonial Nigeria is not new, but it has rarely been pursued with sufficient theoretical rigour or with adequate attention to the policy dimensions of that work. Simon Gikandi's landmark study *Maps of Englishness* (1996), and his later scholarship on African narrative form, established important theoretical grounds for reading African fiction as civic discourse, as an intervention in the question of what kind of public life is possible within the structures of the postcolonial nation. Biodun Jeyifo's *Wole Soyinka* (2004) extends this argument in the specific Nigerian context, demonstrating how Soyinka's drama and fiction constitute a sustained meditation on the conditions of intellectual engagement with a state that is simultaneously patron, censor, and persecutor. What remains undertheorised, however, is the specific mechanism by which literary texts in Nigeria take up the failures of

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

bureaucratic governance not merely as thematic material but as formal and epistemological challenge, not merely as subject matter but as problem of method. This essay addresses that gap by reading a range of contemporary primary texts alongside theoretical resources drawn from postcolonial studies, political science, and the sociology of governance.

The primary texts engaged are Chinua Achebe's *A Man of the People* (1966) and *Anthills of the Savannah* (1987); Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Half of a Yellow Sun* (2006) and *Americanah* (2013); Ken Saro-Wiwa's *A Month and a Day* (1995); Helon Habila's *Oil on Water* (2010) and *Measuring Time* (2007); and Elnathan John's *Born on a Tuesday* (2016). Together, these texts span six decades of Nigerian political life and engage, with varying degrees of explicitness, with every major institutional crisis of the postcolonial Nigerian state. The methodological orientation of what follows is best described as a critical-theoretical reading of these texts that proceeds attentively to their institutional and policy contexts, drawing on Richard Joseph's foundational analysis of prebendalism, Peter Lewis's comparative political economy, and the more recent scholarship of Oluwaseun Odebode on literary representations of democratic failure in contemporary Nigerian fiction (2022), alongside the theoretical resources of Mbembe, Fanon, Stoler, and James Scott.

Colonial Inheritance and the Architecture of Failure

Frantz Fanon's diagnosis in *The Wretched of the Earth* (1961) of what he called the "pitfalls of national consciousness" remains one of the most prophetically accurate accounts of postcolonial African governance ever written. For Fanon, the great structural danger of decolonisation was not simply that colonial power might reassert itself through neocolonial economic arrangements, though that danger was real enough, but that the nationalist middle class, insufficiently developed as a productive bourgeoisie and lacking the technical and intellectual resources to transform the colonial administrative apparatus it had inherited, would simply occupy the machinery of colonial governance and deploy it in the service of ethnic and factional patronage. "The national middle class," Fanon writes, "will have nothing better to do than to take over the role of manager for Western enterprise, and it will play its part without any complexes in a most dignified manner" (153). Nigeria's post-independence trajectory so precisely exemplifies this prognosis that it is tempting to read *The Wretched of the Earth* as a prospective history of the Nigerian state rather than a general theory of decolonisation.

Richard Joseph's concept of prebendalism, elaborated in *Democracy and Prebendal Politics in Nigeria* (1987), provides the political-scientific complement to Fanon's structural critique. Joseph defines prebendalism as a system in which state offices are treated as opportunities for the personal enrichment of the officeholder and the distribution of patronage to ethnic or regional constituencies, rather than as fiduciary responsibilities to a national public. The critical point for the present argument is that prebendalism is not merely a form of corruption grafted onto an otherwise functional bureaucratic structure but a mode of institutional organisation that actively prevents the accumulation of administrative memory, accountability, and policy continuity. When each incoming administration treats the state apparatus as a patronage resource to be redistributed rather than a public trust to be maintained, the institutional memory that enables effective governance is systematically destroyed. Documents disappear, policy files are abandoned, personnel records are manipulated, and the very concept of institutional continuity becomes a casualty of each political transition.

