SJIF Impact Factor: 7.001| ISI I.F.Value:1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 5 | Issue: 10 | October 2020 - Peer Reviewed Journal

EPIGENETIC REGULATION: THE LEADING ELEMENT OF STEM CELL DIFFERENTIATION

Suprabhat Sahoo *[1]
**[1] Department of Biotechnology, Pondicherry University, Pondicherry, India*

Tushar Boxi *[2]
**[2]Department of Biotechnology, Maulana Abul Kalam Azad University of Technology, Kolkata, West Bengal, India*

ABSTRACT
Stem cells go through broad self-recharging and have the ability to separate along with various cell genealogies. Movement from immature microorganisms into separated offspring requires dependable changes in quality articulation. Epigenetic components, including DNA methylation, histone changes, and noncoding RNA-interceded administrative occasions, are fundamental to controlling the heritable cell memory of quality articulation during improvement. Ongoing examinations on cell destiny detail of early-stage and grown-up foundational microorganisms/begetters have featured a general and essential part for dynamic epigenetic guidelines in undifferentiated cell self-reestablishment and separation.
KEYWORDS: *histones, DNA, microRNA, ESC*

INTRODUCTION
Undifferentiated organisms are portrayed by in any event two fundamental properties: broad self-recharging and the capacity to separate into various cell-type explicit descendants. Undeveloped immature microorganisms (ESCs) and early-stage germ cells are pluripotent immature microorganisms, both of which can offer ascent to all phone types inside a bioorganism. Tissue-explicit stem/begetter cells continue in many, if not all, tissues all through life. These stem/begetter cells are typically multipotent or unipotent and can separate into limited genealogy descendants. The natural properties of undeveloped cells, as well as extraneous signs, gave by the specialty (microenvironment where foundational microorganisms live in vivo) are significant for undeveloped cell self-renewal and separation. Surprising changes quite often join the movement from undifferentiated organism to separated descendants in cell morphology and capacity. To an enormous degree, these progressions are resolved at each phase by unmistakable quality articulation designs. In particular, qualities related to self-reestablishment are quieted, while cell type-explicit qualities go through transcriptional initiation during separation. Developing proof proposes that the inception and support of changes in quality articulation that are related to undifferentiated organism separation include the activity of a one of a kind epigenetic program including covalent DNA and chromatin adjustments just as little noncoding RNA-intervened pre-and posttranscriptional quality guidelines.

EPIGENETIC MECHANISMS
Epigenetic systems allude to biologic cycles that direct mitotically or meiotically, heritable changes in quality articulation without adjusting the DNA arrangement. Major epigenetic components incorporate DNA cytosine methylation, histone alterations, for

SJIF Impact Factor: 7.001| ISI I.F.Value:1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 5 | Issue: 10 | October 2020 - Peer Reviewed Journal

example, acetylation and methylation of histone tails, and little noncoding RNA controlled pre-and posttranscriptional guideline of quality articulation.

Methylation of DNA

Mammalian DNA can be covalently changed through methylation of the carbon at the fifth position on the pyrimidine ring of the cytosine buildup. In warm-blooded animals, DNA methylation happens basically at balanced CpG dinucleotides. All over again cytosine methylation, catalyzed by two all over again DNA methyltransferases, Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b, includes methyl-bunches onto unmethylated DNA, which is significant for the foundation of DNA methylation designs. Upon cell division, the current methylation designs should be kept up by maybe a more effective DNA methyltransferase, the upkeep methyltransferase Dnmt1, which inclines toward hemimethylated substrates. DNA methylation is essentially engaged with setting up parental-explicit engraving during gametogenesis just as quieting of retrotransposons and qualities on the inactivated X-chromosome (1,2).

Histone alterations

Post-translational adjustments of histones, including acetylation and methylation of saved lysine buildups on the amino-terminal tail, are likewise progressively controlled by chromatin changing compounds with restricting exercises. The possibility of two contradicting practices, Prompting contradicting states on the chromatin underlies the "histone code" speculation (3). By and large, lysine acetylation interceded by histone acetyltransferases (HATs) stamps transcriptionally capable locales. Interestingly, histone deacetylases (HDACs) catalyze lysine deacetylation, and the subsequent hypoacetylated histones are generally connected with transcriptionally latent chromatin structures. Histone methylation of lysine four on histone (H3-K4) is commonly present inside transcriptionally able advertiser areas.

