



A STUDY OF THE LIFE MISSION KERALA GOVERNMENT HOUSING SCHEME WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THRISSUR DISTRICT

Dr. Ranjini R. Varma¹, Smt. Deepa V.²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Sree Narayana College, Nattika, Thrissur.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Sree Narayana College, Nattika, Thrissur

ABSTRACT

The Kerala LIFE Mission, introduced in 2017, is a pioneering initiative aimed at addressing homelessness by providing comprehensive housing solutions to the landless and homeless. This study investigates the effectiveness of the scheme in Thrissur district, examining how well the policy goals translate into tangible outcomes for beneficiaries. Based on a mixed-method approach, including surveys and interviews with beneficiaries and officials, the research highlights the success, challenges, and socio-economic impact of the program. It finds that while the scheme has significantly improved housing accessibility, hurdles like land acquisition and bureaucratic processes need refinement for improved outcomes.

KEYWORDS: LIFE Mission, Government Housing Scheme, Thrissur District, Kerala, Social Welfare, Homelessness, Affordable Housing, Public Policy

INTRODUCTION

Housing is universally acknowledged as a basic human need and an essential indicator of social well-being. Adequate housing not only provides shelter but also ensures personal security, privacy, and access to community services. Recognizing this, governments across the globe have undertaken various housing initiatives to eliminate homelessness. In India, both central and state governments have launched targeted housing programs to support economically weaker sections of society.

The Government of Kerala's **LIFE Mission** (Livelihood, Inclusion, and Financial Empowerment) stands out as a comprehensive approach to housing and social upliftment. Launched in 2017, the LIFE Mission seeks to provide secure housing to all landless and homeless citizens within the state. The initiative is not limited to the construction of houses but extends to holistic empowerment by integrating livelihood support and financial inclusion.

The core vision of LIFE Mission is rooted in the principles of social justice and inclusive development. By identifying the most marginalized groups—including Scheduled Castes (SC), Scheduled Tribes (ST), coastal communities, and families headed by women—the scheme aims to create equitable housing access. The project involves a convergence model, incorporating resources from central and state governments, local bodies, and public-sector institutions.

Thrissur, known as the cultural capital of Kerala, has a diverse demographic mix comprising urban and rural populations. The district's socio-economic diversity makes it an ideal case for analyzing the operational efficiency and impact of the LIFE Mission. This study focuses on how the scheme has fared in Thrissur, particularly in terms of beneficiary reach, construction progress, and the qualitative improvement in living conditions.

Despite the ambitious goals, there have been practical challenges. Issues such as land acquisition in urban areas, procedural delays in fund disbursement, and coordination gaps between implementing agencies have hindered the speed and scale of implementation. Beneficiaries have also expressed mixed feedback regarding construction quality and post-allocation services.

This research aims to bridge the knowledge gap by systematically analyzing the performance of the LIFE Mission in Thrissur district. It evaluates whether the scheme's implementation has aligned with its intended objectives and to what extent it has contributed to poverty alleviation, social dignity, and economic stability among the beneficiaries.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. **UN-Habitat (2020)** highlights that housing security is essential for urban resilience and sustainable development. Affordable housing schemes are linked to better health, education, and employment outcomes. The study emphasizes the need for inclusive housing policies in rapidly urbanizing contexts.
2. **Kumar, S. (2019)** in "*Public Housing in India: A Review of Government Initiatives*", critically analyzes major housing schemes like PMAY and Rajiv Awas Yojana. The paper finds that community participation and decentralized implementation are key to successful housing policies.
3. **John, P. & Varghese, L. (2020)** conducted a state-level assessment of Kerala's LIFE Mission, observing that the scheme is more inclusive than earlier housing efforts. Their study noted improvements in physical infrastructure and emotional well-being among beneficiaries, but also mentioned inefficiencies due to bureaucratic rigidity.



4. **Rajan, A. (2021)** explored “*Socio-economic Impacts of Government Housing in Kerala*”, using data from districts including Ernakulam and Kozhikode. The study highlighted how new housing positively influences school attendance, women’s safety, and family stability.
5. **Mohan, K. (2022)** studied the implementation hurdles of LIFE Mission in Wayanad district. His research uncovered that land unavailability and local political dynamics slowed project execution, calling for better policy synchronization between departments.
6. **The Hindu (2023)** in its article “*LIFE Mission Achieves Milestones in Thrissur*” reported that over 10,000 houses had been sanctioned in Thrissur. However, the report also indicated a lag in completion rates, attributing it to contractor shortages and heavy rainfall delays.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study adopts a **descriptive and analytical research design** to evaluate the implementation effectiveness and beneficiary impact of the LIFE Mission in Thrissur district, along with identifying operational challenges.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

1. Demographic Profile of Respondents:

Category	Percentage
SC/ST Beneficiaries	60%
Women-Headed Households	52%
Monthly Income < ₹10,000	72%

2. Completion Status of Houses

Status	Number	Percentage
Completed	80	80%
Under Construction	13	13%
Delayed/On Hold	7	7%

3. Beneficiary Satisfaction

Level of Satisfaction	Respondents	Percentage
Highly Satisfied	64	64%
Moderately Satisfied	26	26%
Dissatisfied	10	10%

Statistical Test: Chi-Square Test

Objective: To test if there is a significant relationship between **type of area (urban/rural)** and **beneficiary satisfaction level**.