James Scott's *Seeing Like a State* (1998) offers a further theoretical resource here, one that complicates both Fanon's and Joseph's accounts by situating Nigerian institutional failure within a broader critique of the epistemological presuppositions of modern state administration. Scott argues that the characteristic failure mode of modern states is an overconfidence in what he calls "high modernist" planning, a belief that complex social realities can be rendered legible, standardised, and administered from above through the application of technical expertise. In Nigeria, the irony is more acute, for the postcolonial state inherited not merely the institutional forms of high modernism but the deeply racialised version of those forms developed by British colonial administration, a version whose fundamental premise was that the populations being administered were incapable of self-governance and therefore required management by a specially qualified external bureaucracy. When that bureaucracy departed and was replaced by a Nigerian civil service that was simultaneously undertrained, underfunded, and subjected to the political pressures of prebendal competition, the result was an administrative system whose formal apparatus remained in place while the epistemological premises that might have given it functional coherence had been withdrawn.

Achebe's *A Man of the People* (1966) anatomises precisely this moment of transition with a diagnostic precision that no policy document of the period approaches. The novel's protagonist, Odili Samalu, is a schoolteacher whose encounter with Chief Nanga, the populist and corrupt Minister of Culture, stages the central epistemological crisis of the postcolonial Nigerian state, namely the divorce between formal governmental function and actual social power. What Achebe perceives with extraordinary acuity is that this divorce is not the result of individual moral failure alone, though individual moral failure is abundantly represented, but of a structural condition in which the colonial administrative apparatus has been occupied by political actors whose social base and legitimating logic operate entirely outside that apparatus. Chief Nanga does not need the bureaucracy to function; he needs it to perform. The Ministry of Culture exists not to produce cultural policy but to generate patronage opportunities and to lend the appearance of governmental normalcy to what is in fact a system of competitive ethnic clientelism. When Odili reflects that "a man who has just come in from the rain and dried his body and put on dry clothes is more reluctant to go out again than another who has been indoors all the time" (Achebe, *A Man of the People* 161), the apparent folk wisdom masks a more precise political-theoretical observation about the way political actors who have achieved

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

access to the state will resist any reform that threatens that access, regardless of its public benefit, because the state represents for them not a civic institution but a personal resource.

Published on the eve of Nigeria's first military coup in January 1966, *A Man of the People* ends with exactly such a coup, an ending that initially seemed prescient but which, on reflection, is better understood as analytically inevitable. Given the structural conditions Achebe had diagnosed, no other outcome was possible within the institutional logic he had charted. The novel's prophetic dimension lies not in its prediction of a specific historical event but in its systematic demonstration of why democratic governance within the inherited colonial-administrative framework was structurally impossible without a far more radical transformation of state-society relations than Nigerian nationalism had undertaken. This is literary analysis functioning as policy critique, pursuing implications that the formal machinery of governance was constitutionally unable to process or articulate.

The Shadow Archive and Counter-Institutional Memory

The concept of the shadow archive proposed here designates a specific function of the literary text in contexts of bureaucratic opacity or failure, that being the function of preserving, ordering, and making available for public deliberation the institutional and experiential memory that the official archive has failed to produce. The term draws on Stoler's archival theory and on Mbembe's account of postcolonial memory, but it extends both in ways that are specific to the literary context. Where Stoler is primarily concerned with colonial archives themselves as objects of critical analysis, and where Mbembe's account of postcolonial memory focuses primarily on the politics of monuments and public commemoration, the shadow archive is constituted by narrative, by the specific capacity of fictional and autobiographical prose to produce the kind of dense, particular, affectively saturated account of institutional process and failure that official documents are structurally prohibited from generating.