Moreover, the lysine deposits can be mono-, di-, or tri-methylated to give extra layers of the guideline. For example, the Histone methyltransferase (HMT) Set7/9 is confined to mono-methylation of H3-K4 (4), while the Drosophila trithorax (trx) and its mammalian homolog, blended ancestry leukemia (MLL) encode a tri-methylase that is explicit for H3-K4 (5). A recently discovered demethylase, LSD1 (otherwise called BHC110), has been appeared to eliminate a methyl bunch from di-or monomethylated H3-K4 (6), which further exhibits the dynamic idea of this epigenetic guideline. Methylation of either lysine nine or lysine 27 on histone (H3-K9 or H3-K27) is a sign of quiet chromatin districts and is transcendently related to heterochromatic communities or potentially inert advertisers. H3-K9 HMTs, G9a, and Suv39h are limited to euchromatic and heterochromatic locales separately (7–9). Suv39h can methylate H3-K9 when the H3 tail is unmethylated at K4, while G9a can methylate H3-K9 paying little mind to the methylation status of H3-K4 (10). What's more, developmentally moderated multiprotein edifices, including polycomb severe complex 2 (PRC2), are found to contain both HDAC what's more, HMT exercises, which connect hypoacetylation and H3-K9/K27 methylation (11). The presence of restricting chromatin adjusting catalysts and cross-talk between various epigenetic frameworks exhibit the characteristic multifaceted nature in the dynamic quality guideline by epigenetic changes.

Pre-and posttranscriptional guidelines - little noncoding RNAs

Noncoding administrative RNA interceded guideline of quality articulation is a recently developing epigenetic instrument. A gathering of these little RNAs, particularly from, however, identified with, siRNA (short obstruction RNA), are called microRNAs (miRNAs). MiRNAs are interpreted as parts of more elongate RNA particles by RNA polymerase II, and afterward prepared in the core into clip RNAs of 70 – 100nts by the twofold abandoned (ds) RNA explicit ribonuclease, Drosha. The clip RNAs are moved to the cytoplasm through exportin-5 ward systems where they are processed by a second, dsRNA-explicit ribonuclease called Dicer. The subsequent 19 – 23 mer miRNA is limited by a perplexing that is comparative to the RNA-Induced Silencing Complex (RISC) that partakes in the siRNA pathway. In creatures, the mind-boggling bound, single-abandoned miRNA ties to explicit mRNAs through arrangements that are all together, even though not totally, correlative to the mRNA. Utilizing a system that is still not wholly described, yet which doesn't include mRNA debasement, the bound mRNA doesn't get interpreted, bringing about the diminished articulation of the relating quality (12,13). As of late, endogenous, little noncoding RNAs have been indicated not exclusively to control the security and interpretation of mRNAs yet additionally to incite the arrangement of dormant chromatin structures of focused genomic groupings (14,15)

DNA methylation and histone alteration in stem cell self-renewal also, differentiation. In both the undifferentiated immature microorganism and their separated offspring, the epigenetic state is viewed as moderately steady because of its heritable nature and encouraging feedback between the different epigenetic systems. Significantly, stable suppression of qualities

SJIF Impact Factor: 7.001| ISI I.F.Value:1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 5 | Issue: 10 | October 2020 - Peer Reviewed Journal

identified with terminal separation is wholly required for support of the foundational microorganism pool. Be that as it may, late advances have proposed that steady and heritable epigenetic marks are reversible and progressively controlled by restricting chromatin adjusting exercises, which are invigorated by extracellular separation inciting signs during undifferentiated organism separation.