Null Hypothesis (H_0): There is no association between area type and satisfaction level.

Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): There is an association between area type and satisfaction level.

Using a 2x3 contingency table and applying the Chi-Square test (calculated value = 9.23, df = 2, critical value at 5% significance level = 5.99).

Result

Since the calculated value (9.23) > critical value (5.99), we reject the null hypothesis.

Data Collection

- **Primary Data:** Collected through structured questionnaires and personal interviews with beneficiaries, local officials, and LIFE Mission implementing staff.
- **Secondary Data:** Sourced from government reports, academic journals, news articles, and the official LIFE Mission website.

Sampling Method

- **Sampling Technique: Purposive Sampling**
- **Sample Size:** 100 beneficiaries from both rural and urban areas of Thrissur district

Tools for Analysis

- Percentage Analysis
- **Chi-Square Test** (to check the association between beneficiary satisfaction and key variables)
- **SWOT Analysis** (to evaluate scheme performance)
- Graphical representation (Bar and Pie Charts for better understanding)

Interpretation: There is a significant relationship between the type of area and beneficiary satisfaction. Rural beneficiaries reported higher satisfaction than urban ones.

Interpretation of Data

- A majority of beneficiaries (64%) are highly satisfied, particularly in rural areas, due to better land availability and quicker implementation.
- Delays in urban projects are mainly attributed to land acquisition and administrative approvals.
- Most houses are completed, with only a small percentage under construction or delayed.



- Female-headed households reported higher levels of satisfaction post-allocation, citing improved social and economic security.

FINDINGS

1. **Effectiveness:** The LIFE Mission has shown high effectiveness in achieving its primary objective of housing delivery to homeless and landless beneficiaries in Thrissur.
2. **Inclusivity:** Marginalized communities and women-headed families were successfully included in the scheme.
3. **Challenges:** Operational challenges such as land unavailability (especially in urban zones), bureaucratic delays, and contractor inefficiencies persist.
4. **Impact:** Beneficiaries have experienced improved living conditions, enhanced dignity, and better access to health and education facilities.
5. **Area-based Disparity:** Rural beneficiaries enjoy a relatively smoother implementation process and higher satisfaction rates compared to urban counterparts.

SUGGESTIONS

1. **Digitized Tracking System:** Implement a centralized digital dashboard to track application progress, fund disbursement, and construction updates.
2. **Urban Land Bank:** Create a dedicated land bank for urban beneficiaries to overcome space constraints.
3. **Capacity Building:** Provide training to local contractors and panchayat-level staff to streamline construction and reduce delays.
4. **Third-Party Quality Audits:** Ensure construction quality through independent auditing bodies to avoid dissatisfaction.
5. **Strengthening Community Participation:** Involve beneficiary communities more deeply in decision-making and feedback mechanisms.
6. **Fast-track Approvals:** Establish a nodal officer at the district level to resolve inter-departmental delays promptly.

CONCLUSION

The LIFE Mission, as implemented in Thrissur district, exemplifies a holistic approach to housing and social inclusion. The scheme has made significant strides in addressing homelessness among the marginalized sections, especially in rural areas. While the impact on beneficiaries is largely positive, particularly in terms of improved living standards and empowerment, several operational issues remain unresolved. A focus on urban-specific challenges, quality assurance, and better coordination among stakeholders can further enhance the scheme's success and replicability. With the right policy adjustments, the LIFE Mission can continue to serve as a pioneering model for socially responsible and inclusive housing in India.

REFERENCES

1. UN-Habitat. (2020). *The Value of Sustainable Housing*.
2. Kumar, S. (2019). *Public Housing in India: A Review of Government Initiatives*. *Indian Journal of Urban Policy*, 12(1).

3. John, P., & Varghese, L. (2020). *An Evaluation of the LIFE Mission in Kerala*. *Journal of Development Studies*, 18(3).
4. Rajan, A. (2021). *Socio-economic Impacts of Government Housing in Kerala*. *Kerala Economic Review*.
5. Mohan, K. (2022). *Housing Challenges in Wayanad: LIFE Mission Evaluation*. *South India Policy Review*, 10(2).
6. *The Hindu*. (2023). *LIFE Mission Achieves Milestones in Thrissur*. Retrieved from www.thehindu.com
7. Government of Kerala. (2024). *LIFE Mission Official Website*. Retrieved from <https://lifemission.kerala.gov.in>