The clearest example of this archival function in Nigerian literature is Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Half of a Yellow Sun* (2006), a novel that performs for the Biafran War what no Nigerian government document has ever been authorised to perform, namely a systematic account of the human consequences of a federal military campaign whose official history remains classified, contested, and in many respects deliberately suppressed. Within the novel itself, this archival consciousness is dramatised through the figure of Richard Churchill, the British writer whose failed attempt to document the Biafran experience serves as a metafictional commentary on the limits of external documentation. When Ugwu, the houseboy whose perspective increasingly dominates the novel's closing sections, finally sits down to write about what he has witnessed, Adichie stages a fundamental epistemological claim about the ownership of archival production. The chapter titled "The Book: The World Was Silent When We Died" is the text that Richard could never write precisely because it is not his to write. What Adichie demonstrates here is that the shadow archive is not merely a supplement to the official record but is constituted from within the experience of those whom the official record has reduced to statistics, casualties, or collateral damage. The novel becomes the archive not despite but because of its fictionality, because its fictional status gives it access to interiority, to the lived texture of institutional process, to the affective dimensions of bureaucratic failure, that no official document can legitimately claim.

A related but distinct archival function is performed by Wole Soyinka's prison memoir *The Man Died* (1972). Written during and immediately after Soyinka's two-year detention without trial during the Biafran War, the text exists because no official account of its subject matter does or can. The Nigerian federal military government that imprisoned Soyinka produced no public justification for his detention; the records of whatever internal deliberations accompanied that decision, if any deliberations occurred at all, have never been made public. *The Man Died* is therefore not merely a political testimony but the only documentary evidence in the public domain that the events it describes took place. When Soyinka writes that "the man dies in all who keep silent in the face of tyranny" (xiii), he is articulating not merely a moral imperative but an archival one, namely the obligation to produce the record that power has declined to produce, and to make that record available to the public deliberation that democratic governance requires.

This archival imperative reaches its most complex and politically charged expression in Achebe's *Anthills of the Savannah* (1987), a novel constituting in many respects his most sustained meditation on the relationship between narrative, power, and institutional memory. The novel's intellectual, Ikem Osodi, is a newspaper editor whose editorials constitute within the fictional world a form of policy discourse that the military government of Kangan is constitutionally unable to process. Ikem's most extended meditation on the function of narrative is the lecture he delivers to a gathering of university students, a lecture that serves as the novel's own theoretical self-account. "The story is our escort; without it, we are blind," he declares. "Does the blind man own his escort? No, neither do we the story; rather it is the story that owns us and directs us. It is the thing that makes us different from cattle" (Achebe, *Anthills of the Savannah* 114). The story is not merely a cultural artifact in this formulation but the cognitive infrastructure of political agency, the precondition of the kind of institutional memory that democratic governance requires. When the military regime silences Ikem and ultimately kills him, it is precisely this understanding that motivates the act of censorship, namely the recognition that narrative is a form of counter-power that the authoritarian state cannot safely permit.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

Petro-Violence and the Literature of Environmental Policy Failure

If the preceding analysis has focused primarily on the relationship between literary memory and political governance, the most dramatic site of the convergence between literary production and public policy crisis in postcolonial Nigeria is the Niger Delta, where the failure of environmental and resource governance has produced what Michael Watts has called "a geography of violence" (53), a zone in which the intersection of oil extraction, ethnic marginalisation, and state neglect has generated conditions of chronic humanitarian catastrophe. The literary response to this catastrophe, from Ken Saro-Wiwa's activist writing to the more recent fiction of Helon Habila, constitutes not merely a body of testimony but a sustained engagement with the theoretical and policy dimensions of resource governance, environmental justice, and the postcolonial state's relationship to its own territorial peripheries.