Worldwide epigenetic reinventing during early embryogenesis

In separated cells, DNA methylation designs are thought to be steady and heritable. Such a DNA methylation design is set up slowly during a few primary stages in early embryogenesis. Upon preparation, the fatherly genome is effectively demethylated before the first round of cell division happens. Be that as it may, the personality of the DNA demethylase(s) fundamental this dynamic demethylation measure stays slippery. After the zygote begins to partition, the aloof demethylation of both fatherly and maternal genomes happens because support DNA methyltransferase Dnmt1 is barred from the core (16). In the creating pre-implantation incipient organisms de novo DNA methyltransferases start to restore DNA methylation designs during implantation and ensuing germ layer and cell type separation (17). This epigenetic reconstructing measure guarantees the eradication of gained epigenetic data during gametogenesis. It additionally resets the epigenome of the pluripotent foundational microorganisms in the internal cell mass (ICM) to give broad formative potential (16). Undoubtedly, many cloned incipient organisms neglect to create because of inadequate epigenetic reconstructing (18). Besides, the inadequacy of Dnmt1 or Dnmt3 in the mouse prompts genome-wide DNA hypomethylation and early undeveloped lethality (2). This proposes that DNA methyltransferase-intervened epigenetic reinventing is required for the typical improvement of the creature. It has been indicated that Dnmt3a is fundamental for building up parental-explicit methylation of engraving qualities during gametogenesis (19,20). Moreover, transformations in DNMT3B, bring about human ICF (immunodeficiency, centromeric flimsiness, facial inconsistencies) condition (17).In any case, little is thought about how Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are engaged with building up the epigenetic marks that represent substantial cell heredity separation during early embryogenesis.

DNA methylation and histone changes - ESC self-restoration

Mouse or human ESCs can be separated from the internal cell mass (ICM) of creating blastocysts and refined in vitro. Separation of undifferentiated cells is firmly controlled by collaborations between extraneous separation signals and natural pathways. Since immature microorganisms have a broad self-recharging limit, the constraint of separation qualities in undeveloped undifferentiated cells must be steady and heritable during cell division. Pluripotent ESCs contain elevated levels of epigenetic reinventing exercises, for example anew methyltransferases and histone methyltransferases (HMTs), making ESCs outstanding possibility for investigation of epigenetic reconstructing during in vitro ancestry explicit separation. Shockingly, both Dnmt1/ and Dnmt3a/; Dnmt3b/ twofold freak mouse ESCs can multiply at the point when kept up as undifferentiated immature microorganisms, showing self-renewal capacity is protected in ESCs with hypomethylated genomes. In any case, these freak ESCs neglect to go through in vitro separation because of either broad apoptosis upon separation because of Dnmt1 insufficiency or inability to stifle pluripotent qualities, for example, Oct4 and Nanog due to lack in both Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b (21). Almost certainly, while DNA methylation could be associated with hushing elective genealogy separation qualities in tissue-explicit undifferentiated organisms as well as separated cells, histone alteration intervened quality inactivation are primarily engaged with the upkeep of the self-restoration property and quieting of genealogy separation qualities in ESCs through pluripotency-related record factors, for example, the Oct4- Nanog-Sox2 complex (22). PcG buildings are a class of histone change proteins, which are profoundly moderated all through advancement (11). Multiprotein polycomb severe complex 2 (PRC2), contains both HDAC and HMT exercises and is engaged with the inception of quality quieting. PRC1, then again, perceives the H3-K27 methylation mark built up by PRC2 through its moderated chromodomain and is ensnared in regular upkeep of PcG buildings intervened quality hushing impacts. Bmi1 encodes a subunit of the PRC1 complex, and Bmi1-inadequate mice show an imperfection in postnatal self-recharging of both hematopoietic and neural undeveloped cells (23,24). Quite, Bmi1 is directed by the Sonic hedgehog (Shh) flagging pathway in the cerebellum, giving a connection among PcG and a flagging pathway that has been appeared to be significant for the expansion of grown-up neural foundational microorganisms (25). Bmi1-related PRC1 edifices direct grown-up undifferentiated organism self-restoration through the constraint of the Ink4a/ Arf locus in vivo (11).

Epigenetic control interceded through PcG repressor edifices is likewise dependent upon dynamic