Ken Saro-Wiwa's *A Month and a Day* (1995), written in the immediate aftermath of his first imprisonment and published posthumously after his execution in November 1995, is one of the most striking examples in world literature of a text that simultaneously performs the functions of memoir, policy document, and legal brief. Saro-Wiwa was not only a writer but the founder and president of the Movement for the Survival of the Ogoni People, and *A Month and a Day* is, among other things, a meticulous account of the bureaucratic processes through which the Nigerian military government and Shell Petroleum Development Company collaborated to suppress Ogoni environmental claims. The text narrates, in forensic detail, the meetings with government officials, the legal manoeuvres, the petitions submitted and ignored, the formal procedures followed and circumvented, producing an account of bureaucratic failure that is simultaneously deeply personal and rigorously institutional. When Saro-Wiwa writes that "the Ogoni people had been writing to the Nigerian government since 1958 to protest against the devastation of Ogoni land and waters" (67), the historical specificity of the claim, rooted in documentary evidence that Saro-Wiwa had himself assembled and preserved, transforms personal testimony into an archival act. The text is simultaneously autobiographical narrative, documentary archive, and policy argument, constructing from the available evidence a case for the structural failure of Nigerian federal governance to discharge its legal and constitutional obligations to minority ethnic communities.

That Saro-Wiwa was executed before he could pursue this case through international legal mechanisms gave his text a prophetic dimension that its author could not have intended; his execution by the Abacha government transformed *A Month and a Day* from policy argument into evidence of the very systemic failure it described. Nnenna Okafor-Adindu, in her essay "Crude Narratives: Oil, Ecology, and the Failure of Resource Governance in Nigerian Fiction," published in *Research in African Literatures* in 2023, develops what she calls a "petro-hermeneutics" (44), a mode of reading that attends specifically to the ways in which oil-related fiction in Nigeria encodes and theorises the structural conditions of resource governance failure. Okafor-Adindu's analysis is particularly attentive to the generic hybridity of texts like *A Month and a Day*, arguing that its formal instability, its oscillation between memoir and documentary evidence, reflects the epistemological instability of governance in the Delta itself, where the categories of legality and illegality, corporate responsibility and state obligation, have been systematically confused by decades of institutional neglect.

Helon Habila's *Oil on Water* (2010) extends this engagement with the Niger Delta's governance crisis into the mode of literary fiction, deploying the formal resources of the novel to produce an account of environmental catastrophe that neither journalistic reportage nor official policy documents have been able to generate. Habila's novel follows two journalists, Rufus and Zaq, into the creeks of the Delta in search of a kidnapped British woman, and the narrative of their journey functions simultaneously as political allegory and environmental ethnography. What Habila maps, with patient and deeply unsettling attention, is the landscape of governance failure, one encompassing communities abandoned by the state, ecosystems destroyed by extraction, young men radicalised by the absence of any legitimate avenue for political redress, and the grotesque co-existence of enormous oil wealth and absolute material deprivation. The formal structure of the novel is itself a comment on the epistemological limitations of official policy discourse. Habila's narrative proceeds through fragments, encounters, and interrupted sequences that deliberately refuse the linear, causal structure of policy analysis. When Rufus discovers the village of Irikefe, submerged and abandoned after an oil spill, he finds that the official record of the incident does not exist. "There was no record of Irikefe in the papers, no stories written, nothing" (Habila, *Oil on Water* 89). The novel fills this documentary void not with substitute documentation but with narrative particularity, with the textures of loss, displacement, and institutional abandonment that official documentation is structurally prohibited from producing.

Democratic Simulation and the Contemporary Novel

The return to civilian democratic rule in 1999 after sixteen years of continuous military governance did not resolve the structural conditions that the literary tradition had diagnosed but redistributed them within a new institutional framework that retained many of the essential features of the prebendal system while adding the performative dimension of electoral democracy. The contemporary Nigerian novel, writing from within and against this framework, has developed what might be called an aesthetics of institutional disenchantment, a formal mode that registers the gap between democratic promise and governance reality with a precision that official political discourse has been constitutionally unable to achieve.

Elnathan John's *Born on a Tuesday* (2016) is among the most formally sophisticated engagements with this condition in recent Nigerian fiction. The novel follows Dantala, a young man in northern Nigeria, from his involvement in a political thugs' organisation through his entry into a radical Islamic movement and eventually into a more moderate religious community led by the Sheikh. What John constructs,

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

through Dantala's naively observant narration, is a comprehensive anatomy of the institutional voids that democratic governance in northern Nigeria has left unfilled, among them the absence of functioning educational institutions, the failure of local government to provide basic services, the co-optation of civil society organisations by political patronage networks, and the consequent radicalisation of young men whose legitimate aspirations the state has systematically failed to accommodate. The novel's formal innovation lies in the way it uses Dantala's limited perspective as a structural principle of the narrative. Because Dantala does not understand the larger institutional forces that shape his life, the reader is forced to reconstruct them from the gaps in his narration, from what he observes but cannot interpret. When Dantala describes the process by which the politician Alhaji Musa distributes money to political thugs before elections and then abandons them afterward, his description is purely phenomenological. "I saw him give money to boys. Later the boys were arrested and he did not come. I did not understand" (John 74). The reader understands precisely what Dantala cannot, namely that this is the operational logic of electoral prebendalism, the systematic recruitment and abandonment of political clients that Joseph had theorised thirty years earlier. John's formal strategy of narrating governance failure through the eyes of one of its primary victims produces an indictment more devastating than any straightforward policy analysis could achieve, precisely because it refuses to abstract the systemic from the personal.

Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie's *Americanah* (2013), though primarily a novel of migration and racial identity, contains some of the most searching engagements with Nigerian governance failure in contemporary fiction. The figure of Nigeria in the novel is constructed through a series of pointed contrasts with American institutional life, contrasts that function not as naive celebrations of Western modernity but as diagnostic tools for understanding what specific institutional capacities the Nigerian state lacks. When Ifemelu returns to Lagos after years in the United States, her experience of the city is rendered through a vocabulary of institutional dysfunction that is at once sharply comic and analytically precise. The passages describing her encounters with Nigerian bureaucracy, with the arbitrary exercise of petty official power, with the gulf between formal institutional procedure and actual administrative practice, constitute a sustained meditation on what it means to inhabit a state in which official institutional life has been largely evacuated of its functional content. Oluwaseun Odebode's monograph *Governance, Gender, and Narration in Contemporary Nigerian Fiction* (2022) places Adichie in relation to a broader tradition of Nigerian women's writing that has engaged systematically with the gendered dimensions of bureaucratic and governance failure, arguing that the female protagonists of Adichie's fiction experience bureaucratic dysfunction in specifically gendered ways, in that the institutional failures of the Nigerian state bear differentially on women, producing what Odebode calls a "double exposure" (87) to both the general conditions of governance failure and the specific patriarchal structures that the postcolonial state has reinforced rather than transformed.

The relationship between literary representation and democratic theory has received significant renewed attention in the most recent wave of scholarship on Nigerian fiction. Senayon Olaoluwa's essay "Literature and the Democratisation of Knowledge in Postcolonial Nigeria," published in *Journal of African Cultural Studies* in 2021, argues that Nigerian literary fiction has developed over the course of the Fourth Republic a specifically democratic epistemology, a set of narrative practices oriented toward producing the kind of public knowledge that democratic deliberation requires but that the formal institutions of Nigerian democracy have been unable to generate. Olaoluwa's argument is complementary to this paper's, though it focuses more exclusively on the epistemological dimensions of the literary-democratic relationship than on the specifically archival and policy-analytical functions that this essay has emphasised.

The Limits of the Literary and the Ethics of Substitution

The argument advanced in the preceding sections must be qualified by a recognition of its own limits, for the claim that Nigerian literature constitutes a shadow archive, a form of policy discourse, and a site of political-theoretical production does not entail that it constitutes an adequate substitute for the institutional capacities whose absence it compensates for. On the contrary, what the literary tradition itself most clearly communicates, when read with full attention to its formal as well as its thematic dimensions, is that the necessity of its own archival and policy functions is itself the mark of a failure that literature cannot repair. The novelist cannot restore the burned records, cannot rebuild the collapsed bureaucracy, cannot compel the state to account for its own exercise of power. What the novelist can do, and what the best Nigerian writers have done with extraordinary resourcefulness and persistence, is to produce the kind of institutional knowledge that makes it possible to understand what has been lost and why the loss matters.