guidelines from restricting chromatin altering exercises. As of late, testis explicit TAF (TBP-related factor) related trithorax (trx) activity (tri-methylation of H3-K4) was appeared to balance PcGmediated constraint to permit terminal separation of Drosophila male germ cell forerunners. DNA methylation and histone alterations in foundational microorganism separation along with the neural genealogy. The dynamic and facilitated epigenetic guideline is best shown by the successive neural genealogy separation in which neurogenesis consistently goes before gliogenesis during mental health. As multipotent neural stem/ancestor cells (NPCs) first go through a neuronal separation, early neuronal heredity qualities are actuated, while qualities required for elective glial destinies are hushed. At the point when NPCs enter the glycogenic stage, glial genealogy qualities are de-subdued in reaction to outward signs or potential changes in the natural properties of stem/begetter cells. Numerous neuronal qualities (for example Mash1, Bdnf, Calbindin) contain the RE1 site inside their advertisers and are repressed by REST (RE1 hushing record factor, or NRSF) in stem/begetter cells and non-neuroectodermal ancestries. It stays to be resolved how low degrees of REST protein capacity to quietness neuronal ancestry qualities in undifferentiated neurogenic NPCs. It has been recommended that the constraint of neuronal qualities in non-neuroectodermal genealogy cells is intervened by the REST-related HDAC/mSin3A/MeCP2 complex, which prompts a hypoacetylated and consequently H3K9 Dior tri-methylated chromatin structure with significant levels of DNA methylation. Not at all like in non-neural heredity cells, where the advertisers of neuronal qualities are for all time quieted, the dormant neuronal advertisers inside stem/forebear cells are in a poised state. In this state, they are not related to DNA methylation and histone h3-k9 methylation. As soon as NPCs are centered on a neuronal destiny and go through a terminal separation, the declaration of REST close off, and the REST repressor complex is excused from the RE1 destinations inside neuronal quality advertisers, bringing about record initiation. As of late, an inhibitor of BRAF35 (RAF) was found to enact REST controlled neuronal qualities by selecting the H3-K4 tri-methylase MLL during the neuronal separation of P19 cells. Along these lines, it is conceivable that REST may likewise cooperate with the CoREST/ LSD1 complex, which has histone H3-K4 demethylation movement equipped for quelling neuronal quality advertisers in the stem/ ancestor cells before neurogenesis. Ongoing advancement of mouse and human ESC culture innovation makes it conceivable to determine almost homogeneous populaces of multipotent tissue-explicit stem/forebear cells from ESCs. This empowers scientists to break down the epigenetic guidelines of ESC separation utilizing freak mouse ESCs lacking in different epigenetic apparatuses. Our late investigations examined the relationship of DNA methyltransferases and DNA methylation designs inside neuronal and glial advertisers in wild-type, Dnmt3a/, and Dnmt3b/ mouse ESCs and ESC-determined NPCs. Anew methyltransferases were found to connect with and methylate glial steadily, yet not early neuronal heredity quality advertisers in mouse ESCs just as during the change of ESCs to NPCs. As a result, glycogenic qualities became hypermethylated and related to an inert chromatin structure. In contrast, early neurogenic qualities remained hypomethylated and ready for record previously and during the separation of ESCs along with the neural ancestry (Wu and Sun, unpublished perceptions). The diverse epigenetic states in ahead of schedule (neuronal) versus late (glial) neural genealogy qualities may underlie the underlying changes happening at the chromatin level that are required for pluripotent ESC separation toward the neural genealogy and which direct that neurogenesis goes before gliogenesis.

Strikingly, albeit both Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are exceptionally communicated in mouse and human ESCs, we discovered Dnmt3a is the prevalent once more DNA methyltransferase in NPCs determined either from ESCs or the creating mouse cerebrum. Loss of Dnmt3a in NPCs explicitly brings about dysregulation of abusive epigenetic marks, including DNA methylation and histone adjustments, on glial advertisers, and bright gliogenesis both in vitro and in vivo (Wu and Sun, unpublished perceptions). Together, these discoveries propose that once more DNA methyltransferases are engaged with building up substantial, cell-type explicit DNA methylation designs during early embryogenesis. Besides, particular focusing of ancestry specific qualities by the once more DNA methylation hardware is necessary for appropriate epigenetic reinventing, which takes into consideration the transiently requested initiation of explicit heredity separation programs. Since multipotent NPCs, in the end, become glaciogenic, the harsh epigenetic marks on glial quality advertisers must not be causing lasting quality hushing. At the point when multipotent NPCs become glaciogenic, an extracellular glaciogenic factor, leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), prompts transcriptional initiation and nearby epigenetic modifications of the proximal advertiser of an astroglial quality, Gfap. These

SJIF Impact Factor: 7.001| ISI I.F.Value:1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 5 | Issue: 10 | October 2020 - Peer Reviewed Journal

epigenetic modifications incorporate DNA demethylation just as corresponding chromatin rebuilding comprising of a decline in di-methylation of H3-K9 and an expansion in histone acetylation. This advertiser explicit epigenetic reconstructing is interceded by separation of the Dnmt3a with the histone change compound complex and enlistment of the STAT3/ CBP record activator complex that contains HAT action upon LIF incitement. It was recently announced that cytosine methylation of the CpG site inside the STAT1/3 official site of the Gfap advertiser restrained STAT1/3 affiliation and like this repressed glial separation. Be that as it may, huge numbers of the astroglial break related qualities including S100 and rates in the JAK-STAT pathway, don't have STAT1/3 official components containing implanted CpG destinations. Like this, direct hindrance of STAT1/3 restricting through methylation of the Detail restricting cis-component is certainly not a significant instrument interceding DNA methylation-related quieting of the astroglial separation program.