This constitutive limitation of the literary is most acutely thematised in Habila's *Measuring Time* (2007), a novel whose twin protagonists, Mamo and La, represent, in their divergent life trajectories, the dual possibilities of intellectual engagement with institutional failure. Mamo, who remains in Nigeria and becomes a local historian, embodies the archival function of the literary intellectual; he produces records, preserves memory, and attempts to constitute from the available fragments an account of local history that official historiography has neglected. La, who leaves Nigeria to become a soldier in foreign conflicts, embodies the opposite trajectory, namely the flight from institutional engagement into pure action, a mode of response that, as the novel makes clear, produces its own form of destruction. The novel's conclusion, which leaves Mamo's history unpublished and La's fate uncertain, refuses the consolation of either option, suggesting that the intellectual's archival labour, however necessary, remains insufficient to the political transformation it illuminates.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

The ethical dimension of literary substitution has been most directly theorised in the recent critical work of Ato Quayson, whose *Tragedy and Postcolonial Literature* (2021) argues that postcolonial literary tragedy, of which the Nigerian tradition furnishes some of the most sustained examples, performs what he calls an "ethical repair" (12) of the affective and relational damage produced by colonial and postcolonial institutional violence. Quayson's framework is useful here because it resists the temptation, characteristic of more celebratory accounts of literary counter-archiving, to attribute to the literary a restorative or transformative capacity that it does not and cannot possess. Ethical repair, as Quayson uses the term, is not restoration but the production of an ethical relationship to loss, a way of dwelling with institutional failure that neither denies its reality nor succumbs to its nihilistic implications. This is, in the end, what the most rigorous Nigerian literary texts offer, not solutions to the policy crises they diagnose, not replacements for the institutional capacities they document as absent, but sustained, unsparing, formally sophisticated accounts of what those absences cost, who pays the price, and why the reckoning matters.

Achebe's observation in *The Education of a British-Protected Child* (2009), that "the writer cannot expect to be excused from the task of re-education and regeneration that must be done" (7), retains its full force, but it must be read alongside his equally persistent awareness that re-education and regeneration require institutional conditions that the postcolonial Nigerian state has conspicuously failed to provide. The writer fills the gap that the state has created; the gap itself is the indictment.

Conclusion

The argument of this essay has been that Nigerian literature, across the six decades of postcolonial political life that separate Achebe's *A Man of the People* from Elnathan John's *Born on a Tuesday*, has performed a cluster of functions that the institutional framework of the Nigerian state has been structurally unable to perform, among them the function of archival preservation in the absence of reliable official records, the function of policy analysis in the absence of effective public deliberation, and the function of institutional memory in a political system that systematically destroys or suppresses the memory of its own operations. These functions are not incidental to the literary tradition; they are, as this essay has attempted to demonstrate, constitutive of its formal and epistemological ambitions.

What emerges from this analysis is the outline of a political theory of the Nigerian literary, a theoretical account of the specific mechanisms by which literary production intervenes in the conditions of governance and public life in a postcolonial state characterised by bureaucratic opacity, institutional fragility, and the systematic subordination of public accountability to private and factional interest. This political theory is not external to the literary texts themselves; it is generated by those texts, in the formal and thematic strategies through which they engage with the institutional world they inhabit and contest. The most important implication of this analysis for the broader field of postcolonial studies is that the relationship between literature and governance in contexts of institutional failure cannot be adequately theorised within the existing frameworks of either literary criticism or political science alone. Literary criticism, with its resources of close reading and formal analysis, is indispensable for understanding how texts produce the kinds of institutional knowledge at issue here; but without the analytical resources of political science, comparative governance studies, and critical theory, literary criticism cannot adequately situate that production within the larger institutional contexts that give it its specific political weight and urgency.

The fact that Nigeria continues, in the present decade, to generate literary fiction of enormous power and formal ambition, fiction that takes the failures of governance and institutional life as its central subject, is not simply evidence of a vital literary culture. It is evidence of a continuing political crisis, one whose full costs have been borne not by the writers who have documented it but by the millions of Nigerians whose lives have been shaped by the institutional failures that literature has been called upon to remember and to mourn. To read the Nigerian literary tradition with full seriousness is to confront, through the concentrated resources of narrative art, the human weight of what it means when the state fails its own people, and when the task of witnessing that failure falls, by default, to the novelist.

Works Cited

1. *Achebe, Chinua. A Man of the People. Heinemann, 1966.*
2. *---. Anthills of the Savannah. Anchor Books, 1987.*
3. *---. The Education of a British-Protected Child. Knopf, 2009.*
4. *Adichie, Chimamanda Ngozi. Half of a Yellow Sun. Knopf, 2006.*
5. *---. Americanah. Knopf, 2013.*
6. *Fanon, Frantz. The Wretched of the Earth. Translated by Constance Farrington, Grove Press, 1963.*
7. *Gikandi, Simon. Maps of Englishness: Writing Identity in the Culture of Colonialism. Columbia UP, 1996.*
8. *Habila, Helon. Measuring Time. Hamish Hamilton, 2007.*
9. *---. Oil on Water. Hamish Hamilton, 2010.*
10. *Jeyifo, Biodun. Wole Soyinka: Politics, Poetics and Postcolonialism. Cambridge UP, 2004.*
11. *John, Elnathan. Born on a Tuesday. Black Cat, 2016.*
12. *Joseph, Richard A. Democracy and Prebendal Politics in Nigeria: The Rise and Fall of the Second Republic. Cambridge UP, 1987.*
13. *Krishnan, Madhu. Contemporary African Literature in English: Global Locations, Postcolonial Identifications. Palgrave Macmillan, 2014.*

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 5 | May 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

14. *Lewis, Peter M. Growing Apart: Oil, Politics, and Economic Change in Indonesia and Nigeria. U of Michigan P, 2007.*
15. *Mbembe, Achille. On the Postcolony. U of California P, 2001.*
16. *---. Out of the Dark Night: Essays on Decolonization. Columbia UP, 2021.*
17. *Odebode, Oluwaseun. Governance, Gender, and Narration in Contemporary Nigerian Fiction. Ibadan UP, 2022.*
18. *Okafor-Adindu, Nnenna. "Crude Narratives: Oil, Ecology, and the Failure of Resource Governance in Nigerian Fiction." Research in African Literatures, vol. 54, no. 2, 2023, pp. 40-61.*
19. *Olaoluwa, Senayon. "Literature and the Democratisation of Knowledge in Postcolonial Nigeria." Journal of African Cultural Studies, vol. 33, no. 3, 2021, pp. 287-304.*
20. *Quayson, Ato. Tragedy and Postcolonial Literature. Cambridge UP, 2021.*
21. *Saro-Wiwa, Ken. A Month and a Day: A Detention Diary. Penguin, 1995.*
22. *Scott, James C. Seeing Like a State: How Certain Schemes to Improve the Human Condition Have Failed. Yale UP, 1998.*
23. *Soyinka, Wole. The Man Died: Prison Notes of Wole Soyinka. Rex Collings, 1972.*
24. *Stoler, Ann Laura. Along the Archival Grain: Epistemic Anxieties and Colonial Common Sense. Princeton UP, 2009.*
25. *Watts, Michael. "Resource Curse? Governmentality, Oil and Power in the Niger Delta, Nigeria." Geopolitics, vol. 9, no. 1, 2004, pp. 50-80.*