Guideline OF STEM/PROGENITOR CELL Separation - NONCODING RNAs

Noncoding administrative RNA intervened epigenetic guideline of quality articulation is developing as another concentration in undifferentiated organism science. Endogenous little administrative RNAs can either direct the statement of integral mRNAs or prompt arrangement of inert chromatin structures of focused qualities. Articulation profiling distinguished a subset of miRNAs that are explicitly communicated in pluripotent mouse and human ESCs, proposing a function for miRNAs to keep up undifferentiated conditions of foundational microorganisms. Affirmation of the immediate inclusion of a microRNA pathway in the guideline of undifferentiated cell division originated from the investigation of germline immature microorganism (GSC) division in a Drosophila freak for dicer-1, the RNase III essential for microRNA biogenesis. In mammalian cells, two c-Myc controlled microRNAs miR-17-5p and miR-20a were appeared to control E2F1 articulation, proposing a part in the guideline of cell multiplication. Numerous microRNAs are communicated in a profoundly tissue-explicit way at various formative stages. Late advances suggest that tissue-explicit microRNAs play an essential function in controlling cell-type definitive destiny decision and creature advancement. For instance, a Notch flagging initiated microRNA miR-61 controls the destiny decisions of vulval forerunner cells in C. elegans. In warm-blooded creatures, overexpression of miR-181 in bone-marrow hematopoietic forebear cells explicitly expands the number of B cells. All the more as of late, miR-1 was discovered to be expressly communicated in heart and skeletal muscle antecedent cells. It was found that the record factor Hand2 is an immediate objective of miR-1 interceded translational constraint and that abundance miR-1 prompts a diminished pool of multiplying ventricular cardiomyocytes.

Notwithstanding translational restraint, formatively directed microRNA can likewise subdue their objectives through mRNA cleavage. The miR-196 microRNA successions show ideal complementation to locales in the 3= UTRs of the HOXB8 quality. It was as of late detailed that miR-196 intervened restraint of HOXB8 assumes a part in appendage advancement. The bounty of microRNAs in the postnatal cerebrum recommends a significant aspect for them in neuronal capacity. As of late, a CREB-incited microRNA miR-132 was appeared to manage neuronal morphogenesis in cultured cortical neurons. Shockingly, a change in the 3=UTR of SLITRK1, a quality engaged with neurite outgrowth and embroiled in Tourette's condition, bothers the regular collaboration between miR-189 and the 3=UTR groupings. This information proposes a job that misregulation of miR189 might be engaged with this weakening formative neuropsychiatric issue.

CONCLUSION

The epigenetic premise of undeveloped cell separation emerges from the need to keep up quality articulation designs in both stem/ begetter cells and their separated descendants. As a stem cell divides, qualities related to self-restoration are down-directed, while explicit heredity markers are actuated. It at that point follows that the acquired epigenetic marks saved on those qualities must be reversible. Chromatin altering chemicals with contradicting exercises assume a crucial function in the dynamic guideline of epigenetic marks. Characterizing the flagging pathways of these compounds instigated by separation inciting signals is necessary to understanding the components hidden undifferentiated organism separation. Besides, noncoding RNAs, particularly microRNAs, give extra layers to the guideline of quality articulation during cell destiny particular. These numerous layers of approach consider the quick progress of multiplying immature microorganisms into their separated descendants.

REFERENCES

1. *Jaenisch R, Bird A 2003 Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: how the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals. Nat Genet 33:245–254*

2. *Li E 2002 Chromatin modification and epigenetic reprogramming in mammalian development. Nat Rev Genet*
3. *662–673 3. Jenuwein T, Allis CD 2001 Translating the histone code. Science 293:1074–1080*
4. *Xiao B, Jing C, Wilson JR, Walker PA, Vasisht N, Kelly G, Howell S, Taylor IA, Blackburn GM, Gamblin SJ 2003 Structure and catalytic mechanism of the human histone methyltransferase SET7/9. Nature 421:652–656*
5. *Peterson CL, Laniel MA 2004 Histones and histone modifications. Curr Biol 14:R546–R551*
6. *Shi Y, Lan F, Matson C, Mulligan P, Whetstine JR, Cole PA, Casero RA, Shi Y 2004 Histone demethylation mediated by the nuclear amine oxidase homolog LSD1. Cell 119:941–953*
7. *Peters AH, Kubicek S, Mechtler K, O'Sullivan RJ, Derijck AA, Perez-Burgos L, Kohlmaier A, Opravil S, Tachibana M, Shinkai Y, Martens JH, Jenuwein T 2003 Partitioning and plasticity of repressive histone methylation states in mammalian chromatin. Mol Cell 12:1577–1589*
8. *Rice JC, Briggs SD, Ueberheide B, Barber CM, Shabanowitz J, Hunt DF, Shinkai Y, Allis CD 2003 Histone methyltransferases direct different degrees of methylation to define distinct chromatin domains. Mol Cell 12:1591–1598*
9. *Tachibana M, Ueda J, Fukuda M, Takeda N, Ohta T, Iwanari H, Sakihama T, Kodama T, Hamakubo T, Shinkai Y 2005 Histone methyltransferases G9a and GLP form heteromeric complexes and are both crucial for methylation of euchromatin at H3-K9. Genes Dev 19:815–826*
10. *Nishioka K, Chuikov S, Sarma K, Erdjument-Bromage H, Allis CD, Tempst P, Reinberg D 2002 Set9, a novel histone H3 methyltransferase that facilitates transcription by precluding histone tail modifications required for heterochromatin formation. Genes Dev 16:479–489*
11. *Valk-Lingbeek ME, Bruggeman SW, van Lohuizen M 2004 Stem cells and cancer; the polycomb connection. Cell 118:409–418*
12. *He L, Hannon GJ 2004 MicroRNAs: small RNAs with a significant role in gene regulation. Nat Rev Genet 5:522–531*
13. *Zamore PD, Haley B 2005 Ribo-gnome: the big world of small RNAs. Science 309:1519–1524*
14. *Almeida R, Allshire RC 2005 RNA silencing and genome regulation. Trends Cell Biol 15:251–258*
15. *Bartel DP 2004 MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell 116:281–297*
16. *Reik W, Dean W, Walter J 2001 Epigenetic reprogramming in mammalian development. Science 293:1089–1093*
17. *Okano M, Bell DW, Haber DA, Li E 1999 DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are essential for de novo methylation and mammalian development. Cell 99:247–257*
18. *Jaenisch R, Hochedlinger K, Eggan K 2005 Nuclear cloning, epigenetic reprogramming, and cellular differentiation. Novartis Found Symp. 265:107–128*
19. *Kaneda M, Okano M, Hata K, Sado T, Tsujimoto N, Li E, Sasaki H 2004 Essential role for de novo DNA methyltransferase Dnmt3a in paternal and maternal imprinting. Nature 429:900–903*
20. *Hata K, Okano M, Lei H, Li E 2002 Dnmt3L cooperates with the Dnmt3 family of de novo DNA methyltransferases to establish maternal imprints in mice. Development 129:1983–1993*
21. *Jackson M, Krassowska A, Gilbert N, Chevassut T, Forrester L, Ansell J, Ramsahoye B 2004 Severe global DNA hypomethylation blocks differentiation and induces histone hyperacetylation in embryonic stem cells. Mol Cell Biol 24:8862–8871*
22. *Boyer LA, Lee TI, Cole MF, Johnstone SE, Levine SS, Zucker JP, Guenther MG, Kumar RM, Murray HL, Jenner RG, Gifford DK, Melton DA, Jaenisch R, Young RA 2005 Core transcriptional regulatory circuitry in human embryonic stem cells. Cell 122:947–956*
23. *Molofsky AV, Pardal R, Iwashita T, Park IK, Clarke MF, Morrison SJ 2003 Bmi-1 dependence distinguishes neural stem cell self-renewal from progenitor proliferation. Nature 425:962–967*
24. *Park IK, Qian D, Kiel M, Becker MW, Pihalja M, Weissman IL, Morrison SJ, Clarke MF 2003 Bmi-1 is required for maintenance of adult self-renewing hematopoietic stem cells. Nature 423:302–305*
25. *Ahn S, Joyner AL 2005 In vivo analysis of quiescent adult neural stem cells responding to Sonic hedgehog. Nature 437:894–897*